

## **MAXIMIZING WHEAT YIELD UNDER N, K AND B FERTILIZATION**

**Gazia, E. A. E. and M. A. Abd EL Aziz**

**Soils, water and Environment Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Egypt.**

### **ABSTRACT**

Two field experiments were conducted at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, North Delta, Egypt during two successive growing seasons (2010-2011 and 2011-2012) to study the effect of nitrogen fertilization with and without K and B application on wheat yield in addition to evaluate quantitatively the response of wheat grain yield to fertilizer application using a polynomial quadratic equation. Five N levels (0, 30, 60, 90, and 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>) were applied as a main plots, with 2 levels of K (0 and 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O fed<sup>-1</sup>) as sub-plot, and B solution at 0.1% concentration sprayed after 70 days from sowing as sub-sub plots. The cultivated wheat variety was Sakha 69.

**The main results could be summarized as follows:**

- 1- Increasing N levels up to 90 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> led to a significant increase in the grain yield. Also, the grain yield was increased with potassium application in average by 2.5 %. Foliar application of boron resulted in increasing the grain yield in average by 2.48%.
- 2- The estimated values of the maximum N rate ( $x_{max}$ ) were 2.76, 2.83, 2.78 and 2.77 units of N fed<sup>-1</sup>. (one N unit = 30 kg) for the treatments of N only (A), N with B (B), N with K(C) and N with B and K(D), respectively. The estimated values of maximum wheat grain yield ( $Y_{max}$ ) (17.051, 17.586, 17.788 and 18.140 ardab fed<sup>-1</sup> for the four treatments, respectively) were increased by applying K and B fertilizers.
- 3- The economic optimum yield ( $Y_{opt}$ ) was obtained by applying the economic optimum rates ( $x_{opt}$ ). The values of  $x_{opt}$  were 2.63, 2.70, 2.65 and 2.64 N units fed<sup>-1</sup> for the four treatments, respectively. The values of  $Y_{opt}$  were 17.03, 17.57, 17.76 and 18.13 ardab fed<sup>-1</sup> for the previous mentioned treatments, respectively. The net returns of using N fertilizer were 2612.80, 2753.82, 2766.34 and 2865.10 LE fed<sup>-1</sup> for the four treatments, respectively. Hence, it could be concluded that the maximum and optimum wheat grain yield ( $Y_{max}$  and  $Y_{opt}$ ) were increased by applying K and B fertilizers.
- 4- Relative efficiency of applied N fertilizer ( $E_x$ ) with different treatments could be arranged in the following descending order: D > C > B > A. The highest efficiency of soil N ( $ex_s$ ) was obtained under treatment B. The highest value of soil N ( $x_s$ ) (1.486 unit fed<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with A treatment. The contribution of applied N fertilizer increased with increasing N level and the highest value of applied N fertilizer contribution was obtained under D treatment. Also, the contribution of soil N decreased with increasing N application level up to 120 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> ( $N_4$ ). The net return due to Boron spraying was 299.8 L.E fed<sup>-1</sup>.

Generally it could be concluded that increasing N level up to 90 kg/fed led to a significant increase in wheat grain yield. The maximum, optimum wheat grain yield ( $Y_{max}$  and  $Y_{opt}$ ), N use efficiency and the net return were increased by applying K and B fertilizers. Also the contribution of soil N decreased with increasing N application level up to 120 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>.

**Keywords:** Wheat yield response, N, K and B fertilization, optimum wheat yield, N Relative efficiency and net return.

## **INTRODUCTION**

In Egypt, wheat consumption surpasses its production. The imported amount of wheat is about 50% of its consumption. Consequently, increasing wheat production is a must to narrowing the gap between the production and demand. Proper fertilization program is a good way to achieve this goal. The optimum rate of N, K and B fertilization is one of the important tools to conserve soil properties and improve its productivity as well as getting the maximum wheat yield. Faizy *et al.* (2012) showed that the grain yield of wheat was increased significantly by increasing N levels and the highest grain yield (2.25 tons fed<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with 120 kg N/fed. Rashed (2011) and Allam (2005) stated that the highest wheat grain and straw yields were produced by applying 90 kg N/fed. Also, Shams El-Din and El-Habbak (1992) stated that the highest grain wheat yield and its components were produced by applying 80 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, grain yield of wheat increased by 8% with potassium application compared without K and each Kg K<sub>2</sub>O increased grain yield by 3.33Kg (Abd ELhadi, 2004). Haikel *et al.* (1996) found that raising potassium doses up to 24 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> (K<sub>2</sub>O) markedly increased the wheat grain yield by 1.97 ardab fed<sup>-1</sup>. El-Banna *et al.* (2004) and Magda and El-Mahgoby (2006) found that increasing the dose of K-fertilizer increased wheat grain yield and its components. Moreover, Saleh *et al.* (1982) stated that addition of N at any rate in combination with B at 1.2 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> caused significant increase in wheat yield and its yield components. Hanafy Ahmed *et al.*, (2008) stated that foliar application of boron to wheat (40 and 70 days after sowing) significantly increased shoots height and leaf area as well as grains yield/plant and weight of 1000 grains.

The objective of the current work is to study the effect of nitrogen fertilization with and without K and B application on wheat yield in addition to evaluate quantitatively the response of wheat yield to fertilizer application using a polynomial quadratic equation.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Two field experiments were conducted at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, North Delta, Egypt during two successive seasons (2010-2011 and 2011-2012) to study the effect of different N, K and B fertilization levels on wheat grain and straw yields. The experiment was conducted in split-split plot design with four replicates, using 5 N levels (0, 30, 60, 90, and 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> in urea form 46.5%) as a main plots, with 2 levels of K (0 and 50 kg K<sub>2</sub>O fed<sup>-1</sup> in K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> form) as sub plots and with B solution at 0.1% concentration (in boric acid form) sprayed after 70 days from sowing as sub-sub plots. Wheat variety Sakha 69 was planted on 9<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> of Dec. in the first and second seasons, respectively and all agronomic practices were conducted according to the standard recommendation for North Delta.

Surface soil samples (0-30cm depth) from experimental site were taken before planting in the two seasons and prepared for physical and chemical analysis. Soil salinity, anions and cations were determined according to Page

*et al.* (1982). Available N was determined according to Jackson (1967). Available P was determined according to Olsen *et al.*, (1954). Available K was determined according to Page *et al.* (1982). Particle size distribution of soil was carried out using the pipette method as described by Dewis and Fertias (1970). The soil characteristics before planting of the two seasons are presented in Table (1).

The crop yield was statistically analyzed according to procedures outlined by Cochran and Cox (1960).

**Table (1):Some soil physical and chemical properties of the experimental field (0 - 30 cm).**

| Season                 | Particle size distr. |       |       | Texture | Soluble cations meq/L |                  |                 |                | Soluble anions meq/L         |                               |                 |                              | Available ppm |      |     |      | EC dS/m | pH 1:2.5 | O M% | Total carbonate |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|---------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------|------|-----|------|---------|----------|------|-----------------|
|                        | Sand%                | Silt% | Clay% |         | Ca <sup>++</sup>      | Mg <sup>++</sup> | Na <sup>+</sup> | K <sup>+</sup> | CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> | HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> | Cl <sup>-</sup> | SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> | N             | P    | K   | B    |         |          |      |                 |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> season | 24.2                 | 31.3  | 44.5  | clay    | 5.5                   | 2.7              | 7.8             | 0.7            | -                            | 0.51                          | 5.03            | 11.11                        | 20            | 7.9  | 390 | 0.64 | 1.6     | 7.9      | 1.6  | 1.9             |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> season | 26.3                 | 28.4  | 45.3  | clay    | 6.6                   | 2.6              | 8.2             | 0.9            | -                            | 1.3                           | 4.85            | 11.10                        | 26            | 8.85 | 420 | 0.58 | 1.8     | 8.1      | 1.7  | 2.2             |

The polynomial quadratic equation has been used to evaluate the wheat yield response to different N application rates under two levels of both B and K. The mean values of experimental data in the two seasons were used to calculate the estimated values of B<sub>0</sub>, B<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>2</sub> of the following equation:

$$Y = B_0 + B_1 x + B_2 x^2$$

Where Y: the obtained wheat yield at the applied x N rate, B<sub>0</sub>: grain yield without N addition, B<sub>1</sub>: linear coefficient and B<sub>2</sub>: quadratic coefficient.

The maximum yield and the relative efficiency were calculated according to Capurro and Voss (1981). The maximum and optimum addition rate of N fertilizer  $x_{max}$  and  $x_{opt}$ , the optimum yield ( $Y_{opt}$ ) and the soil N content ( $x_s$ ) were calculated according to Balba (1989). The efficiency and contribution of soil nitrogen were calculated according to Balba and Shabanah (1971).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### I- Effect of N, K and B application on wheat yield:

#### A- Grain yield:

Data in Table (2) indicate that increasing N level up to 90 N fed<sup>-1</sup> led to increase wheat grain yield, but with N application rate above 90 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> a decrease in the grain yield is observed. The highest grain yield (17.98 and 18.26 ardab fed<sup>-1</sup>. for the first and second seasons, respectively) were obtained under 90 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> in the presence of K and B. These results are agreed with that obtained by Abd El-Gawad *et al.*, (1993), El-Leithi *et al.* (1996), Abou-Ahmed (1999), Allam (2005) and Rashed (2011).

Also, the results show that application of potassium increased the grain yield by 3 % and 2% over the control in the first and second season respectively. The effect of potassium on grain yield was more pronounced with 90 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>. Similar result was recorded by El-Leithi *et al.* (1996) and Faizy *et al.* (2012).

On the other hand, data indicted that foliar application of Boron resulted in increasing the grain yield by 3.48 % and 1.44 % over the control in the first and second seasons, respectively (in the presence of boron and absence of potassium). This increase in grain yield could be attributed to the ability of boron in affecting plant metabolism through controlling of hormonal level within plant tissues. Similar results were obtained by Thalooh *et al.* (1989) and Hanafy Ahmed *et al.*, (2008). However, the grain yield was increased by 5.77 % and 3.41 % in the first and second seasons, respectively with increasing N levels up to 90 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> in presence of K and B.

Table (2): Interaction effect of N, K and B levels application on wheat grain yield (ardab fed<sup>-1</sup>) in the two growing seasons:

| Treatments                |        | First season                               |   |  | Second season                               |       |       |       |
|---------------------------|--------|--|---|--|---|-------|-------|-------|
| N<br>Kg fed <sup>-1</sup> | B<br>% | 0 kg<br>K <sub>2</sub> O fed <sup>-1</sup> | 50 kg<br>K <sub>2</sub> O fed <sup>-1</sup> | 0 kg<br>K <sub>2</sub> O fed <sup>-1</sup> | 50 kg<br>K <sub>2</sub> O fed <sup>-1</sup> |       |       |       |
| 0                         | 0.0    | 9.75                                       | 10.05                                       | 10.33                                      | 10.53                                       |       |       |       |
| 30                        | 0.0    | 13.46                                      | 14.20                                       | 13.85                                      | 14.65                                       |       |       |       |
| 60                        | 0.0    | 16.53                                      | 16.96                                       | 16.92                                      | 17.64                                       |       |       |       |
| 90                        | 0.0    | 16.93                                      | 17.63                                       | 17.40                                      | 18.02                                       |       |       |       |
| 120                       | 0.0    | 14.95                                      | 15.81                                       | 16.01                                      | 16.67                                       |       |       |       |
| 0                         | 0.1    | 9.87                                       | 10.13                                       | 10.41                                      | 10.62                                       |       |       |       |
| 30                        | 0.1    | 14.00                                      | 14.48                                       | 14.15                                      | 15.05                                       |       |       |       |
| 60                        | 0.1    | 17.00                                      | 17.40                                       | 17.35                                      | 17.90                                       |       |       |       |
| 90                        | 0.1    | 17.52                                      | 17.98                                       | 17.65                                      | 18.26                                       |       |       |       |
| 120                       | 0.1    | 15.60                                      | 16.13                                       | 16.86                                      | 16.94                                       |       |       |       |
| Interactions              | L.S.D  | N  | K   | B  | NK  | NB    | KB    | NKB   |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> season    | 5%     | **   | **  | **   | **  | **    | **    | **    |
|                           |        |  |   |  | 0.034                                       | 0.046 | 0.029 | 0.065 |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> season    | 5%     | **   | **  | **   | **  | **    | **    | **    |
|                           |        |  |   |  | 0.048                                       | 0.032 | 0.020 | 0.046 |

**B- Straw yield (ton/fed):**

Data in Table (3) show that the increase of N levels up to 120 kg/fed. led to increase the straw yield by 37 % over the control. In the first season, the highest straw yield value (4.75 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained under 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> in presence of both K and B. While in the second season, the highest value (4.32 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with 90 kg N/fed in presence of both K and B. These results are in good agreement with that obtained by Rady and Abou-Al-Zahab (1990), Allam (2005) and Rashed (2011).

**Table (3): Interaction effect of N, K and B levels application on wheat straw yield (ton/fed.) in the two growing seasons:**

| Treatments                |        | First season                               |   |  | Second season                               |       |       |       |
|---------------------------|--------|--|---|--|---|-------|-------|-------|
| N<br>Kg fed <sup>-1</sup> | B<br>% | 0 kg<br>K <sub>2</sub> O fed <sup>-1</sup> | 50 kg<br>K <sub>2</sub> O fed <sup>-1</sup> | 0 kg<br>K <sub>2</sub> O fed <sup>-1</sup> | 50 kg<br>K <sub>2</sub> O fed <sup>-1</sup> |       |       |       |
| 0                         | 0.0    | 3.27                                       | 3.29  | 3.04                                       | 3.09  |       |       |       |
| 30                        | 0.0    | 3.64                                       | 3.69  | 3.30                                       | 3.37  |       |       |       |
| 60                        | 0.0    | 3.92                                       | 4.00  | 3.60                                       | 3.64  |       |       |       |
| 90                        | 0.0    | 4.35                                       | 4.53  | 4.06                                       | 4.20  |       |       |       |
| 120                       | 0.0    | 4.48                                       | 4.57  | 3.97                                       | 4.00  |       |       |       |
| 0                         | 0.1    | 3.28                                       | 3.30  | 3.07                                       | 3.11  |       |       |       |
| 30                        | 0.1    | 3.75                                       | 3.76  | 3.41                                       | 3.43  |       |       |       |
| 60                        | 0.1    | 4.10                                       | 4.15  | 3.67                                       | 3.74  |       |       |       |
| 90                        | 0.1    | 4.55                                       | 4.70  | 4.15                                       | 4.32  |       |       |       |
| 120                       | 0.1    | 4.70                                       | 4.75  | 4.11                                       | 4.20  |       |       |       |
| Interactions              | L.S.D  | N  | K   | B  | NK  | NB    | KB    | NKB   |
| 1 <sup>st</sup> season    | 5%     | **   | **  | **   | **  | **    | **    | N.S   |
|                           |        |  |   |  | 0.034                                       | 0.018 | 0.011 | N.S   |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> season    | 5%     | **   | **  | **   | **  | **    | N.S   | **    |
|                           |        |  |   |  | 0.017                                       | 0.016 | N.S   | 0.023 |

**II- Quantitative Analysis:**

The polynomial quadratic equations were established to express the response of wheat grains yield to N application with and without application of K or B as shown in Table(4).

**Table (4): The polynomial equations expressing wheat grain yield at different treatments (means of two seasons).**

| Treatment        | The polynomial quadratic equations<br>( $Y = B_0 + B_1 x + B_2 x^2$ )        |
|------------------|--|
| A N only         | $Y_N = 9.845 + 5.219 x - 0.945 x^2$ , $R^2 = 0.9753$ (1)                     |
| B N with B       | $Y_{NB} = 10.012 + 5.357 x - 0.9471 x^2$ , $R^2 = 0.9812$ (2)                |
| C N with K       | $Y_{NK} = 10.186 + 5.47 x - 0.985 x^2$ , $R^2 = 0.9855$ (3)                  |
| D N with B and K | $Y_{NB \text{ and } K} = 10.302 + 5.6718 x - 1.026 x^2$ , $R^2 = 0.9889$ (4) |

Where Y: the obtained wheat yield at the applied x N rate, B<sub>0</sub>: grain yield without N, B<sub>1</sub>: linear coefficient and B<sub>2</sub>: quadratic coefficient.

**1 – The maximum N rate (x<sub>max</sub>):**

The maximum N rate (x<sub>max</sub>) for each treatment is calculated according the following relation derived from the equations 1 – 4 according to Balba (1961) as follow:

$$x_{max} = -B_1 / 2 B_2$$

The estimated values of the maximum N rate ( $x_{max}$ ) are 2.76, 2.83, 2.78 and 2.77 units of N fed<sup>-1</sup> for A, B, C and D treatments, respectively (one N unit = 30 kg) as shown in Table (5).

**Table (5): The maximum N rate ( $x_{max}$ ), the corresponding maximum yield ( $Y_{max}$ ) and grain yield with 75 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> as affected by different rates of N, B and K.**

| Treatment        | $x_{max}$<br>(unit fed <sup>-1</sup> ) | $Y_{max}$<br>(ardab fed <sup>-1</sup> ) | Grain yield at 75* (2.5 unit)<br>kg N fed <sup>-1</sup><br>(ardab fed <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|------------------|--|---|---|
| A N only         | 2.761                                  | 17.051                                  | 16.986  |
| B N with B       | 2.828                                  | 17.586                                  | 17.484  |
| C N with K       | 2.777                                  | 17.788                                  | 17.705  |
| D N with B and K | 2.765                                  | 18.140                                  | 18.068  |

\* The recommended dose according to Ministry of Agric. in North Delta.

### 2 – The maximum wheat grain yield ( $Y_{max}$ ):

The maximum wheat grain yield ( $Y_{max}$ ) for each treatment were calculated according to the following relation derived from the equations 1 – 4 according to Capurro and Voss, (1981) as follow:

$$Y_{max} = B_0 - (B_1^2 / 4 B_2)$$

The estimated values of maximum wheat grain yield ( $Y_{max}$ ) are given in Table (6).

### 3 – The economic optimum yield ( $Y_{opt}$ ):

The optimum rate of N fertilizer applied are calculated by differentiating "Y" in the polynomial equations 1-4 with respect to "x" ( $dy/dx$ ) and then equating this derivative with the relative price (the ratio of the fertilizer unit price) to grain yield unit price. This relation can be derived as follows:

$$dy/dx = B_1 - 2B_2 x$$

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = B_1 - 2B_2 x = \frac{\text{N fertilizer unit price}}{\text{Wheat grain unit price}}$$

$$x_{opt} = \frac{1}{2B_2} \left( B_1 - \frac{\text{N fertilizer unit price}}{\text{Wheat grain unit price}} \right)$$

**Table (6): The calculated wheat grain yield (ardab fed<sup>-1</sup>) as affected by different rates of N with /without B and K.**

| Treatment        | calculated wheat grain yield (ardab/fed)   |   |   |   |  |
|------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
|                  | N <sub>0</sub><br>0 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> | N <sub>1</sub><br>30 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> | N <sub>2</sub><br>60 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> | N <sub>3</sub><br>90 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> | N <sub>4</sub><br>120 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> |
| A N only         | 9.845                                      | 14.119                                      | 16.503                                      | 16.997                                      | 15.601                                       |
| B N with B       | 10.012                                     | 14.42                                       | 16.94                                       | 17.56                                       | 16.29  |
| C N with K       | 10.186                                     | 14.67                                       | 17.18                                       | 17.73                                       | 16.31  |
| D N with B and K | 10.302                                     | 14.95                                       | 17.52                                       | 18.09                                       | 16.57  |

The economic optimum yield ( $Y_{opt}$ ) obtained by applying the economic rates ( $X_{opt}$ ).  $X_{opt}$  and  $Y_{opt}$  are presented in Table (7). The values of  $X_{opt}$  are 2.63, 2.70, 2.65 and 2.64 units of N fed<sup>-1</sup> for the previous mentioned treatments, respectively. The values of  $Y_{opt}$  are 17.03, 17.57, 17.76 and 18.13 ardab fed<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Generally, it could be concluded that the maximum ( $Y_{max}$ ) and optimum ( $Y_{opt}$ ) wheat grain yield are increased by applying K and B.

**4 – The predicted return from applying optimum rates:**

The predicted return per feddan due to applying the optimum N rate for A, B, C and D treatments are presented in Table (7). The highest value of net return was belonging to N application with B and K. The values of the net return could be arranged in the following descending order: D > C > B > A.

**Table (7): Economic optimum N rate ( $x_{opt}$ ), optimum yield ( $Y_{opt}$ ) and net return of grain yield of wheat as affected by different treatments (mean of two seasons).**

| Treatment | ( $x_{opt}$ )<br>(unit fed <sup>-1</sup> ) | ( $Y_{opt}$ )<br>(ardab fed <sup>-1</sup> ) | Fert. Price<br>(LE unit <sup>-1</sup> ) | U P W<br>(LE ardab <sup>-1</sup> ) | T V W<br>(LE fed <sup>-1</sup> ) | T V W C<br>(LE fed <sup>-1</sup> ) | G R F<br>(LE fed <sup>-1</sup> ) | F C<br>(LE fed <sup>-1</sup> ) | N R F<br>(LE fed <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|-----------|--|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| A         | 2.63                                       | 17.03                                       | 100                                     | 400                                | 6813.80                          | 3938.0                             | 2875.80                          | 263                            | 2612.80                          |
| B         | 2.70                                       | 17.57                                       | 100                                     | 400                                | 7028.62                          | 4004.8                             | 3023.82                          | 270                            | 2753.82                          |
| C         | 2.65                                       | 17.76                                       | 100                                     | 400                                | 7105.74                          | 4074.4                             | 3031.34                          | 265                            | 2766.34                          |
| D         | 2.64                                       | 18.13                                       | 100                                     | 400                                | 7249.90                          | 4120.8                             | 3129.10                          | 264                            | 2865.10                          |

Where:

U P W = unit price of wheat grain (LE ardab<sup>-1</sup>).

T V W = Total value of wheat grain (LE fed<sup>-1</sup>).

T V W C = Total value of wheat grain at control treatment (LE fed<sup>-1</sup>).

G R F = Gross return of fertilizer (LE fed<sup>-1</sup>).

F C = Fertilizer cost (LE fed<sup>-1</sup>), N R F = Net return of fertilizer (LE fed<sup>-1</sup>).

**5 – The efficiency of applied N fertilizer ( $E_x$ ):**

The efficiency of any fertilizer is the amount of yield produced by the fertilizer unit. The relative efficiency of fertilizer at each increment is calculated by Cappuro and Voss (1981) according the following equation:

$$E_x = \frac{1}{10} * (B_1^2 - 4*B_0*B_2)^{1/2}$$

Where :  $E_x$  = Relative efficiency index,  $B_0$  = grain yield without N,  $B_1$  = linear coefficient and  $B_2$  = quadratic coefficient.

Data in Table (8) shows that the values of N fertilizer efficiency ( $E_x$ ) are increased by applying K and B. The values of  $E_x$  could be arranged in the following descending order: D > C > B > A.

**Table (8): The relative efficiency of N fertilizer ( $E_x$ ), efficiency of soil N ( $ex_s$ ) and soil N ( $x_s$ ) as affected by different treatments (mean of two seasons).**

| Treatment | $E_x$ | $ex_s$ (ardab/unit/fed.) | $x_s$ (unit/fed.) |
|-----------|-------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| A         | 0.803 | 7.410                    | 1.486             |
| B         | 0.816 | 7.539                    | 1.481             |
| C         | 0.837 | 7.133                    | 1.472             |
| D         | 0.863 | 6.788                    | 1.441             |

**6 – Efficiency of soil N ( $ex_s$ ):**

In equations 1- 4 the first term  $B_0$  represent the yield obtained without N fertilizer application. Efficiency of soil N could be calculated by dividing  $B_0$  by  $K_2SO_4$ -extractable soil N as representative to soil N according to Balba and Shabanah (1971). Data in Table (8) show that the highest efficiency of soil N (7.539) is obtained under B treatment (N with B). Efficiency of soil N with different combinations could be arranged dissentingly as follows:  $B > A > C > D$ .

**7 – The soil N ( $x_s$ ):**

The equation  $Y = B_0 + B_1x - B_2x^2$  could be used to calculate soil N. substituting the appropriate values for  $B_0$ ,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  in the polynomial equation resulted in the value of  $x$ , which is the soil N as no fertilizer is present when  $Y=0$  (Balba, 1989). Table (8) shows the values of soil N ( $x_s$ ). The highest value of  $x_s$  (1.486 unit fed<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with A treatment. The values of  $x_s$  could be arranged descendingly as follows:  $A > B > C > D$ .

**8 - Contribution of soil-N and fertilizer -N in grain yield:**

The polynomial equations 1-4 show that the yield "Y" consists of two components, namely: yield produced by soil-N and that produced by the applied N-fertilizer. The yield produced by N-fertilizer alone is the difference between the yields produced with and without fertilizer application. The values of  $x_s$  were used to calculate the contribution of soil N and added N-fertilizer in grain yield as shown in Table (9) according to the following formulas:

$$\text{Contribution of soil N} = \frac{x_s}{x_s + x_f} \cdot \text{Calculated yield}$$

$$\text{Contribution of applied N} = \frac{x_f}{x_s + x_f} \cdot \text{Calculated yield}$$

Where:  $x_s$  and  $x_f$  are soil N and applied N, respectively.

It could be concluded from the data that the fraction of soil N was decreased when the fraction of applied N fertilizer increased at the same ratio. Also, the contribution of N fertilizer was increased with increasing N application level. The highest contribution values of applied N fertilizer were



obtained under D treatment. In addition, data show that the contribution of soil N was decreased with increasing N application levels from N<sub>0</sub> to N<sub>4</sub>.

**Table (9): Contribution fraction and contribution of soil and applied N fertilizer to grain yield at different treatments (mean of the two seasons)**

| Treatment |                | Applied N             |   | Soil N                |   |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
|           |                | Contribution fraction | Contribution (ardab fed <sup>-1</sup> ) | Contribution fraction | Contribution (ardab fed <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| A         | N <sub>0</sub> | 0.000                 | 0.000                                   | 1.000                 | 9.845                                   |
|           | N <sub>1</sub> | 0.402                 | 5.676                                   | 0.598                 | 8.443                                   |
|           | N <sub>2</sub> | 0.574                 | 9.473                                   | 0.426                 | 7.030                                   |
|           | N <sub>3</sub> | 0.669                 | 11.371                                  | 0.331                 | 5.626                                   |
|           | N <sub>4</sub> | 0.729                 | 11.373                                  | 0.271                 | 4.228                                   |
| B         | N <sub>0</sub> | 0.000                 | 0.000                                   | 1.000                 | 10.012                                  |
|           | N <sub>1</sub> | 0.403                 | 5.812                                   | 0.597                 | 8.610                                   |
|           | N <sub>2</sub> | 0.575                 | 9.739                                   | 0.425                 | 7.198                                   |
|           | N <sub>3</sub> | 0.669                 | 11.747                                  | 0.331                 | 5.812                                   |
|           | N <sub>4</sub> | 0.730                 | 11.889                                  | 0.270                 | 4.397                                   |
| C         | N <sub>0</sub> | 0.000                 | 0.000                                   | 1.000                 | 10.019                                  |
|           | N <sub>1</sub> | 0.405                 | 5.874                                   | 0.595                 | 8.630                                   |
|           | N <sub>2</sub> | 0.576                 | 9.803                                   | 0.424                 | 7.216                                   |
|           | N <sub>3</sub> | 0.671                 | 11.785                                  | 0.329                 | 5.778                                   |
|           | N <sub>4</sub> | 0.731                 | 11.797                                  | 0.269                 | 4.341                                   |
| D         | N <sub>0</sub> | 0.000                 | 0.000                                   | 1.000                 | 10.302                                  |
|           | N <sub>1</sub> | 0.410                 | 6.129                                   | 0.590                 | 8.819                                   |
|           | N <sub>2</sub> | 0.581                 | 10.192                                  | 0.419                 | 7.350                                   |
|           | N <sub>3</sub> | 0.676                 | 12.224                                  | 0.324                 | 5.859                                   |
|           | N <sub>4</sub> | 0.735                 | 12.181                                  | 0.265                 | 4.392                                   |

**9 – Feasibility analysis of Boron fertilization:**

Table (10) show that spraying boron led to increase grain and straw yields of wheat by 0.6 ardab fed<sup>-1</sup> and 0.6 heml fed<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The increase in wheat yield resulted in increase in return by about 360 L.E fed<sup>-1</sup> (grain and straw wheat price in 2011 was 400 L.E. ardab<sup>-1</sup> and 200 L.E heml<sup>-1</sup> straw, respectively). On the other hand increase in the cost of Boron foliar spraying was 60.2 L.E fed<sup>-1</sup>. So, the net return due to Boron spraying was 299.8 L.E fed<sup>-1</sup>.

**Table (10) :Feasibility analysis of Boron fertilization**

| Return&cost        | Item   | Quantity       | Price (L.E/unit) | Value(I.E) |
|--------------------|--------|----------------|------------------|------------|
| Increase in Return | Grain  | 0.6 ardab/fed. | 400.0            | 240.0      |
|                    | Straw  | 0.6 heml/fed.  | 200.0            | 120.0      |
| Total return       |        |                |                  | 360.0      |
| Increase in cost   | N      |                |                  | 10.2       |
|                    | B      |                |                  | 10         |
|                    | Labour |                |                  | 40         |
| Total cost         |        |                |                  | 60.2       |
| Net return         |        |                |                  | 299.8      |

\* heml of straw wheat = 250 kg.

Generally it could be concluded that increasing N level up to 90 kg/fed led to a significant increase in wheat grain yield. The maximum, optimum wheat grain yield ( $Y_{max}$  and  $Y_{opt}$ ), N use efficiency and the net return were increased by applying K and B fertilizers. Also the contribution of soil N decreased with increasing N application level up to 120 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>.

## REFERENCES

- Abd El-Gawad; N. A. Nour El-Din; M. A. Ashoub and M.A. Kashabah (1993). Studies on consumptive use and irrigation scheduling in relation to nitrogen fertilization on wheat. *Annals Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ., Cairo*. 38(1): 173-181.
- Abd ELhadi, A.H (2004). IPI regional workshop on Potassium and Fertigation development in West Asia and North Africa; Rabat, Morocco, 24-28 November,(2004) Country Report on Egyptian Agric., Soils, water, Environment Res. Insitute, A.R.C.-Giza-Egypt.
- Abou-Ahmed, I. (1999). Effect of water stress and nitrogen fertilization on wheat production. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 24(8): 4327-4337.
- Allam, S. A. (2005) Growth and productivity performance of some wheat cultivars under various nitrogen fertilization levels. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 30(4): 1871-1880.
- Balba, A. M. (1961). Quantitative soil plant relationships through mathematical and radioactive techniques. *Alex. J. Agric. Sci.* 11:109. (C. F. El-Shebiny and Badr, 1998).
- Balba, A. M. (1989). Quantitative plant relations with nutrients. *Adv. Soil & Water Res. In Alex.* Pp 8-9. (C. F. El-Shebiny and Badr, 1988).
- Balba, A. M. and Shabanah (1971). The efficiency of different nitrogen forms as tested by barley seedings. *Soil Sci.*:365-368. (C. F. El-Shebiny and Badr, 1988).
- Capurro, E and R. Voss (1981). An index of nutrient efficiency and its application to corn yield response to fertilizer N. 1. Derivation, estimation and application. *Agronomy J.* 73:128-135.
- Cochran, W. G. and G. M. Cox (1960). *Experimental Designs*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. John Welly, New York, 293-316.
- Dewise, J. and F. Fertias (1970). *Physical and Chemical Methods of Soil and Water Analysis*. Soils Bulltien No. 10 FAO. Rome.
- El-Banna, I. M. M.; T. A. Abou El-Defan; M. M. I. Selem and T. A. El-Maghraby (2004) Potassium fertilization and soil amendmets interactions and their effects on wheat irrigated with different water qualities. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 29(10):5953-5963.
- El-Leithi, A.A; K.M. Sayed and M.S. Elyamani (1996). Influence of different levels of N,K and Zn fertilization on wheat yield and chemical composition in salt affected soil. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 21(10): 3735-3741.
- Faizy, S. E. A. ; M. M. RizK ; E. A. E. Gazia and M. M. Amer (2012). Effect of N, K and N application time on yield and uptake of wheat plants at North Delta. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 3(8): 791 – 805.

- Haikel, M. A.; A. M. Abd El-All and K. El-Habbak (1996). Effect of seeding methods and potassium fertilization levels on the production of wheat under sandy soil conditions. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.* 21(4):1241-1252.
- Hanafy Ahmed, A.H., E.M. Harb, M.A. Higazy and Sh.H. Morgan (2008). Effect of Silicon and Boron Foliar Applications on Wheat Plants Grown under Saline Soil Conditions. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, 3: 1-26.
- Jackson, M.L. (1967). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Ltd. New Delhi.
- Magda, A. H. and G. M. A. El-Mahgoby (2006). Effect of potassium fertilization and soil moisture content on wheat grown in a high testing calcareous soil. *J. Adv. Agric. Res. (Fac. Agric. Saba Basha)*. Vo. 11(11):23-37.
- Olsen, S.R.; C. V. Cole; F. S. Watenable and L. A. Dean (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate U. S. Dept., *Agric. Circ.*, 939.
- Page, A.L.; R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (1982). *Methods of Soil Analysis*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Inc., Madison, USA.
- Rady, M.A. and A. Abo El-Zahab (1990). Phytoclimatological studies on wheat varieties under different nitrogen levels. *J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ.*, 16(4):664-681.
- Rashed, Sahar H. (2011). Maximizing Irrigation and fertilization use efficiency for some field crops under different irrigation systems. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ.
- Saleh, S. A.; F. I. El-Bastawesy, M. S. Khadr and A. H. Abd El-Hady (1982). Nitrogen and boron effects on growth and yield components of wheat plants. *Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor*. 17:37-50.
- Shams El-Din, G. M. and K. E. El-Habbak (1992). Response of some wheat varieties to nitrogen fertilizer rates. *Annals. Agric. Sci. Ain Shams Univ., Cairo*. 37S(1):61-68.
- Thalooth, A.T.; T. A. Nour; T.A. Behairy; M.O. Kabesh and N. I. Ashour (1989). Effect of NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub> fertilization and foliar spraying with boron on growth and yield of wheat plants. *Annals Agric. Sci. Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ. Cairo, Egypt.* (34(1):113-123.

## تعظيم محصول القمح تحت التسميد الآزوتي والبوتاسي والرش بالبورون السيد عامر السيد جازية و محمد أحمد عبدالعزيز معهد بحوث الاراضي والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية

إن الكمية المستهلكة من القمح في مصر تفوق الكمية المنتجة منه بكثير، فالكمية المستوردة من القمح تمثل حوالي ٥٠% من الاستهلاك لذلك فإن زيادة إنتاجية القمح هدف هام للغاية يجب أن نسعى لتحقيقه بكل السبل والوسائل. ومن هذه الوسائل هو تعظيم الاستفادة من الوحدات السمادية من خلال التسميد المتوازن. ويهدف هذا البحث إلى دراسة تأثير التسميد بمستويات مختلفة من السماد النتروجيني والتسميد البوتاسي والرش بالبورون على محصول القمح (صنف سخا ٦٩) وكذلك استخدام بعض العلاقات الكمية لتقييم مدى استجابة محصول القمح للتسميد.

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا - كفر الشيخ خلال موسم النمو ٢٠١١-٢٠١٠ و ٢٠١٢-٢٠١١ حيث استخدم التصميم الاحصائي في قطع منشقة مرتين بأربعة مكررات وكانت القطع الرئيسية تمثل التسميد النتروجيني بخمسة معدلات (صفر، ٣٠، ٦٠، ٩٠، ١٢٠ كجم نتروجين/ فدان في صورة يوريا ٤٦.٥% ن) وتمثل القطع المنشقة الأولى التسميد البوتاسي بمعدلين (صفر، ٥٠ كجم/ فدان في صورة كبريتات بوتاسيوم ٤٨.٥%) وتمثل القطع المنشقة الثانية الرش بالبورون بمعدلين (صفر، ٠.١% في صورة حمض بوريك).  
ومن أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها ما يلي:

(١) ازداد محصول الحبوب زيادة معنوية نتيجة زيادة التسميد النتروجيني من ٠ حتى ٩٠ كجم/ فدان. وكذلك ازداد محصول الحبوب نتيجة التسميد البوتاسي بحوالي ٢.٥%. وقد أدى الرش بالبورون الى زيادة محصول الحبوب بنسبة حوالي ٢.٤٨%.

(٢) بلغت القيمة المقدرة لمعدل التسميد النتروجيني الأعظم ٢.٧٦، ٢.٨٣، ٢.٧٨، ٢.٧٧ وحدة N / فدان (وحدة N = ٣٠ كجم N) للمعاملات الأربعة: A (نيتروجين فقط)، B (تسميد نيتروجيني مع بورون)، C (تسميد نيتروجيني مع بوتاسيوم) و D (تسميد نيتروجيني مع بورون وبوتاسيوم) على الترتيب. وبلغ المحصول الأعظم المقدر لهذه المعاملات الأربعة ١٧.٠٥١، ١٧.٥٨٦، ١٧.٧٨٨ و ١٨.١٤٠ أردب للفدان على الترتيب.

(٣) بلغ المحصول الاقتصادي الأمثل ١٧.٠٣، ١٧.٥٧، ١٧.٧٦ و ١٨.١٣ أردب/ فدان للمعاملات الأربعة على الترتيب، وهذا المحصول يمكن الحصول عليه بإضافة المعدل الاقتصادي الأمثل من التسميد النتروجيني والذي يقدر ب ٢.٦٣، ٢.٧٠، ٢.٦٥ و ٢.٦٤ وحدة N/ فدان. وبلغ صافي العائد لهذه المعاملات الأربعة ٢٦١٢.٨٠، ٢٧٥٣.٨٢، ٢٧٦٦.٣٤ و ٢٨٦٥.١٠ جنيه/ فدان على الترتيب. من ذلك يتضح زيادة المحصول الأعظم والامتل للقمح بإضافة التسميد البوتاسي والبورون. وأن كفاءة التسميد النتروجيني تزداد في حالة التسميد البوتاسي والبورون عنها في حالة عدم استخدامهما.

(٤) يمكن ترتيب كفاءة استخدام التسميد النتروجيني تنازليا كما يلي:  $D < C < B < A$  وكانت أعلى كفاءة لنيتروجين التربة مع المعاملة B وأعلى قيمة مقدرة لنيتروجين التربة مع المعاملة A. وجد أن إسهام التسميد النتروجيني قد ازداد بزيادة معدلات النيتروجين المضاف وقد امكن الحصول على أعلى قيمة للإسهام تحت معاملة التسميد النتروجيني مع البوتاسيوم و البورون (المعاملة D). كذلك وجد أن إسهام نيتروجين التربة قد تتاخص بزيادة معدلات اضافة التسميد النتروجيني. هذا وقد بلغ صافي العائد من استخدام التسميد بالبورون ٢٩٩.٨ جنيه مصري/ فدان.

الاستنتاج العام: يمكن بصفة عامة استنتاج أن زيادة معدل التسميد النتروجيني حتى ٩٠ كجم/ فدان يعمل على زيادة محصول حبوب القمح زيادة معنوية وان المحصول الأعظم و الأمثل للقمح وكذلك كفاءة استخدام التسميد النتروجيني و صافي العائد يزداد بإضافة التسميد البوتاسي والبورون عنها في حالة عدم استخدامهما. كذلك أظهرت البيانات أن إسهام نيتروجين التربة قد تتاخص بزيادة معدلات التسميد النتروجيني

قام بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة  
مركز البحوث الزراعية

أ.د / ايمن محمد الغمري  
أ.د / السيد حماد عمر