Response of maize hybrid to nitrogenous fertilization and weed control

Gomaa, M. A.; F.I. Radwan; I.F. Rehab and A.A. Abdel-Aty Plant production Department, Fac. Agric. (Saba Basha), Alexandria University

ABSTRACT:

Two filed experiments were carried out at the Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha) Alexandria University during 2010 and 2011 summer seasons. This study was conducted to investigate the effect of nitrogen application and weed control on growth attributes, yield and yield components of maize hybrid cultivar namely three way cross 310 (T.W.C. 310). The obtained results indicated that the application nitrogen at 120 kg N/fed, had positive significant effects on some of the studied characters. The application nitrogen rate at 160 kg N/fed., was the best treatment to obtain yield, yield components and weed characters of T.W.C. 310. The highest grain yield/fed.,total dry weight and weed characters were obtained from atrazine + one hand hoeing fertilized at 160 kg N/fed., than application nitrogen at 40 kg N/ fed with unweeded treatments (Control) in both seasons. **Key Words:** T.W.C.310 (Maize), yield, yield components, nitrogen levels, weed control, atrazine

INTRODUCTION:

Corn (Zea mays, L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in Egypt and the world. It is used for bread industry (mix 80% wheat flour with 20%, maize flour) in order to reduce wheat importation and animal feeding. Increasing maize production depends upon many factors. Nitrogen is the key element in increasing productivity. It is an integral component of many compounds essential for plant growth processes including chlorophyll and many enzymes. Some growth and yield characters were affected by application of nitrogen fertilizer (Radwan, 1998; Solimanet al., 2001; El-Moselhy and Zahran, 2003; Nofal and Mobarak, 2003 and Gomaa, 2008).

Weeds are one of the most important factors in maize production. They cause important yield losses worldwide with an average of 12.8% despite weed control application and 29.2% in the case of no weed control (Hussein, 1996 and Mosalem and Shady, 1996). Therefore, weed control is an important management practice for maize production that should be carried out to ensure optimum grain yield (Doganet al., 2004; David et al., 2005 and Abo Zienaet al., 2008). Weed control in maize was carried out by mechanical and/or chemical methods.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the response of maize hybrid to nitrogen fertilization and weed control on growth and yield of corn plants (*Zea mays*, L.).

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Two field experiments were carried out at the experimental farm, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria University, at Abees-

Alexandria, Egypt during the two successive summer seasons of 2010 and 2011. The experimental design was a split plot with four replicates, the main plots were conducted for the nitrogen fertilization treatments (40,80,120 and 160kg N/ fed.). The N- fertilizer applied in the form of urea (46% N) at the abovementioned Levels after sowing and just before the sowing seed treatments 100kg superphosphate (15% P_2O_5). The sub-plots were assigned to six weed control treatments.

- 1- Unweeded (Control)
- 2- Atrazine (Gesaprim80% w.p) (2- chlorat 4- ethyl amino-6- isopropyl amino 5- triazine) was applied after sawing and pre- emergence at the rate of 0.75 kg/ fed.
- 3- Fluroxypr(20%) 4 g a.i./ fed.: E.C. (4- amino- 3.5 dicholro-6- fluoro 2 pyridyloxy acetic acid), Known commercially as "starane", it was applied in the rate of 0.2L/ fed. after 21 days from sowing.
- 4- Atrazine at 600 g a.i./fed. + one hand- hoeing, before the second irrigation.
- 5- one hand- hoeing, before the first irrigation after 21 days from sowing + fluroxypyr (Starane) at 4 g a. i/fed., after 21 days from hand hoeing.
- 6- hand hoeing (twice) where the first was done before the first irrigation and the second one before the second irrigation.

The experimental soil was clay loam in texture, poor in organic matter (1.40%) with pH=8.0. Available phosphorus was 3.70 mg/kg available nitrogen was 145mg/kg.

Grains of corn (*Zea mays*, L.) hybrid are the Three ways cross 310 (T.W.C. 310). The sowing date was on 30 May in both seasons. Each experimental unit consisted of four ridges 3m length and 0.7 m width. The preceding crop was wheat in the two growing seasons.

Data recorded

Data were recorded from the two middle redges for growth characters and to determine yield components.

A- Growth characteristics

At 55, 70 and 85 days after sowing a sample of five guarded plants from each sub-plot were taken at random to measure plant height (cm), stem diameter (cm), leaf area index (cm²) and dry weight (g)/plant.

B- Yield and its components

At harvest, ten guarded plants were taken from the 2nd and 3rd ridges in each sub-plots to determine ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm) ear height (cm), number of rows/ear, number of grains/row, weight of grains/ear, Shelling%, 100 grains weight (g) and grain yield (ton)/fed, which was adjusted to 15.5% moisture content.

Weed characters were recorded at 85 days after sowing where weed were hand pulled from one square meter taken at random in each plot and classified into different species. For each species the number and dry weight of weeds (at 70°C for 48 hours) were recorded.

Data of growth characters, yield components and weed characters were statistically analyzed using split plot design according to method described by Snedecer and Cochran (1982). The means were compared using L.S.D. values at 5% probability level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

A- Effect of nitrogen fertilization

Data in Table (1) showed that plant height and leaf area index at different growth stages in the two growing seasons of 2010 and 2011 were affected by 120 kg N/fed treatment, except the dry weight (g)/plant was not significant at all growth stages in both seasons Stem diameter gave the highest mean value with application nitrogen at 40 kg N/ fed at the 55 DAS in the first season. The enhancement of nutrient uptake and its translocation increased photosynthetic rate and accumulation of photosynthetic in shoot (Radwan, 1998). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Atta-Allah (1998), Soliman et al (2001), Badr et al (2003) and El-Arefet al. (2004).

Data in Tables (2 and 3) showed that yields obtained by application 160kg N/fed, were significant higher than those of the other of the other treatment. Ear length, weight of grains/ear, and grain yield/fed., were significantly increased by the application at 160kg N/fed.in both seasons. It can be stated that the treatment (160kg N/fed.), had promoted the production of maize grains. Similar results were obtained by Soliman*et al.* (2001), El-Moselhy and Zahran (2003) and Nofal and Mobarak (2003).

B- Effect of weed control

Tables (1 and 2) showed that the growth attributes characters responded significantly to weed control treatments at different growth stages in both seasons. The following three treatments starane, starane + one hand hoeing and hand hoeing twice had higher growth character than the unweeded treatment at different growth stages in both seasons. The results are in agreement with those obtained by Shabanet al. (1990), El-Bially (1995) and Mosalem and Shady (1996). While Schans and Weide (1999) and Abdel-Samie (2001) obtained maximum growth attributes by hand hoeing twice.

There are significant increases in the average of yield and its components with each weed control treatment in both seasons (Tables 2 and 3). The one hand hoeing + atrazine and hand hoeing twice gave the highest grain yield (5.38and 5.03 ton/fed) in the first and second seasons, respectively. While the unweeded (control) gave the lowest grain yield. These results indicated that hand hoeing twice and a combination of preemergence herbicides application with one hand hoeing ensure a broad spectrum for weed control over a longer period of time. The provide a long term weed-free environment for maize, soil herbicides are applied in many cases and mechanical control and post-emergence herbicide application

are often repeated several times. Similar trend was reported by Hussein (1996), Digits (1997) and Jat et al (1999) stated that yield of maize was significantly by hand weeding and pends methalin. Knezevicet al. (2003) reported that hand spraying with standard treatment at a half recommended rate (atrazine 1.5 liter/fed) combined with mechanical weed control brought a satisfactory total weed reduction (83-87%).

Average total number of broad and grassy weeds and total dry weight of weeds as affected by weed control treatments at 85DAS in both seasons with regard to the effect of nitrogen fertilization on the number of broad leaved weeds/m2, number of narrow leaved weeds/m² and total number of weeds/m2 were significantly increased by the application at 160 kg N/fed, in the first season. While the application 40 kg N/fed, significantly decreased total number of broad leaves and grassy weeds in the first season only (Tables 4, 5).

Table (1): Effect of nitrogen fertilization and weed control on some growth attributes of maize plants at three growth stages during 2010 and 2011 seasons

		Plant height (cm)							Stem diameter (cm)						
T		2010		1	2011			2010			2011				
Treatments	Days after sowing			Days after sowing			DAS			DAS					
	55	70	85	55	70	85	55	70	85	55	70	85			
A) Nitrogen fertilizer									_						
40 kg N/fed	103.17	123.67b	138.67	122.28	188.06	190.17	1.52a	1.45	1.63	1.82	2.07	1.93			
80 kg N/fed	101.78	133.89ab	132.67	119.72	176.67	178.50	1.32b	1.38	1.51	1.73	2.31	1.81			
120 kg N/fed	100.50	140.33a	143.83	123.67	171.94	173.5	1.34b	1.46	1.62	1.84	1.91	1.92			
160 kg N/fed	106.00	133.50ab	146.94	123.67	178.33	180.40	132b	1.54	1.63	1.77	2.09	1.93			
L.S.D. _{0.05}	ns _	13.92	ns	กร	ns	ns	0.16	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns			
B) Weed control								_		-:- <u>-</u>					
Unweeded control	105.17	132.00ab	136.33	114.67ab	170.00	172.00	1.33bc	1.39	1.48bc	1.70b	2.41	1.78bc			
Atrazine (80%)	102.75	131.67ab	142.83	124.75ab	190.41	192.42	1.13c	1.48	1.58bc	1.71	2.24	1.88ab			
Starane	105.75	143.58a	141.83	143.33a	177.50	178.60	1.57a	1.41	1.81	2.08a	2.02	2.11a			
Atrazine + one hoeing	102.83	123.50b	143.08	114.17ab	183.75	185.00	1.57a	1.38	1.41c	1.73b	2.18	1.71c			
Starane + one hoeing	101.58	123.67b	126.92	125.00ab	175.83	177.90	1.50ab	1.55	1.63ab	1.74b	1.93	1.93ab			
Hand hoeing twice	99.08	143.67a	143.17	112.08b	145.00	147.50	1.31bc	1.53	1.69ab	1.77b	1.82	1.99ab			
L.S.D. _{0.05}	ns	17.04	ns	26.83	ns	ns	0.20	пs	0.21	0.35	ns	0.31			
Interaction							_								
A×B	ns	*	ns	*	ns	ns	*	ns	*	<u> </u>	ns	ns			

^{*}Significant at 0.05 probability Level.
ns = not significant.
DAS = Days after sowing.

Table (1): Cont.

			Dry weig	ht/plant (g)	Leaf area index					
Treatments	2010 Days after sowing				2011			010	2011	
				Days after sowing			DAS		DAS	
	55	70	85	55	70	85	(55-70)	(70-85)	(55-70)	(70-85)
Al Nitrogen fe	ertilizer									
40 kg N/fed.	15606	141.61	119.22	143.89	188.06 123.	89	2.93	2.95b	3.54ab	3.72
80 kg N/fed.	139.50	160.00	123.28	135.00	176.67	125.00	2.95	3.18ab	3.74a	2.36
120 kg N/fed.	161.22	161.89	119.94	139.44	171.94	119.44	3.10	3.03b	3.74a	3.52
160 kg N/fed.	179.28	165.44	115.17	127.78	178.33	117.78	3.11	3.58a	334b	3.38
L.S.D. _{0.05}	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	0.51	0.25	ns
B) Weed control	_				· · · · · ·	-		_		
Unweeded control	138,00b	146.67	177.00a	125.23b	170.00	177.00	2.66b	2.83cd	3.60a	3.71ab
Atrazine (80%)	149.58ab	151.58	185.67a	138.33ab	220.41	195.10	2.806	2.53d	3.72a	3.46ab
Starane	197,17a	186.33	148.92b	176.67a	177.50	159,70	3.56a	3.60ab	3.68	3.83a
Atrazine + one hoeing	123.67ab	138.42	167.17a	120.83b	183.75	171.17	2.75b	3.07bcd	3,66a	3.65ab
Starane + one hoeing	149.67ab	158.00	73.50ъ	127.50b	175.83	75.70	3.19ab	3.79a	3,62a	3.29ab
Hand hoeing twice	196.00a	175,92	65.67b	130.00b	145.00	70.80	3.18ab	3.29abc	3.28b	3.03b
L.S.D. _{0.05}	45.32	ns	43.98	41.61	ns	ns	0.61	0.62	0.31	0.63
Interaction	<u>-</u>			·						
A × B	ns	ns		•	ns	ns	•	*	•	

^{*}Significant at 0.05 probability Level.
ns = not significant.
DAS = Days after sowing.

Table (2): Effect of nitrogen fertilization and weed control on yield and its components of maize plants during 2010 and 2011 seasons

Treatments	Ear leng	Ear length (cm)		Ear height (cm)		Ear diameter (cm)		Number of rows/ear		No. of grains/row		Weight of grains/ear	
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	
A) Nitrogen fertilizer													
40 kg N/fed.	14.89b	15.30b	93.00c	94.00c	3.99	4.00	13.64	14.50	28.36	30.10	212.68c	203.08	
80 kg N/fed.	15.33ab	15.85ab	93.94b	94.94b	4.01	5.10	13.70	14.90	28.94	30.20	241.10b	216.10	
120 kg N/fed.	16.00a	16.50a	98.11a	99.11a	4.05	5.15	13.67	14.60	29.04	31.00	252.14	226.39	
160 kg N/fed.	16.17a	16.70a	93.05c	94.03c	4.05	5,20	13.94	15.00	29.69	31.30	241.00b	216.59	
L.S.D. _{0.05}	1.03	1.04	0.85	0.90	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	2.49	ns	
B) Weed control													
Unweeded control	14.75c	15.20c	89.83bc	90.83bc	4.10	5.20	13.43b	14.33b	26.56b	28.40b	195.72e	156.09e	
Atrazine (80%)	15.17bc	15.67bc	106.92a	107.92a	3.98	4.99	13.83a	14.90a	30.08ab	32.10ab	239.06c	230.82ь	
Starane	17.00a	17.50a	98.75ab	99.75ab	3.96	4.97	13.72a	14.78a	30.59a	32.60a	216.18d	195.05d	
Atrazine + one hoeing	14.92bc	15.42bc	92.50bc	93.50bc	4.00	5.01	13.67a	14.80a	27.08ab	29.10ab	260.45a	245.40a	
Starane + one hoeing	16.17ab	16.67ab	85.42c	86.42c	4.07	5.08	13.75a	14.77a	27.83ab	31.90ab	243.45b	211.72c	
Hand hoeing twice	15.58bc	16.10bc	93.75bc	94.70bc	4.04	5.10	14.00a	14.30a	???	31.94ab	261.35a	241.36a	
L.S.D. _{0.05}	1.27	1.20	10.27	10.30	ns	ns	0.54	0.52	3.51	3.60	3.90	6.40	
Interaction									-				
A×B	*	ns	*	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	

^{*}Significant at 0.05 probability Level. ns = not significant.

Table (3): Effect of nitrogen fertilization and weed control on shelling %, 100- grain weight and grain yield (ton/ fed) during 2010 and 2011 seasons

Treatments	Shell	ling%	grains	00- sweight g)	Grain yield (ton/fed)		
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	
A) Nitrogen fertilizer							
40 kg N/fed.	84.40	84.65	23.00	24.56c	4.63c	4.36b	
80 kg N/fed.	84.60	84.04	23.72	25.74c	4.88a	4.55a	
120 kg N/fed.	84.24	84.09	25.56	27.87ab	4.92a	4.53a	
160 kg N/fed.	84.34	84.39	22.94	28.89a	4.73b	4.60a	
L.S.D. _{0.05}	ns	ns	ns	1.00	0.09	0.13	
B) Weed control							
Unweeded control	84 .08c	83.04c	22.75	23.10e	3.84d	3.62e	
Atrazine (80%)	84.77a	84.87a	26.17	27.30a	4.88b	4.89b	
Starane	83.31b	83.74b	24.50	25,60b	4.44c	3.98d	
Atrazine + one hoeing	84.90a	84.85a	21.67	23.70d	5.38a	5.03a	
Starane + one hoeing	84.46b	83.93c	22.58	24.60c	4.94b	4.57c	
Hand hoeing twice	84.37b	84.45b	23.67	25.80b	5.37a	5.00at	
L.S.D. _{0.05}	0.16	0.18	ns	0.27	0.11	0.12	
Interaction					_		
A×B	*	ns	ns	ns	*	ns	

^{*}Significant at 0.05 probability Level. ns = not significant.

__ 321

Table (4): Effect of nitrogen fertilization and weed control on control on number of broad, narrow leaved weeds/m² and total weed/m² in 2010 and 2011 seasons

Treatments	Number of leaved w		Number narrow I weeds	eaved	Total number of weeds/m ²		
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	
A) Nitrogen fertilizer							
40 kg N/fed	31.25c	7.17	21,50c	24.11	52.75c	31.28	
80 kg N/fed	35.29ab	8.89	27.00ab	23.67	62.29b	32.56	
120 kg N/fed	33.80b	8.39	25.75b	24.22	59.55b	32.61	
160 kg N/fed	36.30a	7.72	28.92a	23.61	67.22a	31.33	
L.S.D. _{0.05}	2.09	ns	2.35	ns	2.85	ns	
B) Weed control				-			
Unweeded control	89.10	19.72	43.00	43.20	132.10	62.47	
Atrazine (80%)	15.20	6.13	23.65	16.40	38.85	22.55	
Starane	63.80	8.27	43.25	47.73	107.05	56.00	
Atrazine + one hoeing	4.85	3.80	9.60	5.47	14.45	9.27	
Starane + one hoeing	13.55	5.73	27.00	23.47	44.55	28.20	
Hand hoeing twice	7.15	5.27	7.40	5.73	14.55	11.00	
L.S.D. _{0.05}	2.85	ns	ns	3.94	3.07	3.18	
Interaction							
A×B	*	ns	ns	*	*	ns	

^{*}Significant at 0.05 probability Level. ns = not significant.

222

Table (6): Effect of nitrogen fertilization and weed control on control on dry weight of broad, narrow leaved weeds/m² and total weed/m² in 2010 and 2011 seasons.

Treatments	broad	ight of leaved /m² (g)	Dry we narrow weeds	leaved	Dry weight of total weeds/m² (g)		
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	
A) Nitrogen fertilizer							
40 kg N/fed	135.33	15.02	123.40	68.20	258.77	83.22	
80 kg N/fed	131.33	21.80	133.10	119.10	264.43	140.90	
120 kg N/fed	135.72	27.60	110.40	121.30	246.12	148.90	
160 kg N/fed	143.71	17.80	131.80	97.50	275.41	115.30	
L.S.D. _{0.05}	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	
B) Weed control							
Unweeded control	205.25a	73.90a	186.10b	199.30b	391.35b	273.20a	
Atrazine (80%)	139.17b	9.20c	196.20a	40.10d	335.37a	49.30d	
Starane	100.00c	21.30b	171.35c	201.40a	271.35c	222.70b	
Atrazine + one hoeing	193.17a	4.40d	42.50e	5.60e	235.67d	10.00e	
Starane + one hoeing	79.75c	8.40c	123.50d	86.70c	203.25e	95.10c	
Hand hoeing twice	101.50c	5.50d	21.50f	5.90e	123.00f	11.40e	
L.S.D. _{0.05}	30.26	4.85	8.60	4.70	18.90	3.80	
<u>Interaction</u>							
A×B	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	

ns = not segnificant

Control treatments significantly decreased the average total number weds and dry weight of weeds at 85 DAS compared with unweeded check. Atrazine + one hand hoeing has been used for many reasons as major herbicide for weed control in maize in the whole world. The use of atrazine as a major herbicide for maize can be attributed to the great selectivity of the herbicide towards maize composed with other herbicide used in maize field.

The selectivity of atrazien in controlling weeds may be attributed to the effect of atrazine in inhibiting photosynthesis, RNA synthesis and lipid synthesis in susceptible cells but not resistant cells.

The reduction in total dry weight of weed per unit area under weed control treatment as attributed to the decrease in the number of broad and narrow leaves weeds. These results agree with those obtained by Abdel-Samie (2001), Lesnik (2003) and AbouZienaet al. (2008).

REFERENCES:

- **Abdel-Samie**, **F. S. (2001)**. Effect of plant population density and weed control on growth and yield of maize crop and its associated weeds. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 26(1): 85-98.
- AbouZiena, H. F., El-Metwally I. M. and El-Desoki E. R. (2008). Effect of plant spacing and weed control treatments on maize yield and associated weeds in sandy soil. American-Ewasian J. Agric. And Environ Sci.., 4, 9-17.
- Atta Allah, S. A. A (1998). Response of maize to nitrogen and biofertilization. Assuit J. of Agric. Sci., 29(1): 59-73.
- Badr, M. M..Bassal S. A. A. and Ibrahim E. M. (2003). Effect of preceding winter crops, nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer levels on growth and yield of maize (Zea mays, L.) J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28(9), 6591-6601.
- David, C., Udensi E.; Dennis E. and Lum A. F. (2005). Evaluation of a new formulation of atrazine and metalachlar mixture for weed control in maize in Nigeria. Crop Protection, 24: 1016-1029.
- Digit, A. (1997). Economics weed control methods in winter maize. Agric. Sci. Digest (Kannl)., 15(3): 143-145 (C.F. Field Crop Abst. 46(3): 1098-1155).
- Dogan, N. M.; Nay, A. U.; Buzz, G. and Albay, F. (2004). Determination of optimum wed control timing in maize (*Zea mays*, L.). Turk. J. Agric., 28: 349-354.
- El-Aref, Kh. A. O.; Abo El-Hamed A. S. and Abo El-Wafa A. M. (2004).Response of some maize hybrids to nitrogen and potassium fertilization levels. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29(11), 6063-6070.
- **El-Bially, M. E. (1995).**Weed control treatment under different density patterns in maize. Annals Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 40(20): 697-708.

- **El-Moselhy, M. A. and Zahran F. A. (2003).**Effect of bio-fertilizer and mineral nitrogen fertilization on barley crop grown on sandy soil. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 81(3): 921-935.
- Gomaa, E. F. (2008). Effect of biofertilizercerealin under different levels of nitrogen fertilization on growth yield and anatomy of corn plant (Zea mays, L.). Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci., 23(4A): 55-74.
- Hussein, H. F. (1996). Interactive effects of nitrogen sources and weed control treatments and growth and nutrient uptake of weeds and grain yield of maize (Zea mays. L.I.) Agric. Sci, Mansoura Univ., 21(10), 3437-3449.
- Jat, R. L.; Gaur, B. L.; Kumar, S. and Kulhari, R. K. (1999). Effect of weed management fertilizer and rhizobium inoculation on growth, yield and yield attributes of maize (Zea mays, L.) and Soybean (Glycine max). under maize + soybean intercropping system. Indian J. of Agric., 43(1): 23-26. (C. F. weed Abst., 48(5): 1928, 274).
- Knezevic, M., Durkic, M., Knezevic, E. and Loncaria, Z. (2003). Effect of pre and post emergence weed control on weed population and maize yield in different tillage system. Plant Soil Environ., 49(5): 223-229.
- **Lesnik, M. (2003).**The impacts of maize stand density on herbicide efficiency. Plant Soil Environ., 49(1): 29-35.
- **Mosalem, M. E. and Shady M. F. (1996).** Effect of plant population and chemical weed control on maize (*Zea mays.* L.) Prohycation Proc. 7thConf. Agren. 9-10 Sept., 41-58.
- Nofal, F. A. E. and Mobarak E. I. A. (2003). Influence of manure and nitrogen on grain yield and some physical properties of maize hybrids under sandy soil conditions. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 18(18B): 497-507.
- Radwan, F. I. (1998). Response of some maize cultivars to VA-mycorrhizal inoculation biofertilization and soil nitrogen application. Alex. J. Agric. Res., 43(2): 43-56.
- Schans, V. D. and Weide R. Y. (1999). Strong together weed control in maize; combine mechanical control and low application rates of herbicides. PAV, Bull-Akkerbow., 2: 9-11.
- Shaban, Sh. A., El-Deeb, M. H. and El-Maury, R. (1990). Studies on mixing some soil herbicides with nitrogen fertilizer in maize. I-weed growth. Egypt. J. Agran., 16(2): 159-178.
- **Snedecor, G. W. and Cochran W. G. (1982).**Statistical methods. The Towa state University Press. 7th Edit. 2nd printing 507. pp.
- Soliman, M. S. M., Abdel-Aziz A. A. and Derar R. A. (2001). Effect of nitrogen rate. Farm-yard manuring and biofertilization on growth yield components of maize (*Zea mays*, L.). Egypt Sci., 16(7): 151-167.

الملخص العربي

استجابة هجين الذرة الشامية للتسميد النتروجيني ومقاومة الحشائش

محمود عبد العزيز جمعة - فتحى إبراهيم رضوان - إبراهيم فتح الله رحاب، أحمد عبد الله عبد الله عبد الله

قسم الإنتاج النباتي - كلية الزراعه سابا باشا - جامعه الأسكندرية

أجربت تجربتان حقلبتان في المزرعة التجريبية البحثية لكلية الزراعة – سابا باشا – جامعة الإسكندرية بالقرية العاشرة بمنطقة أبيس خلال موسمي 2010، 2011 بهدف دراسة تأثير التسميد النثروجيني T. W. C. ومقاومة الحشائش على صفات النمو والمحصول ومكوناته لهجين الذرة الشامية (هجين ثلاثي 310).

توضيح النتائج المتحصل عليها أن إضافة النتروجين بمعدل 120 كجم/قدان كان معنوياً على بعض الصفات المدروسة. وكان إضافة النتروجين بمعدل 160 كجم نتروجين/قدان أفضل المعاملات للحصول على المحصول ومكوناته ومكافحة الحشائش للهجين الثلاثي T. W. C. 310 حيث تم الحصول عليها من المعاملة بمبيد الحشائش Atrazine (أدازين) بالإضافة إلى عزقة واحدة مع التميد النتروجيني بمعدل 160 كجم نتروجين/قدان مقارنة بإضافة نيتروجين بمعدل 40 كجم نيتروجين/ قدان مع المعاملة بدون عزيق (مقارنة).