# RESPONSE OF MAIZE VARIETIES TO N-FERTILIZER RATES UNDER DRAINAGE CONDITIONS IN CLAY SOIL. Nassr, M.M.I.; Manal A. Aziz; A. A. S. Gendy and I. A. El-Saiad Soils, Water and Environment Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center Egypt.

# ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agric. Res. Station during the two summer growing seasons (2012 and 2013), to evaluate the effect of distance from drain line (1/4 and 1/2 distance from drain line) and applied N-fertilizer rate (90, 120 and 150 kg N/fed) on yield and yield components as well as N-content of two maize hybrids (single pioneer hybrid No. 10 (V2) and ternary hybrid No 329 (V1)) in clay soils. The tile lines were spaced to simulate a 20 m spacing and 1.2 m depth with a slope of 0.1%.

#### Data showed that:

Water table depths were increased with increasing time after irrigation. Water table depth near the drains was higher than in midway between drains. Soil bulk density was lower near the drain and gradually increased towards the midway between drains.

Decreasing distance from drain line from L/2 to L/4 resulted in a significant increase in yield and yield components of maize crop as well as N-content (kg/fed.) and protein percentage in both seasons.

Maize grains yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and Plant height increased with increasing rate of N-fertilization. The values of maize grain yield were 25.71, 27.66 and 29.68 ardab/fed in the first season and 26.23, 28.62 and 30.72 ardab/fed in the second season for 90, 120 and 150 <u>kgN/fed.</u>, respectively. The corresponding values of protein percentage were 6.01, 11.68 and 15. 55 % in the first season and 5.94, 11.54 and 15.52 % in the second season, respectively. Data showed that V2 resulted in a significant increase in the grain yield, 100 grain weight and Plant height of maize crop.

The combination between distance from drain line and 150 kgN/fed resulted in a high yield and yield components as well as N-content of maize crop. Maize varieties resulted in somewhat differences in the grain yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and Plant height as well as N-content and protein percentage of maize crop in both seasons with every N-fertilization. The highest values of grains yield, 100 grains weight, Ear diameter and Plant height as well as N-content and protein percentage of maize crop were obtained with combination between L/4, 150 kgN/fed and V2 while, the lowest values were obtained with interaction of L/2, 90 kgN/fed and V1 in both studied seasons.

The obtained results revealed also, that 20 m drains spacing in clay soils is not the proper but may be economic. In addition, distance from drain line must be taken into consideration when distributing fertilizer treatments and their replicates in fertilization experiments to obtain reliable results.

Keywords: Drain line, nitrogen fertilizer, maize crop, clay soil.

# INTRODUCTION

Drainage is generally required to increase soil productivity by facing the twin problems of water logging, soil salinity and subsequently offer the suitable environments for plant growth and also for humanbeing. The tile drainage also causes very important changes in nutrients movement which make these nutrients more available for plant growth, (Abd El-Khalek, 2000 and Antar, 2005 and 2007). In clay soil at North Delta, Ramadan et al., (2006) found that 1000 grains weight, grain and straw yield significantly increased as the distance from drain line decreased, from L/2 to L/4.

Maize (Zea mays, L.) has always been and still as one of the real star of cereal crops in Egypt. It has assumed it's importance in Egypt because of its being one of the main sources of food for people and animals. Every part of the maize plant has economic value. The grain, leaves, stalk, tassel and cob, it's used for several industrials such as starch, fructose, corn flaks, alcohol, corn oil, corn fiber, ethanol, animals feed and fodder. In Egypt, it is necessary to increase maize yield to face the wide gab between the production (5.5 million ton) and consumption (13.5 million tons). The main goal of the Egyptian national maize program is to develop new hybrids with high yielding.

Maize yield is affected by many factors such as drainage conditions and nitrogen fertilizer. Nitrogen is the most important nutrients required for all plants to obtain improving yield and its quality (Rees et al., 1995). Maize needs high rates of N-mineral application, reached 300kg urea/fed. in normal soils (Nofal and Hinar, 2003). Several researchers have monitored yields and N-content of maize under different N-fertilizer rates, maize hybrids and drainage conditions (Ibrahim et al., 2003; Antar 2005 Peng Yan, et al., 2014 and Cavigliaa, et al., 2014). Nitrogen uptake is a parameter expresses the value resulted from increasing both dry matter production and nitrogen concentration in any plant part. Ibrahim et al., (2003) and Antar, (2005) found that, yields and N-content of corm grains and straw were highly significant near the drain and decreased far from the drains.

In fertilization experiment, researches usually distribute the fertilizer treatments randomly in soil despite of its position between tile drains. The objectives of the present work were to study the effect of distance from drain line and applied N-fertilizer rate on yield and yield components as well as N-content of two maize hybrids in clay soils.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agric. Res. Station during the two summer growing seasons (2012 and 2013), to evaluate the effect of distance from drain line (1/4 and 1/2 distance from drain line) and applied N-fertilizer rate (90, 120 and 150 kg N/fed) on yield and yield components as well as N-content of two maize hybrids (single pioneer hybrid No. 10 and ternary hybrid No 329.) in clay soils. The location is situated at 31°07′ 13″ N latitude and 30°57′ 43″ E longitude. The tile lines were spaced to simulate a 20 m spacing and 1.2 m depth with a slope of 0.1%. The field was plowed with moldboard plow to a depth of 20 cm. Maize (*Zea mays*, L.) was planted on May 15, 2012 and May 17, 2013. All plots received a total of 100 Kg Ca-superphosphate/fed. (15.5%  $P_2O_5$ ) and 50 Kg k-sulfate/fed. (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) during tillage operation. Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of urea was added in two doses (before the first and the second irrigations).

The different agricultural practices were done as recommended through the two growing seasons. Soil samples were taken to a depth of 0.9 m, before cultivation for analysis. The main chemical and physical properties of the soil are presented in Table (1).

The experiments were conducted in a split-split-plot design with three replicates. The main plots were distances from drain line, the sub-plots maize hybrids and the sub-sub-plots were nitrogen rates as follows:

# Main plots (distances form drain line):

L/2: Distances of 1/2 from drain line.

L/4: Distances of 1/4 from drain line.

Sub-plots (hybrids):

V2: Single pioneer hybrid No. 10.

V1: Ternary hybrid No 329.

#### Sub-Sub-plots (nitrogen application rate):

N<sub>1</sub>: Nitrogen application at rate 90 kg N/fed.

N<sub>2</sub>: Nitrogen application at rate 120 kg N/fed.

N<sub>3</sub>: Nitrogen application at rate 150 kg N/fed.

# Table(1): Some soil chemical and physical properties of the experimental field.

| Soil<br>depth | Particle | size dist | tribution | Texture | EC<br>(dS/m) | ОМ<br>% |      | Available N, I<br>(ppm) (mg/kg |     |
|---------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------------|---------|------|--------------------------------|-----|
| (cm)          | Sand%    | Silt%     | Clay%     | grade   | (asim)       | 70      | N    | Ρ                              | ĸ   |
| 0-30          | 19.50    | 23.43     | 57.07     | Clayey  | 1.77         | 1.50    | 28.0 | 7.0                            | 388 |
| 30-60         | 20.00    | 24.00     | 56.00     | Clayey  | 2.06         | 0.96    | 26.0 | 5.0                            | 321 |
| 60-90         | 19.60    | 24.95     | 55.45     | Clayey  | 2.25         | 0.61    | 25.0 | 4.6                            | 298 |

EC-soil salinity (in soil paste extract), OM-Organic matter,


To monitor water table fluctuation, observation wells were installed midway between drains at 1/2 and 1/4 distances from tile drain as recommended by Dieleman and Trafford (1976). Soil bulk density and total porosity of the different layers of soil profile were measured using the core sampling technique as described by Campbell (1994). The Maize crop was harvested on 5<sup>th</sup> October in 2012 (first season) and 7<sup>th</sup> October in 2013 (second season) to determine yield (grain.) and yield components (100 grains weight, ear diameter and plant height). Maize grains samples were taken and dried at 70°C, grounded with a mill, wet digested according to Jackson (1967) and its total N content was determined using micro Kjeldahl (Cottenie *et al.*, 1982). N-content by maize grains (kg/fed) was calculated by multiplying dry yield of maize grains (kg/fed) by N %. Also, protein percentage was calculated by multiplying N % of maize grains by 5.7.

Statistical analysis: Data are subjected to statistical analysis according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Water table levels and hydraulic head:

As shown in fig (1) water table depths in both studied seasons were increased with increasing time after irrigation. The lowest values of water table depth were found after one day from irrigation. While the highest values were found before the next irrigation. Water table depth near the drains (L/4) was higher than in midway between drains (L/2). This may be due to the improved drainage near the drains than midway between it which, in return, gave the top soil chance to dry and permitted for shrinkage and formation of water passage ways and allowed a rather easier movement of water into drain pipes. Similar results were obtained by Antar, (2005), Gendy, et al, (2009) and Paulo Castanheira (2010).



#### Soii bulk density and soil porosity

Soil bulk density is considered as one of the parameters which indicate the status of soil structure and consequently, soil water, air and heat regimes (Richards, 1954). Results in Table (2) show that soil bulk density increased with increasing soil depth for all tested profiles. This increase may be resulted from increasing soil compaction due to layers weight. Results in Table (2) show that, soil bulk density values were lower near the drain and gradually increased towards the midway between drains. Soil porosity values (Table, 2) had taken almost the opposite trend to that encountered with bulk density. This may be due to more effectiveness of drainage system near the drain line than far from the drain line. Similar results were obtained by Ibrahim *et al.* (1999) and Antar (2005).

| T                              | Soil depth |         | •                     |
|--------------------------------|------------|---------|-----------------------|
| Treatments                     | (cm)       | seasons | Total porosity<br>(%) |
|                                | 0-15       | 1.12    | 57.92                 |
|                                | 15-30      | 1.26    | 52.64                 |
| 1/4 Distances from drain line. | 30-60      | 1.32    | 50.19                 |
|                                | 60-90      | 1.42    | 46.42                 |
|                                | Mean       | 1.28    | 51.79                 |
|                                | 0-15       | 1.15    | 56.60                 |
|                                | 15-30      | 1.26    | 52.64                 |
| 1/2 Distances from drain line. | 30-60      | 1.37    | 48.49                 |
|                                | 60-90      | 1.45    | 45.28                 |
|                                | Mean       | 1.31    | 50.75                 |

# Table (2): Soil bulk density (gcm<sup>-3</sup>) and total porosity (%) with distance from drain line.

#### Effect of distance from drain line on yield and its components:

-

Data in Table (3) showed that decreasing distance from drain line L/2 to L/4 resulted in a significant increase in the grains yield, 100 grains weight and plant height and insignificant in the ear diameter of maize crop in both seasons. The values of grains yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and Plant height near the drain (L/4) were higher than that far from it (L/2) by about 1.30 ardab/fed, 1.97gm, 7.9 cm and 0.05cm in the first season and about 0.87 ardab/fed, 1.33gm, 3.3 cm and 0.6 cm, in the second seasons, respectively. Data in Table, 3 clearly showed that the N-content (kg/fed.) and protein percentage by maize plant were parallel to the yield results in both seasons. Whereas, the high values of N-content and protein percentage were obtained near the drain (L/4). This is due to the effect of drainage on conditioning water-air relationship in the root zone and its effect on mobility and availability of nutrients to the plant roots which cause more vegetative growth and subsequently produce a higher yield. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Antar, (2005).

| Table | (3): | Effect | of  | distance   | from   | drain | line | on | yield | and | yield |  |
|-------|------|--------|-----|------------|--------|-------|------|----|-------|-----|-------|--|
|       |      | comp   | one | nts of mai | ze cro | р.    |      |    |       |     |       |  |

| Drainage | Grain yield<br>(ardab/fed.) | 100grain<br>weight (g) | Plant<br>height<br>(cm) | Ear<br>diameter<br>(cm) | N-content<br>(kg/fed) | Protein<br>(%) |
|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
|          |                             | First s                | eason                   |                         | -                     |                |
| L/4      | 28.38                       | 28.26                  | 238.1                   | 12.72                   | 42.86                 | 11.25          |
| L/2      | 27.08                       | 26.29                  | 230.2                   | 12.67                   | 39.42                 | 10.62          |
| F-test   | **                          | **                     | *                       | ns                      | •                     | *              |
|          |                             | Second                 | season                  |                         |                       |                |
| L/4      | 28.96                       | 27.42                  | 208.7                   | 12.6                    | 43.72                 | 11.25          |
| L/2      | 28.09                       | 26.09                  | 205.4                   | 12.0                    | 39.25                 | 10.75          |
| F-test   | *                           | **                     | ns                      | ns                      | **                    | *              |

L/4 = 1/4 distance from drain line L/2 = 1/2 distance from drain line ardab= 140 kg

As shown in Table (4) there were high significant differences in yield and yield components as well as N-content and protein percentage of maize crop.

| N-fertilizer | Grain yield<br>(ardab/fed.) | 100grain<br>weight (g) | Plant<br>height<br>(cm) | Ear<br>diameter<br>(cm) | N-content<br>(kg/fed)                        | Protein<br>(%) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------|
|              |                             | First                  | season                  |                         | <u>.                                    </u> |                |
| N1           | 25.71c                      | 25.15c                 | 227.3c                  | 12.08b                  | 37.45c                                       | 6.01c          |
| N2           | 27.66b                      | 27.36b                 | 235.5b                  | 13.25a                  | 41.47b                                       | 11.68b         |
| N3           | 29.68a                      | 29.30a                 | 239.7a                  | 12.75b                  | 44.51a                                       | 15.55a         |
|              |                             | Secon                  | d season                |                         |                                              |                |
| N1           | 26.23c                      | 24.29c                 | 193.9                   | 11.3c                   | 38.00c                                       | 5.94           |
| N2<br>N3     | 28.62b                      | 26.81b                 | 207.0                   | 12.6b                   | 40.59b                                       | 11.54          |
| N3           | 30.72a                      | 29.07a                 | 220.4                   | 14.5a                   | 45.88a                                       | 15.52          |

| Table (4): | Effect | of  | applied    | N-fertilizer | rate | on | yield | and | yield |  |
|------------|--------|-----|------------|--------------|------|----|-------|-----|-------|--|
|            | compo  | nen | ts of maiz | e crop.      |      |    |       |     |       |  |

Data showed that, maize grains yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and plant height increased with increasing rate of N-fertilization ( from 90 to 150 kg N/fed) in both studied seasons. The values of maize grains yield were 25.71, 27.66 and 29.68 ardab/fed in the first season and 26.23, 28.62 and 30.72 ardab/fed in the second season for 90, 120 and 150 kgN/fed., respectively. The corresponding values of protein percentage were 6.01, 11.68 and 15. 55 % in the first season and 5.94, 11.54 and 15.52 % in the second season, respectively. The increment in 100 grains weight as a result of increasing N-fertilization rate may be due to the role of nitrogen in increasing plant growth and grain filling. The increment in grain yield due to nitrogen fertilizer may be explained by the increase in most correlated yield components, which increase the final yield. The increase of protein percentage due to, high N-content by maize grains under high rates of Nfertilizer. This might be attributed firstly to the increases in the root surface and enrichment of soil solution with nitrogen which reflects its influence on Nconcentration in plant tissues. This raising in N% in plant tissues may be contributed in building up metabolites and subsequently increasing dry matter production up to full growth stage and thin increases nutrients uptake by maize plant.

Data in Table (5) showed that Single pioneer hybrid No. 10 (V2) resulted in a significant increase in the grains yield, 100 grains weight and plant height and insignificant in the ear diameter of maize crop in both seasons. The values of grains yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and plant height withV2 were higher than that V1 by about 1.19 ardab/fed, 0.38 gm, 13.3 cm and 2.61cm in the first season and about 1.1 ardab/fed, 0.1gm, 12.5cm and 0.83 cm, in the second season, respectively. Data (Table 5) clearly showed that the N-content (kg/fed.) and protein percentage by maize plant were parallel to the yield results in both seasons. Whereas, the high values of N-content and protein percentage were obtained with V2.

| Varieties | Grain yield<br>(ardab/fed.) | 100grain<br>weight (g) | Plant<br>height<br>(cm) | Ear<br>diameter<br>(cm) | Grain N-<br>content<br>(kg/fed) | Grain<br>Protein<br>(%) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
|           |                             | First s                | eason                   |                         |                                 |                         |
| V1        | 27.12                       | 27.97                  | 227.5                   | 11.39                   | 39.53                           | 10.71                   |
| V2        | 28.31                       | 28.35                  | 240.8                   | 14.00                   | 42.76                           | 11.15                   |
| F-test    | **                          | **                     | • ·                     | ns                      | **                              | ns                      |
|           |                             | Second                 | season                  |                         |                                 |                         |
| V1        | 27.97                       | 26.86                  | 200.8                   | 12.4                    | 40.82                           | 10.78                   |
| V2        | 29.07                       | 26.96                  | 213.3                   | 13.23                   | 42.16                           | 11.22                   |
| F-test    | **                          | ns                     | *                       | ns                      | ns                              | ns                      |

# Table (5): Effect of hybrids on yield and yield components of maize crop.

#### The interaction between distance from drain line and N-fertilizer:

Data in Table (6) showed that, in both studied seasons, grain yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and plant height as well as grain N-content and grain protein percentage of maize crop increased with increasing the N-fertilization (from 90 to 150 kgN/fed) under two distances from drain line (L/4 and L/2). On the other hand, increasing distance from drain line L/4 to L/2 resulted in decrease in the grain yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and plant height as well as grain N-content and grain protein percentage of maize crop in both seasons with every N-fertilization. The combination with 1/4 distance from drain line and 150 kgN/fed resulted in high yield and yield components as well as N-content of maize crop. On the other hand, the combined effect with 1/2 distance from drain line and 90 kgN/fed resulted in low yield and yield components as well as grain N-content of maize crop.

Table (6): The interaction between distance from drain line and applied N-fertilizer rate on yield and yield components as well as grain N-content of maize crop.

| 9                           | 1 alli 11-0 | Oureur or | Indize cit | γ.            |        |        |        |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Demanatore                  | LxN         | First s   | eason      | F-test        | Second | season | F-test |
| Parameters                  |             | L/4       | L/2        | <b>L-1621</b> | L/4    | L/2    | F-1051 |
|                             | N1          | 26.50c    | 24.92c     | ns            | 26.68c | 25.78c | ns     |
| Grain yield<br>(ardab/fed.) | N2          | 28.35b    | 26.97b     | ns            | 29.12b | 28.12b | ns     |
| (aluabheu.)                 | N3          | 30.25a    | 29.31a     | ns            | 31.07a | 30.37a | ns     |
| 100-                        | N1          | 26.17c    | 24.13c     | ns            | 25.25c | 23.53c | ns     |
| 100grain                    | N2          | 28.45b    | 26.27b     | ns            | 27.35b | 26.27b | ns     |
| weight (g)                  | N3          | 30.17a    | 28.43a     | ns            | 29.65a | 28.48a | ns     |
| Diant                       | N1          | 231.2c    | 223.3c     | ns            | 195.0c | 192.7c | ns     |
| Plant                       | N2          | 239.5b    | 231.5b     | ns            | 208.1b | 205.9b | ns     |
| height (cm)                 | N3          | 243.5a    | 235.8a     | ns            | 223.0a | 217.7a | ns     |
| Eas diamator                | N1          | 12.17c    | 12.0c      | ns            | 11.7c  | 11.0b  | ns     |
| Ear diameter                | N2          | 13.5b     | 13.0b      | ns            | 13.7b  | 11.5b  | ns     |
| (cm)                        | N3          | 12.5a     | 13.0a      | ns            | 15.5a  | 13.5a  | ns     |
| Grain N-                    | N1          | 39.6c     | 35.2c      | ns            | 40.4c  | 35.7c  | ns     |
| content                     | N2          | 43.5b     | 39.4b      | ns            | 42.9b  | 38.3b  | ns     |
| (kg/fed)                    | N3          | 45.5a     | 43.6a      | ns            | 47.9a  | 43.8a  | ns     |
| Casia Destain               | N1          | 6.12      | 5.89       | ns            | 6.20c  | 5.67c  | ns     |
| Grain Protein               | N2          | 12.32     | 11.05      | ns            | 11.85b | 11.23b | ns     |
| (%)                         | N3          | 15.19     | 14.92      | ns            | 15.72a | 15.33a | ns     |

#### The interaction between maize hybrids and N-fertilizer:

Data in Table (7) showed that, in both studied seasons, grains yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and plant height as well as grain N-content and grain protein percentage of maize crop increased with increasing the Nfertilization (from 90 to 150 kgN/fed) with both two maize hybrids (V1 and V2). On the other hand, maize hybrids resulted in somewhat differences in the grains yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and plant height as well as grain N-content and grain protein percentage of maize crop in both seasons with every N-fertilization.

Table (7): The interaction between two maize hybrids and applied Nfertilizer rate on yield and yield components as well as Ncontent of maize crop.

| Parameters       | VxN  | First s | eason  | F-test | Second | season | F-test |
|------------------|------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Falameters       | VXIV | V1      | V2     | r-test | V1     | V2     | r-lest |
| Grain yield      | N1   | 24.98c  | 26.45c | ns     | 25.73c | 26.73c | ns     |
| (ardab/fed.)     | N2   | 26.97b  | 28.35b | ns     | 28.07b | 29.17b | ns     |
| (aluabried.)     | N3   | 29.43a  | 30.13a | ns     | 30.12a | 31.32a | ns     |
| 100grain         | N1   | 25.72c  | 24.58b | *      | 24.75c | 24.03b | *      |
| weight (g)       | N2   | 27.80b  | 26.92a | *      | 26.80b | 26.82a | *      |
| weight (g)       | N3   | 29.87a  | 28.73a | ns     | 29.02a | 29.12a | ns     |
| <b>Plant</b>     | N1   | 218.7b  | 235.8c | *      | 185.8c | 201.9c | *      |
|                  | N2   | 229.2a  | 241.8b | *      | 199.5b | 214.4b | *      |
| height (cm)      | N3   | 234.7a  | 244.7a | ns     | 217.1a | 223.6a | ns     |
| For diamotor     | N1   | 10.2b   | 14.0ab | ns     | 10.7c  | 12.0b  | *      |
| Ear diameter     | N2   | 11.5ab  | 15.0a  | ns     | 12.0b  | 13.2ba | ns     |
| (cm)             | N3   | 12.5a   | 13.0b  | *      | 14.5a  | 14.5a  | ns     |
| Onein N. content | N1   | 35.1c   | 39.8c  | ns     | 36.4c  | 39.6b  | ns     |
| Grain N-content  | N2   | 39.6b   | 43.3b  | ns     | 40.3b  | 40.9b  | ns     |
| (kg/fed)         | N3   | 43.9a   | 45.2a  | ns     | 45.8a  | 46.0a  | ns     |
| Crain Dratain    | N1   | 5.78c   | 6.23c  | ns     | 5.65c  | 6.22c  | ns     |
| Grain Protein    | N2   | 11.70b  | 11.68b | ns     | 11.43b | 11.65b | ns     |
| (%)              | N3   | 14.67a  | 15.55a | ns     | 15.26a | 15.79a | ns     |

#### The interaction between distance from drain line and maize hybrids:

Data in Table (8) showed that, in both studied seasons, grains yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and plant height as well as N-content and protein percentage of maize crop decreased with increasing the distance from drain line (from L/4 to L/2) with both two maize hybrids (V1 and V2). Also, V2 cultivation resulted in an increase in the grains yield, ear diameter and plant height as well as grain N-content and grain protein percentage of maize crop in both seasons.

| Devery stars         | 1.41   | First | season | F-test | Second | season | E toot |
|----------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Parameters           | LxV    | L/4   | L/2    | r-test | L/4    | L/2    | F-test |
| Oneta stald          | V1     | 27.59 | 26.66  | ns     | 28.40  | 27.54  | ns     |
| Grain yield          | V2     | 29.15 | 27.48  | ns     | 29.51  | 28.63  | ns     |
| (ardab/fed.)         | F-test | ns    | ns     |        | ns     | ns     |        |
| 100                  | V1     | 28.21 | 27.38  | ns     | 27.52  | 26.19  | ns     |
| 100grain             | V2     | 28.31 | 25.18  | ns     | 27.31  | 26.00  | ns     |
| weight (g)           | F-test | ns    | ns     |        | ns     | ns     |        |
| Plant<br>height (cm) | V1     | 231.3 | 223.7  | ns     | 202.1  | 199.6  | ns     |
|                      | V2     | 244.8 | 136.8  | ns     | 215.3  | 211.3  | ns     |
|                      | F-test | ns    | ns     |        | ns     | ns     |        |
|                      | V1     | 11.8  | 11.0   | ns     | 12.8   | 12.0   | ns     |
| Ear diameter         | V2     | 13.7  | 14.3   | ns     | 14.4   | 12.0   | *      |
| (cm)                 | F-test | ns    | ns     |        | ns     | ns     |        |
| Coolin N. contont    | V1     | 40.9  | 38.2   | ns     | 42.3   | 45.2   | ns     |
| Grain N-content      | V2     | 44.9  | 40.7   | ns     | 39.4   | 39.1   | ns     |
| (kg/fed)             | F-test | ns    | ns     |        | ns     | ns     |        |
| Onein Dretain        | V1     | 10.96 | 10.47  | ns     | 11.01  | 10.55  | ns     |
| Grain Protein        | V2     | 11.53 | 10.78  | ns     | 11.50  | 10.94  | ns     |
| (%)                  | F-test | ns    | ns     |        | ns     | ns     |        |

### Table (8): The interaction between distance from drain line and two maize hybrids on yield and yield components as well as Ncontent of maize crop.

The interaction between distance from drain line, maize hybrids and N-fertilizer:

Data in Table (9) showed that, the highest values of grains yield, 100 grains weight, ear diameter and plant height as well as N-content and protein percentage of maize crop were obtained with interaction between L/4, 150 kgN/fed and V2 while, the lowest values were obtained with interaction of L/2, 90 kgN/fed and V1 in both studied seasons.

Nitrogen use efficiency = grain yield, kg/fed./nitrogen applied, kg/fed.:

Nitrogen use efficiency in terms of productivity factor clearly showed that application of nitrogen with low rates caused a higher value of nitrogen use efficiency. Data also, showed that the combination between L/4 and nitrogen rates resulted in higher value of nitrogen use efficiency especially with single pioneer hybrid No. 10 (V2). This is due to the effect of detraining distance from drain line (L/4) on conditioning water-air relationships in the root zone and its effect on mobility of nutrients to the plant root.

| Table (9): 7 | The inter | action  | betwee  | n distance          | from  | drain | line, | two  | maize |
|--------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
|              | hybrids   | and a   | pplied  | <b>N-fertilizer</b> | rate  | on    | yield | and  | yield |
|              | compon    | ents as | well as | grain N-co          | ntent | of ma | ize c | rop. | -     |

| com             | ponent | is as we | ll as grain | N-content of | of maize cro | pp.    |
|-----------------|--------|----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Parameters      | 1 ~1   | /xN      |             | season       | Second s     | eason  |
| ralameters      |        |          | V1          | V2           | V1           | V2     |
|                 |        | N1       | 25.63c      | 27.37b       | 26.30c       | 27.07b |
|                 | L/4    | N2       | 27.43b      | 29.27ab      | 28.40b       | 29.83a |
| Grain yield     |        | N3       | 29.70a      | 30.80a       | 30.50a       | 31.63a |
| (ardab/fed.)    |        | N1       | 24.30c      | 25.53b       | 25.17c       | 26.40b |
|                 | L/2    | N2       | 26.50b      | 27.43ab      | 27.73b       | 28.50b |
|                 |        | N3       | 29.17a      | 29.47a       | 29.73a       | 31.00a |
|                 |        | N1       | 26.07c      | 26.27b       | 25.40c       | 25.10b |
|                 | L/4    | N2       | 28.40b      | 28.50a       | 27.53b       | 27.17b |
| 100grain        |        | N3       | 30.17a      | 30.17a       | 29.63a       | 29.67a |
| weight (g)      |        | N1       | 25.38c      | 22.90b       | 24.10c       | 22.97b |
|                 | L∕2    | N2       | 27.20b      | 25.33a       | 26.07b       | 26.47a |
|                 |        | N3       | 29.57a      | 27.30a       | 28.40a       | 28.57a |
|                 |        | N1       | 223.3b      | 239.0c       | 186.4        | 203.6  |
|                 | L/4    | N2       | 232.7a      | 246.3b       | 200.5        | 215.7  |
| Plant           |        | N3       | 238.0a      | 249.0a       | 219.3        | 226.7  |
| height (cm)     | L/2    | N1       | 214.0b      | 232.7c       | 185.3        | 200.1  |
|                 |        | N2       | 225.7a      | 237.3b       | 198.6        | 213.2  |
|                 |        | N3       | 231.3a      | 240.3a       | 214.9        | 220.5  |
|                 |        | N1       | 10.33b      | 14.00ab      | 10.3c        | 13.0b  |
|                 | L/4    | N2       | 12.00ab     | 15.00a       | 13.0b        | 14.3ab |
| Ear diameter    |        | N3       | 13.00a      | 12.00b       | 15.0a        | 16.0a  |
| (cm)            |        | N1       | 10.00a      | 14.00a       | 11.0b        | 11.0a  |
|                 | L∕2    | N2       | 11.00a      | 15.00a       | 11.0b        | 12.0a  |
|                 |        | N3       | 12.00a      | 14.00a       | 14.0a        | 13.0a  |
|                 |        | N1       | 36.85c      | 42.43b       | 38.10c       | 42.60b |
|                 | L/4    | N2       | 41.40b      | 45.60a       | 41.33b       | 44.50b |
| Grain N-content |        | N3       | 44.33a      | 46.57a       | 47.40a       | 48.43a |
| (kg/fed)        |        | N1       | 33.35c      | 37.15c       | 34.64c       | 36.67b |
|                 | L∕2    | N2       | 37.82b      | 41.06b       | 39.34b       | 37.20b |
|                 |        | N3       | 43.40a      | 43.73a       | 44.10a       | 43.57a |
|                 |        | N1       | 5.87c       | 6.38c        | 5.78c        | 6.62c  |
|                 | L/4    | N2       | 12.11b      | 12.54b       | 11.77b       | 11.92b |
| Grain Protein   |        | N3       | 14.62a      | 15.66a       | 15.47a       | 15.96a |
| (%)             |        | N1       | 5.7c        | 6.07c        | 5.52c        | 5.83c  |
|                 | L/2    | N2       | 11.28b      | 10.82b       | 11.09b       | 11.38b |
|                 | 1      | N3       | 14.41a      | 15.43a       | 15.05a       | 15.61a |

The obtained results revealed also, that 20 m spacing in clay soils is not the proper but may be economic. In addition, distance from drain line must be taken into consideration when distributing fertilizer treatments and their replicates in fertilization experiments to obtain reliable results J.Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ., Vol. 6(2), February , 2015

| Treatments |    |    | Grain yield<br>(kg / fed.) | Nitrogen<br>applied<br>(kg/fed.) | Nitrogen use<br>efficiency |
|------------|----|----|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| L/4        | V1 | N1 | 4933.35                    | 90                               | 54.82                      |
|            |    | N2 | 5303.85                    | 120                              | 44.20                      |
|            |    | N3 | 5719.0                     | 150                              | 38.13                      |
|            | V2 | N1 | 5162.3                     | 90                               | 57.36                      |
|            |    | N2 | 5614.5                     | 120                              | 46.79                      |
|            |    | N3 | 5930.85                    | 150                              | 39.54                      |
| L/2        | V1 | N1 | 4699.65                    | 90                               | 52.22                      |
|            |    | N2 | 5151.85                    | 120                              | 42.93                      |
|            |    | N3 | 5595.5                     | 150                              | 37.30                      |
|            | V2 | N1 | 4933.35                    | 90                               | 54.82                      |
|            |    | N2 | 5313.35                    | 120                              | 44.28                      |
|            |    | N3 | 5744.65                    | 150                              | 38.30                      |

Table (10): The overall average of nitrogen use efficiency as affected by different treatments.

#### REFERENCES

- Abd El-khalek, M.I.I.(2000). Nitrogen loss and uptake as affected by nitrogen application and tile drainage. Ph.D.Thesis. Fac. Of Agric. Mansoura University, Egypt.
- Antar, A. S. (2007). Nitrate transport in clay soils and its losses into field drains tiles from urea applied for sugar beet. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32 (6): 4987-4998.
- Antar, A. S. (2005). Movement of some nitrogen forms, atrazine and malathion in clay soil as affected by drain spacings. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Tanta Univ., Egypt.
- Campbell, D.J. (1994). Determination and use of bulk density in relation to soil compaction. In Soane and Ouwerk (Eds). Soil Compaction in Crop Production. Elsever, London and Amsterdam.
- Cavigliaa O. P., R.J.M. Melchioria, V.O. Sadrasc (2014). Nitrogen utilization efficiency in maize as affected by hybrid and N rate in late-sown crops. Field Crops Research, Volume 168, P. 27-37.
- Cottenie, A.; M. Ver Loo; L. Mjkiekens; G. Velghe and R. Comertynck (1982). Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil. Lab. Anal. And Agrochem. State Univ., Gent., Belgium, Chapter 2 and 3, pp. 14-54.

Dieleman, P. J. and B. D. Trafford (1976). Drainage testing. Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 28. FAO, Rome.

Gendy,A.A.S.; I. E. Nasr El-Din and A.S. Antar (2009). Effect of irrigation, potassium application and distance from drain line on wheat crop in clay soil. J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ., 35 (3): 897 – 908.

Ibrahim, S. H.; S. A. Gaheen; M. A. Koriem and A. S. Antar (2003). Atrazine and nitrate transport through a clay soil into subsurface tile drains of different spacings. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 29 (2), 335-353.

Ibrahim, S.M.; J. Blankenburg and H. Kuntze (1999). Drainage effects in marsh soil. II. Effects on soil properties and grain yield. Z.F. Kutturtech and Landentwick, 40(2):72-76.

Jackson M. L. (1967). Soil Chemical Analyses. Prinice-Hall of India, New Delhi.

- Nofal,F.and A.Hinar(2003). Growth and chemical properties of maize grow of some single crosses as affected by nitrogen and manure fertilization under sprinkler irrigation in a sandy soil.Egypt .J.Appl.Sci.18(5B), 583.
- Paulo Castanheira (2010). Empty drain and the water level at midway between the drains. aspects regarding management. CSBE11372 – Presented at ASABE's 9th International Drainage Symposium (IDS), Québec City, Canada June 13-17, 2010.
- Peng Yan, Shanchao Yue, Menglong Qiu, Xinping Chen, Zhenling Cui, , Fanjun Chen (2014). Using maize hybrids and in-season nitrogen management to improve grain yield and grain nitrogen concentrations. Field Crops Research, Volume 166, P. 38-45.
- Ramadan, S. A., A.A.S. Gendy, N. I. Talha and A. A. El-Leithi (2006). Effect of distance from drain line on wheat crop under different rates of nitrogen fertilization in clay soil. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31 (4): 2583-2591.
- Rees R. M., M. Roelcke, X. O. Wang and J. Richter (1996). The effect of fertilizer placement on nitrogen uptake and yields of wheat and maize in chinese losses soil. Nutrient cycling in agroecosystems. 47 (1): 81-91.

Richards, L.A. (1954). "Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils" U.S.D.A. Hand Book No. 60.

Snedercor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1980). "Statistical Methods" 7<sup>th</sup> ed., Iowa state Univ., Press., Ames., Iowa, USA. 225-330.

استجابة اصناف الذرة للتسميد بالنتروجين تحت ظروف الصرف فسي الأرض الطينية مجدي محمد إبراهيم نصر، منال عادل عزيز، الجندي عبد الرازق سليمان جندي و إبراهيم عباس الصياد مركز البحوث الزراعية- معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة- الجيزة - مصر

أجريت التجربة في مزرعة محطة البحوث الزراعية بمنخا خلال موسمي المصيف المريت التجربة في مزرعة محطة البحوث الزراعية بمنخا خلال موسمي المصيف الانتاج ومكونات الانتاج ومحتوي الحبوب من النتروجين والبروتين لمهجينين من الذرة هما هجين فردي ١٠ (V2)وهجين ثلاثي ٣٢٩ (V1) في الارض الطينية. وصممت التجربة بنظام القطع المنشقة مرتين.

وقد أظهرت نتائج الدراسة ما يلي:-

زيادة عمق الماء الارضي مع مرور الزمن بعد الري وزاد عمق الماء الارضى بالقرب من المصرف عن المناطق البعيدة عن المصرف كما لوحظ قلة الكثافة الظاهريــة فــي المنــاطق القريبة من المصرف عن المناطق البعيدة من المصرف.

وتوضح النتائج ان نقص المسافة من خط المصرف من ٢/١ المي ٤/١ نتج عنها زيادة معنوية لانتاج الذرة ومكوناتة وايضا محتوي الحبوب من النيتروجين البروتين في الموسمين.

وعن زياد معدلات التسميد النيتروجيني وجد زيادة انتاج الذرة من الحبوب ووزن المائه حبة وطول النبات وقطر الكوز مع زيادة معدل السماد النيتروجيني حيث كانت قيم انتاج الذرة من الحبوب بمقدار ٢٥.٧١، ٢٧.٨٦، ٢٩.٦٨ اردب للفدان في الموسم الاول و ٢٨.٢٢ ، ٢٨.٢٢ ، ٣٠.٧٢ اردب للفدان في الموسم الثاني للمعدلات ٩٠، ١٢٠، ١٥٠ كيلوجر ام نيتروجين للفدان علي التوالي. النتائج توضح زيادة معنوية لانتاج الذرة من الحبوب ووزن المائه حبـة وطـول النبـات للصنف ٧٧ .

والنتائج توضح ان الانتاج الاعلى للمحصول ومكوناتة و محتسوي الحبوب مسن النيتروجين والبروتين تحققت نتيجة للتفاعل بين المسافة من المصرف ومعدل السماد النيتسروجين ١٥٠ كيلو جرام للفدان. وان التفاعل بين الاصناف ومعدل السماد النيتروجين أدي الى إختلافات قليلة.

والنتائج توضح ان القيم الاعلى من انتاج الذرة من الحبوب ووزن المأنة حبـة وطـول النبات وقطر الكوز وايضا النيتروجين الممتص والبروتين تحققت مع التفاعل بين ٤/١ المـسافة من المصرف و ١٥٠ كيلوجرام نيتروجين للفدان والصنف ٧2 بينما القيم الاقـل تحققـت مـمع التفاعل بين ٢/١ المسافة من المصرف و ٩٠ كيلوجرام نيتروجين للفدان والصنف ٧٦ في كـلا الموسمين.

وفي النهاية النتائج توضح ان مسافة الصرف ٢٠ متر في الارض الطينية غير مناسبة ولكن قد تكون إقتصادية. بالاضافة الى انة يجب ان يؤخذ في الاعتبار المسافة من المصرف عنــد توزيع معاملات السماد والمكررات في تجارب التسميد لكي نحصل على نتائج واقعية.