OVERCOMING THE HARMFUL EFFECTS OF NaCI AND CaCI<sub>2</sub> SALINITY ON SWEET PEPPER PLANT GROWTH BY USING CERTAIN VITAMINS, BIO-REGULATOR AND YEAST EXTRACT.

Arafa, A. A.; M. A. Khafagy; A. M. Abo-El Kheer; R. A. Fouda and M. F. El-Banna

Dept. Agric. Bot., Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt

## **ABSTRACT**

An experiment was conducted to study the influence of soaking the seeds of sweet pepper plant in selected chemicals used i.e. bio-regulator (salicylic acid), vitamins (ascorbic acid or  $\alpha$ -tocopherol) or yeast extract on sweet pepper plant growth under non-saline or saline conditions.

Low salinity level (2000 mg/L) in most cases, increased significantly sweet pepper growth parameters (plant height, root length, shoot and root fresh as well as dry weights, number of leaves as well as leaf area) after 75 and 90 days from sowing. In the contrast, increasing salinity levels decreased growth parameters. Whereas, NaCl+CaCl<sub>2</sub> (1:1) were more effective followed by CaCl<sub>2</sub> and NaCl. Moreover, presoaking seeds, in vitamins at 50 or 100 mg/L or salicylic acid at 75 or 150 mg/L or yeast extract at 1000 or 2000 mg/L gave a positive effect on growth parameters. Furthermore, salicylic acid at 75 mg/L, ascorbic acid at 50 mg/L or  $\alpha$ -tocopherol at 100 mg/L were more effective in this respect.

## INTRODUCTION

Sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) is among the most important crops for the world human nutrition and its fruits have a good nutritional value in respect to antioxidant compounds, such as vitamin C and carotenoids (Navarro et al., 2006).

It is a moderately-sensitive to salt stress (Lycoskoufis et al., 2005). It cultivated under open field and greenhouses conditions. In Egypt cultivated area is around 71428.57 Feddan in 2008, yielded 475000 tones (FAO, 2008)\*<sup>1</sup>. In addition, productions throughout the world are around over 24 million tons every year (Casado-Vela et al., 2007). Soil salinity is one of the major environmental stresses affecting over 20% of the world's irrigated land (Etehadnia, 2009) and 2.1% of the dry-land agriculture existing on the globe (Khosravinejad et al., 2009) and extent throughout the world is increasing regularly (Schwabe et al., 2006). It has now become a very serious problem for crop production (Munns and Tester, 2008), particularly in arid and semi-arid regions. However, the intensity of salinity stress varies from place to place. Irrigated land produces one-third of the world's food approximately

<sup>&</sup>quot;FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization of the united nation, Statistical agricultural database sector.

www.http:// faostat.fao.org/site/567/

(Munns, 2002) so its salinization, often due to poor irrigation practices, is particularly critical. Dry land salinity is also an important, and increasing, problem in some areas of the world (Tester and Davenport, 2003).

Therefore, the present investigation was performed to study the effect of different sources of salinity (NaCl, CaCl₂ and their combination 1:1) on sweet pepper growth. Moreover, it was intended to investigate effects of pre-soaking seeds in some materials such as vitamins (ascorbic acid and α-tocopherol) and bio-regulator (salicylic acid) and Yeast extract to alleviate the harmful effects of such salinity types.

## MATEREIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out in the glasshouse of the Agricultural Botany Dept., Fac. of Agriculture, Mansoura Univ. during the growing season of 2008, to study the response of plant growth of sweet pepper to different sources of salinity i.e. NaCl,  $CaCl_2$  and their combination (1:1 w/w); and how to minimize its harmful effects through pre-soaking seeds in vitamins (Ascorbic acid or  $\alpha$ -tocopherol) or bio-regulator (Salicylic acid) or Yeast extract.

#### Plant materials

The seeds of sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum L. cv. Orlando), a hybrid 'California Wonder' used in this investigation were secured from the Gohara Co. Cairo, Egypt.

#### Chemicals:-

- 1. Vitamins, ascorbic acid Vit. C (AsA) and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol Vit. E ( $\alpha$ -toco.) were supplied by Sigma Chemicals Co., USA and used at the concentration of 50 or 100 mg/L each.
- Bio-regulator, salicylic acid (SA) (2-hydroxybenzoic acid) was obtained from Sigma Chemicals, Co., USA. and initially dissolved in 100 μL dimethyl sulfoxide and used at the concentrations of 75 and 150 mg/L,
- 3. Yeast extract, active dry yeast (Saccharomyces cervisiae) was applied at the concentration of 1000 or 2000 mg/L.
- 4. Salts:
- **4.1.** Sodium Chloride (NaCl) from EL-Gomhoria Co., Egypt and was used at the concentrations of 2000 and 4000 mg/L.
- **4.2.** Calcium Chloride (CaCl2) from EL-Gomhoria Co., Egypt and was used at the concentrations of 2000 and 4000 mg/L.
- **4.3.** Their combination, NaCl: CaCl2 1:1 (w/w) was used at the concentrations of 2000 and 4000 mg/L.

## J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 4 (9), September, 2013

Table (1): The Molarity (Mol), Electrical Conductivity (E.C.) and pH values for different nutrient solutions.

_	Tailude for different fidelite deficient.													
Nutrient		N.S.+	NaCl	N.S.+	CaCl2	N.S	.+ {NaCI+0	CaCI2) (1:1	) w/w					
solution	N.S.	2000	4000	2000	4000	2000(NaC	000(NaCI+CaCI2)		CI+CaCI2)					
(N.S.)	N.S.	NaCI	NaCI	CaCI2	CaCI2	1000	1000	2000	2000 CaCI2					
mg/L		11001	Naci	Caciz	Caciz	NaCi	CaCl2 NaCl		2000 CaC12					
Mol (M)	0 (Control)	3.4×10-2	6.9×10-2	2.0×10-2	3.6×10-2	1.7×10-2	0.9×10-2	3.4×10-2	2.0×10-2					
Ec dSm-1	2.00	5.42	8.42	4.59	7.60	5.08		8	.08					
pН	5.50	5.77	5.80	5.19	5.30	5.45 5.34		.34						

Table (2): Weights (g) of pure substances to be dissolved in 1000 liters of water to give the theoretically ideal concentrations (Cooper, 1979).

Substance	Formula	Weight
Potassium dihydrogen Phosphate	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	263
Potassium Nitrate	KNO <sub>3</sub>	583
Calcium Nitrate	Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> . 4H2O	1003
Magnesium Sulphate	MgSO <sub>4</sub> . 7H <sub>2</sub> O	513
EDTA Iron	CH <sub>2</sub> .N(CH <sub>2</sub> .COO) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> Fe Na	79.0
Manganous Sulphate	MnSO <sub>4</sub> .H <sub>2</sub> O	6.10
Boric Acid	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>	1.70
Copper Sulphate	CuSO₄.5H₂O	0.39
Ammonium Molybdate	(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> MO <sub>7</sub> O <sub>24</sub> .4H <sub>2</sub> O	0.37
Zinc Sulphate	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O	0.44

After soaking, the sterilized seeds (25 seeds/dish) were placed in glass Petri dishes (11 cm) with a double layer of Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The dishes were left in an incubator in the dark for seed germination at 25  $\pm$  2°C and 90% relative humidity, and then dishes were covered with aluminum foils for darkness. In order to avoid water losses, 5 ml of the nutrient solution were added to Petri dishes, every 5 days. Thiram was added to the solution at a concentration of 2% (w/v) to control the fungi infection.

Table (3): Composition of yeast extract (according to, Nagodawithana, 1991)

	991)							
	Constituents	Value (%)						
Protein		4	47					
Carbohydrates		33						
Minerals		_	8					
Nucleic acids		8						
Lipids		4						
	Approximate com	position of vitamins						
Vitamines		Value	μg/g)					
Cholin		400						
Niacin		300-						
Thiamine (B <sub>1</sub> )		60-	100					
Pantorhenate (E	35)	70	)					
Riboflavin (B <sub>2</sub> )		35-	50					
Pyridoxine HCL	(B <sub>6</sub> )	28	3					
Folic acid		5-1	5-13					
Biotin		1.	1.3					
Vit. B <sub>12</sub>		0.0	0.001					
	Approximate com	position of minerals						
Minerals	Value (mg/g)	Minerals	Value (μg/g)					
K	21	Cu	8.00					
P	13.50	Ni	3.00					
S	3.90	Sn	3.00					
Mg	1.65	Cr	2.20					
Ca	0.75	Mo	0.40					
Zn	0.17	Se	0.10					
Na	0.12	Li	0.17					
Si	0.03	Va	0.04					
Fe	0.02	Mn 0.02						

The following experiment was carried out in the glasshouse of the Agric. Bot. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ. during the spring–summer period of 2008 in a glasshouse under conditions of ambient light during winter, spring and early summer, with 10/14 light/dark period at 800-1100 µmol m<sup>-2s-1</sup> PPFD, a day/night average temperature cycle of 26/15 °C and  $65\pm5\%$  relative humidity.

The focus of the current experiment was to provide fundamental biological understanding and knowledge on sweet pepper plants growing in nutrient film technique (NFT), under different sources of salinity NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub> and their combinations 1:1 (w/w); and how to minimizing the harmful effects through pre-soaking seeds in vitamins (Ascorbic acid, α-tocopherol) or bioregulator (Salicylic acid), or Yeast extract. The seeds of sweet pepper were sown on Jan, 13, 2008. A homogenous sweet pepper seeds were placed in 100 ml beakers and 20 ml of 1% sodium hypochlorite was added for sterilization. These were left in the solution for 5 min followed by washing under running tap water and ionized water twice. Then divided into 9 sets.

The first set was soaked (24hours) in distilled water as control and the remaining sets (8) were separately soaked for 24 h in aqueous solution of AsA or  $\alpha$ -toco. at (50 or 100 mg/L) each or SA at (75 or 150 mg/L) or Yeast extract at (1000 or 2000 mg/L). Then germinated in seedling trays (209 eye) containing peat moss and perlite (1:1) as a rooting medium moistured by nutrient cooper solution (Cooper, 1979). Trays containing the seeds were placed in a glasshouse at 28  $\pm$ 2 $^{\circ}$ C to germinate.

The experimental layout consisted of 7 automatic hydroponic units (groups) (experimental plots). Each hydroponic unit comprised of two plastic channels (4 m long \* 10 cm in diameter) placed on one side of the holder (4m length \* 1.5 m height). Each channel had 40 pores (6 cm diameter). Every unit was provided by an electric pump representing seven groups (Table, 1) nutrient solution (2.0 dSm<sup>-1</sup> as a control), 2000 mg/L NaCl (5.42 dSm<sup>-1</sup>), 4000 mg/L NaCl (8.42 dSm<sup>-1</sup>), 2000 mg/L CaCl<sub>2</sub> (4.59 dSm<sup>-1</sup>), 4000 mg/L CaCl<sub>2</sub> (7.60 dSm<sup>-1</sup>), 2000 mg/L NaCl+CaCl<sub>2</sub> (1:1) (5.08 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) and 4000 mg/L NaCl+CaCl<sub>2</sub> (1:1) (8.08 dSm<sup>-1</sup>).

The seedlings were transplanted to the experimental installation on Feb, 26, 2008 (after 45 days from pre-soaking) at the stage of four/five true leaves. Two uniform seedlings were transplanted to 6 cm perforated pots (reticulated) containing peat moss and perlite (1:1) as a rooting medium.

Every two channels was divided into 9 sets, the first set was soaked in distilled water (control), AsA, α-toco. at (50 or 100 mg/L) each, SA at (75 or 170 mg/L), and Yeast extract at (1000 or 2000 mg/L). Each set contained (8 replicates) 16 seedlings (two seedling/pot) spaced 10 cm representing a Nutrient Film Technique (NFT).

To keep the concentrations of sodium chloride and mineral nutrients constant, the solution was changed every 7 to 10 days and the volume of the solution was maintained by adding distilled water as required after measuring the electrical conductivity by digital conductivity meter Lutron CD-4301. A nutrient solution was pumped into the channels at a flow rate of one liter per minute from a reservoir containing 10 liters.

#### Sampling dates:

Two samples were taken at 30 and 45 days after transplanting (75 and 90 days from sowing) to study the following measurements. Plant height (cm).

Root length (cm).

Roots and shoots (leaves and stem) were separated and repeatedly rinsed with ionized water and their fresh and dry weights (g/plant) were immediately determined.

Number of leaves per plant.

leaf area (cm2/plant) was determined using the following formula according to (Koller, 1972)

Leaf area/plant = Leaves dry weight (g) \* disk area
Disk dry weight (g) No. of leaves/plants

#### Statistical analysis:

The obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis of variance according to Gomez and Gomez (1984).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data presented in tables (4-11) showed that low salinity level (2000 mg/L) of all salinity types, in most cases, increased significantly sweet pepper growth parameters in the two sampling dates. Furthermore, NaCl+CaCl<sub>2</sub> (1:1) was more effective in this respect followed by CaCl<sub>2</sub> and NaCl at 2000 mg/L. In the contrast, growth parameters were decreased gradually with increasing salinity levels from 2000 to 4000 mg/L and the great reduction occurred under NaCl followed by NaCl+CaCl<sub>2</sub> (1:1) and CaCl<sub>2</sub> at 4000 mg/L each.

The inhibiting effect of salinity on growth parameters in this investigation are in agreement with El-Banna, 2006; Houimli *et al.*, 2008 and khafagy *et al.*, 2009 who concluded that high salinity stress decreased plant growth in *Capsicum annuum* L.

Regarding the effect of selected chemicals on plant growth, data in the same tables pointed out that pre-soaking pepper seeds in such chemicals, in most cases, gave a positive effect on growth parameters as compared with untreated plant (control). In addition, pre-soaked sweet pepper seeds in salicylic acid at 75 mg/L, ascorbic acid at 50 mg/L or attocopherol at 100 mg/L were more effective in this respect as compared to remaining treatments including control.

As for the interactions between salinity and selected chemicals used, data in the same tables showed that growth parameters were increased significantly in both samples as compared to untreated plants. In addition, means of (A\*B) indicated that growth parameters were increased significantly under low level of salinity 2000 mg/L, the maximum enhanced value was recorded under NaCl+CaCl<sub>2</sub> (1:1) followed by CaCl<sub>2</sub> at 2000 mg/L each. Meanwhile, under high salinity level application of these chemicals, in most cases, counteracted the harmful effect of salinity on growth especially salicylic acid at 75 mg/L and ascorbic acid at 50 mg/L as compared to untreated plants under such salinity.

The stimulating effect of low salinity level of NaCl (2000 mg/L) on plant growth may be resulted from the beneficial effect of low concentration of chloride on many physiological processes as photosynthesis activity as well as osmoregulators, which allow cell enlargement and plant growth at low water availability caused by the presence of ions especially Na and Cl (Khan et al., 1997). The stimulation effect of low salinity level of CaCl2 at 2000 mg/L on pepper plant growth may be attributed to increase calcium uptake Ca2+ that play a critical role in improving plant growth under saline conditions and/or may be due to reducing permeability of Na through the plasma membrane and prevent loss of K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio . Cramer, 1992 and/or defined as "free cytosplic calcium" participates in regulation of metabolism and growth of plants. Moreover, Ca2+ is taken up by plants passively and only by root tips constituent of the middle lamella of cell walls where it helps to bind adjacent cells-together and strengthen overall construction (Marschner, 1995) and influences membrane structure and function, membranes and influencing permeability by birding phosphate and carboxylate groups of membrane phospholipids and protein (Davies and Monk-Talbot, 1990) and Ca<sup>2+</sup> serves as an important second messenger in the perception and transduction of environmental and stress signals (Roos, 2000).

Furthermore, Ca<sup>2+</sup> ameliorates the long term negative effects of NaCl on plant growth and protects against the adverse effect of salinity (**Cramer et al.**, 1989).

Table (4): Effect of pre-soaking seeds in SA, AsA, α-toco. or Yeast extract on plant height (cm) of sweet pepper grown under non-saline and saline conditions at 75 and 90 days from sowing using NFT.

Salinity									N.S.+		
(A)		N.	S.+ Na	CI	N.5	5.+ Ca	CI2	(NaCl-	+CaCl2	(1:1)	Mean
Treatment									w/w		(C)
(C) mg/L		Conc					Mean		c. (B)	Mean	(0)
	N.S.	2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	
				75 day							
Water									31.83		
SA 75	37.13	38.43	31.83	35.80	39.17	35.97	37.42	41.67	34.33	37.71	36.98
SA 150							36.66			36.52	36.16
AsA 50							37.03			37.40	36.69
AsA 100	36.70	37.67	31.47	35.28	38.83	34.93	36.82	40.07	33.07	36.61	36.24
a-toco 50	36.70	37.83	31.33	35.29	38.67	34.77	36.71	39.97	32.97	36.54	36.18
a-toco 100							36.98			36.80	
Yeast 1000	36.23	37.57	28.17	33.99	38.77	34.17	36.39	39.63	31.83	35.90	35.43
Yeast 2000	36.33	37.77	29.83	34.64	38.37	34.33	36.34	39.63	32.23	36.07	35.69
c A			35.01			36.70			36.58		
Wea B	36.60	38.93	32.76								
≥ A*B	1	37.83	30.59		38.75	34.76		40.22	32.94		
LSD at 0.05							-			A*I	B*C;
LSD at 0.05	A; 0.	09 B;	0.09 0	; 0.15	A*B;	0.15	4*C; 0.	25 B*	C; 0.25	0	.45
				90 day							
Water	56.27	58.50	34.50	49.76	60.50	47.73	54.83	63.77	44.77	54.93	53.17
SA 75	58.33	60.33	44.77	54.48	63.17	55.73	59.08	72.73	48.27	59.78	57.78
SA 150	57.33						57.94			57.07	55.87
AsA 50	58.27	59.77	44.73	54.26	63.17	55.50	58.98	70.03	47.77	58.69	57.31
AsA 100	58.17	59.73	43.23	53.71	62.27	54.77	58.40	68.23	46.73	57.71	56.61
a-toco 50							57.27	67.17	46.73	57.22	55.92
a-toco 100	58.00	59.73	43.77	53.83	62.53	55.17	58.57	68.50	47.17	57.89	56.76
Yeast 1000		58.33	35.27	50.10	61.17	49.00	55.62	64.17	39.27	53.38	53.03
Yeast 2000	57.23	58.77	37.77	51.26	61.47	52.00	56.90	64.67	45.17	55.69	54.61
Α			52.58			57.51			56.93		
E B	57.56	62.93	46.53								
Mean A*B	1	59.33	40.86		62.09	52.88	T	67.38	45.84	1	
LSD at 0.05	A; 0.2	21 B;	0.21	C; 0.36				-	C: 0.62		C: 1.08
LSD at 0.05   A; 0.21   B; 0.21   C; 0.36   A*B; 0.36   A*C; 0.62   B*C; 0.62   A*B*C; 1.08    N.S.= Nutrient Solution (Control)   SA = Salicylic acid											

AsA = Ascorbic acid Yeast = Yeast extract Table (5) Effect of pre-soaking seeds in SA, AsA, α-toco. or Yeast extract on root length (cm) of sweet pepper grown under non-saline and saline conditions at 75 and 90 days from sowing using NFT.

	SOV	ving	using	NFT.							
Salinity (A) Treatment	N.S.	N.	S.+ N	aCI	N.S.+ CaCl₂				N.S.+ CI+Ca 1:1) w/	-, ,	Mean (C)
(C) mg/L		Con	c. (B)	Mean	Cond	. (B)	Mean	Con	c. (B)	Mean	
		2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	
ļ							owing			1321	
Water	20.7	21.5	17.6				21.1	23.8	19.2	21.3	20.8
SA 75	21.5			21.1			21.9		19.9	22.9	22.0
SA 150	24.4	_	18.9	21.7			22.6		19.7	23.2	22.5
AsA 50	21.4	21.8		20.8	23.5		21.8		19.8	22.8	21.8
AsA 100	21.2	21.8	19.2				21.7	26.3	19.8	22.5	21.6
a-toco 50	21.2	21.8	19.0	20.7	23.5	20.3	21.7	25.8	19.7	22.3	21.6
a-toco 100	21.5	21.8	19.2	20.9	23.5	20.5	21.9	27.0	19.8	22.8	21.8
Yeast 1000	20.7	21.7	18.3	20.3	22.8	20.0	21.2	23.9	19.3	21.3	20.9
Yeast 2000	20.7	21.8	18.8	20.5	22.8	20.3	21.3	24.8	19.5	21.7	21.2
Α			20.7			21.7			22.3		
B A*B	21.5	39.3	35.5								
A*B		38.2	34.1		39.1	36.4		40.8	36.0		
LSD at									,	T	
0.05	A; 0.0	7 B;	0.07	C; 0.14	A*B;	0.14	A*C; 0.2	23 B*	C; 0.23	A*	B*C; 0.41
							owing				
Water									35.27		35.93
SA 75	37.83	38.73	35.23	37.27	39.73	36.77	38.11	42.27	36.50	38.87	38.08
SA 150									36.33		37.52
AsA 50									36.27		37.98
AsA 100									36.23		37.71
a-toco 50	37.47	38.27	34.77	36.83	39.27	36.50	37.74	41.23	36.23	38.31	37.63
a-toco 100	37.57	38.50	34.97	37.01	39.33	36.77	37.89	41.50	36.23	38.43	37.78
Yeast 1000	36.93	37.77	32.27	35.66	38.73	35.77	37.14	39.67	35.50	37.37	36.72
Yeast 2000	37.07	38.07	34.60	36.58				40.33	35.77	37.72	37.25
A	36.53 37.61 38.06										
B B			35.50								
Mean B A*B		38.18	34.05		39.06	36.43		40.79	36.04		
LSD at		T								1	
0.05	A; 0.0	)8 B;	0.08	C; 0.14	A*B;	0.14	4*C; 0.2	23 B*	C; 0.23	A*	B*C; 0.42
N.S				n (Cont	rol)				= Salid		
			corbic					α-to	co. = α-	tocoph	erol
	Yea	st = Y	east ex	tract							

Table (6) Effect of pre-soaking seeds in SA, AsA, α-toco. or Yeast extract on root fresh weight (g) of sweet pepper grown under non-saline and saline conditions at 75 and 90 days from

sowing using NFT.

	301	ville	usnig	NF I							
Salinity									N.S.+		
(A)		N.	S.+ Na	CI	N.5	3.+ Ca	CI <sub>2</sub>		CI+Ca		
Treatment	N.S.							(1	1:1) w/	W	Mean (C)
(C) mg/L		Conc	c. (B)	Mean	Cond	. (B)	Mean	Cond	c. (B)	Mean	
		2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	
				75 da	ays fr	om so	wing				
Water	3.32	3.61	2.28	3.07				4.35	2.74	3.47	3.34
SA 75	3.61	3.90	2.75	3.42	4.34	3.26	3.74	5.42	3.02	4.02	3.72
SA 150	3.45	3.76	2.55	3.25	4.16	3.22	3.61	4.62	2.98	3.68	3.52
AsA 50	3.57	3.88	2.72	3.39	4.19	3.26	3.67	5.04	3.02	3.88	3.65
AsA 100	3.47	3.82	2.67	3.32	4.18	3.24	3.63	4.65	3.01	3.71	3.55
a-toco 50	3.47	3.77	2.59	3.28	4.16	3.23	3.62	4.62	3.00	3.70	3.53
a-toco 100	3.56	3.84	2.70	3.37	4.19	3.24	3.66	4.86	3.01	3.81	3.61
Yeast 1000	3.11	3.62	2.33	3.02	4.06	3.08	1	4.38	2.80	3.43	3.29
Yeast 2000	3.40	3.67	2.48	3.18	4.07	3.12	3.53	4.56	2.94	3.63	3.45
Α			3.26			3.59			3.70		
Mean B A*B	3.44	4.21	2.90								
A*B		3.76	2.56		4.15	3.19	T	4.72	2.95		
LSD at								T		1	
0.05	A; 0.0	6 B:	0.06	0.11	A*B;	0.11	A*C; 0.1	19 B*0	C; 0.19	A*E	3*C; 0.33
		-			ays fr						
Water							13.91				
SA 75	14.64	15.58	12.11	14.11	17.07	13.75	15.15	20.16	13.06	15.96	15.07
SA 150	14.40	15.23	11.74	13.79	16.36	13.33	14.70	18.90	12.72	15.34	14.61
AsA 50	14.57	15.56	12.05	14.06	16.91	13.64	15.04	19.92	12.96	15.82	14.97
AsA 100	14.43	15.56	11.96	13.98	16.72	13.48	14.87	19.24	12.87	15.51	14.79
a-toco 50							14.81				14.67
a-toco 100	14.48	15.51	11.97	13.98	16.92	13.53	14.98	19.55	12.96	15.66	14.87
Yeast 1000					-		14.31				
Yeast 2000	14.22	14.99	11.13	13.45	16.17	13.31	14.57	18.29	12.57	15.03	14.35
IA	13.67 14.70 15.28										
₩ B	14.33	16.84	12.48		L						
Mean B*B			11.48		16.49	13.29		18.84	12.67		
LSD at		T	T	L	1	1	A*C:	T		<b>'</b>	L
0.05	A: 0.0	)2 B:	0.02	; 0.03	A*B	0.03	0.05	B*0	C; 0.05	A*F	3*C; 0.09
N.S.=	Nutrie	nt Sol	ution (	Contro	1)				= Salid		
			rbic ac						ο. = α-	·	

AsA = Ascorbic acid α-toco. = α-tocopherol Yeast = Yeast extract Table (7) Effect of pre-soaking seeds in SA, AsA, α-toco. or Yeast extract on shoot fresh weight (g) of sweet pepper grown under non-saline and saline conditions at 75 and 90 days from sowing using NFT.

Salinity N.S.+ (NaCi+CaCi)											
Salinity		N	.S.+ Na	CI	N	.S.+ Ca	Cl <sub>2</sub>		(1:1) w/		
(A) Treatment		Cond	:. (B)	Mean	Cond	c. (B)	Mean	Con	c. (B)	Mean	Mean (C)
(C) mg/L	N.S.	2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	
(5)9/2	11.0.			75 d	ays fi	rom s	owing	3			
Water	10.71	11.78	5.73	9.41	12.93	9.17	10.94	14.27	8.23	11.07	10.47
SA 75	11.99	13.15	7.89	11.01	14.19	10.59	12.26	17.93	9.06	13.00	12.09
SA 150	11.16	12.82	7.47	10.49	13.80	9.93	11.63	15.45	8.62	11.75	11.29
AsA 50	11.78	13.10	7.81	10.90	14.05	10.54	12.13	16.82	8.96	12.52	11.85
AsA 100	11.55	12.96	7.73	10.75	13.94	10.19	11.90	15.89	8.82	12.09	11.58
a-toco 50	11.50	12.89	7.64	10.68	13.91	10.04	11.82	15.73	8.81	12.02	11.51
a-toco 100	11.52	13.03	8.11	10.89	13.99	10.52	12.01	16.35	8.88	12.25	11.72
Yeast 1000	10.76	12.04	6.25	9.69	13.18	9.34	11.10	14.41	8.31	11.16	10.65
Yeast 2000	10.89	12.51	6.47	9.96	13.43	9.55	11.29	14.97	8.44	11.44	10.90
c A			10.42			11.68			11.92		
Mean A*B	11.32	14.06	8.64								
≥ A*B		12.70	7.24		13.72	9.99		15.76	8.68		
LSD at											
0.05	A; 0.0	02 B; 0	.002	C; 0.003	A*B; 0	.003	A*C; 0.0	1 B*(	C; 0.01	A.	B*C; 0.01
				90 d	ays fi	rom s	owing	3			
Water	29.07	33.60	10.40	24.36	37.91	22.95	29.98	45.62	15.98	30.22	28.18
SA 75	33.71	38.50	15.72	29.31	43.86	28.81	35.46	55.36	24.23	37.77	34.18
SA 150	30.88	36.12	14.51	27.17	41.81	27.11	33.27	50.33	20.78	34.00	31.48
AsA 50	32.81	38.39	15.67	28.95	43,61	28.66	35.02	52.50	23.78	36.36	33.45
AsA 100	31.76	37.20	14.91	27.96	42.30	27.74	33.93	51.46	22.47	35.23	32.37
a-toco 50	1	37.00	14.60	27.63	42.07	27.64	33.67	50.63	21.94	34.62	31.97
a-toco 100	32.46	37.87	15.45	28.59	42.66	28.17	34.43	51.67	23.52	35.89	32.97
Yeast 1000	29.20	34.30	12.11	25.20	39.39	24.44	31.01	47.93	16.34	31.16	29.12
Yeast 2000	30.01	35.74	14.27	26.68	40.95	26.15	32.37	49.41	18.89	32.77	30.61
A			27.32			33.24			34.22		
₽ B	31.24	42.90	20.64								
Mean A*B		36.52	14.18		41.62	26.85		50.55	20.88		
LSD at								T			
0.05	A; 0.0			C; 0.09	A*B;	0.09	A*C; 0.1				*B*C; 0.28
N.S.= Nutr			n (Con	trol)					ic acid		
AsA = Asc			<b></b> -				α-tocc	). = a-t	ocoph	erol	
Yeast = Yeast extract											

Table (8) Effect of pre-soaking seeds in SA, AsA, α-toco. or Yeast extract on root dry weight (g) of sweet pepper grown under non-saline and saline conditions at 75 and 90 days from

CAU	ına	using	NI 1- 1
3UW	mu	usniu	146 1.

Sal	inity		N.S.+ NaCl							N.S.+		
1	A)		N.	S.+ Na	aCl	N.	S.+ Ca	ICI <sub>2</sub>	(NaCl	+CaCl	2) (1:1)	Mean
Treat	rqent									w/w		(C)
(C) n	1g/L		Cond	c. (B)	Mean	Cond	c. (B)	Mean	Con	c. (B)	Mean	(0)
	V	N.S.	2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	
					75 day	ys from	n sow					
Water	r	0.25	0.27	0.18	0.23	0.30	0.24	0.26	0.34	0.22	0.27	0.26
SA 75	5	0.26	0.31	0.22	0.26	0.34	0.25	0.28	0.40	0.24	0.30	0.28
SA 15	50	0.25	0.29	0.25	0.26	0.32	0.24	0.27	0.36	0.23	0.28	0.27
AsA 5	50	0.26	0.31	0.22	0.26	0.34	0.25	0.28	0.37	0.24	0.29	0.28
AsA 1	100	0.26	0.29	0.22	0.26	0.33	0.24	0.28	0.37	0.23	0.29	0.27
a-toco	50	0.25	0.29	0.22	0.25	0.32	0.24	0.27	0.36	0.23	0.28	0.27
a-toco	100	0.26	0.29	0.22	0.26	0.33	0.24	0.28	0.37	0.23	0.29	0.27
Yeast	1000	0.25	0.28	0.18	0.24	0.31	0.24	0.27	0.35	0.22	0.27	0.26
Yeast	2000	0.25	0.29	0.20	0.25	0.32	0.24	0.27	0.35	0.23	0.28	0.26
_	Α			0.25			0.27			0.28		
Mean	В	0.25	0.33	0.23								
	A*B		0.29	0.21		0.32	0.24		0.36	0.23		
LSD a	at 0.05	A; 0.0	01 B;	0.01 0				4*C; 0.0	3 B*0	0.03	A*B*0	0.05
					90 da	ys froi		ing				
Wate	r	2.40	2.66	1.67	2.24	2.79	2.18	2.45	3.38	2.08	2.62	2.44
SA 75	5	2.69	2.97	2.13	2.60	3.32	2.43	2.81	5.24	2.32	3.42	2.94
SA 15	50	2.60	2.85	1.99	2.48	3.05	2.39	2.68	3.62	2.18	2.80	2.65
AsA 5	50	2.64	2.89	2.04	2.52	3.26	2.42	2.77	4.64	2.29	3.19	2.83
AsA 1	100	2.60	2.86	2.03	2.50	3.18	2.40	2.73	4.04	2.23	2.96	2.73
a-toc	o 50	2.62	2.84	1.99	2.48	3.17	2.40	2.73	3.70	2.22	2.85	2.69
a-toc	o 100	2.63	2.90	2.00	2.51	3.23	2.38	2.75	4.64	2.23	3.16	2.81
Yeast	1000	2.45	2.71	1.75	2.31	2.83	2.31	2.53	3.46	2.13	2.68	2.51
Yeast	2000	2.55	2.79	1.97	2.44	3.04	2.32	2.64	3.57	2.16	2.76	2.61
	Α		2.45 2.68 2.94									
Mean	В	2.57	3.32	2.17								
	A*B		2.83	1.95			2.36		4.03	2.20		
LSD a	at 0.05	A; 0.	02 B;	0.02	C; 0.04	A*B;	0.04	A*C; 0.0	)6 B*(	C; 0.06	A*B*0	0.12

N.S.= Nutrient Solution (Control)	SA = Salicylic acid
AsA = Ascorbic acid	a-toco = a-tocopherol
Yeast = Yeast extract	

Table (9) Effect of pre-soaking seeds in SA, AsA, α-toco. or Yeast extract on shoot dry weight (g) of sweet pepper grown under non-saline and saline conditions at 75 and 90 days from sowing using NFT

	so	wing	usin	g NFT.							
Salinity		N	I.S.+ N	laCl	N.	S.+ C	aCl <sub>2</sub>		(NaCl-		
(A)	1								1:1) w/		Mean (C)
Treatment		Cond		Mean		c. (B)	Mean		c. (B)	Mean	
(C) mg/L	N.S.	2000	4000				(A*C)		4000	(A*C)	
							sowing				
Water	0.84	0.88	0.48	0.73	1.08	0.72	0.88	1.25	0.63	0.91	0.84
SA 75	0.91	1.08	0.63	0.87		0.83	1.00	1.66	0.71	1.10	0.99
SA 150	0.87	1.00	0.56	0.81	1.20	0.78	0.95	1.34	0.68	0.96	0.91
AsA 50	0.90	1.05	0.62	0.86	1.24	0.82	0.99	1.51	0.71	1.04	0.96
AsA 100	0.90	1.02	0.57	0.83	1.22	0.81	0.97	1.39	0.69	0.99	0.93
a-toco 50	0.87	1.03	0.56	0.82	1.21	0.79	0.96	1.36	0.69	0.97	0.92
a-toco 100	0.90	1.03	0.61	0.84	1.23	0.80	0.98	1.40	0.70	1.00	0.94
Yeast 1000	0.84	0.93	0.50	0.76	1.09	0.74	0.89	1.27	0.63	0.91	0.85
Yeast 2000	0.85	0.97	0.54	0.79	1.18	0.76	0.93	1.32	0.67	0.95	0.89
A			0.81			0.95			0.98		
B A*B	0.87	1.19   0.67									
¥ A*B	1	1.00	0.56		1.19	0.78		1.39	0.68		
Α.											
I SD ALD DE		1			l	- 1		1		- 1	l
LSD at 0.05	A; 0.004	4 B; (	0.004	C; 0.01	A*B;	0.01	A*C; 0	.01	B*C; 0	.01	A*B*C; 0.02
LSD at 0.05		4 B; (	0.004		days	from	A*C; 0 sowing	.01	B*C; 0	.01	A*B*C; 0.02
LSD at 0.05 Water		3.44	1.12		days			4.75	B*C; 0	3.11	A*B*C; 0.02
	0.004			90	days 4.27	from	sowing				
Water	2.83	3.44	1.12	90 2.47	days 4.27 4.86	from 2.45	sowing 3.18	4.75	1.74	3.11	2.92
Water SA 75	2.83 3.40	3.44	1.12	90 2.47 3.13	4.27 4.86 4.57	2.45 2.82	3.18 3.69	4.75 5.98	1.74 2.46	3.11	2.92 3.59
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50	2.83 3.40 3.11	3.44 4.14 3.92	1.12 1.87 1.67	90 2.47 3.13 2.90	4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81	2.45 2.82 2.71	3.18 3.69 3.46	4.75 5.98 5.30	1.74 2.46 2.18	3.11 3.95 3.53	2.92 3.59 3.30
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50 AsA 100	2.83 3.40 3.11 3.30	3.44 4.14 3.92 4.07	1.12 1.87 1.67 1.83	90 2.47 3.13 2.90 3.07	days 4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81 4.69	2.45 2.82 2.71 2.78	3.18 3.69 3.46 3.63	4.75 5.98 5.30 5.77	1.74 2.46 2.18 2.36	3.11 3.95 3.53 3.81	2.92 3.59 3.30 3.50
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50 AsA 100 a-toco 50	2.83 3.40 3.11 3.30 3.26	3.44 4.14 3.92 4.07 3.97	1.12 1.87 1.67 1.83 1.71	90 2.47 3.13 2.90 3.07 2.98	days 4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81 4.69	from 2.45 2.82 2.71 2.78 2.76	3.18 3.69 3.46 3.63 3.57	4.75 5.98 5.30 5.77 5.40	1.74 2.46 2.18 2.36 2.31	3.11 3.95 3.53 3.81 3.66	2.92 3.59 3.30 3.50 3.40
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50 AsA 100 a-toco 50 a-toco 100	2.83 3.40 3.11 3.30 3.26 3.19	3.44 4.14 3.92 4.07 3.97 3.62	1.12 1.87 1.67 1.83 1.71 1.74	90 2.47 3.13 2.90 3.07 2.98 2.85	days 4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81 4.69 4.60	from 2.45 2.82 2.71 2.78 2.76 2.73	3.18 3.69 3.46 3.63 3.57 3.51	4.75 5.98 5.30 5.77 5.40 5.32	1.74 2.46 2.18 2.36 2.31 2.29	3.11 3.95 3.53 3.81 3.66 3.60	2.92 3.59 3.30 3.50 3.40 3.32
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50 AsA 100 a-toco 50 a-toco 100	2.83 3.40 3.11 3.30 3.26 3.19 3.25 2.85	3.44 4.14 3.92 4.07 3.97 3.62 4.09	1.12 1.87 1.67 1.83 1.71 1.74 1.76	90 2.47 3.13 2.90 3.07 2.98 2.85 3.03	days 4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81 4.69 4.60 4.76	2.45 2.82 2.71 2.78 2.76 2.73 2.79	3.18 3.69 3.46 3.63 3.57 3.51 3.60	4.75 5.98 5.30 5.77 5.40 5.32 5.63	1.74 2.46 2.18 2.36 2.31 2.29 2.32	3.11 3.95 3.53 3.81 3.66 3.60 3.73	2.92 3.59 3.30 3.50 3.40 3.32 3.45
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50 AsA 100 a-toco 50 a-toco 100 Yeast 1000 Yeast 2000	2.83 3.40 3.11 3.30 3.26 3.19 3.25 2.85	3.44 4.14 3.92 4.07 3.97 3.62 4.09 3.58	1.12 1.87 1.67 1.83 1.71 1.74 1.76 1.33	90 2.47 3.13 2.90 3.07 2.98 2.85 3.03 2.59 2.82	days 4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81 4.69 4.60 4.76 4.29	2.45 2.82 2.71 2.78 2.76 2.73 2.79 2.48	3.18 3.69 3.46 3.63 3.57 3.51 3.60 3.21 3.41	4.75 5.98 5.30 5.77 5.40 5.32 5.63 4.96	1.74 2.46 2.18 2.36 2.31 2.29 2.32 1.89	3.11 3.95 3.53 3.81 3.66 3.60 3.73 3.23	2.92 3.59 3.30 3.50 3.40 3.32 3.45 3.01
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50 AsA 100 a-toco 50 a-toco 100 Yeast 1000 Yeast 2000	2.83 3.40 3.11 3.30 3.26 3.19 3.25 2.85	3.44 4.14 3.92 4.07 3.97 3.62 4.09 3.58	1.12 1.87 1.67 1.83 1.71 1.74 1.76 1.33 1.60	90 2.47 3.13 2.90 3.07 2.98 2.85 3.03 2.59 2.82	days 4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81 4.69 4.60 4.76 4.29	2.45 2.82 2.71 2.78 2.76 2.73 2.79 2.48 2.60	3.18 3.69 3.46 3.63 3.57 3.51 3.60 3.21 3.41	4.75 5.98 5.30 5.77 5.40 5.32 5.63 4.96	1.74 2.46 2.18 2.36 2.31 2.29 2.32 1.89 2.01	3.11 3.95 3.53 3.81 3.66 3.60 3.73 3.23	2.92 3.59 3.30 3.50 3.40 3.32 3.45 3.01
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50 AsA 100 a-toco 50 a-toco 100 Yeast 1000 Yeast 2000	2.83 3.40 3.11 3.30 3.26 3.19 3.25 2.85 3.05	3.44 4.14 3.92 4.07 3.97 3.62 4.09 3.58 3.81	1.12 1.87 1.67 1.83 1.71 1.74 1.76 1.33 1.60	90 2.47 3.13 2.90 3.07 2.98 2.85 3.03 2.59 2.82	days 4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81 4.69 4.60 4.76 4.29 4.56	2.45 2.82 2.71 2.78 2.76 2.73 2.79 2.48 2.60	3.18 3.69 3.46 3.63 3.57 3.51 3.60 3.21 3.41	4.75 5.98 5.30 5.77 5.40 5.32 5.63 4.96	1.74 2.46 2.18 2.36 2.31 2.29 2.32 1.89 2.01 3.56	3.11 3.95 3.53 3.81 3.66 3.60 3.73 3.23	2.92 3.59 3.30 3.50 3.40 3.32 3.45 3.01
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50 AsA 100 α-toco 50 α-toco 100 Yeast 1000 Yeast 2000  A A A A A A B A B B A B LSD at 0.05	2.83 3.40 3.11 3.30 3.26 3.19 3.25 2.85 3.05 A: 0.0	3.44 4.14 3.92 4.07 3.97 3.62 4.09 3.58 3.81 4.60 3.85	1.12 1.87 1.67 1.83 1.71 1.74 1.76 1.33 1.60 2.87 2.16 1.63	90 2.47 3.13 2.90 3.07 2.98 2.85 3.03 2.59 2.82	days 4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81 4.69 4.60 4.76 4.29 4.56	7 (2.45) 2.45) 2.82) 2.71) 2.78) 2.76) 2.73) 2.79) 2.48) 2.60) 3.47	3.18 3.69 3.46 3.63 3.57 3.51 3.60 3.21 3.41	4.75 5.98 5.30 5.77 5.40 5.32 5.63 4.96 5.13	1.74 2.46 2.18 2.36 2.31 2.29 2.32 1.89 2.01 3.56	3.11 3.95 3.53 3.81 3.66 3.60 3.73 3.23 3.40	2.92 3.59 3.30 3.50 3.40 3.32 3.45 3.01 3.21
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50 AsA 100 α-toco 50 α-toco 100 Yeast 1000 Yeast 2000  A A A A A A B A B B A B LSD at 0.05	2.83 3.40 3.11 3.30 3.26 3.19 3.25 2.85 3.05 A: 0.0	3.44 4.14 3.92 4.07 3.97 3.62 4.09 3.58 3.81 4.60 3.85	1.12 1.87 1.67 1.83 1.71 1.74 1.76 1.33 1.60 2.87 2.16 1.63	90 2.47 3.13 2.90 3.07 2.98 2.85 3.03 2.59 2.82	days 4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81 4.69 4.60 4.76 4.29 4.56	7 (2.45) 2.45) 2.82) 2.71) 2.78) 2.76) 2.73) 2.79) 2.48) 2.60) 3.47	3.18 3.69 3.46 3.63 3.57 3.51 3.60 3.21 3.41	4.75 5.98 5.30 5.77 5.40 5.32 5.63 4.96 5.13 5.36 5 B*6	1.74 2.46 2.18 2.36 2.31 2.29 2.32 1.89 2.01 3.56 2.17 C; 0.05	3.11 3.95 3.53 3.81 3.66 3.60 3.73 3.23 3.40	2.92 3.59 3.30 3.50 3.40 3.32 3.45 3.01
Water SA 75 SA 150 AsA 50 AsA 100 a-toco 50 a-toco 100 Yeast 1000 Yeast 2000    B   B   W   A*B	2.83 3.40 3.11 3.30 3.26 3.19 3.25 2.85 3.05 4, 0.0	3.44 4.14 3.92 4.07 3.97 3.62 4.09 3.58 3.81 4.60 3.85 12 B; 0	1.12 1.87 1.67 1.83 1.71 1.74 1.76 1.33 1.60 2.87 2.16 1.63	90 2.47 3.13 2.90 3.07 2.98 2.85 3.03 2.59 2.82	days 4.27 4.86 4.57 4.81 4.69 4.60 4.76 4.29 4.56	7 (2.45) 2.45) 2.82) 2.71) 2.78) 2.76) 2.73) 2.79) 2.48) 2.60) 3.47	3.18 3.69 3.46 3.63 3.57 3.51 3.60 3.21 3.41	4.75 5.98 5.30 5.77 5.40 5.32 5.63 4.96 5.13 5.36 5.36 5.36	1.74 2.46 2.18 2.36 2.31 2.29 2.32 1.89 2.01 3.56 2.17 C; 0.05 ic acid	3.11 3.95 3.53 3.81 3.66 3.60 3.73 3.23 3.40	2.92 3.59 3.30 3.50 3.40 3.32 3.45 3.01 3.21

# J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 4 (9), September, 2013

Table (10) Effect of pre-soaking seeds in SA, AsA, α-toco. or Yeast extract on number of leaves per plant of sweet pepper grown under non-saline and saline conditions at 75 and 90 days from sowing using NFT.

from sowing using NFT.											
Salinity (A)		N.S.+ N	aCl	N.	S.+ Ca	Cl₂		(NaCI+ 1:1) w/\		1 1	
Treatment		Conc. (B)	Mean	Conc	c. (B)	Mean	Con	c. (B)	Mean	Mean (C)	
(C) mg/L	N.S.	2000 4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)	2000	4000	(A*C)		
						owing					
Water	11.33	12.67 8.33	10.78	12.33	11.33	11.67	13.33	11.33	12.00	11.48	
SA 75	12.33	12.67 10.67	11.89	13.33	11.33	12.33	14.67	11.33	12.78	12.33	
SA 150		12.33 10.33								11.96	
AsA 50		12.33 10.33								12.19	
AsA 100		12.33 10.33								11.78	
a-toco 50		12.33 10.67								12.00	
		12.33 10.67								12.11	
		13.00 9.33								11.59	
	11.67	12.33 10.33		12.67			13.33		12.00	11.78	
A	1	11.51	_		11.96			12.27			
Mean A*B	11.93	12.90 10.91									
∑ A*B		12.48 10.11		12.59				11.26			
LSD at 0.05	A; 0.3	39 B; 0.39						C; 1.17	I A	*B*C; 2.02	
		·				owing					
Water	17.67	19.67 13.00	16.78	21.33	16.33	18.44	21.67	15.67	18.33	17.85	
SA 75		21.67 15.33									
SA 150		20.33 14.33								18.96	
AsA 50		21.00 15.00								19.63	
AsA 100		20.67 14.33								19.04	
a-toco 50		20.67 14.00								19.00	
		22.00 14.67								19.31	
		20.00 13.67									
	19.00	20.00 14.33		22.67			24.67		20.00	19.15	
A		17.89 19.33 19.74									
Mean A*B	18.70	22.36 15.90									
Ž A*B		20.67 14.30		21.85	17.44			15.96			
LSD at 0.05 A; 0.33 B; 0.33 C; 0.58 A*B; 0.58 A*C; 0.99 B*C; 0.99 A*B*C; 1.73											
N.S.= Nutrient Solution (Control) SA = Salicylic acid											
AsA = As	corbic	acid				a-toco	. = α-te	cophe	rol		
AsA = Ascorbic acid α-toco. = α-tocopherol Yeast = Yeast extract											

Table (11) Effect of pre-soaking seeds in SA, AsA, α-toco. or Yeast extract on leaf area (cm²) of sweet pepper grown under non-saline and saline conditions at 75 and 90 days from sowing using NFT

using NFT.											
Salinity								N.S.+			1
(A)		N.S.+ NaCl			N.S.+ CaCl₂			(NaCI+CaCI <sub>2</sub> ) (1:1)			
Treatment								w/w			Mean (C)
(C) mg(L		Conc	. (B)	Mean	Conc	(B)	Mear	Cond	c. (B)	Mean	
, ,	N.S.	2000	400	์ (A*C)					4000	(A*C)	} {
75 days from sowing											
Water	303.9	355.9	176.	1278.6	400.6	254.	9319.8	434.4	209.4	315.9	304.8
SA 75	351.6	400.0	204.	0318.5	432.1	298.	9360.9	532.5	252.6	378.9	352.8
SA 150	338.2			9308.1							
AsA 50				8316.8							
AsA 100	342.5		201	6310.4	421 9	283	63493	480.7	243.8	355.7	338.5
a-toco 50	340.9			5309.8							
a-toco so											
100	342.9	395.6	202.	1313.5	426.2	287.	9352.4	506.3	246.4	365.2	343.7
Yeast 1000	314.2	365.7	184.	5288.1	404.7	266.	6328.5	444.2	213.4	323.9	313.5
Yeast 2000	324.2	379.2	188.	1297.2	414.3	275.	4338.0	461.9	229.8	338.6	324.6
		304.6			344.6			349.7			
Mean A*B	334.0				010			0.0			
Ž A*B	001.0	384.0			418.7	281	n	480.6	234.3		
LSD at		1004.0	100.	'	410.7	201.	A*C		204.0	<b>-</b>	
0.05	Δ- 12	0 8.	12 0	C- 20 9	Δ*R- 2	on a	36.1		D; 36.1	Δ*F	3*C; 62.6
0.05   A; 12.0   B; 12.0   C; 20.9   A*B; 20.9   36.1   B*C; 36.1   A*B*C; 62.6   90 days from sowing											
Water	716.7	1010 9	304	6677.4				1256.8	457 1	810.2	765.5
SA 75				5868.5							
SA 150				6794.5							
AsA 50	002.6	1120.4	442	3855.1	1220.1	701	0077.6	1416 6	500.1	002.2	938.6
				9824.1							
AsA 100											
a-toco 50	947.6	1059.0	420.	9809.2	1203.3	081.	8944.2	1365.5	518.5	943.9	899.1
a-toco 100	972.3	1089.8	438.	1833.4	1221.3	690.	5961.3	1393.9	528.1	964.8	919.8
Yeast 1000	738.8	1021.1	374.	6711.5	1162.1	606.	2835.7	1264.8	472.2	825.3	790.8
Yeast	820.1	1042.1	407.	5756.6	1172.0	647.	7879.9	1306.3	503.9	876.7	837.7
2000							_1				
A		792.3 1206.2 526.9			919.6			918.8			
Mean B,¥B	897.6										
¥ A*B		1069.9	409.	3	1200.6	660.		1348.2	510.7	L	
LSD at							A*C;				
0.05				C; 92.1		2.1	159.5				*C; 276.3
N.S.= Nutrient Solution (Control) SA = Salicylic acid											
AsA = Ascorbic acid α-toco. = α-tocopherol											erol
Yeast = Yeast extract											

The reduction effect of salinity on root length and plant height may be due to the suppressing effects of salinity on meristematic cell division and

elongation as well as root penetration (Hatung, 2004) and/or reduction in the length of root tip elongation zone (Zidan et al., 1990) and/or reduction in the root extension rates might come from the marked lowering of root radial hydraulic conductivity (Azaizeh et al., 1992) and/or reduces the ability of plants to take up water, this quickly causes reduction in growth rate and the initial reduction in shoot growth is probably due to hormonal signals generated by the roots (Munns, 2002), and/or inhibited apical growth in plants as well as internal hormonal imbalance (Younis et al., 2003).

In the present investigation, plant height of sweet pepper plants was less sensitive to salt stress than root length. Salinity induced a rapid reduction in root length than shoot height which may be due to, the fact that root system is directly in contact with salinity and is potentially the first line of defense, (Cramer et al., 1994), depletion of O<sub>2</sub> deprives those plants of its primary energy source and root growth declines. Also, Mohamed et al. (1998) revealed that root morphology parameters are additional sensitive parameters which are affected by salt stress. Therefore, can be employed as criteria for monitoring plant response mechanisms to salt stress. Thus, roots are reported to be among the first organs affected by salt stress and are most sensitive.

The retardation in plant growth caused by salinity may be attributed mainly to the osmotic stream, which reduced availability and uptake of water and essential nutrients (Neumann, 1997) as well as the excessive accumulation of both toxic ions (Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup>) and intermediate compounds such as reactive oxygen species (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2004) which cause damage to DNA, lipid and proteins and consequently a decrease in plant growth. In addition, Fricke *et al.* (2004) found that salinity increased ABA and ethylene concentration in plant tissue, and decreased endogenous level of IAA, GA<sub>3</sub> and auxin content.

Generally, the reduction in sweet pepper fresh and dry weights in the present study might be attributed to a decrease in either leaf number (Table, 10) or leaf area per plant (Table, 11) and decreased stomatal conductance (AL-Kahafaf *et al.*, 1990) and consequently less CO<sub>2</sub> available for carboxylation reactions in the photosynthesis apparatus ((Yadav *et al.*, 1996), which lead to reduction of photosynthetic capacity resulting less net assimilation and relative growth rates, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenase activity (Fedina and Tsonev, 1997).

In this respect, Khavari-Nejad (1988) proposed that the mechanism by which salt reduces leaf area expansion during mechanism is the reduction in water potential in the root zone which transmitted via the xylem to the leaves and reduced cell turgor and consequently decreased cell division and expansion.

Presoaking seeds in SA and their enhancement in growth of salt stressed plants might have been due to SA-induced changes in the biochemical or physiological processes (Hussein *et al.*, 2007), increased auxin and zeatin as well as gibberellin in leaves (Shehata *et al.*, 2000); and increased the level of cell division within the apical meristem of seedling roots causing an increase in plant growth (Sakhabutdinova *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, Ashraf (2004) suggested that SA-induced enhancement in growth by

adversely affecting various physiological and biochemical processes including photosynthesis, antioxidant capacity and ion homeostasis. In this respect, El-Tayeb (2005) reported that SA-induced increase in growth could be related to enhanced activity of antioxidants that protect the plants from oxidative damage. Furthermore, Afzal *et al.* (2006) mentioned that SA treatment prevented decrease in IAA and cytokinin content completely, which reduced stress-induced inhibition of wheat growth.

Concerning the enhanced effect of pre-soaking seeds with AsA on plant height it may be due to that AsA is a major primary antioxidant (Nijs and Kelley, 1991), plays an important role in preserving the activity of enzymes (Padh, 1990), implicated in the regulation of the cell division, cell cycle progression (Smirnoff, 1996) cell elongation (De Tullio *et al.*, 1999) and is the major antioxidant that scavenges  $H_2O_2$  (Chen and Gallie, 2004), which may be cause deterioration of membrane lipids, leading to increased leakage of solutes from membranes (Mishra and Choudhuri, 1999).

Concerning the positive effect of AsA on leaf number it may be due to the beneficial role of this organic acid in plant metabolism (Smirnoff, 1996) and/or nutrient uptake (Gonzalez-Reyes et al., 1995). Moreover, the positive effect of ascorbic acid on leaf area per plant may be due to its involvement in the regulation of the fundamental cellular processes of photosynthetic and regulation of photosynthesis, cell vacuolarization, cell expansion and cell division (Smirnoff, 1996).

Concerning the positive effect on sweet pepper plant growth by yeast extract treatment it was also obtained by Skoog and Miller, (1957), who mentioned that yeast via its cytokinins content improved plant growth. In addition, El-Desouky *et al.* (1998) revealed that soaking squash seeds in yeast extracts caused an increase in the size of the root system that reached the high level of significance. Simultaneously all vegetative growth aspects i.e. stem length, internodes length, number of internodes, number of leaves and total leaf area per plant were positively responded.

### REFERENCES

- Afzal, I.; Basra, S.M.A.; Hameed, A. and Farooq, M. 2006. Physiological enhancements for alleviation of salt stress in wheat. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 38 (5): 1649-1659.
- AL-Kahafaf, S.; Adnan, A. and AL-Asadi, N.M. 1990. Dynamics of root and shoot growth of barley under various levels of salinity and water stress. *Agric. Water Mang.*, 18: 63-75.
- Ashraf, M. 2004. Some important physiological selection criteria for salt tolerance in plants. *Flora*, 199: 361-376.
- Azaizeh, H.; Gunes, B. and Steudle, E. 1992. Effects of NaCl and CaCl<sub>2</sub> on water transport across root cells of maize seedlings. *Plant Physiol.*, 99: 886-894.
- Casado-Vela, J.; Sellés, S.; Díaz-Crespo, C.; Navarro-Pedreňo, J.; Mataix-Beneyto, J. and Gómez, I. 2007. Effect of composted sewage sludge application to soil on sweet pepper crop (Capsicum annuum var.

- annuum) grown under two exploitation regimes. Waste Manag., 27: 1509-1518.
- Chen, Z. and Gallie D.R. 2004. The ascorbic acid redox state controls guard cell signaling and stomatal movement. *The Plant Cell*, 16: 1143-1162.
- Cooper, A. 1979. The ABC of NFT. Growers Books, London, pp. 59.
- Cramer, G.R. 1992. Kinetics of maize leaf elongation. 2-Response of a Naexcluding cultivar and a Na-including. Exp. Bot., 43: 857-864.
- Cramer, G.R.; Epstein, E. and lauchli, A. 1989. Na-Ca interactions in barley seedlings: Relationship to ion transport and growth. *Plant Cell and Environ.*, 12: 551-558.
- Cramer, G.R.; Alberico, G.J. and Schmidt, C. 1994. Leaf expansion limits dry matter accumulation of salt-stressed maize. Aust. J. Plant Physiol., 21: 663-674.
- Davies, H.W. and Monk-Talbot, L.S. 1990. Permeability characteristics and membrane lipid composition of potato tuber cultivars in relation to Ca<sup>2+</sup> deficiency. *Phytochemistry*, 29: 2833-2835.
- De Tullio, M.C.; Paciolla, C.; Dalla Vecchia, F.; Rascio, N.; D'Emerico, S.; De Gara, L.; Liso, R. and Arrigoni, O. 1999. Changes in onion root development induced by the inhibition of peptidyl-prolyl hydroxylase and influence of the ascorbate system on cell division and elongation. *Planta*, 209: 424-434.
- El-Banna, M.F. 2006. Comparative studies on structure of some flowering plants as affected by salinity. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Mansoura Univ. Egypt.
- El-Desouky, S.A., Wanas, A.L. and Khedr, Z.M. 1998. Utilization of some natural plant extracts (of garlic and yeast) as seed soaked materials to squash (*Cucurbita pepol L.*). I-Effect on growth, sex expression and fruit yield and quality. *Ann. Agric. Sci. Moshthor*, 36 (2): 839-854.
- El-Tayeb, M.A., 2005. Response of barley grains to the interactive effect of salinity and salicylic acid. *Plant Growth Reg.*, 45: 212-224.
- Etehadnia, M. 2009. Salt stress tolerance in potato genotypes. Ph.D. Thesis, College of Graduate Studies and Research, Univ. of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon.
- Fedina, I.S. and Tsonev, T.D. 1997. Effect of pretreatment with methyl jasmonate on the response of *pisum sativum* to salt stress. *J. Plant Physiol.*, 151: 735-740.
- Fricke, W.; Akhiyarova, G.; Veselov, D. and Kudoyarova, G. 2004. Rapid and tissue-specific changes in ABA and in growth rate in response to salinity in barley leaves. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55 (399): 1115-1123.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. 1984. Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Research. *John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York*.
- Gonzalez-Reyes, J.A.; Alcain, F.J.; Caler, J.A.; Serrano, A.; Cordoba, F. and Navas, P. 1995. *Protoplasma*, 184: 31. Cited From, Ali, Z.A. 2001. Ascorbic acid induced anatomical changes in leaves and stems of tomato plants. *Bulletin of the Nat. Res. Centre Cairo*, 26 (3): 371-382.
- Hatung, W. 2004. Plant response to stress: Abscisic acid fluxes. Marcel Dekker. Inc., New York.

- He, T. and Cramer, G. 1992. Growth and mineral nutrition of six rapid cycling Brassica species in response to seawater salinity. *Plant Soil*, 139: 285-294.
- . Houimli, S.M.; Denden, M. and El Hadj, S.B. 2008. Induction of salt tolerance in pepper (Capsicum annuum) by 24-epibrassinolide. Eur. Asia. J. Bio. Sci., 2 (10): 83-90.
- Hussein, M.M.; Balbaa, L.K. and Gaballah, M.S. 2007. Developing a salt tolerant cowpea using alpha tocopherol. J. of Appl. Sci. Res., 3(10): 1234-1239.
- Khafagy, M.A.; Arafa, A.A. and El-Banna, M.F. 2009. Glycinebetaine and ascorbic acid can alleviate the harmful effects of NaCl salinity in sweet pepper. *Aus. J. of Crop Sci.*, 5: 257-267.
- Khan, M.S.A; Hamid, A.; Satohuddin, A.B.M.; Quasem, A. and Karim, M.A. 1997. Effect of sodium chloride on growth, photosynthesis and mineral ions accumulation of different types of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). *J. Agrc.* and Crop Sci.,179: 149-161.
- Khavari-Nejad, R.A. 1988. Photosynthetic and growth characteristics in NaClstressed *Tradescantia albiflora* L. *Photosynthetica* 22 (1): 116-120.
- Khosravinejad, F.; Heydari, R. and Farboodnia, T. 2009. Effect of salinity on organic solutes contents in barley. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.*, 12: 158-162.
- Koller, H.R.C. 1972. Leaf area leaf weight relationship in the soybean canopy. *Crop Sci.*, 12: 180-183.
- Lycoskoufis, I.H.; Savvas, D. and Mavrogianopoulos, G. 2005. Growth, gas exchange and nutrient status in pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) grown in recirculating nutrient solution as affected by salinity imposed to half of the root system. Scientia Horti., 106: 147-161.
- Marschner, H., 1995. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, second ed. *Academic Press, London.*
- Mishra, A. and Choudhuri, M.A. 1999. Effect of salicylic acid on heavy metalinduced membrane deterioration mediated by lipoxygenase in rice. *Biol. Plant.*, 42: 409-415.
- Mohamed, M.; Shibli, R.; Ajlouni, M. and Nimri, L. 1998. Tomato root and shoot responses to salt stress different levels of phosphorus nutrition. *J. Plant. Nutr.*, 21: 1667-1680.
- Munns, R. and Tester, M. 2008. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 59: 651-681.
- Nagodawithana, W.T. 1991. Yeast technology. Universal foods cooperation Milwauke, Wisconsin. *Published by Van Nostrand, New York,* pp. 273.
- Navarro, J.M.; Flores, P.; Garrido, C. and Martinez, V. 2006. Changes in the contents of antioxidant compounds in pepper fruits at different ripening stages, as affected by salinity. Food Chem., 96: 66-73.
- Neumann, P.M. 1997. Salinity resistance and plant growth. *Plant Cell and Environ.*, 20 (9): 1193-1198.
- Nijs, D. and Kelley, P.M. 1991. Vitamin C and E. donate single hydrogen atoms in vivo. FEBS Lett., 284: 147-151.
- Padh, H. 1990. Cellular function of ascorbic acid. *Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 68: 1270-1275.

- Rodriguez, A.A.; Cordoba, A.R.; Ortega, L. and Taleisnik, E. 2004. Decreased reactive oxygen species concentration in the elongation zone contributes to the reduction in maize leaf growth under salinity. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 41: 1383-1390.
- Roos, W. 2000. Ion mapping in plant cells-methods and applications in signal transduction research. *Planta*. 210: 347-370.
- Sakhabutdinova, A.R.; Fatkhutdinova, D.R.; Bezrukova, M.V. and Shakirova, F.M. 2003. Salicylic acid prevents the damaging action of stress factors on wheat plants. *Bulg. J. Plant Physiol.*, Special Issue 9-314.
- Schwabe, K.A.; Iddo, K. and Knap, K.C. 2006. Drain water management for salinity mitigation in irrigated agriculture. *Am. J. Agr. Ecol.*, 88: 133-140
- Shehata, S.A.M.; Saeed, M.A. and Abou EL-Nour, M.S. 2000. Physiological response of cotton plant to the foliar spray with salicylic acid. *Ann. Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ.* 45 (1): 1-18.
- Skoog, F. and Miller, C.O. 1957. Bilogical action of growth substance. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 195-200.
- Smalle, J. and Van Der Straeten, D. 1997. Ethylene and vegetative development. *Physiol. Plant.*, 100: 593-605.
- Smirnoff, N. 1996. The function and metabolism of ascorbic acid in plants. *Ann. of Bot.*, 78: 661-669.
- Tester, M. and Davenport, R. 2003. Na<sup>+</sup> tolerance and Na<sup>+</sup> transport in higher plants. *Ann. Bot., 91*: 503-527.
- Yadav, R.; Flowers, T.J. and Yeo, A.R. 1996. The involvement of transpirational bypass flow in sodium uptake by high and low sodium transporting lines of rice developed through intravarietal selection. *Plant cell and Environ.*, 19: 329-336.
- Younis, M.E.; El-Shahaby, O.A.; Nemat Ally, M.M. and El-Bastawisy Zeinab, M. 2003. Kinetin alleviates the influence of waterlogging and salinity in *Vigna sinensis* and *Zea mays. Agronome*, 23: 277-285.
- Zidan, I.; Azaizeh, H. and Neumann, P.M. 1990. Does salinity reduce growth in maize root epidermal cells by inhibiting their capacity for cell wall acidification? *Plant Physiol.*, 93: 7-11.

التغلب على التاثيرات الضارة لملوحة كلوريدى الصوديوم والكالسيوم على نمو نبات الفلفل الحلو باستخدام بعض الفيتامينات والمنظمات الحيوية ومستخلص الخميرة.

عرفه أحمد عرفه ، محمود عبد المنعم خفاجى ، عبد الله محمد أبو الخير ، رمضان عبد الله محمد أبو الخير ، ومضان عبد المنعم فوده ومصطفى فؤاد البنا قسم النبات الزراعى – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة – مصر

تمت هذه التجربة بهدف دراسة تأثير نقع بندور الفلفل الحلو في حمض الأسكوربيك أو الألفاتوكوفيرول أو حمض السالسليك أو مستخلص الخميرة تحت الظروف العادية أو ظروف الملوحة على نمو نبات الفلفل الحلو.

في معظم الحالات أدي التركيز المنخفض من الأملاح المختلفة (2000 جزء في المليون) إلى حدوث زيادة معنوية في صفات النمو (إرتفاع النبات، طول الجذر، السوزن الغض والجاف المجموع الخضري والجذري، وكذلك عدد الأوراق والمساحة الورقية) بعد 75 و90 يوم من النقع. كما لوحظ أن مخلوط الملحين كان الأكثر تأثيرا يليه ملح كلوريد الكالسيوم ثم كلوريد الصوديوم. وقد أدت زيادة تركيز الملوحة إلى 4000 جزء في المليون إلى نقص معظم صفات النمو. كما أدي نقع البذور إلى إحداث زيادة وتحسين صفات النمو. ولقد لوحظ أن حمض الأسكوربيك بتركيز 50 جزء في المليون أو حمدض السالسليك بتركيز 100 جزء في المليون أو الألفاتوكوفيرول بتركيز 100 جزء في المليون الأكثر تأثيرا.

# قام بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة كلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهره أ.د / سمير محمد عبد الجواد سلامهأ.د / محمد عبد العزيز نصار