Biomass Production of Fungal and Bacterial Bio-control Agents Using Various Agro Wastes as Natural Culture Media

Sarhan, A.R.T.

Dept. of Microbiology, Private University College of Humanities, Najaf, Iraq. (Received: September 1, 2015 and Accepted: November 15, 2015)

ABSTRACT

Seven micro-organisms, four fungi (*Trichoderma harzianum*, *T. pseudokoningii*, *Gliocladium roseum* and *Penicillium pinophilum*) and three bacteria (*Bacillus subtilis*, *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*), were acted as potential bio-control agents against a range of phytopathogenic fungi. These bio-control agents were used for biomass production. An attempt was made to multiply these micro-organisms with the objective of evaluating the suitability of locally available organic materials for their production and to compare their growth in different media. Various agro wastes, as inexpensive agricultural co-products, including wheat bran, sawdust, rice straw, rice peels, in addition to date extract (Dibis) were tested as natural substrates. To evaluate these non-synthetic media, Potato Dextrose broth and nutrient broth, as synthetic media were used for biomass production. The growth of all the bio-control agents was better when nitrogen (NaNO₃ 1% w/v) was used with all natural substrates. Generally, the growth of the tested bio-control agents was faster in date extract, followed by wheat bran, rice peels, rice straw and sawdust. Results indicated that these micro-organisms can be multiplied well by using locally available materials at a very low cost. According to the available surveys and literature, using of date extract as natural medium for biomass production may provide a new record for this medium.

Key words: Production, Fungal, Bacterial Agents, Agro Wastes Media.

INTRODUCTION

Biological control is an environmentally friendly approach involving the use of specific microorganisms to control pathogens or diseases (Muriungi et al., 2013 and Lopez et al., 2014). The microbial inoculants as bio-control agents are effective and attractive alternatives to prevent the deficiencies brought about by the exclusive reliance on chemicals (Abd-El-Khair et al., 2011; Nusrat et al., 2013 and Srivastava et al., 2014). The market for bio-control agents has been growing continuously over the last few decades due to the adverse environmental impacts of chemical pesticides (Butt et al., 2001 and Verma et al., 2005). Micro-organisms such as Trichoderma spp., Gliocladium spp., Verticillium spp., Neumoria spp. and Actinomycetes etc., are important bio-control agents that have been most extensively studied (Papavizas, 1985; Sarhan and Hassan, 2001; Harman et al., 2004; Sharma et al., 2005; Vargas Gil et al., 2009 and Devakumar et al., 2014). These filamentous fungi are wide spread at high population densities in soils. They are saprophytic, quickly growing and easy to culture and they can produce large amount of conidia with long shelf life (Nusrat et al., 2013). Liquid-state fermentation is an effective method for the mass production of fungal and bacterial biopesicides since it provides micropropagules with higher conidia content (Raimbault et al., 1998; Ooijkaas et al., 2000 and Pandey et al., 2000).

Antagonists for commercial use of microorganisms are necessary to produce maximum biomass with least economic cost. So, it is important to find a suitable and cheap media for growth of antagonist micro-organisms. Studies carried out by Khandelwal *et al.* (2012), Sargin *et al.* (2013) and Subash, *et al.* (2014) used various culture media for growth *Trichoderma* spp. and micropropagule production in solid-state fermentation.

Considering the above facts, the present study aimed to evaluate locally available and cheaper agricultural residues as natural substrates in addition to date extracts for efficient growth and micropropagule production in seven micro-organisms under liquid-state fermentation conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation and identification of bio-control agents

The bio-agents were isolated from the representative soil samples by following the serial dilutions and pour plate method. The last 3 dilutions, 10⁻², 10⁻⁴ and 10⁻⁶, were used for isolation of bioagents. One ml of suspension from respective dilution was transferred aseptically into a Petri plates containing the potato dextrose agar (PDA) and nutrient agar (NA) media separately and incubated at 25±2°C for 5-7 days for fungi and 2-3 days for bacteria. The beneficial fungi and bacteria were picked up and purified. They were identified and examined under microscope on the basis of cultural and morphological characters. Individual colonies were picked up and maintained on PDA slants in pure culture for further study.

Cultures of fungi and bacteria

Five natural nutrient substrates (wheat bran,

458

sawdust, rice straw, rice peels and dates extract) were evaluated for their suitability as growth media for production of seven bio-agents. Seven microorganisms were determined by the growth and sporulation ability that include four fungi (Trichoderma harzianum, Т. pseudokoningii, Gliocladium roseum and Penicillium pinophilum) and three bacteria (Bacillus subtilis, Leuconostoc mesenteroides and Pseudomonas fluorescens). These micro-organisms have previously been proven to have bio-control agents' potential in terms of its mycoparasitic activity and lytic enzyme activities (Sarhan, 2009 and 2013). As a control treatment, potato dextrose broth was used for fungal cultures, while nutrient broth was used for bacterial cultures. The natural nutrient substrates, as carbon sources, were supplemented with nitrogen source (NaNO3 1% w/v) to support the microbial multiplication. The experiments were conducted with and without nitrogen supplementation.

Biomass production of fungi and bacteria

According to the methods of (Sarhan and Hassan, 2001 and Khandelwal et al., 2012), 200 grams of each natural nutrient substrate was washed well and boiled in 500 ml distilled water for 1 hr. After cooling, the substrates were filtered through a double layered muslin cloth, then from each substrate makeup 1 liter with distilled water. Each natural nutrient substrate was individually transferred to 500 ml conical flask (100 ml each, three replicates for each treatment) and autoclaved at 121°C for 15 minutes. After cooling, the media were aseptically inoculated with 1.0 % (v/v) of spore suspension $(1.0 \times 10^8 \text{ spores/ml})$ and incubated at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. After the desired cultivation period (7 days for fungal inoculants and 48 h for bacteria), biomass was harvested finally from each replicate. Fresh fungal and bacterial biomass yield was assessed by collecting biomass yield on pre-weighed filter paper. Dry weight was determined after 24 h of oven drying at 60°C. Bacterial count was estimated as dry cell weight (DCW) by measuring the optical density using spectrophotometer at wavelength of 660 nM, and related the optical density to DCW. Otherwise; fungal spores were harvested by washing them thoroughly with sterilized water containing Tween-20 (0.2%) with the help of a small sterile metal spatula. Suspension of spores was made using distilled water with Tween-20 (0.2%) and filtered through a double layered muslin cloth. Spore count was made after necessary serial dilutions (with the aid of haemocytometer) under phase contrast microscope. Colony forming units (cfu) were estimated by plating technique.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Five different naturally available substrates were

tested as liquid media for mass production and sporulation of seven micro-organisms, included 4 fungi (T. harzianum, T. pseudokoningii, G. roseum and P. pinophilum) and 3 bacteria (B. subtilis, L. mesenteroides and P. fluorescens). All the antagonists utilized the natural media efficiently as compared to the control media (PD Broth and Nut. Broth). Maximum biomass yield was on dates extract medium and minimum on sawdust medium. Also, potential for production of biomass yield among the tested micro-organisms was differed significantly. Performance of natural media revealed that biomass production in both fungi and bacteria was maximum (mg/100ml) in the extract medium of dates, followed by wheat bran, rice peels, rice straw and sawdust medium (Tables 1 and 3). To determine the effect of supplementation on micro-organism nitrogen propagules production, (NaNO₃ 1% w/v) as nitrogen source was tested in the natural media, the results are shown in tables (2 and 4). Highest fresh weight of fungi was recorded in T. harzianum, 376 mg /100 ml without nitrogen supplementation (Table 1), and 558 mg /100 ml with nitrogen supplementation (Table 2). In addition, highest fresh weight of bacteria was recorded in B. subtilis, 141.6 mg /100 ml without nitrogen supplementation (Table 3), and 152.1 mg /100 ml with nitrogen supplementation (Table 4). Abundance of minerals in the extract medium of dates may enhance the growth of fungi and bacteria. Wheat bran and rice peels media also supported the growth of tested micro-organisms (both fungi and bacteria). Moreover, in many of tested media from natural substrates, spore production with fungi was relatively higher when nitrogen source was used as compared to the media without nitrogen supplementation. Similarly for fungal spore production, maximum number of spores per ml of culture media was produced by all the tested fungi on dates extract medium and minimum spore production was observed on sawdust medium. Spore count was the maximum in T. harzianum on dates extract medium (5.9×10^8) and the least was obtained in sawdust medium (3.3x10²), also, T. pseudokoningii G. roseum and P. pinophilum responded in similar fashion for spore production (Table 2). This is in accordance with the investigations of Khandelwal et al. (2012) who found that a high biomass of Trichoderma spp. was produced on agro products especially the vegetable wastes. These results indicated that these natural substrates were rich with carbon source but need nitrogen supports which was very important for Also, growth and metabolism. the fungal micropropagules and bacterial counts obtained in nitrogen-supplemented media, prepared with the same conditions for natural substrates, was higher than those obtained in media without nitrogen supplementation. However, potential for production of biomass yield among the antagonist bacteria did

Bio-control agent		Bioma	iss yield	Spore yield (spores / ml)	Fungal-propagules (cfu / ml)
(Fungi)	Substrates (broth media)	Fresh weight (mg / 100 ml)	Dry weight (mg / 100 ml)		
Tuisladamus kausiaaaa	Rice straw	142	125	2.1×10^2	2.5×10^2
Trichoderma harzianum		142	123	6.6×10^3	2.3×10^{3}
	Rice peels Sawdust	101	89	1.8×10^{2}	1.4×10^2
		251		2.9×10^{-5}	2.2×10^{3}
	Wheat bran		232	7.1×10^{5}	2.2×10^{-10} 3.5 x 10 ⁴
	Date extract	376	351		
	Potato Dextrose	436	412	6.8 x 10 ³	5.1×10^3
T. pseudokoningii	Rice straw	135	101	1.7 x 10 ²	1.2×10^2
	Rice peels	184	155	5.3×10^3	4.6×10^3
	Sawdust	91	72	1.4×10^2	1.1×10^2
	Wheat bran	242	215	$4.4 \ge 10^3$	3.7×10^3
	Date extract	358	330	5.1×10^4	4.3×10^4
	Potato Dextrose	416	389	7.9 x 10 ³	5.6×10^3
Gliocladium roseum	Rice straw	102	86	2.3×10^2	1.9×10^2
onoendarum roseum	Rice peels	184	184	3.6×10^3	2.2×10^3
	Sawdust	99	81	1.8×10^2	1.0×10^2
	Wheat bran	. 250	231	5.2×10^3	4.3×10^3
	Date extract	348	323	2.5×10^4	7.5×10^3
	Potato Dextrose	406	379	7.7×10^3	6.9×10^3
Penicillium pinophilum	Rice straw	115	89	2.5 x 10 ²	1.5×10^2
	Rice peels	162	135	6.4×10^3	4.6×10^3
	Sawdust	79	64	2.8×10^{2}	1.7×10^2
	Wheat bran	222	205	2.8×10^{-10} 5.2 x 10 ³	2.9×10^4
				3.2×10^{3} 4.5×10^{4}	2.9×10^{4} 3.1 x 10 ⁴
	Date extract	340	319		
	Potato Dextrose	404	387	1.6 x 10 ⁴	6.0 x 10 ³

Table (1): Biomass production and spore formation of the fungi as bio-agents on different natural substrates without nitrogen source supplementation

Table (2): Biomass production and spore formation of the fungi as bio-agents on different natural substrates with nitrogen source supplementation

Substrates (broth media) Rice straw Rice peels	Fresh weight (g / 100 ml) 162	Dry weight (mg / 100 ml)	Spore yield (spores / ml)	Fungal-propagules (cfu / ml)
	162			
	162			
Rice peels		141	1.8×10^4	2.6×10^2
	333	315	2.4×10^5	1.9 x 10 ⁴
Sawdust	175	147	3.3×10^2	2.5×10^2
Wheat bran	463	435	4.6 x 10 ⁶	4.2×10^5
Date extract	558	530	5.9×10^{8}	3.5 x 10 ⁶
Potato Dextrose	422	399	$1.1 \ge 10^5$	2.7 x 10 ⁴
Rice straw	155	132	3.2×10^3	1.2×10^2
			2.8×10^5	2.3×10^4
				4.1×10^2
				4.4×10^4
	-			2.1×10^{6}
Potato Dextrose	417	391	2.9 x 10 ⁴	3.5×10^3
Rice straw	127	116	3.6×10^3	5.0 x 10 ²
				2.1×10^3
•				3.3×10^2
				2.6×10^5
				4.2×10^{6}
Potato Dextrose	402	425 377	4.7×10^4	3.7×10^4
Rice straw	188	161	8.5 x 10 ⁴	3.5×10^2
				2.6×10^{4}
				1.8×10^2
				2.9×10^4
				4.1×10^5
				5.0×10^3
	Potato Dextrose Rice straw Rice peels Sawdust Wheat bran Date extract Potato Dextrose Rice straw Rice peels Sawdust Wheat bran Date extract	Potato Dextrose350Potato Dextrose422Rice straw155Rice peels282Sawdust159Wheat bran426Date extract510Potato Dextrose417Rice straw137Rice peels294Sawdust125Wheat bran360Date extract448Potato Dextrose402Rice straw188Rice peels320Sawdust183Wheat bran491Date extract566	Potato Dextrose 422 399 Rice straw 155 132 Rice peels 282 255 Sawdust 159 134 Wheat bran 426 408 Date extract 510 489 Potato Dextrose 417 391 Rice straw 137 116 Rice peels 294 271 Sawdust 125 106 Wheat bran 360 337 Date extract 448 423 Potato Dextrose 402 377 Rice straw 188 161 Rice straw 183 159 Wheat bran 491 462 Date extract 566 541	Potato Dextrose422 399 1.1×10^5 Rice straw155132 3.2×10^3 Rice peels282255 2.8×10^5 Sawdust159134 6.6×10^2 Wheat bran426408 5.3×10^5 Date extract510489 1.7×10^8 Potato Dextrose417391 2.9×10^4 Rice straw137116 3.6×10^3 Rice peels294271 2.8×10^4 Sawdust125106 7.4×10^2 Wheat bran360337 3.5×10^5 Date extract448423 6.5×10^5 Date extract448423 6.5×10^5 Potato Dextrose402 377 4.7×10^4 Rice straw188161 8.5×10^4 Rice straw183159 4.8×10^3 Wheat bran491462 2.9×10^6 Date extract566541 1.1×10^7

Bio-control agent (Bacteria)	Substrates — (broth media)	Biomas	D	
		Fresh weight (mg / 100 ml)	Dry weight (mg / 100 ml)	 Bacterial counts (No. / ml)
Bacillus subtilis	Rice straw	83.4	70.2	2.37 x 10 ⁴
	Rice peels	102.6	93.5	3.60×10^6
	Sawdust	91.2	76.0	2.65×10^3
	Wheat bran	137.3	128.8	3.25×10^7
	Date extract	141.6	132.2	3.17×10^7
	Nutrient broth	123.7	121.4	6.88 x 10 ⁶
Leuconostoc mesenteroides	Rice straw	65.5	58.4	4.21 x 10 ³
	Rice peels	84.6	75.3	2.63 x 10 ⁴
	Sawdust	61.2	55.7	3.65 x 10 ³
	Wheat bran	121.0	109.9	1.15 x 10 ⁶
	Date extract	127.4	114.1	1.22 x 10 ⁶
	Nutrient broth	118.7	109.0	7.80 x 10 ⁵
Pseudomonas fluorescens	Rice straw	70.0	63.6	3.30 x 10 ⁵
	Rice peels	92.8	83.0	2.45 x 10 ⁶
	Sawdust	80.3	71.2	1.65 x 10 ³
	Wheat bran	116.0	107.3	1.20 x 10 ⁷
	Date extract	122.6	110.1	1.27 x 10 ⁷
	Nutrient broth	125.7	113.4	7.22 x 10 ⁶

Table (3): Biomass production of bacteria as bio-agents on different natural substrates without nitrogen source supplementation

÷

÷

,

1

7

: •

י ה-ג

.

~1

-

Table (4): Biomass production of bacteria as bio-agents on different natural substrates with nitrogen source supplementation

Bio-control agent (Bacteria)		Biomass		
	Substrates (broth media)	Fresh weight (mg / 100 ml)	Dry weight (mg / 100 ml)	Bacterial counts (No. / ml)
Bacillus subtilis	Rice straw	98.5	87.0	4.35 x 10 ⁶
	Rice peels	115.7	103.4	2.21×10^7
	Sawdust	102.3	90.8	2.88 x 10 ⁴
	Wheat bran	147.9	138.2	3.92 x 10 ⁸
	Date extract	152.1	140.6	3.40×10^8
	Nutrient broth	134.6	125.7	5.13 x 10 ⁷
Leuconostoc mesenteroides	Rice straw	79.0	68.5	6.35 x 10 ⁵
	Rice peels	98.6	87.0	1.21 x 10 ⁶
	Sawdust	72.3	61.6	8.88 x 10 ³
	Wheat bran	128.9	119.0	2.92 x 10 ⁶
	Date extract	132.1	124.4	2.40 x 10 ⁶
	Nutrient broth	124.0	113.6	3.73 x 10 ⁵
Pseudomonas fluorescens	Rice straw	88.7	79.5	5.85 x 10 ⁵
	Rice peels	110.2	100.6	5.21 x 10 ⁶
	Sawdust	89.0	79.9	2.18 x 10 ⁴
	Wheat bran	139.3	127.9	6.92 x 10 ⁷
	Date extract	145.6	134.1	1.40 x 10 ⁷
	Nutrient broth	130.8	121.6	3.73 x 10 ⁶

not differ from fungi. Performance of culture media revealed that bacterial count was maximum in B. subtilis on dates extract medium (3.40×10^8) and least was obtained on sawdust medium (2.88×10^5) , followed by P. fluorescens and L. mesenteroides (Table 4). The three tested bacteria gave the same results on wheat bran medium and date extract medium as compared to other natural media and control media (Tables 3 and 4). Subash et al. (2014) studied the using of agricultural wastes as a substrate for that the growth and sporulation of T. harizanum where they got good results with sugarcane bagasse as natural substrate. Growth of fungi was better in date extract medium, while growth and performance of bacteria was better in date extract medium and wheat bran as compared to other natural media. In date extract medium and wheat bran, available nutrients might have encouraged the growth of T. harzianum and B. subtilis compared to other biocontrol agents. Growth of the fungi was maximum in date extract medium and it might be due to the fact that the date extract medium contained nutrients in readily available form and also in a balanced proportion. Wheat bran supported growth of all the tested bio-control agents and it might be due to the higher content of nutrients and lower pH of wheat bran as compared to other natural media. Similar results were reported by Sargin et al. (2013) who reported that high micropropagules count of T. harzianum was achieved in wheat bran medium.

In conclusions, the bio-control agents can be multiplied locally with low cost. Mass-production of micro-organisms, used as bio-control agents, was possibly present and locally available in certain organic materials such as, wheat bran, sawdust, rice straw, rice peels and date extract. Performance of biocontrol agents (fungi and bacteria) was better in date extract and wheat bran media with nitrogen supplementation. From all the above results, it was found that date extract was more effective for biomass production of fungi and bacteria as compared to the synthetic media, potato dextrose broth and nutrient broth. Date extract as natural substrate for biomass production of micro-organisms, which was evaluated for first time, may provide a new record for this medium.

REFERENCES

- Abd-El-Khair, H., Khalifa, R. Kh. M. and Karima, H. E. H. 2011. Effect of *Trichoderma* species on damping off diseases incidence, some plant enzymes activity and nutritional status of bean plants. J. Amer. Sci. 7(1): 156-167.
- Butt, T. M., Jackson, C.W., Magan, N. 2001. Fungi as Biological Control Agents: Progress, Problems

and Potential. CAB International. Wallingford, UK.

- Devakumar, N., Shubha, S., Rao, G.G.E. and Gowda, S.B. 2014. Multiplication of bio-control agents on locally available organic media. Proceedings of the 4th ISOFAR Scientific Conference. Istanbul, Turkey.
- Harman, G.E., Howell, C. R., Viterbo, A. and Chet, I. Lorito, M. 2004. *Trichoderma* species, opportunistic, a virulent plant symbionts. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2: 43–56.
- Khandelwal, M., Datta, S., Mehta, J., Naruka, R., Makhijani, K., Sharma, K., Kumar, R. and Chandra, S. 2012. Isolation, characterization and biomass production of *Trichoderma viride* using various agro products- A biocontrol agent. Adv. Appl. Sci. Res. 3(6): 3950-3955.
- Lopez, L. L. M. A., Aganon, C. P. and Juico, P. P. 2014. Isolation of *Trichoderma* species from carabao manure and evaluation of its beneficial uses. Inter. J. Sci. & Tech. Res. 3(8): 190-199.
- Muriungi, J.S., Mutitu, E.W. and Siboe, M.G. 2013. Biocontrol of *Fusarium* root rot in beans by antagonistic *Trichoderma* fungi. Int. J. Agric. Sci. 3(7): 550-557.
- Nusrat, J., Sultana, S., Adhikary, S.K., Rahman, S. and Yasmin, S. 2013. Evaluation of the growth performance of *Trichoderma harzianum* (Rifai) on different culture media. J. Agric. Veter. Sci. (IOSR-JAVS). 3(4): 44-50.
- Ooijkaas, L.P., Weber, F. J. and Buitelaar, R. M. 2000. Defined media and inert supports: their potential as solid-state fermentation production systems. Trends Biotechnol. 18(8): 356–360.
- Pandey, A., Soccol, C. R. and Mitchell, D. 2000. New developments in solid state fermentation: Ibioprocesses and products. Process Biochem. 35(10): 1153–1169.
- Papavizas, G. C. 1985. *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium*: biology, ecology and potential for bio-control. Ann. Rev. Phyto. Pathol. 23:23–54.
- Raimbault, M. 1998. General and microbiological aspects of solid substrate fermentation. Electron. J. Biotechnol. 26–27.
- Sargin, S., Gezgin, Y., Eltem, R. and Vardar, F. 2013. Micropropagule production from *Trichoderma harzianum* EGE-K38 using solidstate fermentation and a comparative study for drying methods. Turk. J. Biol. 37: 139-146.
- Sarhan, A.R.T. and Hassan, A.K. S. 2001. Biological soil treatment with some antagonistic fungi to control *Fusarium* wilt of tomato and pepper. Iraqi J. Sci. 42(1): 37-49.
- Sarhan, A.R.T. 2009. Identification of the seed born fungi associated with leguminous seeds and their biological control in Iraq. Arab J. Pl. Prot. 27(2): 135-144.

- Sarhan, A.R.T. 2013. Biological control of *Helminthosporium sativum* the causal agent of root rot in wheat by some antagonistic fungi. Egypt Acad. J. Biol. Sci. 5(2):1-8.
- Sharma, S., Aneja, M.K., Mayer, J., Much, J.C. and Schloter, M. 2005. Characterization of bacterial community structure in rhizosphere soil of grain legumes. Microb. Ecol. 49(3):407–415.
- Srivastava, M., Singh, A. and Shahid, M. 2014. *In vitro Growth Performance of Trichoderma* species and Antagonistic activity against Soil Borne Pathogens. Inter. J. Sci. Res. (IJSR). 3(7): 672- 675.
- Subash, N., Meenakshisundaram, M., Sasikumar, C. and Unnamalai, N. 2014. Mass cultivation of

Trichoderma harzianum using agricultural waste as substrate for the management of damping off disease and growth promotion in chilli plants (*Capsicum annum* L.). Inter. J. Phar. & Phar. Sci. 6 (5): 188-192.

- Vargas Gil, S., Pastor, S. and March, G.J. 2009. Quantitative isolation of biocontrol agents *Trichoderma* spp., *Gliocladium* spp. and actinomycetes from soil with culture media. Microbiol. Res. 164(2): 196–205.
- Verma, M., Brar, S.K. and Tyagi, R.D. 2005. Wastewater sludge as a potential raw material for antagonistic fungus (*Trichoderma* sp.): Role of pre-treatment and solids concentration. Water Res. 39: 3587–3596.