Diallel Analysis for Physiological Traits and Grain Yield of Seven White Maize Inbred Lines

S. Th. M. Mousa
Maize Research Section, FCRI, Ismailia ARS, ARC, Egypt

Received on: 12/11/2013 *Accepted: 29/1/2014*

ABSTRACT

Diallel cross among seven diverse inbred lines of maize were evaluated for general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability effects during 2011. Twenty one F_1's and two standard white check (SC10 and SC128) were evaluated at Ismailia and Mallawy Research Stations using a randomized complete block design with four replications during the summer season of 2012. The combined analysis of variance was performed for data on days to 50% silking (DS), plant height (PH), ear height (EH), ear position% (EP), o. of rows/ear (RE), no. of grains/row (GR) and grain yield ard/fad. (GY). Estimation of combining ability effects were determined according to Griffing (1956) Method-4 Model-I (For simplest, the all data were calculated over means of two locations).

Significant differences were found for genotypes and genotypes x location for all traits, except for RE and GR. Genotypes x location interaction was significant for all studied traits, except for RE and GR. Both additive and non-additive gene action were found to be important in controlling all studied traits. However, the non-additive gene action was more important than the additive gene action, suggesting that non-additive genetic effects played an effective role in the inheritance of all studied traits, except GY. Moreover, the magnitude of SCA x location interaction was larger than GCA x locations for all traits. Inbred line had favorable alleles for GCA effects were; P_3 for SD, EH, ELA, Chl-a, GR and GY and, inbred lines P_5 for ELA, RE, GY. Lines P_1 and P_2 had positive and significant GCA values for Chl and GY. The most superior inbred lines were P_3 and P_5 which were recommended for developing new white maize hybrids. The most superior SCA effects, were recorded for crosses (P_1 x P_2) for, ELA and GY; cross (P_2 x P_4) for ELA, GR and GY and crosses (P_3 x P_7 and P_4 x P_5) for DS, ELA, Chl-a, GR and GY. Crosses of superiority over checks in grain yield and most traits under study were; P_1 x P_2, P_1 x P_4, P_1 x P_5, P_3 x P_5 and P_4 x P_5.

Key words: maize, G. C. A., S. C. A., genetic variability, physiological, yield traits.

INTRODUCTION

Diallel mating design has utility as a method to analyze crosses or parents with crosses for general combining ability (GCA) due to additive type of gene action and specific combining ability (SCA) (Griffing, 1956). The method of diallel crosses has been widely used in genetic research to investigate the inheritance of important traits among a set of genotypes (Yan and Hunt, 2002). This design provides information about the components of genetic control, helps the breeder in the selection of desirable parents for crossing programs, and in deciding a suitable breeding procedure for genetic improvement of various quantitative traits [Jinks and Hayman (1963), Walters and Morton (1978) and Rezael *et al.* (2004)].

Studies of a genetic nature and modes of inheritance of physiological characters; ear leaf area and chlorophyll a and b, grain yield and yield contributing characters, are very important in maize breeding. Grain yield is an important, but very complex trait, whose expression is determined by several major or minor genes that are influenced by environmental conditions, which a diurnally mask their action and makes its study more difficult. Selection for general combining ability for physiological characters can be done on the basis of inbred performance per se. selection for increased physiological traits among inbred lines might result in an increased grain yield in the F1 hybrids (Johnson, 1974). Simplest explanation is the presence of more loci having a dominant or partially dominant gene in the hybrid than in lines for chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b contents (Fleming and Palmer, 1975). Furthermore, the performance of a hybrid is related to specific combining ability of the inbred lines involved in the cross; therefore, the production of single cross hybrid necessitates selecting suitable parental lines and the best cross combinations for further use. Both additive and non-additive genetic effects were significant in the genetic expression of maize ear leaf area, chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b, grain yield and yield contributing characters [Johnson, 1974, Mousa, 1997, Iqbal *et al.* 2007, Akbar *et al.* 2008, Hefny, 2010 and Mousa *et al.* 2012].

The present study was carried-out to study the combining abilities and their interactions with location of some promising inbred lines. Identifying promising hybrids for yielding ability with suitable physiological and agronomic traits was another goal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials: Seven white inbred lines of corn (Zea mays L.) were used in this study. Five inbred lines of them were newly developed at Ismailia Agricultural Research Station and were isolated from different populations. The other lines were the commercial inbred lines Sids-34 and Giza-628. The pedigree and origin of the seven inbred lines were illustrated in Table (1).

Field experiments: All possible cross combinations excluding the reciprocals were made among the seven inbred lines by hand method giving a total of 21 crosses at Ismailia Agricultural Research Station in 2011 summer season. The resultant 21 single crosses in addition to two white commercial hybrids SC10 and SC128 were evaluated in a randomized complete block design experiment with four replications under two different locations i.e., Ismailia and Mallawy Agric. Res. Stns. in 2012 summer season. Each experimental plot consisted of one row six meters long and 80 cm apart. Hills were spaced 25 cm with three kernels per hill. The seedling was thinned to one plant per hill. All other agricultural practices were carried out according to the standard commercial recommendation for maize at each location.

Collected data:

(A): Field data: Data were recorded for days to 50% silking (DS), plant height (PH), ear height (EH), ear position% (EP), number of rows/ear (RE), number of grains/row (GR) and grain yield per plot which was converted to ardab/feddan (GY), adjusted to 15.5% moisture.

(B)- Laboratory determinations:

1- Ear leaf area (ELA) according Montgomery (1911): leaf length x maximum leaf width x 0.75 cm^2.

2- Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) and Chlorophyll-b (Chl-b).

The content of Chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) and Chlorophyll-b (Chl-b) were assessed at flowering stage. Sample was taken from ear leaf including ten disks of 0.5 cm^2. Using the method outlined by (Moran and Porath, 1980; Moran, 1982 and Rabie *et al*, 1997).

Statistical analysis:

Data were analyzed across two locations after testing the homogeneity of error mean squares according to Snedecore and Cochran, 1967. Combining ability variances; general combining ability (GCA), specific combining ability (SCA) and effects and their interaction with locations were calculated according to the method-4 model-1 (Fixed model) of Griffing, 1956. Hybrids effect considered fixed. While, location effect considered random.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A- Combined analysis of variance:

Combined analysis of variance for ten studied traits (Table-2) revealed highly significant differences among locations for most traits i.e.; DS, PH, EH, EP, Chl-a, RE, GR and GY. Mean squares due to crosses (C) and crosses x locations (C x L) were found significant or highly significant for all studied traits, except for RE and GR. This result indicated wide genetic diversity between the studied materials which obviously were effected by change in environmental conditions. These results are supported by Abdel-Sattar *et al.* (1999), Amer (2002), Aly and Mousa (2011) and Mousa *et al.* (2012).

B- Mean performances.

Mean performance of 21 crosses and two checks SC10 and SC128 for ten studied traits over locations are presented in Table-3. Great variation were found among the F_1 crosses for all traits, where mean ranged from 57.6 to 64.3 days for days to 50% silking, from 253.0 to 294.5 cm for plant height, from 134.7 to 168.6 cm for ear height, from 51.8 to 66.7% for ear position, from 829.3 to 1227.1 cm^2 for ear leaf area, from 24.1 to 40.1 mg/cm^2 for chlorophyll-a, from 12.0 to 19.0 for chlorophyll-b, from 12.0 to 14.0 for no. of rows/ear, from 33.6 to 49.1 for no. of grains/row and from 31.6 to 43.6 for grain yield (ard/fad). The best single crosses for earliness were $P_1 \times P_2$, $P_1 \times P_3$, $P_3 \times P_7$ and $P_4 \times P_5$ gave magnitude and significant values toward compared with check hybrid SC10. On the other hand, 9 new single crosses; $P_1 \times P_7$, $P_2 \times P_5$, $P_2 \times P_6$, $P_2 \times P_7$, $P_3 \times P_5$, $P_3 \times P_6$, $P_5 \times P_6$, $P_5 \times P_7$ and $P_6 \times P_7$ showed significant late flowering and shorter stem compared to the check SC10. For physiological traits, four new single crosses $P_1 \times P_4$, $P_1 \times P_5$, $P_3 \times P_5$ and $P_4 \times P_5$ had highest significant values for ear leaf area, chlorophyll-a and chlorophyll-b compared to check hybrids SC10 and SC128. Also, the crosses $P_1 \times P_3$ and $P_5 \times P_7$ for ear leaf area, $P_1 \times P_2$, P3 x P6 and P6 x P7 Chl-a and eleven crosses for chlorophyll-b showed positive significant values compared to the check SC10 and SC128. In this connection, significant and greet amount of genetic variability between single crosses were detected for physiological traits (ear leaf area, chlorophyll-a and chlorophyll-b by (Johnson 1974, Fleming and Palmer 1975 and Mousa 1997).

For grain yield and yield component traits, the results showed that, six single crosses, in no. of rows/ear, five crosses for no. of grains/row and grain yield ard/fad) showed high positive and significant values compared to the best check SC10. Moreover, the results showed that six crosses, seven crosses and three crosses exhibited similar values in RE, GR and GY, respectively. Significant differences among maize genotype have been recorded for grain yield and yield components by Amer 2002, Hefny 2010, Mousa *et al.* 2012 and Aly and Mousa 2012.

Table 1: Pedigree and origin of the seven parental inbred lines.

inbreds	Pedigree	Origin
P_1	Sd-34	Derived from American-early dent population
P_2	Gz-628	(B73 x Sd-62)S_1BC_6,S_4
P_3	Ism-6144-2011	Derived from Giza-2 population
P_4	Ism-6165-2011	
P_5	Ism-6167-2011	
P_6	Ism-6177-2011	Derived from American-early dent population
P_7	Ism-6179-2011	

Table 2: Combined analysis of variance for ten traits of maize across locations.

s.o.v.	d.f.	SD	PH (cm)	EH (cm)	EP %	ELA (cm^2)
Locations (L)	1	252.5**	123934.3**	17344.3**	15309.7**	513936.0 n.s
Reps / L	6	1.1	109.5	1569.3	230.7	173392.3
Crosses C)	20	23.3**	1262.0**	502.7**	102.4**	55960.4**
C. X L.	20	14.2**	1241.6**	17.5**	60.4**	166.0**
Pooled error	120	0.77	145.2	7.02	7.01	376.6

s.o.v.	d.f.	Chl-a (mg/cm^2)	Chl-b (mg/cm^2)	RE	GR	GY (Ard/fad)
Locations (L)	1	3078.0**	2.38 n.s	9300.5**	11383.4**	3924.6**
Reps / L	6	62.4	2.07	994.3	914.4	338.3
Crosses C)	20	172.3**	38.6**	4.03 n.s	156.9**	121.2**
C. X L.	20	87.1**	9.01**	0.60 n.s	13.6 n.s	4.6*
Pooled error	120	12.9	0.76	0.44	12.37	2.12

*,** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.
n.s; not significantly different

DS = days to 50% silking PH = plant height EH = ear height Ep% = ear position% ELA= ear leaf area
Chl-a=chlorophyll-a Chl-b=chlorophyll-b RE= no. of rows/ear GR= no. of grains/row GY= grain yield

C- Gene action:

Data in (Table-4) showed the mean squares of general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining abilities and their interactions with locations for the studied traits. General (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining abilities were highly significant for all traits, indicating that, additive and non-additive gene actions were important in controlling the studied traits. These results are in agreement with those obtained by (EL-Rouby and Galal 1972; Katta, *et al.* (1975); Morshed *et al.* (1989) and Sadek *et al.* (1992) for grain yield, El-Hosary (1989), Abdel-Aziz (1991), Al-Naggar (1991), Amer *et al.* (1998), Aly and Mousa (2011) and Mousa *et al.* (2012) for all studied traits except for physiological traits and Mousa (1997) and Rabie *et al.* (1997) for Chl-a and Chl-b.

The non-additive gene action (K^2SCA) appeared to play a more important role than the additive gene action (K^2GCA) in the expression of all traits under study except for grain yield (Table 5). Numerous investigators reported that additive gene action represented the major role in the inheritance of DS and GY as El-Hosary (1989), Morshed *et al.* (1990), Abdel-Aziz (1991), Al-Naggar (1991), Vesal *et al.* (1993), Gado (2000) and Motawei and Mosa (2009). Meanwhile, similar results had reported by Amer *et al.* (1998) for PH and GR, Mousa and Abd El-Azeem (2009) for PH, EH and EP, Aly and Mousa (2011) for EH and RE, Fleming and Palmer (1975) for chlorophyll-a and chlorophyll-b and Smith *et al.* (1982), Abdel-Aziz (1991) and Mousa (1997) for ear leaf area.

The GCA x location and SCA x location interactions were highly significant for the traits; except for GCA x L interaction for GY (Table 4). These results indicated that, more environments would be required to salute the studied materials in respect to general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability assessments. In the connection similar finding was reported by Mousa (1997) for DS, PH, ELA and Chl-a and both of Morshed *et al.* (1990) and Amer (2002) for DS and PH.

The magnitude of the interaction for σ^2SCA x location was higher than that of σ^2GCA x location for all studied traits (Table 5) indicating that the non-additive gene action was much more influenced by the change in environments than the additive gene action. These results are in agreement with those previously attained by Abdel-Sattar *et al.* (1999) and Motawei and Mosa (2009) for grain yield. El-Shenawy *et al.* (2005) for days to 50% silking, plant height and grain yield, Aly and Mousa (2011) and El-Gazzar *et al.* (2013) for DS, PH, EH and GY. In contrary, Mousa *et al.* (2012), found that additive gene effect was more interacted with environment rather than non-additive type of gene action for DS, PH, EH, RE, GR and GY.

Table 3: Mean performances for 21 hybrids and the two check hybrids for all the studied traits combined across two locations.

Crosses	DS	PH (cm)	EH (cm)	EP %	ELA (cm^2)	Chl-a (mg/cm^2)	Chl-b (mg/cm^2)	RE	GR	GY (Ard/fad)
1x2	59.00	289.20	168.60	59.10	986.60	37.60	16.80	13.60	49.10	40.50
1x3	59.30	270.50	153.70	58.50	1146.70	37.90	12.40	12.40	43.80	38.80
1x4	61.50	290.30	160.30	56.80	1153.20	38.90	17.20	14.00	48.90	41.90
1x5	62.00	271.70	160.10	59.90	1159.90	38.60	17.40	13.00	42.60	43.60
1x6	61.70	283.00	158.60	56.60	1001.30	33.60	16.60	12.50	37.50	37.00
1x7	63.70	253.00	156.20	64.20	885.00	29.00	17.60	12.30	36.10	35.60
2x3	61.50	277.20	165.30	62.40	859.50	25.50	18.00	12.90	40.60	40.10
2x4	61.70	271.70	157.80	58.50	948.20	28.90	16.60	13.60	39.80	40.30
2x5	61.10	256.00	167.80	66.70	829.30	27.10	17.50	12.80	41.30	38.80
2x6	62.00	255.60	145.00	57.30	770.20	31.10	19.00	12.20	41.90	37.40
2x7	63.30	269.00	154.00	58.80	888.60	35.40	18.10	12.80	42.40	37.90
3x4	62.80	290.30	147.10	51.80	1008.40	30.10	15.00	12.50	38.50	34.00
3x5	61.80	263.10	148.80	57.10	1085.90	34.90	16.80	13.80	45.40	40.90
3x6	60.20	265.70	150.80	58.70	980.60	37.40	12.00	12.20	39.96	34.90
3x7	57.60	288.00	150.20	52.30	997.10	24.10	15.20	13.10	37.06	35.00
4x5	58.30	279.30	158.60	57.10	1227.10	40.10	18.70	13.60	47.36	42.30
4x6	60.20	275.80	153.70	56.40	1022.10	32.50	17.10	12.70	41.56	35.70
4x7	61.70	294.50	158.10	54.80	1029.40	30.70	16.10	12.80	43.16	35.10
5x6	62.00	269.20	151.30	56.20	1046.90	28.00	18.00	12.90	43.46	38.30
5x7	61.70	269.20	160.70	60.80	1101.20	28.10	17.50	13.20	39.40	37.40
6x7	64.30	257.00	134.70	54.20	887.20	37.10	14.10	12.00	33.60	31.60
Check SC 10	61.13	285.25	140.88	49.39	1052.8	30.3	14.8	12.70	40.73	38.57
Check SC 128	58.13	242.63	132.00	54.40	1010.2	32.4	15.4	13.90	38.40	36.92
LSD 0.05	0.86	11.81	2.60	2.59	19.02	3.53	0.86	0.66	3.45	1.43
0.01	1.24	15.49	3.40	3.39	24.94	4.62	1.12	0.86	4.52	1.87

*,** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

DS = days to 50% silking PH = plant height EH = ear height Ep% = ear position% ELA= ear leaf area
Chl-a=chlorophyll-a Chl-b=chlorophyll-b RE= no. of rows/ear GR= no. of grains/row GY= grain yield

Table 4:Mean squares from diallel analysis for ten traits combined across locations.

s.o.v.	d.f.	SD	PH (cm)	EH (cm)	EP %	ELA (cm^2)
GCA	6	13.99**	1881.80**	965.57**	175.74**	27619.57**
SCA	14	27.25**	996.40**	304.46**	71.03**	68106.57**
GCA x L.	6	14.66**	1323.21**	15.19 n.s	49.30**	1412.06*
SCA x L.	14	14.13**	1206.66**	4.22 n.s	65.36**	1660.61**

s.o.v.	d.f.	Chl-a (mg/cm^2)	Chl-b (mg/cm^2)	RE	GR	GY (Ard/fad)
GCA	6	260.09**	48.19**	8.38**	131.61**	361.10**
SCA	14	134.79**	34.54**	2.17**	167.87**	18.46**
GCA x L.	6	133.05**	8.98**	0.93 n.s	11.71 n.s	4.66 n.s
SCA x L.	14	67.46**	9.03**	0.24 n.s	14.45 n.s	5.00*

*,** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.
n.s; not significantly different

DS = days to 50% silking PH = plant height EH = ear height Ep% = ear position% ELA= ear leaf area
Chl-a=chlorophyll-a Chl-b=chlorophyll-b RE= no. of rows/ear GR= no. of grains/row GY= grain yield

Table 5: Additive and non-additive effects for all studied traits combined across two locations.

Genetic components	DS	PH (cm)	EH (cm)	EP %	ELA (cm^2)	Chl-a (mg/cm^2)	Chl-b (mg/cm^2)	RE	GR	GY (Ard/fad)
K^2 GCA	0.331	43.414	23.964	4.218	681.073	6.178	1.186	0.198	2.981	8.974
K^2 SCA	3.311	106.394	37.181	8.004	8466.240	15.228	4.223	0.216	19.437	2.042
σ^2 GCA x L.	0.695	58.898	0.409	2.115	1.771	6.005	0.411	0.024	0.067	0.127
σ^2 SCA x L.	3.343	265.353	1.802	14.591	20.990	13.624	2.068	0.198	0.518	0.720

DS = days to 50% silking; PH = plant height; EH = ear height; Ep% = ear position%; ELA= ear leaf area
Chl-a=chlorophyll-a; Chl-b=chlorophyll-b; RE= no. of rows/ear; GR= no. of grains/row; GY= grain yield

Table 6: General combining ability effects for all studied traits combined across two locations.

Inbreds	DS	PH (cm)	EH (cm)	EP %	ELA (cm^2)	Chl-a (mg/cm^2)	Chl-b (mg/cm^2)	RE	GR	GY (Ard/fad)
1	-0.139	3.628	5.128**	1.394	-34.260**	3.196*	-0.467	-0.205	2.155	3.022**
2	0.136	-4.246	5.328**	2.959**	3.220	-3.481*	1.132**	-0.295	-1.317	2.942**
3	-0.914*	2.978	-3.171**	-1.450	29.400**	2.186*	-2.242**	-0.520	2.902*	2.037*
4	-0.314	12.428*	0.753	-2.524*	0.900	-1.348	0.382·	0.139	3.070*	-3.557**
5	-0.189	-6.271	3.103**	1.950	18.620*	-2.761*	0.457	0.589*	1.102	2.902**
6	0.510	-6.721	-7.546**	-1.741	-37.110**	1.021	0.182	-0.325	-4.975**	-3.477**
7	0.910*	-1.796	-3.596**	-0.587	19.230*	1.188	0.557	0.619*	-2.927*	-3.857**
S.E. gi	0.362	4.98	1.134	1.095	8.035	1.490	0.361	0.277	1.456	0.603
S.E.(gi.gj)	0.553	7.62	1.733	1.674	12.274	2.277	0.552	0.423	2.225	0.922

*,** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

DS = days to 50% silking; PH = plant height; EH = ear height; Ep% = ear position%; ELA= ear leaf area
Chl-a=chlorophyll-a; Chl-b=chlorophyll-b; RE= no. of rows/ear; GR= no. of grains/row; GY= grain yield

Table 7: Specific combining ability effects for all studied traits combined across two locations.

Crosses	DS	PH (cm)	EH (cm)	EP %	ELA (cm^2)	Chl-a (mg/cm^2)	Chl-b (mg/cm^2)	RE	GR	GY (Ard/fad)
1x2	-2.341**	16.51	2.81	-3.303	34.44*	2.367	-4.283**	0.230	0.194	2.486*
1x3	-0.916	-9.45	-3.55	0.526	115.06**	-3.925	-0.980	0.080	1.259	1.166
1x4	0.608	0.96	-0.85	-0.057	14.96	3.497	1.341	-0.355	-1.038	0.786
1x5	0.983	1.04	-3.45	-1.483	-84.46**	0.31	1.391	0.245	-1.29	-1.673
1x6	0.033	12.74	5.69*	-1.101	11.27	-4.135	0.916	-0.040	1.124	-1.253
1x7	1.633*	-21.80*	-0.63	5.418*	-91.27**	-2.415	1.541*	-0.160	-3.648	-2.213
2x3	0.933	5.16	7.86**	2.918	-74.92**	-6.685*	3.116**	-0.355	-4.55	-2.986*
2x4	0.583	-9.78	-3.55	0.054	71.08**	0.237	-0.883	-0.115	6.551*	2.533*
2x5	-0.166	-6.83	4.09	3.825	0.96	-0.287	-0.083	-0.340	-4.3	-0.693
2x6	0.008	-6.75	-8.13**	-1.882	-32.21*	0.042	1.691*	-0.175	3.051	-0.773
2x7	0.983	1.691	-3.08	-1.612	0.65	2.025	0.471	0.755	-0.945	0.166
3x4	2.758**	1.61	-5.80*	-2.257	-111.80**	1.095	0.866	-0.19	-5.008	-3.053*
3x5	1.633*	-6.93	-6.40*	-1.403	-17.92	1.957	-2.083*	-0.04	-2.435	0.386
3x6	-0.691	-3.85	6.24*	3.891	-74.94**	0.675	-1.691*	-0.175	-1.47	0.206
3x7	-3.716**	13.46	1.66	-3.676	164.52**	6.882*	0.941	0.68	8.67	2.446*
4x5	-2.466**	-0.13	-0.58	-0.31	36.68*	6.590*	-1.083	-0.175	5.691*	3.306**
4x6	-1.291	-3.18	5.19*	2.62	-35.09*	-5.922*	0.566	0.815	-0.408	0.826
4x7	-0.191	10.51	5.61*	-0.049	24.17	-2.607	-0.808	0.02	-2.315	0.166
5x6	0.333	8.89	0.46	-2.038	146.89**	2.672	1.366	0.59	2.764	0.266
5x7	-0.316	3.96	5.89*	1.41	-82.15**	-3.795	0.491	-0.28	3.031	-2.293
6x7	1.608*	-7.83	-9.45**	-1.489	-15.92	1.41	-2.806**	-1.015	-4.928	0.226
S.E.Sij	0.714	9.840	2.238	2.161	15.846	2.940	0.713	0.546	2.872	1.190
S.E.(Sij-Skl)	0.958	13.202	3.002	2.899	21.259	3.944	0.956	0.733	3.854	1.597

*,** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

DS = days to 50% silking PH = plant height EH = ear height Ep% = ear position% ELA= ear leaf area
Chl-a=chlorophyll-a Chl-b=chlorophyll-b RE= no. of rows/ear GR= no. of grains/row GY= grain yield

D- General and specific combining ability effects.

Estimates of general combing ability (GCA) effects of the 7 parental inbred lines for the ten studied traits combined across the two locations Ismailia and Mallawy are given in (Table 6). The results revealed that the parental inbred line (P_3) was an ideal general combiner for earliness, EH, ELA, Chl-a, GR and GY. Also, inbred line (P_5) for ELA, GR and GY. Also inbred lines (P_3 and P_5) were assumed as the most useful source of favorable alleles for earliness, physiological traits as well as grain yield and yield components. Also, the inbred lines P_1 and P_2 were the best general combiner for Chl-a and GY. Mousa (1997), reported that GCA effects were desirable and significant for earliness, physiological traits, grain yield and yield components.

Commonly, maize hybrid involving inbreds, P_1, P_3 and P_5 in single or triple crosses might be useful for earliness, ideal physiological system/plant and grain yield. Selection for increasing physiological traits among inbred lines might result in an increased grain yield in the F_1 hybrids (Johnson 1974).

Estimates of specific combining ability (SAC) effects for 21 F_1's for over locations were shown in (Table 7). The most desirable and significant SCA effects were obtained for earliness in the crosses; P_1 x P_2, P_3 x P_7 and P_4 x P_5, for plant height, in the cross P_1 x P_7 for ear height, in the crosses P_2 x P_6, P_3 x P_4, P_3 x P_5 and P_6 x P_7 for ear leaf area, in the crosses P_1 x P_2, P_1 x P_3, P_2 x P_4, P_3 x P_7, P_4 x P_5 and P_5 x P_6 for chlorophyll-a, in the crosses P_3 x P_7 and P_4 x P_5 for chlorophyll-b, in the crosses P_1 xP_7, P_2 x P_3 and P_2 x P_6 for number of grains/row, in the crosses P_2 x P_4, P_3 x P_7 and P_4 x P_5, for grain yield. The crosses P_1 x P_2, P_3 x P_7 and P_4 x P_5 are the best desirable combination of inbred lines for improving earliness, physiological traits and grain yield of maize.

Finally, this study was an attempt to show that there were maize inbred lines might produce single crosses with, earliness, shorter plant, lower ear, and high grain yield. It might be concluded that the most superior crosses for physiological traits, grain yield and yield components were P_1 x P_4, P_1 x P_5, P_3 x P_5 and P_4 x P_5 while, the crosses P_1 x P_2, P_1 x P_3, P_3 x P_7 and P_4 x P_5 for earliness. Inbred line P_3 showed desirable and general combining ability effect for DS, EH, ELA, Chl-a, RE and GY, while line P_5 was good combiner for LA, RE and GY. These lines with favorable alleles for grain yield and other studied traits, might be utilized in developing new white maize hybrids.

REFERENCES

Abdel-Aziz, N.A. **1991**. Inheritance of some agronomic attributes and combining ability in maize. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.

Abdel-Sattar, A.A, A.A. El-Hosary and M.H. Motawea. **1999**. Genetic analysis of maize grain yield and its components by diallel crossing. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. **24(1)**: 43-63.

Akbar, M., M. Saleem, F.M. Azhar, M.Y. Ashraf and R. Ahmed. **2008**. Combining ability analysis in maize under normal and high temperature conditions. J. Agric. Res. **64**: 27-38.

Al-Naggar, A.M.M. **1991**. Heterosis and combining ability in interpopulation crosses of maize. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., **17**:561-574.

Aly, R.S.H. and S.Th.M. Mousa. **2011**. Combining ability for grain yield and other yield component traits using half diallel crosses of maize inbred lines. J. Agric. Chem. and Biotech. Mansoura Univ. **2(12)**: 317-329.

Aly, R.S.H. and S.Th.M. Mousa. **2012**. Evaluation of some promising white maize inbred lines (Zea mays *L.*) through diallel mating design. Egypt. J. Agric. Res. **90(4)**: 47-61.

Amer, E.A. **2002**. Combining ability on early maturing inbred lines of maize. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. **17(5)**: 162-181.

Amer, E.A., A.A. El-Shenawy, and F.A. El-Zeir. **1998**. Diallel analysis for ten inbred lines of maize (Zea mays *L.*). Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. **13(8)**: 79-91.

El-Gazzar, I.A., M.A. El-Ghonemy and S.Th. Mousa. **2013**. Evaluation of new inbred lines of white maize via line x tester analysis over three locations. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ. **4**: 897-906.

El-Hosary, A.A. **1989**. Heterosis and combining ability for six inbred lines of maize in diallel crosses over two years. Egypt. J. Agron. **14(1-2)**: 47-58.

El-Rouby, M.M and A.A. Galal. **1972**. Heterosis and combining ability crosses of maize and their implications in breeding schemes. Egypt. J. Genet. Cytol. **1**: 270-279.

El-Shenawy, A.A. **2005**. Combining ability for prolific and non-prolific maize inbred lines in their diallel crosses for yield and other traits. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., **31**: 16-31.

Fleming, A.A. and J.H. Palmer. **1975**. Variation in chlorophyll content of maize lines and hybrids. Crop sci. **15**: 617-620.

Gado, H.E. **2000**. Genetic analysis of grain yield and other agronomic traits using diallel system of six maize inbred lines. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., **25(6)**: 3063-3076.

Griffing, B. **1956**. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. Aust. J. Biol. Sci., **9**: 463-493.

Hefny, M. **2010**. Genetic control of flowering traits, yield and its components in maize (Zea mays *L.*) at different sowing dates. Asian J. Crop Sci. **2**: 236-249.

Iqbal, A.M., F.A. Nehvi, S.A. Wani, R. Qadir and Z.A. Dar. **2007**. Combining ability analysis for yield and yield related traits in maize (Zea mays *L.*). Int. Plant Breed. Genet., **1**: 101-105.

Jinks, J.L. and B.L. Hayman **1963**. The analysis of diallel crosses. Maize Genet. Coop. Newslettwer **27**:48:54.

Johnson, G.R. **1974**. Prediction of GCA model estimates of total leaf area and leaf area distribution from leaf area of parental inbreds. Crop Sci. **14**: 559-561.

Katta, Y.S., H.E. Galal and S.A. Abd-Alla. **1975**. Diallel analysis of yield and agronomic characters in maize (Zea mays *L.*). J. Agric. Tanta Univ., **1**: 195-213.

Montgomery, F.G. (**1911**). Correlation studies in corn. Nebr. Exp. Stn. Annu. Rep. **24**: 108-159.

Moran, R. **1982**. Formulae for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N, N-Dimethylformamide. Plant Physiol. **69**: 1376-1381.

Moran, R. and D. Porath,. **1980**. Chlorophyll determination in intact tissues using N, N-Dimethylformamide. Plant Physiol. **65**: 478-479.

Morshed, G.A., A.F. Abdalla and S.A. Mohamed. **1989**. Diallel analysis of some agronomic characters in maize. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. **4**: 601-611.

Morshed, G.A., N.N. El-Hefenawy, A.A. Galal and F.A. El-Zeir. **1990**. Estimation of combining ability and heterosis effects under different environments in maize (Zea mays *L.*). Minofiya J. Agric. Res. **15(2)**: 1509-1517.

Motawei, A.A. and H.E. Mosa. **2009**. Genetic analysis for some quantitative traits in yellow maize via half diallel design. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. **13**: 223-233.

Mousa, S.Th.M. **1997**. Breeding studies on maize (Zea mays *L.*). M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.

Mousa, S.Th.M. and M.E.M. Abd El-Azeem. **2009**. Combining ability of new yellow maize inbred lines using line x tester analysis. Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor **47(1)**: 35-42.

Mousa, S.Th.M.; R.S.H. Aly and M.A.G. Khalil. **2012**. Combining ability, gene action and heterosis for new yellow maize (Zea mays *L.*) inbred lines via diallel mating design. Egypt. J. Agric. Res. **90(4)**: 63-75.

Rabie, H.A, A.R. Al Kaddoussi and S.Th. Mousa. **1997**. Diallel analysis of some physiochemical characters and grain yield under two different locations in maize (Zea mays *L.*). Zagazig J. Agric. Res. **24(4)**: 615-626.

Rezael, A., B. Yazdisamadi, A. Zali, A. Tallei, H. Zeinali and A. Rezael. **2004**. Estimate of heterosis and combining ability in maize (Zea mays *L.*) using diallel crossing method. Pp.395-397. In : Genetic Variation for plant Breeding. **2004** *EUCARPIA, BOKU*, Vienna, Austria.

Sadek, S.E.; M.K. Shalaby and H.E. Gado. **1992**. Genetic analysis of yellow maize grain yield and other characters by diallel cross mating design. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci. 7: 447-456.

Smith, C.S., J.J. Mock and T.M. Crosbie. **1982**. Variability for morphological and physiological traits associated with barrenness and grain yield in the maize population, Iowa upright leaf synthetic # 1. Crop Sci. **22**: 828-832.

Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran. **1967**. Statistical Methods. 6th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames., Iowa, USA.

Vesal, S.K., G. Srnivasan, F. Gonzalez, D.L. Beck and J. Crossa. **1993**. Heterosis and combining ability of CIMMYT,s quality protein maize germplasm. II. Subtropical. Crop Sci. **33**:51-57.

Walters, D.S. and J.R. Morton. **1978**. On the analysis of variance of a half diallel table. Biometrics **34**: 91-94.

Yan, W. and L.A. Hunt. **2002**. Biplot analysis of diallel data. Crop Sci. **42**: 21-30.

الملخص العربى

تحليل الدياليل للصفات الفسيولوجية ومحصول الحبوب لسبعة سلالات بيضاء من الذرة الشامية

سمير ثروت محمود موسى

مركز البحوث الزراعية– معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية – قسم بحوث الذرة الشامية بالإسماعيلية

تم عمل كل التهجينات التبادلية الممكنة بين سبع سلالات من الذرة الشامية البيضاء فى نظام الدياليل بدون التهجينات العكسية وذلك لقياس القدرة العامة والخاصة على التآلف فى موسم ٢٠١١. وتم تقييم الـ ٢١ هجين فردى مع إثنين من هجن المقارنة البيضاء(هجين فردى١٠ وهجين فردى ١٢٨) فى محطتى البحوث الزراعية بالإسماعيلية وملوى بإستخدام تصميم القطاعات كاملة العشوائية فى أربع مكررات فى موسم ٢٠١٢. تم تحليل التباين المشترك عبر المواقع للصفات التالية: تاريخ ظهور ٥٠% حريرة، إرتفاع النبات، إرتفاع الكوز، موقع الكوز، مساحة ورقة الكوز، كلوروفيل أ، كلوروفيل ب، عدد السطور بالكوز، عدد الحبوب بالسطر ومحصول الحبوب أردب/ فدان. تم تحليل الدياليل طبقاً للطريقة الرابعة النموذج الأول لجريفنج ١٩٥٦. وتلخصت أهم النتائج فى التالى (للتبسيط تم حساب قيم تأثيرات الـ GCA and SCA كمتوسط عام للبيئات):

وجدت إختلافات عالية المعنوية بين التراكيب الوراثية تحت الدراسة وكذلك بين التراكيب الوراثية فى المواقع لكل الصفات المدروسة فيما عدا صفتى عدد السطور/ كوز وعدد الحبوب / السطر بين المواقع.

إشارت النتائج إلى أن كلاً من الفعل الجينى المضيف وغير المضيف للجين له دوراً مهماً ومعنوياً فى وراثة جميع الصفات وكان الفعل الجينى غير المضيف للجين اكثر أهمية من الفعل الجينى المضيف فى سلوك الصفات مشيراً إلى أن التأثيرات الوراثية غير المضيفة للجين لعبت الدور المؤثر فى وراثة الصفات فيما عدا صفة محصول الحبوب (أردب/فدان). ومن ناحية أخرى أظهرت النتائج أن سلوك الفعل الوراثى غير المضيف وتفاعله مع المواقع كان أكثر تأثيراً من الفعل الجينى الوراثى المضيف لجميع الصفات.

تحمل بعض السلالات آليلات مرغوبة لتأثيرات الـ GCA وهى السلالة P3 لصفات التبكير، إرتفاع النبات، مساحة ورقة الكوز، كلوروفيل أ، عدد الحبوب بالسطر ومحصول الحبوب والسلالة P_5 لصفات مساحة ورقة الكوز، عدد السطور بالكوز ومحصول الحبوب. كذلك أعطت السلالتان P_1، P_2 قيماً موجبة ومعنوية لتأثيرات الـ GCA لصفتى الكلوروفيل والمحصول ولكنها تحمل آليلات غير مرغوبة للصفات الأخرى. كانت أفضل السلالات هى P_3، P_5 ويوصى بإستخدامهما فى برنامج إنتاج الهجن الجديدة بيضاء الحبوب من الذرة الشامية.

أعطت الهجن أفضلية بالنسبة لتأثيرات الـ SCA فالهجين (P1 x P2) لصفات التبكير، مساحة ورقة الكوز ومحصول الحبوب والهجين(P_2 x P_4) لصفات مساحة ورقة الكوز، عدد الحبوب بالسطر ومحصول الحبوب أردب/فدان والهجن(P_3 x P_7) و (P_4 x P_5) لصفات التبكير، مساحة ورقة الكوز، كلوروفيل أ، عدد الحبوب بالسطر ومحصول الحبوب.

تفوقت بعض الهجن الناتجة فى محصول الحبوب ومعظم الصفات تحت الدراسة عن هجن المقارنة وهى P_4 x P_5 , P_3 x P_5 , P_1 x P_5, P_1 x P_4, P_1 x P_2 مما يشير إلى إمكانية إستخدام هذه الهجن الجديدة فى تربية الذرة الشامية للصفات الفسيولوجية والتبكير والقدرة المحصولية العالية.