

Performance of Kids Fed Berseem Silage Alone or Mixed with Barely, Napier Grass or Rod Grass.

Saleh, M. R. M.

Animal Production Research Institute , Agricultural Research Center , Dokki , Giza , Egypt .



ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to investigate the growth performance, of fattening kids fed berseem silage alone or mixed with either , barley, hybrid Napier grass or Rod grass .Thirty-two growing Zaraibi kids of four months age and averaged 13.19 kg live body weight were used to this study. The animals were distributed on four feeding treatments (8 heads each), were used in this study .The experiment included two stages. The first stage represents a growing period 140 days ,during which the kids were fed concentrate feed mixture (CFM) to cover 50 % of protein requirements recommended by NRC(1989).In addition to *ad libitum* silage supplement, where (100 % berseem silage) was offered to group I(G₁),(50 % berseem+50 %barley silage) to group 2 (G₂),(50% berseem+50% hybrid Napier grass silage) to group 3(G₃) and (50% berseem+50% Rod grass silage) to group 4 (G₄).Second stage the (finishing period 60 days) all experimental groups were fed 60% concentrate feed mixture (CFM)+ 20% berseem hay+20% rice straw. The results showed that , during the first stage (growing period of 140 days) the substitution of mixture of Rod grass x berseem silage G₄) and hybrid Napier grass x berseem silage(G₃) significantly (p < 0.05) increased live body weight , body weight gain , protein and energy efficiency ratios compared with kids fed other dietary treatment groups. Moreover, final body weight (finishing period 60 days) the kids fed G₄ and G₃ rations were significantly (p<0.05) higher than those fed others tested rations. While feed intake as well as (DM , CP ,TDN ,DCP, protein and energy) were significantly (p<0.05) higher for kids fed control (G₁) followed by those fed barely x berseem silage ration (G₂) than kids fed Rod grass x berseem silage(G₄)and hybrid Napier grass x berseem silage(G₃).However feed conversion ratio was the best for Rod grass x berseem silage(G₄)followed by hybrid Napier grass x berseem silage(G₃). Meanwhile , the results illustrated that kids fed Rod grass x berseem silage (G₄) improved (p<0.05) most nutrients digestibility and feeding values as TDN and DCP compared with other tested rations .The average daily feed intake by kids fed control and barely rations during growing period were significantly (p < 0.05) higher than others . Average daily feed intake by kids during finishing period showed that kids fed control (G₁) and barely x berseem silage(G₂) had the highest DM intake . However, kids fed ration contained Rod grass x berseem silage (G₄) and hybrid Napier grass x berseem silage(G₃)showed the lowest DM intake. It could be concluded that Rod grass mixing with berseem silage(G₄) , hybrid Napier grass with berseem silage(G₃) and barely with berseem silage(G₂) as silages in growing kids nutrition led to improve digestibility of most nutrients, increase daily gain , feed conversion and higher economic return and economic efficiency during the whole fattening period .

Keywords: Zaribi kids, berseem silage ,hybrid napier grass silage, barley silage , and rod grass , growth performance ,fattening.

INTRODUCTION

Berseem silage one of the important feed for animal and it commonly used not in Egypt but overall the world for performance and lactating animals nutrition particularly for small animals as well as (sheep and goats) and large animals as (cattle ,beef cattle and camels). Silages can be used for lambs and kids production together with concentrate feed mixture(CFM).Live weight gains were high in kids fed only silage diets and inclusion of CFM to the diet led to good responses in production parameters Shahzad *et al.* (2011).Feeding lambs with Rye grass ensiled x berseem increased silage consumption and caused more growth performance Salem *et al.*(2012) In some studies no improvement was observed even with improved silage quality karteien (2014).Many research papers published on the effects of feeding of goats and sheep performance and meat quality, on the other side there are some available data on comparing grass as silage or hay with cereal for feeding of lambs and kids. Grass is moderately appropriate for silage making due to the poor carbohydrate content .It is reported that hay or grass silage use as forage source did not affect live weight gain .Therefore forages as legume and grasses are an important forage source for ruminants in many parts of the world and it can be offered freshly to animals, or preserved as hay and silage Brandly *et al.* , (2012) .The most areas of our country specially in east and northeast regions of Egypt are rich in terms of rangeland. In these parts, common use of hay from grass , however silage making in this area with high amounts.

Produced hay or grass silage in these areas is used commonly in feeding of goats , sheep and cattle.

The aim of this study was to estimating the effect of using different silage mixtures with berseem grass silage, as (barley, hybrid Napier grass and Rod grass) as equivalent mixes of grass with berseem silage ,on growth performance and economic efficiency in zaribi kids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design and animals and their feeding

32 kid's zaribi goats, aged 4 months old and average initial body weight 13.19 kg were used in this study. Animals were distributed on four feeding treatments (8 each).Each group was housed in separate pens under similar condition. Animals were weighed at the beginning of the experiment and at biweekly intervals thereafter. The experiment is consists of two stages. The first stage represents (growing period for 140 days) during which the kids were fed concentrate feed mixture (CFM) to cover 50% of protein requirements recommended by NRC(1981).In addition to *ad libitum* silage supplement,where berseem silage alone was offered group I(G₁),silage (50% berseem+50 % barley) group 2 (G₂),(50 % silage berseem + 50% hybrid napier grass silage) group 3 (G₃) and silage made of (50 % berseem + 50% Rod grass) group 4 (G₄),all rations on DM bases respectively. Second stage (finishing period for 60 days),the corresponding kids were fed 60% concentrate feed mixture(CFM)+20 % berseem hay+20% rice straw for all experimental

groups. All animals in this study were subjected to 10 days as adaptation period before the starting of the study .CEM and silage were given twice a day(8 am and 4 pm) while water was available all day during the study .

Ensiling making

The forages as well as (berseem or its mixtures , barely , hybrid Napier grass and Rode grass) was sun dried for 48 hrs to reach a moisture content of about 65 - 70% and chopped (10-15 cm) by a chopper machine before ensiling to reduce the moisture content to about 70%, then mixed with rice straw (4:1) on dry matter basis. Ensiling was done using white plastic bag .The ensiling lasted for 8 weeks then samples were taken to test for the physical and fermentative characteristics.Feed intake and feces were recorded daily ,The chemical analysis of CFM and different types of silages are presented in Table (1) .

Silage quality

Quality tests for silage was determined as following , the silage was extract and prepared by homogenizing 20 gram fresh material with (100 ml distilled water) then blending for 10 minutes Schultz (1996) .The homogenized sample was filtered through a double layer cheese cloth then the solution was (re-filtrated) through a filter paper until it becomes perfectly clear. The pH value was directly determined using digital pH meter. Water extracts were mixed with (25% meta-phosphoric acid. Lactic acid concentration was determined by titration with 0.1 N sodium hydroxide solution using 0.5 ml of phenolphthalein indicator) , according to Analytical Chemistry of Foods (1995). proportion of TVFA'S as well as (acetic, propionic, butyric and valeric acid) were determined according to Rusel *et al* .,(1999),natural detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber were determined according to Van Soest *et al* .(1991).Silage quality is shown in Table (2)

Live body weight and growth performance

Live weights of the animals were recorded at the beginning of the study (zero day) and every week throughout the study. They were weighed individually before the morning feed .Average daily live weight gain of animals in the each group was recorded. Concentrate and forage intakes were determined for each group. All of the offered concentrates were consumed by the animals in all groups through the study .The net forage intake in each group was determined for each groups by subtracting the remaining forage from the offered forage. Total feed intake of animals was converted to dry matter basis, and feed efficiency was calculated by dividing average daily feed intake to average daily weight gain.

Digestibility trials

Four digestibility trials were conducted to determine nutrients digestibility coefficients and nutritive values of the experimental rations .Samples of feces and urine were taken daily from three animal with 24 hours interval during the collection period .The samples of rations and feces were composted and representative samples were analyzed according to A.O.A.C.(2000) .

Rumen fluid samples and analysis

At the end of the study rumen liquor samples were collected from three animals before feeding (0 time) , 4 and 8 hrs post feeding . Rumen fluid samples were taken from 3 kids of each experimental group

using stomach tube before feeding (0 time) and 4 and 8 hrs post feeding .The samples were filtered through3 layers of gauze and immediately subjected to the determination of pH value of the rumen fluids were immediately measured by a pH meter according to the methods of McDonald (2007) Then, rumen fluid filtered through four layers cheesecloth. Approximately 7- 8 ml filtered rumen fluid was transferred to a tube and 3-4 drop concentrated H2SO4 was added on rumen fluid. After then, the tube was mixed and stored at (- 4 °C) until the process of analysis .The remaining part of rumen fluid was centrifuged 3000 g for 15 minutes and 4 ml supernatant was transferred to a tube and 1 ml 25% methaphosphoric acid was added on and mixed, then stored at-20°C for determination of NH3 N concentration was made according to modified (Kjeldal method) Bolsen, *et al* .(1976) .

Blood samples and serum parameters

The end of the study, blood samples were taken from the jugular from each animal. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 g for 15 minutes. Serum samples were transferred to a tube and they were stored at (-20°C) for analyses. Blood biochemistry analysis including the measurement of glucose, total protein and albumin. Concentration of blood serum parameters were analyzed using the commercial kits.

Nitrogen balance

Samples of feces and urine were collected daily up to seven successive days representatively. After feces collection samples were dried then, mixed and kept for chemical analysis. Nitrogen was d determined in rations , feces and urine according to A.O. A.C. (2000) .

Economics feed efficiency

Economic efficiency was calculated on bases of selling income of body weight gain(BWG)-cost of feed intake as following : -

Income over feed cost (LE) = {(body weight gain(kg)x price of kg gain (LE) - total feed cost (LE) Aboul Ella (2000) ,where price of - One ton CFM = 3000 LE , rice straw=100 LE/ ton , berseem silage =200 LE/ ton, barely grass=200 LE/ ton , hybrid Napier silage = 200 LE / ton , rod grass =180 LE/ton , live body weight = 35 LE /kg , body weight as the dominant market price in this period) .

Statistical analysis

Data were statistical analyzed by general liner , model using ANOVA procedures of SAS (2003) . The significance among treatments means were detected by Duncan's multiple range tests (1955) .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical analysis

Data of chemical composition of different types of silage mixed with berseem as well as (barley ,hybrid napery grass and Rod grass compared with berseem silage alone are presented in Table (1).The results revealed that barely grass (G2) , hybrid napier grass(G3) and Rod grass (G4) rations, rich in CP content (14.22 ,14.21 and 14.64 respectively) compared with control group(14.01). Moreover , G4 ration had lower values of crud fiber, nitrogen free extract and no fiber carbohydrate(NFC)

(24.79, 44.67 and 26.24 respectively) whereas , ether extract and ash were the highest values (3.35 and 12.55 respectively) than those of G1 ration (3.15, and 10.25 respectively) , G2 ration (3.07 and 10.25 respectively) and G3 rations (2.41 and 12.00 respectively).Generally the chemical composition of barley, hybrid napier grass and Rod grass may be considered as a preliminary indication to their feeding values, as an alternative or new alternative ingredients plant protein sources in goats diet .It may be used to resolving the shortage of animal nutrition in Egypt .These results are in agreement with those obtained by Zenkolwa et al .(2007), who showed that Rod grass contains 17% CP ,3% EE , 24% CF , 12 % ash and 45 % NFE. The results of this study showed clearly that

chemical composition of T1 ration contained the highest value of NDF, ADL (44.17 and 10.16 % respectively) than others .The hybrid napier grass contained higher NDF and ADF (43.22 and 34.61 , respectively) than other experimental rations. As same time , the fattening diet was higher in DM , OM and NFE (90.47 , 91.55 and 57.03) But , it was lower in CF , EE, Ash , NDF , ADF , ADL and NFC(18.93,8.45, 41.54,32.42 , 3.61 and 34.42 respectively).This results are agreement with those obtained by Damilan et al. (2000) who reported that CP of Rod grass silage was 14.31- 16.45%. and berseem silage(BS) had 14.70 % of CP. The significantly different CP content of forage depended on morphological status and plant age Bilal et al. (2001).

Table 1 . Chemical composition of tested Ingredients and Rations(% on DM basis).

Fattening diet	Rations					Ingredients						Items
	G4	G3	G2	G1	RS	Rod grass	Naper hybrid	Barely	BH	EB	CFM*	
90.47	87.46	88.63	86.15	91.00	89.13	86.49	88.16	89.22	88.93	88.56	90.27	DM
Chemical analysis (% on DM basis)												
91.55	87.45	88.00	89.75	89.95	88.65	90.37	89.77	88.70	89.36	88.58	89.70	OM
18.93	24.79	26.01	25.13	27.50	28.31	28.74	30.21	29.35	26.14	25.14	12.50	CF
12.73	14.64	14.21	14.22	14.01	1.36	16.70	15.36	13.75	14.51	13.16	13.79	CP
2.86	3.35	2.41	3.07	3.15	1.45	3.49	3.88	2.57	2.48	2.59	2.80	EE
57.03	44.67	45.37	47.33	45.29	57.53	41.44	40.32	43.03	46.13	47.69	60.61	NFE
8.45	12.55	12.00	10.25	10.05	11.35	9.63	10.23	11.30	10.64	11.42	10.30	Ash
Celluloses fractionation												
41.54	43.22	42.97	41.99	44.17								NDF
32.42	34.61	33.78	32.47	33.47								ADF
7.61	7.90	8.82	9.76	10.16								ADL
9.12	8.90	9.19	9.52	10.70								Hemicelluloses
24.81	25.71	24.96	22.71	23.31								Cellulose
34.42	26.24	28.41	30.47	28.63								**NFC
58.46	56.78	57.03	58.01	55.83								***NDS
7.59	8.20	9.10	9.84	10.77								****UNDF
33.95	35.02	33.87	32.15	33.40								*****ANDF

*Concentrate feed mixture (CFM) consists of 36% yellow corn, 30% undecortecated cotton seed, 27% wheat bran, 3.0% molasses, 2.5 % limestone, 1% common salt and 0.5% minerals mixture.

** Non fibrous carbohydrate % (NFC) = 100- (CP%+EE%+ASH%+NDF%) , (Calsamiglia et al., 1995).

*** NDS : Neutral detergent soluble = 100 – NDF

****UNDF: Unavailable NDF = NDF X 0.01 X ADL X 2.4 (Fox et al., 2000) . ***** ANDF : Available NDF = ADF – UNDF .

Silage quality

Results in Table (2) showed , no marked differences between the three experimental treatments for pH but , addition to , G4 ration was higher values of lactic acid and total acidity (6.33 and 29.93) than those of G3 ration(6.19 and 29.68) , G2 ration (6.17 and 28.19) whereas the lowest values with G1 ration (6.03 and 27.92) respectively .On the other hand ,The lowest values of acetic acid ,butyric acid , ammonia and Total TVFA's were recorded with G4 ration (2.18, 0.24, 0.17and 18.86 ,respectively) than those of G1 ration(2.61, 0.39 , 0.23 and 22.55) , G2 ration (2.29,0.41, 0.22 and 19.92) G3 ration .These results are in agreement with that reported by salam (2006) , who indicated that the ensilage can preserve feed and improve its feeding value .

Feed intake and water consumption

The daily dry matter intake (DMI) decreased linearly (P<0.05) with the addition of Rod grass silage and hybrid Napier grass Table (3).The lowest daily DMI was recorded for kids fed G4 diet (1146) followed by those given G3 diet(1171) than those G1(1233) and G2 (1191) .On the other side DM intake and CP intake were significantly (p<0.05) higher within G1 ration (9.06 and

172.74 respectively) followed by G2 (8.15 and 169.36) than others and the lowest values were recorded with G3 (7.10 and 166.40) and G4 (6.53 and 167.77) respectively .This could be due to more characters and increase of exactly feed compensates of mixed silage with berseem . and dry matter intake (DMI) of the Rod grass silage and hybrid Napier grass which had high amount of fermentable carbohydrates. and energy, which had increased the digestibility of the silages.

Table 2 . Anaerobic fermentation of silage quality (berseem , barely , napier grass and Rod grass of kids fed tested rations

Items	T1	T2	T3	T4
pH value	4.21	4.28	4.36	4.07
Lactic acid % DM	6.03 ^B	6.17 ^B	6.19 ^A	6.33 ^A
Acetic acid % DM	2.61 ^A	2.29 ^B	2.43 ^B	2.18 ^B
Butyric acid % DM	0.39 ^A	0.41 ^A	0.32 ^B	0.24 ^B
Ammonia % DM	0.23 ^A	0.22 ^A	0.18 ^B	0.17 ^B
Total acidity(ml in NaOH/100 g)	27.92 ^B	28.19 ^A	29.68 ^A	29.93 ^A

A and B Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05 .

The average daily water consumption of kids of Zaraibi goats fed the tested experimental rations is summarized in Table (3). The differences among the four groups in water consumption (L/h and ml/kgw^{0.82}) were noticeable. However, the highest value of daily water consumption (ml/g DM intake) was recorded G₁ (3.87) followed by G₂ (3.45) and G₃ (3.23) while G₄ recorded the lowest value (3.09). Generally, the quantity of daily water consumption in the present study is nearly similar to those obtained by Soliman *et al.* (2010) on growing Zaraibi goats (ranged from 2.22 to 3.30 ml/g DM intake).

Table 3 . Average feed intake , water consumption and feed conversion ratio through the growing period .

Items	Experimental groups (on DM% bases)			
	G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	G ₄
Daily DM intake through growing period				
Concentrate (CFM) , g/h/d	549	538	544	542
silage , g/h/d	684	653	627	604
Total DM intake g/h/d,	1233 ^A	1191 ^A	1171 ^B	1146 ^B
Kg DM intake/ kg gain	9.06 ^A	8.15 ^A	7.10 ^B	6.53 ^B
CP intake , g / h / d	172.74 ^A	169.36 ^A	166.40 ^B	167.77 ^B
Daily water consumption				
L / h / d	3.87 ^A	3.45 ^A	3.23 ^B	3.09 ^B
MI / kg BW	118.17 ^A	101.80 ^A	89.55 ^B	80.71 ^B
MI / g DM intake	3.14	2.90	2.76	2.63
Feed conversion ratio(FCR)	9.04 ^A	8.15 ^B	7.09 ^B	6.53 ^B

A and B Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05 .

Growth performance (growing period)

Results in Table (4). Showed higher values of total body weight and daily weight gain of kids fed G₄ ration had significantly (p < 0.05) higher (24.57 and 175.5) followed by hybrid G₃(23.11 and 165.07) in comparison of G₁(19.06 and 136.14) and G₂ (20.47 and 146.21) respectively. On the other hand TDN and DCP g/h/d were significantly (p < 0.05) lower within G₃ ration (749 and 114.52 respectively) respectively and the highest values were recorded with G₁ (773 and 117.38) than others .On the other side, the obtain results illustrated that higher feed conversion ratio was recorded with ration containing Rod grass (G₄) followed by that containing G₂ and G₃ rations compared with the control diet . Moreover, the rate of degradation and clearance of digestibility from the rumen Diawati (2005) reported that higher DMI might be due to a better availability of nutrients which are readily been degraded by rumen microbes. Inclusion of berseem silage to the grass silage had a positive effect on feed intake as observed by polvier *et al.* (2003) reported that the DMI of lambs and kids increased as the inclusion level of Rod grass silage in the diet . This is due to high moisture and NDF content of the silage which physically restricts DMI .

Growth performance (finishing period)

Data of average feed intake and average growth performance during finishing period as well as feed conversion efficiency of the Zaraibi kids are summarized in Table (5). The results illustrated that the

during finishing period(60 days) that kids fed G₄ contain (Ray grass) had lower value of dry matter intake (1393 g/h/d) in comparison of G₁ ration (1393 g/h/d) , G₂ ration (1391 g/h/d) and G₃ ration (1389 g/h/d) .

Table 4 . Feed conversion of growing zaribi kids fed the experimental rations.

Items	Groups			
	G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	G ₄
No . of animals	8	8	8	8
Growing period				
Duration , day	140	140	140	140
Initial body weight , kg	13.69	13.42	12.96	12.71
Final body weight , kg	32.75 ^B	33.89 ^B	36.07 ^A	37.28 ^A
Total body weight gain, kg	19.06 ^B	20.47 ^B	23.11 ^A	24.57 ^A
Daily body weight gain, kg	136.14 ^B	146.21 ^B	165.07 ^A	175.50 ^A
Metabolic body size, w ^{0.75}	13.69	14.06	14.72	15.09
Feed conversion				
Total TDN , intake g /h/d	773 ^A	753 ^B	749 ^B	750 ^B
g CP / kg gain	1269 ^A	1158 ^A	1008 ^B	956 ^B
Total DCP g /h/d	117.38 ^B	116.36 ^B	114.52 ^A	120.10 ^A
Kg TDN/ kg gain	5.68 ^A	5.15 ^B	4.54 ^B	4.28 ^B
g DCP / kg gain	0.862 ^A	0.796 ^B	0.700 ^B	0.684 ^B
Feed efficiency				
DDM *	29.49	28.61	29.77	30.50
NE (MJ / kg) **	0.312	0.320	0.303	0.325
NED (Mcal /kg)***	1.31	1.34	1.27	1.36

A and B Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05 .

* DDM : Digestion dry matter % of DM = 88.9 - 0.779 X(ADF % of DM) (Schroeder ,1996)

** NE : Net energy (M J /kg) = TDN % X 0.0245) - 0.12/ 4.184 (NRC, 2001)

***NED (Mcal /kg)=(TDN%) X(0.0245) - 0.12 (NRC 2001) .

Table 5 . Feed utilization of growing zaribi kids fed tested ration during finishing experimental period.

Items	Groups			
	G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	G ₄
Finishing period (60 day)				
No. animal	8	8	8	8
Initial body weight , kg	32.75 ^B	33.89 ^B	36.07 ^A	37.28 ^A
Final body weight , kg	42.96 ^B	41.55 ^B	45.29 ^A	46.95 ^A
Total body weight gain, kg	10.21 ^A	7.66 ^B	9.22 ^A	9.67 ^A
Daily body weight gain, g	170.17 ^A	127.67 ^B	153.67 ^A	161.17 ^A
Metabolic body size, w ^{0.75}	16.78	16.37	17.46	17.94
Concentrate (CFM) , g/h/d	845	837	840	832
Berseem hay ,	275	280	271	270
Rice straw , g/h/d	273	274	278	276
Total DM intake km /h/d	1393 ^A	1391 ^A	1389 ^A	1378 ^B
Total TDN g / h / d	905.59 ^A	904.29 ^A	902.99 ^A	895.84 ^B
Protein intake g / h / d	148.77 ^B	145.50 ^A	147.49 ^B	137.92 ^B
Total DCP g / h / d	103.30 ^B	100.98 ^A	102.36 ^B	95.71 ^B
Feed conversion				
Kg DM / kg gain	6.87	8.95	7.54	6.72
Kg TDN/ kg gain	4.81	6.82	5.07	4.64
Kg DCP / kg gain	0.610	0.791	0.666	0.594

A and B Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05 .

Fortunately, protein intake and DCP linearly with same trend of DMI. Moreover, kids fed G₁ during the finishing period some compensatory growth after feeding on berseem forage during the growing period .The final body weight, body weight gain and daily

body weight gain of kids fed ration contained G4 was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher (46.95, 9.67 and 161.17) than those fed, G3(45.29, 9.22 and 153.67) ,G2 (41.55, 7.66 and 127.67)) and control ration (42.96, 10.21 and 170.17) respectively. As feed conversion expressed as kg DM, TDN and DCP per kg gain for kids fed G2 showed the highest feed efficiency(8.95, 6.82 and 0.791) for DM, TDN and DCP and G3 (7.54, 5.07 and 0.594) Also, the results obtained revealed that animal fed G4 showed the best feed conversion (6.72 , 4.64 AND 0.594 respectively), which might be due to this group gave the highest values daily gain and the lowest TDN and DCP of daily feed intake .ON the other hand , G2 ration showed the lowest values of daily gain with the highest TDN and DCP intake .

Growth performance overall period

Data present in Table (6). Clearly that total body weight and average daily gain were the highest values with animal fed G4 ration being (46.95 kg and 171.20 g) followed by G3 ration (45.29 kg and 161.65 g) , whereas , G2 ration was moderately (42.96 kg and 147.70 g) respectively . On the other side the lowest values had detected with animals fed G1(41.55 and 139.5). Generally, animal fed G4 and G3 appeared to have lower feed conversion than those fed control ration . This results are in agreement with those reported by Abdel-Rahman *et al.*(2001) who found that growth performance and feed conversion of legume x grass mixture was better than legume or grass alone. Eymanoel (2010). showed that Napier grass is palatable forage when fed to buffalo, steers and cows either alone or with concentrate . Murphy *et al.* (1994) found that the average daily weight gain was greater for lambs fed 100% concentrate compared with lambs grazed Ray grass or Rod grass.

Table 6 . Feed utilization efficiency by growing zaribi kids fed the four tested rations overall the experimental period .

Items	G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	G ₄
Whole period (200 day)				
No. of animals	8	8	8	8
Initial body weight , kg	13.65	13.42	12.96	12.71
Final body weight , kg	41.55 ^B	42.96 ^B	45.29 ^A	46.95 ^A
Total body weight gain, kg	27.90 ^B	29.54 ^B	32.33 ^A	34.24 ^A
Daily body weight gain, g	139.5 ^B	147.70 ^B	161.65 ^A	171.2 ^A
Concentrate (CFM) , g/h/d	638	628	633	629
Silages , g/h/d	684 ^A	653 ^A	627 ^A	604 ^B
Berseem hay ,	275	280	271	270
Rice straw , g/h/d	273	274	278	276
Av. DM intake g /h/d (overall)	1870 ^A	1835 ^B	1808 ^B	1779 ^B
Total TDN intake .g/h/d (overall period)	815.41 ^A	782.34 ^B	795.26 ^B	793.87 ^B
Total DCP intake , g / h/ d (overall period)	238.2 ^A	236.6 ^B	234.0 ^B	241.2 ^A

A and B Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05 .

In addition to data presented was demonstrated clearly that average daily feed intake , Total TDN and DCP intake , g / h/ d (overall period) were higher with kids fed G1(1870, 815.41 and 238.3 respectively) and G2 (1835, 782.34 and 236.6 respectively) than those fed G3 (1808,795.26 and 234.0 respectively) whereas the lowest values with G4 (1879and 793.87) for DM

and TDN respectively , except of DCP intake was significantly($p < 0.05$) higher than those fed the other rations .

Digestion coefficients and nutritive values

The effect of experimental diets on nutrient digestibility is shown in Table (7). The apparent digestibility of DM , OM, CP,CF, EE,NFE ,NDF and ADF were significantly different among the treatments. The nutrient digestibility increased linearly with addition of hybrid Napier grass rations (G₃) the values were (67.39, 69.02,68.83,69.85,71.95 and 70.80 respectively) and G₄ (66.45, 68.79, 71.59, 70.61, 73.17 and 71.55 respectively) .Than G1 (63.31, 66.06, 67.93 , 65.71,67.88 and 66.90 respectively) addition to , G2 ration (62.31, 65.89, 68.72, 66.39 .69.84 and 67.52 respectively) and the values as same trend . On the other side finishing diets was recorded the next values(68.19,70.33,69.40,64.98,72.25 and 68.77 respectively) Similarly was obtained by Juniper *et al.* (2005). This results may be back to that G₄ ration(Rod grass) and G₃ ration(Napier grass) had content of structural carbohydrate in silage it more susceptible to rumen microbial degradation compared to barely grass (G₂) and berseem silage (G₁). Also, this is probably due to the physical and chemical constituents of combined rations . The apparent digestibility of CP also increased linearly with the substitution of Rod grass (G₄)and (G₃). This might be due to high uptake of nitrogen content in the diet Hunt *et al.* (1988). On the otherside , Truswbil (2005) stated that no significant difference was observed in the digestibility of NDF and ADF of goats fed Rod grass and hybrid Napier grass silage to the berseem silage . However, the highest NDF and ADF digestibility were recorded the highest value with inclusion berseem in basal diet and decreased when berseem mixed with Rod grass on the form of silage. Nutritive values as TDN and CDP were significantly differences among treatments , so the lowest nutritive values were recorded with G1 ration (62.72 and 9.52) and G4 significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher (65.51 and 10.48) compared with G2 (63.21 and 9.77),G3(59.97 and 9.78) and finishing ration values (64.33 and 8.85 respectively).

Table 7 . Effect of dietary treatments on nutrient digestibility in growing zaribi kids

Items	G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	G ₄	finishing
DM	63.31 ^B	62.31 ^B	67.39 ^A	66.45 ^A	68.19 ^A
OM	66.06 ^B	65.89 ^B	69.02 ^A	68.79 ^A	70.33 ^A
CP	67.93 ^B	68.72 ^A	68.83 ^A	71.59 ^A	69.40 ^A
CF	65.71 ^B	66.39 ^B	69.85 ^A	70.61 ^A	64.98 ^B
EE	67.88 ^B	69.84 ^B	71.95 ^A	73.17 ^A	72.25 ^A
NFE	66.90 ^B	67.52 ^B	70.80 ^A	71.55	68.77 ^B
Nutritive values					
NDF	61.33	55.20	52.99	60.45	57.41
ADF	53.12	47.87	43.83	52.13	49.91
TDN	62.72	63.21	59.97	65.51	64.33
DCP	9.52	9.77	9.78	10.48	8.85

A and B Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05 .

Nitrogen balance

Results of nitrogen balance Table (8) showed that dietary nitrogen balance (% N-balance of N intake) recorded significantly ($P < 0.05$) increase for G4 ration which contained ray grass (51.59) followed by G1 ration

(45.55) than the three other rations G3 ration (44.86) , the lowest value had detected with G2 ration (42.04) .The result was in agreement with the finding of Saleh *et al.* (2007).

Table 8. Nitrogen balance of growing zaribi kids fed the four tested rations overall Period .

Items	G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	G ₄
Av. CP intake g/h/d(overall)	174.16 ^A	171.67 ^A	169.53 ^B	170.07 ^B
Nitrogen intake g/h/d	27.87	27.47	27.13	27.21
NI g / kg LBW	0.671	0.639	0.599	0.580
NI g / kg BWG	0.200	0.186	0.166	0.160
Fèces nitrogène (FN) g	5.78	4.56	5.35	4.19
Urine nitrogène (UN) g	3.71	4.68	3.52	3.79
Total nitrogène excretion(NE),g	8.49 ^A	9.24 ^A	8.78 ^B	7.98 ^B
Digestion nitrogène DN g/ kg BW	12.59	11.19	12.04	13.98
NB %	69.54 ^B	66.36 ^B	67.64 ^B	70.76 ^A

A and B Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05 .

Rumen Ammonia and total nitrogen

Ammonia and total nitrogen of rumen are presented in Table (9) .The results of ruminal ammonia nitrogen and total nitrogen there is not significantly observed among all four experimental treatments at zero time and was significantly (P<0.05) lower with G₁ and G₂ than of hybrid napier grass silage (G₃) and (G₄) at 4 and 8 hrs post-feeding. But, G₃ recorded the moderate values .This positive effect of mixture silage on ruminal ammonia and nitrogen intake was observed also by Mansour *et al.* (2014)

in the rumen of bucks, lambs and lactating does, respectively. On the other hand the overall mean values were gradually decreased to reach the lowest values at 8 h post feeding .It is interest to note that TN were higher 8h post feeding more than 4h post feeding .

Table 9. Effect of experimental rations on rumen activities of growing zaribi kids

Parameters	Time	Experimental rations				Overall
		G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	G ₄	
Ammonia	0	38.54 ^B	43.87 ^B	50.91 ^A	56.25 ^A	47.39
	4	44.76 ^B	48.93 ^A	55.67 ^A	60.37 ^A	52.43
	8	41.78 ^B	45.87 ^B	53.79 ^A	56.73 ^A	49.54
Total	0	156.0 ^A	150.0 ^B	154.0 ^B	161.0 ^A	155.25
	4	163.0 ^A	165.0 ^B	174.0 ^B	176.0 ^A	188.00
	8	176.0 ^B	185.0 ^A	191.0 ^A	192.0 ^A	182.50

A and B Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05

Economic efficiency

Data in Table (10) showed that the total feed cost of rations of overall period tended to be lower with berseem mixtures particularly ration contained berseem (G1) was higher of feed coast (4.62 LE) while rations contained Rod grass had lowest feed coast (4.53 LE) .As same time , G1 and G2 moderately values (4.57 and 4.58 respectively) .

Table 10 . Feed cost and economic efficiency of the experimental rations (on bases of feed intake).

Items	Experimental rations			
	G ₁	G ₂	G ₃	G ₄
Growing period 140 days				
Intake Kg / h				
Concentrate feed mixture , kg	549	538	544	542
Silage , kg	684	653	627	604
Total feed cost / h , LE	1.78 ^A	1.75 ^B	1.76 ^B	1.74 ^B
Daily body weight gain, kg	136.14 ^B	146.21 ^B	165.07 ^A	175.50 ^A
Price of daily weight gain LE	4.76	5.12	5.18	6.14
Economic return , (LE)	2.98	3.37	3.42	3.54
Economic efficiency %	-	13.1	14.77	18.80
Finishing period 60 days				
Intake Kg / h				
Concentrate (CFM) , g/h/d	845	837	840	832
Berseem hay ,	275	280	271	270
Rice straw , g/h/d	273	274	278	276
Total feed cost / h , LE	2.84	2.82	2.82	2.79
Daily body weight gain, g	170.17 ^A	127.67 ^B	153.67 ^A	161.17 ^A
Price of daily weight gain , kg	5.96	4.47	5.38	5.64
Economic return , (LE)	3.12	1.65	2.66	2.85
Economic efficiency %	-	4.70	17.95	8.65
Whole stages (200 day)				
Feed Intake Kg/ h				
Concentrate feed mixture , kg	638	628	633	629
Silage , kg	684 ^A	653 ^A	627 ^A	604 ^B
Berseem hay , kg	275	280	271	270
Rice straw , g/h/d	273	274	278	276
Total feed cost / h / d , LE	4.62	4.57	4.58	4.53
Price of body weight gain , kg	10.72	9.59	10.56	11.78
Economic return , (LE)	6.10	5.02	5.98	7.25
Economic efficiency %	-	1.78	1.97	15.86

A and B Means having different superscripts within the same row are significantly different (P<0.05) .

- Market price of CFM = 3000 LE / ton , rice straw=100 LE/ ton , berseem silage 200LE/ ton , barley silage = 200 LE / ton , hybrid Napier silage = 200 LE / ton , rod grass =180 LE/ton , live body weight = 35 LE /kg , (as the dominant market price in this period) .

Meanwhile , price of body weight gain / kg gave the lowest value with G2 ration (9.59 LE) whereas ,the highest was in G4 ration (11.78 LE) than other experimental groups Moreover , Economic efficiency % was higher with G4(15.86).and the lowest value with G3 (1.97).Also the economic efficiency recorded the same previous trend, showing higher economic efficiency with animal fed rations containing the respectively, giving (1.78 ,1.97 and 15.86) for G2, G3 and G4 respectively. Generally , using barley , hybrid Napier and Rod grass with berseem on the form of silage were better than use berseem silage alone because it tended to have higher daily gain , improved feed utilization efficiency and lend to lower cost of feeding to get one kg gain as well as economic efficiency.

CONCLUSION

In this study the showed that different four mixture silage containing average 250 – 320 g / kg DM of starch was superior of high quality grass silage in terms of forage intake and growth performance. The results are in agreement with those of previous studies , that Rodgrass and hybrid Napier silages with a good level of starch has the potential to increase forage intake and body weight gain (growth performance) when partial inclusion of good quality grass silage in the diet of growing goats. However, in this study there was further advantage in body weight to including silage of Rod grass and hybrid Napier silages with a berseem silage and feed efficiency was reduced. The growing kids in this study showed high body weight gain and may be as responsive to additional starch in the forage as goats producing more meat. However, there was slightly difference in the DM digestibility of the total diets. The results of this study indicate that all experimental silages used in this study had a good forage for growth performance and high daily gain. These results are agree with those obtained by Khatab (2013), who demonstrated that the partially replacement berseem by grasses reduced the cost of concentrate mixture components of goats and sheep diets by 25% .

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Rahman, H.; G.A.Baraghit ;s.s.Omar and O.f.Komona (2001).growth performance nutritive value , nitrogen balance , some rumen and blood parameters of Ossimi lambs fed either berseem , rye grass or their mixture .Egyptian J.Nutrition and feeds,4(Special Issue) 155-166.
- Aboul Ella , A.A.(2000). Mathematical programing models applied to feed formul -ation for ruminants .Ph.D.Thesis in animal Nutrition, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain-Shms, University ,Shubra El-Khe, Cairo Egypt.
- ACF (1995) Analytical Chemistry of Foods (1995). Published by Blockie Academic and Professional, an imprint of chapman & Hall, Western Cleddens Road, Bishopbriggs, Glasgow, UK.
- A.O.A.C. (2000). Association of Official Analytical Chemists of Official Methods of Analysis 17 ed Washington D.C.
- Bilal, M. Q., Sarwar, M., and Ahmad, S. (2001). Effect of stage of growth and nitrogen fertilization on protein contents of mott grass and its morphological fractions. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*,1,39-41..
- Brandly,T.E.; W.R. YOUNG ; B.Y.T.Poltien (2012) . Forages as legume and grasses as important forage source for ruminants in many parts of the world . *Asian-Australasian Journal of Anim.Sci.* 15 ,1976-1993.
- Calsamiglia,S.;StemM.D.andFirnkin J.L.(1995).Effect of protein source on nitrogen metabolism in continuous culture and intestinal digestion in vitro . *J. Anim. Sci.*73: 1819.
- Damilan,I.,Islam, M., and Rajion, M.A. (2000). Nutrient intake and digestibility of fresh, ensiled and pelleted oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) Frond by Goats. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science.* 13,1407-1413.
- Diawati, Y.A.R. (2005) Comparison of fermentation kinetics (in vitro) of grass and shrub legume leaves: The pattern of VFA concentration, estimated CH4 and microbial biomass production. *Indo. J.Agric.* 2(1): 21-27.
- Duncan, D. (1955). Multiple ranges and multiple F-test. *Biometrics*,11: 1.
- Eymanoel,M.R.E.L.(2010). A study of the effect of feeding Napier grass on milk production and composition using defferent level of concentrate . M.Sc. Thesis , Fac. Of Agtic. Zagazig Univ.
- Fox , A.E.S.; R.K. Rrablydly ; W.T.S.Marphy ; (2000) Neutral detergent fiber equations in foods and feeds *J. of Anim. Scie.* 79: 49-64.
- Juniper, D.T., Browne, E.M., Fisher, A.V., Bryant, M.J., Nute, G.R. and Beever, D.E. (2005). Intake, growth and meat quality of steers given diets based on varying proportions of maize silage and grass silage. *J. Anim. Sci.* 81(01): 159-170. karteien (2014) . improvement of production as relation with improved silage quality *J. Nutri. Repro.* 51: 1674–1687.
- Khatab, E.T. (2013) . Demonstrated partially replacement berseem by grasses on cost of concentrate mixture components of goats and sheep diets.
- Mansour ,M.R.; S.R.T.Shams ;R.W.Hend and M. El-Awaad (2014)Comparative nutritive values of silage ration containing different level of corn silage and sweet potato vine .*Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds*, (12):479 .
- McDonalds NALD P.(2007) . The buffering constituents of herbage and of silage. *J. Sci. Food Agr.*, , 17, 264-268.
- Murphy , T.A.; S.C.Loerch ; McCLURE and M.B.So (1994) . Effect of grain or pasture finishing systems on growth rate of lambs . *J.Anim.Sci.*72: 3138-3144.
- NRC(National Research Council),(1989). Nutrient requirement of sheep(7th Rev. Ed . National academy press ,Washington, DC.
- NRC,(National Research Council),(2001).Nutritional requirement of dairy cattle,7th Rev. Ed National academy Sci. Washington, DC. USA.
- Okoruwa, M.I., Igene, F.U. and Isika, M.A. (2012). Replacement value of cassava peels with rice husk for guinea grass in the diet of West African Dwarf (WAD) sheep. *J. Agri. Sci.* 4(7): 254 -261.
- Polvier , W.F.J., Beukes, J.A. and Meeske, R.(2003). Maize silage as a finisher feed for Merino lambs. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.* 43(5): S111-S115.

- Truswbil ,R.W. ; Bush, K.J. and J.W. Young (2005). Quantitative separation of volatile fatty acids by high performance liquid chromatography. LiquidChromatography.
- Rusell, J.B. & Wallace, R.J. (1999). Energy yielding and consuming reactions. In: P.N. Hobson (Ed.), The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem. Elsevier Science Publishers, Barking, England, pp. 185-215.
- Saleh, M. R. M.; G. I. El - Emam; A. Abd El- Aziz and E. I.Khalifa (2007). Nutritional studies and nitrogen utilization in small ruminants . J. Animal and Poultry Prod., Mansoura Univ., Vol.3 (7): 339 – 351 .
- Salam, A.M. (2006).Ensilage and improvement of feeding value Proceeding of Conference of Animal Production,In the 26th Century,16-18 Septamber, Alexandria, p.40.
- Salem , A.G.; M.T. Abd El-Rhem ; S.A.Shokaer (2012).Effect of feeding lambs with Rye grass ensiled mixture on growth performance.
- SAS Institute (2003). SAS/STATR User's Guide: statistics. Ver. 9.1, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Schroeder, J.W.; (1996) . Quality forage for maximum production and return . NBDSU Extension service , North , Dakota State University .
- Schultz, L.H. (1996). Lactic acid production in the rumen. J. Dairy Sci., 39: 1455.
- Shahzad MA, Nisa M, Sarwar M. (2011) .Nutrients intake,digestibilit nitrogen balance and growth performance of goats and sheep fed different silages with or without concentrate. Trop . Anim Health Prod . 43:795–801.
- Soliman, A. A., Faten. F. Abou Ammou, E. I. Shehata, M. K. Abou Elmaged, and M. A. Shebl (2010). Impact of some feed additives on Zaraibi goats performance and blood profile fed diets. American-Eurasian J. Agric. and Environ. Sci., 7 (1) : 80.
- Truswbil ,R.W. ; Bush, K.J. and J.W. Young (1979). Quantitative separation of volatile fatty acids by high performance liquid chromatography. Liquid Chromatography.
- Van Soest, P.J., Robertson, J.B. & Lewis, B.A.(1991). Methods of dietary fiber, Neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. Journal Dairy Science 74, 3583-3597.
- Zenkolwa, N.; C.Polat; h. Akyurek; H.E.Sami;I ; E.Erten and Z. Mikulec (2007).The effect of high energy high protein of Rod grass content on growth performance of kids. Proceeding of the 11 th17Eruipian WPSAsymposium on animal nutrition Fanimark , pp ., 331-333.

أداء النمو الجداء الزرايبي المغذاه على سيلاج البرسيم بمفرده أو مختلطا مع الشعير , هجن علف النايبير أو الرود جراس .

مصطفى راشد محمد صالح

معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيواني – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الدقي – جيزة – مصر

أجرى هذا البحث لدراسة تأثير استخدام سيلاج البرسيم ومخاليطه مع (شعير العلف . هجن علف النايبير والروود جراس) في علائق الجداء الزرايبي النامية لقياس أداها الإنتاجي من حيث النمو وكفاءة التحويل الغذائي والكفاءة الاقتصادية . استخدم عدد ٢٢ من الجداء الزرايبي النامية بمتوسط وزن ١٩ و١٣ كجم - وزعت عشوائيا على ٤ مجاميع متماثلة (٨ حيوانات بكل منها) اشتملت التجربة على مرحلتين ، المرحلة الاولى (مرحلة النمو) استمرت ١٤٠ يوما غنيت فيها جميع الحيوانات على العلف المركز ليغطي ٥٠% من الاحتياجات البروتينية طبقا ل NRC لسنة ١٩٨١ ، بالإضافة الى التغذية حتى الشبع على (١٠٠% سيلاج البرسيم بمفرده) أو مخلوطا بنسبة (١:١) مع كلا من (الشعير . هجن النايبير والروود جراس) . حيث قدم سيلاج البرسيم بمفرده للمجموعة الأولى (مج ١) ، ومخلوط سيلاج البرسيم مع الشعير للمجموعة الثانية (مج ٢) وسيلاج البرسيم مع هجن النايبير للمجموعة الثالثة (مج ٣) ومخلوط سيلاج البرسيم مع الروود جراس للمجموعة الرابعة (مج ٤) . واستمرت تجربة النمو (١٤٠ يوما) أما المرحلة الثانية فهي مرحلة التسمين (استمرت ٦٠ يوما) غنيت فيها الحيوانات على عليقة مكونة من ٦٠% علف مركز + ٢٠% دريس برسيم + ٢٠% قش ارز . أوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها من هذه الدراسة خلال المرحلة الأولى (مرحلة النمو) أن الحيوانات التي تغذت على العليقتين المحتويين على سيلاج مخلوط البرسيم مع سيلاج علف الروود جراس (مج ٤) وسيلاج مخلوط البرسيم مع هجن النايبير جراس (مج ٣) الأتى :- ١- زيادة معدلات نمو الجسم والوزن المكتسب اثناء مرحلة النمو زيادة معنوية على مستوى (٥٠٠) للمجموع مع ٣ (٣٦٠٠٧ ، ٢٣٠١١ على التوالي) ومج ٤ (٣٧٠٢٨ ، ٢٤٠٥٧ على التوالي) مقارنة بالعلائق التجريبية الأخرى ١ (٣٢٠٧٥ ، ١٩٠٠٦ على التوالي) والمج ٢ (٣٣٠٨٩ ، ٢٠٠٤٧ على التوالي). ٢- ارتفاع كفاءة الاستفادة من المادة الجافة المأكولة والبروتين الخام المأكول لكل كجم وزن مكتسب في العليقتين مع ٣ (٧٠١ كج . ١٦٦٠٤ جم) لكل من المادة الجافة المأكولة والبروتين على التوالي . بينما كانت قيم المج ٤ (٦٠٥٣ مج . ١٦٧٠٧٧ جم) لكل من المادة الجافة المأكولة والبروتين المأكول على التوالي مقارنة بتلك التي غنيت على مج ١ (٩٦٩٠٦ كج . ١٧٢٠٧٤ جم) ومج ٢ (٨٠١٥ ، ١٦٩٠٣٦ جم) على التوالي . ٣- ارتفاع معظم المركبات الغذائية المهضومة والمركبات الكلية المهضومة والبروتين المهضوم للمج ٣ والمج ٤ مقارنة بباقي العلائق التجريبية الأخرى . ٤- انخفضت قيم العلف المأكول والكفاءة التحويلية لكل كجم مادة جافة بالنسبة لكل كجم وزن مكتسب للمجموعتين مع ٤ (٦٠٥٣) و المج ٣ (٧٠٠٩) قياسا بالمجموع الأولى مع ١ (٩٠٠٤) والمجموع الثانية (٨٠١٥) . ٥- سجلت المجموعتين الأولى والثانية اعلى قيمة للدي دي ان والدي سي بي فكانت قيم للمجموع الأولى (٥٠٦٨ كج . ٨٦٠ جم) لكل من الدي دي ان والدي سي بي على التوالي بينما المجموع الثانية فكانت (٥٠١٥ كج . ٧٩٦ جم) لكل من الدي دي ان والدي سي بي على التوالي . في حين كانت اقل القيم مع المجموع الرابعة (٤٠٢٨ كج . ٦٨٤ جم) لكل من الدي دي ان والدي سي بي على التوالي والمجموع الثالثة (٤٠٢٨ كج . ٧٠٠ جم) لكل من الدي دي ان والدي سي بي على التوالي . ٦- سجلت المجاميع الاربعة المختبرة تباينا معنويا في قيم المركبات الغذائية المهضومة في مرحلة النمو على مستوى (٥) وكانت القيم كالتالى : المجموع الأولى (٦٦٠٠٦ ، ٧١٠٦٥ ، ٦٧٠٨٨) لكل من المادة الجافة والمادة العضوية والبروتين الخام والألياف الخالصة الأثيرى والمستخلص خالي الأزوت على التوالي . المجموع الثانية (٦٢٠٣١ ، ٦٥٠٨٩ ، ٦٦٠٧٢ ، ٦٩٠٨٤ ، ٦٦٠٣٩ ، ٦٩٠٥٢) لكل من المادة الجافة والمادة العضوية والبروتين الخام والألياف الخام والمستخلص الأثيرى والمستخلص خالي الأزوت على التوالي . المجموع الثالثة (٦٧٠٣٩ ، ٦٩٠٠٢ ، ٦٩٠٨٣ ، ٦٩٠٦٥ ، ٦٩٠٨٥ ، ٧١٠٨٠) لكل من المادة الجافة والمادة العضوية والبروتين الخام والألياف الخام والمستخلص الأثيرى والمستخلص خالي الأزوت على التوالي . بينما اعطت المجموع الرابعة مع ٤ (٦٦٠٤٥ ، ٦٨٠٧٩ ، ٦١٠٧١ ، ٧١٠٧٢ ، ٧١٠٥٥) لكل من المادة الجافة والمادة العضوية والبروتين الخام والألياف الخام والمستخلص الأثيرى والمستخلص خالي الأزوت على التوالي . ٧- خلال المرحلة الثانية (مرحلة التسمين) والتي استمرت ٦٠ يوما وجد أن المجاميع التي أعطت قيما منخفضة في أوزان الجسم وخاصة مع المجاميع (مج ١ ومج ٢) خلال فترة النمو أدت تحسنا ملحوظا في وزن الجسم والوزن المكتسب والكفاءة الغذائية التحويلية وذلك نتيجة حدوث النمو التعويضي عند التغذية على عليقة تحتوى على نسبة أعلى من المركز مع دريس البرسيم والتي ساعدت على رفع معدلات النمو والاستفادة من الغذاء بينما كانت هذه الزيادة الحادثة مع المعاملتين (مج ١ ومج ٢) لم تتساوى مع الزيادة الحادثة مع المعاملتين (مج ٣ ومج ٤) اللتان اعطت اعلى القيم في كافة النواحي التجريبية بداية من مرحلة النمو حتى مرحلة التسمين . ٨- الكفاءة الاقتصادية على مدار التجربة بمرحلتينها (النمو والتسمين) قد حققت لمجموع الرابعة مع ٤ أعلى عائد من الوزن اليومي المكتسب (١١٠٧٨ جنيتها) ثم المجموع الثالثة (١٠٠٥٦ جنيتها) ثم المجموع الأولى (١٠٠٧٢ جنيتها) بينما اعطت المجموع الثانية أقل عائد (٩٠٥٩ جنيتها) . ٩- حققت المجموع الرابعة أعلى كفاءة اقتصادية (١٠٥٨٦%) بينما سجلت المجموع الثانية أقل كفاءة اقتصادية (١٠٧٨) . نستخلص من هذه الدراسة ان استخدام سيلاج مخلوط البرسيم مع علف الروود جراس وهجن علف النايبير وشعير العلف في علائق الجداء الزرايبي النامية خلال فترتي النمو والتسمين كانت أفضل من عليقة الكنترول المحتوى على سيلاج البرسيم بمفرده .