

Predicting Field Generations of The Green Peach Aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) and Its Predator, Green Lace-Wing, *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) by Using Heat Units Accumulation and Evaluation of Some Insecticides against Their Populations

Adnan Abdel-Fattah El-Sayed Darwish, Mohammed Mabrouk Ragab Attia
Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University, Egypt

Received on: 3/12/2016

Accepted: 1/2/2017

ABSTRACT

Applying a precise forecasting method is necessary to achieve acceptable results in integrated pest management programs. The objectives of this work were to predict the occurrence of population of *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and *Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae), determine the influence of temperature and relative humidity on their population densities and also to study the effect of five insecticides on the population of these insect species in potato plants under field conditions at Nobarria district, El-Beheira Governorate, Egypt. The populations of *M. persicae* and *C. carnea* were monitored on potato plants from February to May of 2015 and 2016 seasons. The population peaks of these insects were predicted using a degree-day model (accumulated thermal units). The obtained results revealed that the green peach aphid has nine field generations while the green lace-wing has two field generations. The general average of deviations between the expected peaks compared to the observed peaks were -1.333 and -1 days in case of *M. persicae*, while these averages were +3 and -1 days in case of green lace-wing in 2015 and 2016 seasons, respectively. The effect of the meteorological factors such as temperature and relative humidity on population of these insect were studied. The correlation results revealed that the incidence of *M. persicae* and *C. carnea* were significantly and positively correlated in descending order with minimum, mean, and maximum temperature degree, respectively. The efficiency of acetamiprid, thiamethoxam, pymetrozine, chlorantraniliprole and pirimicarb on *M. persicae* and *C. carnea* populations was determined. These insecticides could be arranged in ascending order as: acetamiprid > thiamethoxam > chlorantraniliprole > pymetrozine > pirimicarb for controlling the green peach aphid. While regarding their harmful effect on the *C. carnea* population their order became chlorantraniliprole > pirimicarb > thiamethoxam > acetamiprid > pymetrozine. These results were repeated in both seasons of study, 2015 and 2016.

Key words: *Myzus persicae*, *Chrysoperla carnea*, degree-day model, insecticides, potato plants.

INTRODUCTION

Potato plants have been attacked by green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* which causing substantial loss to potato crop (Saljoqi, 2009). The green peach aphid, *M. persicae* is a generalist species infesting more than 400 plant species (Francis *et al.*, 2006) and considered to be a major pest of potatoes worldwide (Raman and Midmore, 1983). The green peach aphid has a complex life cycle with 10 to 25 generations a year. Most generations consist of parthenogenetic females that produce live nymphs without mating. The population spreads from one host to another all year round as new hosts become available (Western Regional IPM project, 2006). Larvae of green lace-wing, *Chrysoperla carnea* are active predators, feed on many soft-bodied insects, mainly aphids. It is considered one of the most important predators of *M. persicae* and has a wide range of habitats (Henn and Weinzer, 1990 and Abd El-Hameed Neama *et al.*, 2016). For an efficient control of aphids or any other insect, it is necessary to determine the right timing of attack in relation to weather factors, which

may enable the prediction of insect occurrence (Chattopadhyay *et al.*, 2005). The main environmental variables acting on aphids, associated to the occurrence of population peaks are the meteorological factors, especially temperature (Dixon, 1998; Tang *et al.*, 1999; Asin & Pons, 2001). Several authors have predicted aphid occurrence by meteorological factors (Chattopadhyay *et al.*, 2005; Zamani *et al.*, 2006 and Klueken *et al.*, 2009). The using of the accumulated thermal heat units (degree-days, DD's) allows for predicting pest occurrence and helpful in monitoring pest activity, therefore can be considered an aid tool for scheduling sprays and biocontrol agent releases at the optimum time. The occurrence of many insect species had been predicted by Degree day models, such as that of the pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saund.) (Yones, *et al.*, 2012), the date palm scale, *Parlatoria blanchardii* (Salman, *et al.*, 2016) and aphids (Hanula *et al.*, 2002; Chakravarty and Gautam, 2004; Gomez *et al.*, 2009; Cividanes and Santos-Cividanes, 2012; Tabikha, 2016), helping in the

programs of integrated pest management. The current integrated pest management programs for many insect pests are dominated by the use of insecticides that typically rely on sampling, threshold and resistance information to optimize timing of applications and make best use of existing chemistry (Palumbo *et al.*, 2001). Some commonly used insecticides may only worsen an aphid outbreak by removing aphid predator species and allowing the population to dramatical increase. In recent years, selective insecticides were introduced into the market instead of traditional insecticides because of insect pests (such as aphids) became more resistant to the most conventional insecticides (Tomizawa *et al.*, 2007).

The objectives of this work were to predict the generation peaks of *M. persicae* and its predator, green lace-wing, *C. carnea* in the field using the relationship between population fluctuation of these insects and degree-days (DD's), to determine the influence of weather factors on their population density and also to study the effect of five insecticides on the population of these insects under field conditions at Nobarria district, El-Beheira Governorate, Egypt during seasons of 2015 and 2016.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in spring potato crop (Kara cultivar), sown on 2015 and 2016 seasons at private farm (an area of about one feddan; feddan = 4200 m²) in Nobarria district, Egypt. Potato tubers were planted on January 23rd in the 1st season and Jan. 14th in the 2nd season at an inter-row distance of 75 cm and an intra-row distance of 25 cm. All the necessary cultural practices were adopted throughout the growing season according to recommendation. However, spray of any kind of insecticide was avoided in the experimental area.

Population density of green peach aphids, *M. persicae*

Samples of thirty leaves, three leaves from each plant, one leaf from the top, middle and lower regions of ten randomly selected plants (avoiding the border rows) were collected and examined every three days to estimate *M. persicae* population. Sampling started with the first colonization of *M. persicae* on potato plants and continued until the crop harvest.

Population density green lace-wing, *C. carnea*

For the estimation of *C. carnea* population, ten plants were selected randomly and the whole population of *C. carnea* larvae was counted carefully at three day intervals after about one month of sowing till harvest of the crop. During both seasons, the counted *M. persicae* and its predators were presented graphically to determine the population peaks in the successive generations

in relation to the accumulated thermal units in degree-days.

Predicting *M. persicae* and *C. carnea* generation peaks using thermal units accumulations

The occurrence of population peaks was predicted using a degree-day model, according to Silveira Neto *et al.* (1976). This model requires the lower developmental thermal threshold (Tb) and the maximum and minimum temperatures of the environment to calculate the number of degree-days. The lower developmental thermal threshold (Tb) and thermal requirements for *M. persicae* were estimated by Tb = 2.23°C and K = 165.6 degree-day (Cividanes & Souza, 2003), while these values for *C. carnea* were Tb=10.2° C and K= 414 degree-days (Fujiwara and Nomura, 1999). The counting of degree-days by the model was started on the date of the first appearance of the insects on the leaf samples. Daily maximum and minimum temperatures were obtained and recorded by Central Laboratory for Agricultural Climate (CLAC).

The following formula was used for computing the degree-days (dd's) according to Richmond *et al.*, (1983) under fluctuating temperatures:

$$H = \sum HJ$$

Where;

H = number of heat units (number of degree-day units);

$$HJ = \begin{cases} [(max. + min.)/2] - C, & \text{if } max. > C \text{ \& } min. > C. \\ (max. - C)2 / (max. - min.), & \text{if } max. > C \text{ \& } min. < C. \\ = 0, & \text{if } max. < C \text{ \& } min. < C. \end{cases}$$

C = threshold temperature.

Effect of climatic factors:

The considered and dominating meteorological factors during the investigation period were daily mean max. temperature, daily mean min. temp., daily mean temp., daily mean max. R. H. %, daily mean min. R. H. % and daily mean R. H. (%). The simple correlation between the selected environmental factors and the population density of *M. persicae* and *C. carnea* were calculated. Statistical analysis of the present results was achieved according to the methods of Steal and Torrie (1960).

Effect of five insecticides on the population of *M. persicae* and its predator *C. carnea*:

Five insecticides were evaluated against *M. persicae* and *C. carnea* populations on potato plants. An area of about 1200 m² was divided into 24 plots, of 50 m² each. Every 4 plots were considered for each applied treatment in addition to the 4 control plots. Applications of the five treatments were in April in the two seasons. Ten leaves from each plot were examined and the number of alive individuals of *M. persicae* were counted before treatment and after 1, 4, 7 and 14 days of the treatment. Concerning *C. carnea* ten plants were randomly selected from each plot to be examined and the numbers of alive larvae of *C. carnea* were recorded

before treatment and after 1, 4, 7 and 14 days from the treatment date. The percentages of population reduction was calculated according the equation of Henderson and Tilton (1955) as following:

$$\% \text{ Reduction} = 100 \times 1 - \frac{\text{Ta} \times \text{Cb}}{\text{Tb} \times \text{Ca}}$$

Where:

Cb = n in control before application

Ta = n in Treatment after application

Ca = n in control after application

Tb = n in Treatment before application

The tested insecticides were

Chlorantraniliprole (Coragen 20% SC) provided by DuPont Du Nemours Company at 0.5 ml L⁻¹.

Pirimicarb (Aphox 50% DG) provided by Syngenta Company at 30 mg L⁻¹.

Thiamethoxam (Actara 25% WG) provided by Syngenta Company at 50 mg L⁻¹.

Pymetrozine (chess 25% WP) provided by Syngenta Company at 500 mg L⁻¹.

Acetamiprid (Mospilan 20% SP) provided by Nippon Soda Ltd at 25 mg L⁻¹.

Data analysis: Statistically significant mean values ($P < 0.05$) were calculated as mean \pm SD (standard deviation) using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and separated by LSD test (SAS Statistical software, 1999).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The first appearance of *M. persicae* on potato plants was observed on February 19th (0.367 individuals/ leaf) and February 22nd (0.4 individuals/ leaf) in 2015 and 2016, respectively. While the 1st appearance of *C. carnea* was recorded on February 22nd (0.4 individual/ plant) and February 13th (0.1 individual/ plant) in 2015 and 2016 seasons, respectively. With the growing season, the population of these insects increased to the end of harvesting time producing slightly and/or sharply

fluctuates to indicate the presence of activity periods. These biofix dates (dates of 1st appearance) were used to start calculate the degree-day model (Cividanes and Santos-Cividanes, 2012) and consequently predict the occurrence of these insects.

Data presented in Tables (1-4) depicted the observed and expected peaks (generations) of *M. persicae* and its predator green lace-wing, *C. carnea* for 2015 and 2016 seasons.

Green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae*:

During the 1st season 2015, the observed population peaks of green peach aphid were occurred on 28th of February; March 12th and 21st; April 2nd, 11th, 20th, and 29th; and May 8th and 17th where the average of individuals reached 1.167, 2.067, 3.433, 4.8, 6.5, 6.433, 7.7, 5.967 and 5.33 aphid / leaf, respectively. The expected dates of these generations were March 2nd, March 14th, March 24th, April 3rd, April 13th, April 21st, April 29th, May 5th and May 14th with the averages of 163.74, 162.24, 173.2, 172.2, 173.2, 172.16, 164.66, 174.39 and 156.16 dd's, respectively. The deviations between observed and expected peaks were -2, -2, -3, -1, -2, -1, 0, +2 and +3 days, respectively, during the year, 2015.

The actual peaks in the 2nd season, 2016 occurred on February 22nd; March 3rd, 12th, and 21st; April 2nd, 11th, 20th and 29th and May 8th where the average of aphid individuals reached 1.267, 1.533, 2.267, 2.5, 3.833, 6.2, 6.467, 8 and 5.967 aphid/ leaf, respectively. The expected peaks for this season were on February 22nd, March 4th, March 14th, March 24th, April 3rd, April 12th, April 21st, April 29th and May 8th with the degree-day averages of 163.2, 172.47, 160.2, 157.7, 158.2, 170.93, 171.93, 169.66 and 174.43 dd's with 0, -1, -2, -3, -1, -1, -1, 0 and 0 days as a deviation intervals between the observed and expected peaks, respectively.

Table 1: Comparison between the actually observed and the expected peaks of *M. persicae* generations on potato crop and accumulated thermal units under field conditions at Beheira Governorate during 2015 season

Generation No.	Generation date		Deviation (days)	Mean number of insets/ leaf	Accumulated degree-days (DD's)
	Observed	Expected			
1st	28/2	2/3	-2	1.0667	163.74
2nd	12/3	14/3	-2	2.067	162.24
3rd	21/3	24/3	-3	3.433	173.2
4th	2/4	3/4	-1	4.8	172.2
5th	11/4	13/4	-2	6.5	173.2
6th	20/4	21/4	-1	6.433	172.16
7th	29/4	29/4	0	7.7	164.66
8th	8/5	6/5	+2	5.967	174.39
9th	17/5	14/5	+3	5.33	156.16
Average			-1.333		167.9944

Table 2: Comparison between the actually observed and the expected peaks of *M. persicae* generations on potato crop and accumulated thermal units under field conditions at Beheira Governorate during 2016 season

Generation No.	Generation date		Deviation (days)	Mean number of insets/ leaf	Accumulated degree-days (DD's)
	Observed	Expected			
1st	22/2	22/2	0	1.2667	163.2
2nd	3/3	4/3	-1	1.533	172.47
3rd	12/3	14/3	-2	2.267	160.2
4th	21/3	24/3	-3	2.5	157.7
5th	2/4	3/4	-1	3.833	158.2
6th	11/4	12/4	-1	6.2	170.93
7th	20/4	21/4	-1	6.4667	171.93
8th	29/4	29/4	0	8	169.66
9th	8/5	8/5	0	5.9667	174.43
Average			-1		166.524

From the previously mentioned results, it could be concluded that the using of the accumulated heat units was quite successful in explaining the generations of *M. persicae*. These Results could be enhanced with those obtained by many authors who studied the role of environmental factors and the thermal units accumulations (dd's) as a mean for forecasting the population peaks of many insects such as Chu and Hennebry (1990) on *Pectinophora gossypiella*, Abdel-Maguid and Amin (1994) on *Pectinophora gossypiella*, Al Beltagy (1999) on *E. insulana*, Dahi, (2003) on *Earias insulana*, Dahi, 2007 on the American bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* Yones, et al. 2012 on *Pectinophora gossypiella*, and El-Mezayyen and Ragab, (2014) on American bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* and Salman, (2016) on *Parlatoria blanchardii*. Also, these results confirm the results of Ro et al., (1998) who reported that the phenology of *M. persicae* can be predicted precisely using the degree-day methods.

The durations of the green peach aphid generations in the present study ranged between 9 – 12 days in agreement with Alomairini, (2004) who reported that the duration of generation for this species were 7.4 ± 0.3 and 7.0 ± 0.3 at 25°C and 10.5 ± 0.3 and 11.2 ± 0.4 at 10°C on pepper

varieties, California Wonder and Starr, respectively. Also, Fericean et al. (2011) recorded eight virginogeneous generations for *M. persicae* during the years 2005 and 2006 on the potato culture. In the year 2007, due to the high temperatures affected the prolificacy of the species negatively, it had only three generations. In Tunisia, Mdellel and Kamel (2014) studied the biological parameters of *M. persicae* to compare insect fitness on different varieties of pepper. The shortest generation time (T) was recorded on variety Chergui (10.95 days) and longest on variety Anamex (16.04).

Green lace-wing, *C. carnea*

As shown in Tables (3, 4) the first observed peak (generation) of the green lace-wing, *C. carnea* occurred on April 2nd and March 30th when the average number of larvae reached 5.8 and 7 larvae /plant for 2015 and 2016 seasons, respectively. On the other hand, the expected peaks for the same generations were on March 30th and March 29th at 416.2 and 413.34 dd's with deviation intervals +3 and +1 days earlier than the real peak for 2015 and 2016 seasons, respectively.

Table 3: Comparison between the actually observed and the expected peaks of *C. carnea* generations on potato crop and accumulated thermal units under field conditions in Beheira Governorate during 2015 season

Generation No.	Generation date		Deviation (days)	Mean number of insects/ plant	Accumulated degree-days (DD's)
	Observed	Expected			
1 st	2/4	30/3	+3	5.8	416.2
2 nd	5/5	2/5	+3	8.1	407
Average			+3		411.6

Table 4: Comparison between the actually observed and the expected peaks of *C. carnea* generations on potato crop and accumulated thermal units under field conditions in Beheira Governorate during 2016 season

Generation No.	Generation date		Deviation (days)	Mean number of insects/ plant	Accumulated degree-days (DD's)
	Observed	Expected			
1 st	30/3	29/3	+1	7	413.34
2 nd	2/5	5/5	-3	12.8	420.02
Average			-1		416.68

The 2nd actual peak occurred on May 5th and May 2nd when the average of larvae per plant reached 8.1 and 12.8 larvae/plant for 2015 and 2016 seasons, respectively. The expected dates of this generation were May 2nd and May 5th with the averages of 407.005 and 420.02 dd's for 2015 and 2016, respectively. The deviation between observed and expected peaks was +3 days earlier for 2015 season and three days later in 2016 season.

Correlation coefficient (r) between mean number of *M. persicae* and *C. carnea* and different environmental factors have been evaluated and presented in Table 5. The correlation values showed that environmental factors correlated either positively or negatively with *M. persicae* and *C. carnea* counts. The most important environmental factor closely related to the activity of these insects was the temperature. The maximum, mean and minimum temperature degree positively (high significantly) correlated with populations of *M. persicae* and *C. carnea* (the activity of the insect population correlated positively with temperature) throughout the two seasons of study, 2015 and 2016. Relative humidity was the other most important factor closely related to the activity of *M. persicae* and *C. carnea*. The minimum RH has negative

influence on the population build up *M. persicae* of *C. carnea*.

Effect of five insecticides on the population density of *M. persicae* and its predator *C. carnea*:

The data shown in Tables (6 & 7) illustrated the numbers of green peach aphid which were recorded before and after one, four, seven and fourteen days of treatment with five different insecticides. The general means of reduction percentages of *M. persicae* populations caused by acetamiprid, thiamethoxam, chlorantraniliprole, pymetrozine and pirimicarb were 79.55, 78.28, 71.34, 69.88 and 60.6 %, respectively in 2015 and 82.73, 81.7, 77.28, 74.33 and 66.93 %, respectively in 2016 season. Among the different insecticides tested, acetamiprid and thiamethoxam (Neonicotinoids insecticides) gave the lowest number of *M. persicae* per leaf after 1, 4, 7 and 14 days of application as compared to the other insecticides. Highest number of *M. persicae* per leaf was recorded in pirimicarb treatment. According to Patil and Lingappa, (2000) confidor (Neonicotinoids insecticide) was highly effective against *M. persicae* as compared to acephate and endosulfan. Sayed, *et al.* (2005) studied the efficacy of different chemical insecticides against *M. persicae* on tobacco crop in Pakistan.

Table 5: The correlation matrix between means of both *M. persicae* and *C. carnea* on potato plants and both daily degrees of temperature and relative humidity showing correlation coefficient values (r) at Beheira Governorate during 2015 and 2016 seasons:

		Max. R.H.	Mean R.H.	Min. R.H.	Max. Temp.	Mean Temp.	Min. Temp.
<i>M. persicae</i>	2015	0.630**	0.255	-0.128	0.655**	0.779**	0.742**
	2016	-0.270	-0.320	-0.306	0.596**	0.686**	0.744**
<i>C. carnea</i>	2015	0.479**	0.145	-0.173	0.539**	0.697**	0.725**
	2016	-0.285	-0.333	-0.310	0.638**	0.724**	0.774**

NS: Nonsignificant * : Significant ** : Highly significant

Table 6: Efficiency of five insecticides in control of green peach aphid, *M. persicae* population at 1, 4, 7 and 14 days after treatment during 2015 season under field conditions. (mean number of aphids/leaf and % reduction percentages)

Treatments	Pre spray	Post spray (days)				General mean
		1	4	7	14	
Control	6.28±0.29	6.73±0.33	4.93±0.38	6.1±0.45	4.7±0.39	
Acetamiprid	6.53±0.25	1.1±0.36 (84.34±4.43) ^b	0.78±0.1 (84.85±2.34) ^a	0.75±0.24 (88.33±2.96) ^a	1.75±0.47 (60.7±12.62) ^a	(79.55±3.9) ^a
Thiamethoxam	7.3±0.86	0.63±0.25 (91.95±3.2) ^a	0.8±0.22 (86.01±3.47) ^a	1.7±0.34 (75.86±4.87) ^b	2.2±0.56 (59.31±10.97) ^{ab}	(78.28±4.1) ^a
Chlorantraniliprole	5.68±0.4	0.65±0.21 (89.32±3.22) ^{ab}	0.78±0.28 (82.77±5.27) ^a	1.68±0.25 (69.37±5.98) ^{bc}	2.35±0.33 (43.9±12.59) ^b	(71.34±5.8) ^b
Pymetrozine	6.33±0.43	0.85±0.33 (87.43±4.99) ^{ab}	1.4±0.24 (71.34±7.42) ^b	1.88±0.38 (69.42±6.15) ^{bc}	2.3±0.45 (51.32±9.07) ^{ab}	(69.88±4.8) ^b
Pirimicarb	5.15±0.62	1.28±0.17 (76.79±2.89) ^c	1.78±0.13 (55.72±4.07) ^c	1.73±0.2 (65.32±3.57) ^c	2.13±0.24 (44.59±5.14) ^b	(60.6±2.5) ^c
L. S. D.		(5.7788)	(7.2897)	(7.3477)	(15.7634)	(6.5688)

Means followed by the same letter(s) within the same column are nonsignificantly different (P ≤ 0.05)

Table 7: Efficiency of five insecticides in control of green peach aphid, *M. persicae* population at 1, 4, 7 and 14 days after treatment during 2016 season under field conditions: (mean number of aphids/leaf and % reduction)

Treatments	Pre spray	Post spray (days)				General mean
		1	4	7	14	
Control	5.33±0.71	6.25±0.4	7.1±0.45	6.25±0.97	6.83±0.45	
Acetamiprid	6.73±0.36	0.6±0.14 (92.42±1.83) ^a	1.25±0.13 (85.97±1.89) ^{ab}	1.55±0.21 (81.5±2.39) ^a	2.5±0.29 (71.02±4.34) ^a	(82.73±1.23) ^a
Thiamethoxam	5.45±0.39	1.03±0.15 (83.9±3.23) ^c	0.8±0.14 (89.25±2.9) ^a	1.27±0.25 (80±3.62) ^a	1.85±0.21 (73.67±1.8) ^a	(81.7±1.31) ^a
Chlorantraniliprole	6.03±0.94	0.78±0.21 (88.92±3.49) ^{ab}	1.13±0.29 (85.99±2.77) ^{ab}	1.8±0.42 (73.25±9.79) ^b	2.78±0.45 (60.98±1.83) ^b	(77.28±2.06) ^{bc}
Pymetrozine	5.48±0.33	0.9±0.08 (86±1.75) ^b	1.25±0.25 (82.84±3.5) ^b	1.6±0.29 (74.99±4.71) ^a	3.23±0.22 (53.5±9.41) ^b	(74.33±2.81) ^c
Pirimicarb	4.8±0.75	1.08±0.19 (80.59±4.77) ^c	1.83±0.31 (70.72±7.68) ^c	2.15±0.21 (61.44±3.34) ^c	2.78±0.6 (54.95±7.88) ^b	(66.93±2.62) ^d
L. S. D.		(4.8568)	(6.3903)	(8.2065)	(8.9403)	(3.1766)

Means followed by the same letter(s) within the same column are nonsignificantly different ($P \leq 0.05$)

They found that the lowest mean numbers of aphid/leaf was recorded with confidor and actara treated plots, while highest mean numbers of aphids per leaf was recorded with methomyl and tracer. In the laboratory, Gavkare, *et al.*, (2013) evaluated the relative toxicity of some insecticides *viz.*, acetamiprid, fipronil, imidacloprid, lambda cyhalothrin, malathion and thiamethoxam to apterous adults of *M. persicae* using leaf dipping method of bioassay. They found that thiamethoxam was the highest toxic insecticide, followed by imidacloprid and Malathion. Therefore, our chemical control results agree with the above mentioned results.

Side effect of the tested insecticides on the larvae of green lace-wing *C. carnea*, is shown in Tables (8-9). Data indicated that, mean of reduction percentages of the larvae of *C. carnea* /10 potato plants at the four investigation times were 58.13,

52.84, 47.99, 45.96 and 44.54% for chlorantraniliprole, Pirimicarb, thiamethoxam, acetamiprid and pymetrozine treatments, respectively during 2015 season. They were 58.55, 54.11, 45.76, 43.74, and 42.62 insect, respectively during 2016 season. Data clarified that, all treatments have moderate toxic effects on *C. carnea* except acetamiprid, chlorantraniliprole and pirimicarb which have a high toxic effect. The present results agree with those of Barrania and Abou-Taleb, (2014) who tested six insecticides *viz.*, pyriproxyfen, novaluron, thiamethoxam, imidacloprid, acetamiprid and chlorantraniliprole against *Bemisia tabaci*, *Aphis gossypii* and their associated predators in cotton fields. They found that all treatments have moderate toxic effects on the natural enemy *Chrysopa vulgaris* especially pyriproxyfen and acetamiprid.

Table 8: Harmful effect of five insecticides on *C. carnea* population at 1, 4, 7 and 14 days after treatment during 2015 season under field conditions:

Treatments	Pre spray	Mean No. of insects/plant and % reduction				General mean
		Post spray (Days)				
		1	4	7	14	
Control	4.83±0.66	5.08±0.92	5.5±0.54	4.98±0.49	4.05±0.39	
Chlorantraniliprole	4.65±0.7	2.05±0.34 (56.39±13.16) ^a	1.5±0.18 (71.69±5.88) ^a	1.35±0.29 (72.02±3.1) ^a	2.6±0.22 (32.42±11.77) ^a	(58.13±7.16) ^a
Pirimicarb	4.33±1.1	1.73±0.13 (58.65±14.3) ^a	1.8±0.34 (62.87±6.59) ^b	1.73±0.39 (60.7±8.09) ^{ab}	2.55±0.42 (29.15±11.24) ^a	(52.84±8.89) ^{ab}
Thiamethoxam	5.18±0.38	2.08±0.26 (59.71±14.87) ^a	2.28±0.17 (61.26±5.46) ^b	2.68±0.21 (48.81±14.1) ^{bc}	2.35±0.37 (22.19±14.58) ^a	(47.99±10.48) ^{ab}
Acetamiprid	5.08±0.38	2.8±0.68 (47.77±7.47) ^a	2.5±0.24 (56.97±2.18) ^b	2.4±0.54 (54.42±8.96) ^{bc}	3.23±0.4 (24.69±5.68) ^a	(45.96±2.71) ^{ab}
Pymetrozine	4.3±0.64	2.25±0.25 (48.21±15.93) ^a	1.9±0.29 (61.42±1.38) ^b	2.45±0.21 (44.6±4.73) ^c	2.72±0.19 (23.95±8.96) ^a	(44.54±5.41) ^b
L. S. D.		(20.382)	(7.2112)	(12.8277)	(16.3469)	(11.2151)

Means followed by the same letter(s) within the same column are nonsignificantly different ($P \leq 0.05$)

Table 9: Harmful effect of four insecticides on *C. carnea* population at 1, 4, 7 and 14 day after treatment during 2016 season under field conditions:

Treatments	Pre spray	Mean No. of insects/plant and % reduction Post spray (Days)				General mean
		1	4	7	14	
Control	5.08±0.76	5.57±0.34	5.38±0.74	4.97±0.17	4.55±0.91	(58.55±6.48) ^a
Chlorantraniliprole	5.25±0.73	1.38±0.26 (75.99±5.55) ^a	2±0.57 (63.21±13.41) ^{ab}	2.53±0.64 (51.2±10.29) ^a	2.8±0.39 (43.81±6.15) ^a	
Pirimicarb	4.7±0.45	1.55±0.26 (69.29±9.94) ^{ab}	1.55±0.26 (68.47±5.88) ^a	2.48±0.43 (45.53±15.57) ^a	2.78±0.29 (33.14±11.21) ^{ab}	(54.11±10.1) ^{ab}
Thiamethoxam	4.48±0.33	1.65±0.17 (66.49±4.91) ^{ab}	2.3±0.43 (50.83±12.38) ^b	2.63±0.41 (40.9±5.17) ^a	3±0.47 (24.81±10.72) ^b	(45.76±6.35) ^b
Acetamiprid	4.95±0.65	2.18±0.13 (59.04±10.73) ^b	2.35±0.38 (55.38±2.58) ^{ab}	3.05±0.45 (35.1±12.14) ^a	3.33±0.51 (25.43±16.67) ^b	(43.74±7.77) ^b
Pymetrozine	5.08±0.25	2.7±0.22 (45.89±2.42) ^c	2.47±0.22 (55.2±2.33) ^{ab}	2.93±0.21 (44.1±12.13) ^a	3.48±0.32 (25.3±7.64) ^b	(42.62±3.69) ^c
L. S. D.		(11.5964)	(14.1544)	(17.4896)	(16.7568)	(11.18)

Means followed by the same letter(s) within the same column are nonsignificantly different ($P \leq 0.05$)

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Hameed Neama A., Afaf Abd El-Wahab, Horia A. Abd El-Wahab and Marwa M. Mousa (2016): Efficiency of *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) to suppress *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) infesting potato plants in the field. Current Science International, **5**(3): 325-327.
- Abdel - Meguid, M.A. and A.M. Amin (1994): Heat accumulation for timing pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saund.) control measures in Monoufia Governorate cotton complex. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., **9** (11): 71 - 78.
- Al-Beltagy, A.M. (1999): Heat unit's accumulations for forecasting spiny bollworm, *Earias insulana* (Boisd.), population dynamics and density on cotton. The 2nd Int. Conf. of Pest Control, Mansoura, Egypt, 737-744.
- Alomairini, A.S. (2004): Entomofauna of pepper plants and the effect of Plant variety on biology and morphology of aphids. M.Sc. Thesis, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University, 94 pp.
- Asin, L. and X. Pons, (2001): Effect of high temperature on the growth and reproduction of corn aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae) and implications for their population dynamics on the northeastern Iberian peninsula. Environmental Entomology, **30**:1127-1134.
- Barranial A. A. and H. K. Abou-Taleb (2014): Field efficiency of some insecticide treatments against whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*, cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* and their associated predator, *Chrysopa vulgaris*, in cotton plants. Alex. J. Agric. Res. **59** (2): 105-111
- Chakravarty, N.V.K. and R.D. Gautam, (2004): Degree-day based forewarning system for mustard aphid. Journal of Agrometeorology, **6**:215-222.
- Chattopadhyay, C.; R. Agrawal; A. Kumar; Y.P. Singh; S.K. Roy; S.A. Khan; L.M. Bhar; N.V.K. Chakravarty; A. Srivastava; B.S. Patel; B. Srivastava; C.P. Singh and S.C. Mehta (2005): Forecasting of *Lipaphis erysimi* on oilseed Brassicas in India - a case study. Crop Protection, **24**:1042-1053.
- Chu, C.C. and T.J. Henneberry (1990): *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saund.) population dynamics, pheromone traps, sex pheromone and fields *Gossypium hirsutum*. California, Proc. Beltwide Cotton Prod. Res. Conf., Memphis Tenn., 184 - 185.
- Cividanes F.J. and V.P. Souza (2003): Exigências térmicas e tabelas de vida de fertilidade de *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) em laboratório. Neotropical Entomology, **32**:413-419.
- Cividanes F.J. and T.M.d. Santos-Cividanes (2012): Predicting the occurrence of alate aphids in Brassicaceae. Pesq. agropec. bras., Brasília, **47**(4):505-510.
- Dahi, H. F. (2003): Predicting the annual generations of the spiny bollworm *Earias insulana* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Archtidae). Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 182 pp.
- Dahi, H. F. (2007): Using Heat Accumulation and Sex Pheromone Catches to Predicate the American Bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* Hub. field Generations. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., **32** (4): 3037-3044.
- Dixon, A.F.G. (1998): Aphid ecology: an optimization approach. 2nd ed. London: Chapman and Hall, 300pp.
- El-mezayyen, G.A. and M.G. Ragab (2014): Predicting the American boll worm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) Field Generations as influenced by heat unit accumulation. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., **92** (1): 91-99.

- Francis, F.; P. Gerkens; N. Harmel; G. Mazzucchelli; E. Pauw and E. Haubruge (2006): Proteomics in *Myzus persicae*: effect of aphid host plant switch. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, **36**:219-227.
- Fericean L.M.; I. Palagesiu; R. Palicica; A.M. Varteiu and S. Prunar (2011): The behavior, life cycle and biometrical measurements of *Myzus persicae*. Research Journal of Agricultural Science, **43** (1): 34-39.
- Fujiwara, C. and M. Nomura (1999): Effects of photoperiod and temperature on larval development of *Chrysoperla carnea* Stephens (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology, **43**(4), 175-179.
- Gavkare O.; S. Kumar; N. Sharma and P. L. Sharma (2013): Evaluation of some novel insecticides against *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer). The Bioscan, **8**(3): 1119-1121
- Gomez, N.N.; R.C. Venette; J.R. Gould and D.F. Winograd (2009): A unified degree day model describes survivorship of *Copitarsia corruda* Pogue & Simmons (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) at different constant temperatures. Bulletin of Entomological Research, **99**:65-72.
- Hanula, J.L.; G.L. Debarr; J.C. Weatherby; L.R., Barber and C.W. Berisford (2002): Degree-day model for timing insecticide applications to control *Dioryctria amatella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in loblolly pine seed orchards. The Canadian Entomologist, **134**:255-268.
- Henn, T. and R. Weinzier (1990): Alternatives in insect pest management. Beneficial insects and mites. University of Illinois, Circular 1298:24.
- Henderson C. and E. Tilton (1955): Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. Journal of Economic Entomology, **48**:157-161.
- Klueken, A.M.; B. Hau; B. Uler and H.M. Pöehling (2009): Forecasting migration of cereal aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in autumn and spring. Journal of Applied Entomology, **133**: 328-344.
- Mdellel, L. and M. Ben Halima Kamel (2014): Effects of different varieties of pepper on the biological parameters of the green peach aphid *Myzus persicae* Sulzer (Hemiptera, Aphididae) in Tunisia. European Journal of Environmental Sciences. **4**(2): 102-105
- Palumbo, J.C.; R. Horowitz and N. Prabhaker (2001): Overview of insecticidal control and resistance management for *Bemisia tabaci*. Crop Prot. **20**: 739-765.
- Patil, C.S. and S. Lingappa (2000): Selective toxicity of some insecticides against tobacco aphid, *Myzus nicotianae* Blackman and its predator, *Cheilomenes sexmaculata* (Fabricius). J. biol. Cont., **14**: 41-44.
- Raman K.V. and D.J. Midmore (1983): Efficacy of insecticides against major insect pests of potatoes in hot climates of Peru. Journal of Crop Protection; **2**(4):483-489.
- Ro, T.H.; Garrell E. Long and H.H. Toba (1998): Predicting phenology of green peach aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) using Degree-Days. Environ Entomol. **27**(2):337-343.
- Richmond, J. A.; H. A. Thomas and H. B. Hattachargya (1983): Predicting spring flight of Nantucket pine tip moth (Lepidoptera: Olethreutidae) by heat unit accumulation. J. Econ. Entomol., **76**: 269-271.
- Rosenhein, J.A. and L.R. Nilhoit (1993): Predators that eat other predators disrupt cotton aphid control. Cal. Agricul, **47**:7-9.
- Saljoqi A.R. (2009): Population dynamics of *Myzus persicae* and its associated natural enemies in spring potato crop, Peshawar-Pakistan. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture; **25**:452-456.
- Salman, A.M.A.; A.O. Abd El-latif; S.F.M. Moussa and M.M.S. Bakry (2016): Estimation of the annual field generations of *Parlatoria blanchardii* and prediction of its expected peaks using thermal units accumulation under Luxor governorate condition, Egypt. Journal of Sohag Agri-Science (JSAS), **1**(1): 9-25.
- SAS Institute, Inc. (1999): PC—SAS users guide, Version 8. North Carolina statistical analysis system Institute, Inc.
- Sayed F.; M. Sajjad Khan; M. Hamayoon Khan and Hayat Badshah (2005): Efficacy of different insecticides against aphid *Myzus persicae* L. on tobacco crop. Pakistan J. Zool., **37**(3):193-197.
- Silveira Neto, S.; O. Nakano; D. Barbin; N. A. Villa Nova (1976): Manual de ecologia dos insetos. São Paulo: Ceres, 419p.
- Steel, J. F. and J. H. Torrie (1960): Principles and Procedures of statistics. McCraw-Hill, New York.
- Tabikha, R. M. M. (2016): Impacts of Temporal and Spatial Climatic Changes on Annual Generations of *Rhopalosiphum maidis* and *R. padi* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in Egypt, Using Geographical Information System (GIS). Journal of Agricultural Informatics **7**(1): 13-22.
- Tang, Y.Q.; S.L. Lapinte; L.G. Brown and W.B. Hunter (1999): Effects of host plant and temperature on the biology of *Toxoptera citricida* (Homoptera: Aphididae). Environmental Entomology, **28**:895-900.
- Tomizawa M.; D. Maltby, K.F. Medzihradsky; N. Zhang; K.A. Durkin; J. Presly; T.T. Talley; P. Taylor; A.L. Burlingame and J.E. Casida (2007): Defining nicotinic agonist binding surfaces through photo affinity labeling. Biochemistry **46**: 8798-8806.

Western Regional IPM Project (US), University of California Integrated Pest Management Program (2006): Integrated Pest Management for potatoes in the Western United States. 2nd edition. ANR Publishers. USA.

Yones, M.S.; H.F. Dahi; H.A. Abdel Rahman; A.F. Abou Hadid and S.M. Arafat (2012): Using Remote Sensing Technologies and Sex Pheromone Traps for Prediction of the Pink Bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saund.), Annual Field Generations. Nature and Science; 10 (7) 6-10.

Zamani, A.A.; A.A. Talei; Y. Fathipour and V. Baniameri (2006): Effect of temperature on biology and population growth parameters of *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hom., Aphididae) on greenhouse cucumber. Journal of Applied Entomology, 130:453-460.

المخلص العربي

التنبؤ بالأجيال الحقلية لحشرة من الخوخ الأخضر (*Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) ومفترسها حشرة أسد المن (*Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) باستخدام الوحدات الحرارية التراكمية وتقييم بعض المبيدات ضد عشائرها

عدنان عبدالفتاح السيد درويش، محمد مبروك رجب عطية
قسم وقاية النبات - كلية الزراعة جامعة دمنهور - جمهورية مصر العربية

التنبؤ الحقلية بمجاميع الحشرات ضروري لتحقيق نتائج مرضية في برامج مكافحة المتكاملة. تهدف هذه الدراسة الي التنبؤ بأجيال حشرة من الخوخ الأخضر (*Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) والمفترس أسد المن (*Chrysoperla carnea* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) وكذلك تقدير تأثير الحرارة والرطوبة علي الكثافة العددية للحشرتين وأخيرا تقدير تأثير (تقييم) خمس مبيدات حشرية علي عشيرتي الحشرتين وذلك تحت الظروف الحقلية علي نباتات البطاطس بمنطقة النوبارية، بمحافظة البحيرة. تم تقدير عشائر الحشرتين علي نباتات البطاطس في الفترة من فبراير حتي مايو من موسمي الدراسة ٢٠١٥ و ٢٠١٦. تم التنبؤ بقمم التعداد لهذه الحشرات باستخدام الوحدات الحرارية التراكمية. أشارت النتائج الي أن حشرة من الخوخ الأخضر لها تسع أجيال في حين أن حشرة أسد المن لها جيلين/موسم. المتوسط العام للإنحرافات ما بين الموعد المتوقع للجيل والموعد الفعلي كانت -١,٣٣ و -١ يوم في حالة من الخوخ الأخضر بينما كان المتوسط العام للإنحرافات بين الوقت المتوقع والوقت الفعلي للجيل في حالة حشرة أسد المن +٣ و -١ يوم وذلك خلال موسمي الدراسة ٢٠١٥ و ٢٠١٦، علي الترتيب.

تمت أيضا دراسة تأثير الحرارة والرطوبة علي عشيرتي الحشرتين، وقد دلت النتائج علي وجود علاقة ارتباط معنوية موجبة (طردية) بين تعداد الحشرتين والمتوسط اليومي لدرجات الحرارة الدنيا والمتوسطه والعليا. كذلك تم إختبار كفاءة خمس مبيدات حشرية هي acetamiprid و thiamethoxam و pymetrozine و chlorantraniliprole و pirimicarb علي الكثافة العددية للحشرتين. وكان ترتيب هذه المبيدات تنازليا حسب كفاءتها علي حشرة من الخوخ الأخضر كالتالي pirimicarb > pymetrozine > chlorantraniliprole > thiamethoxam > acetamiprid في حين كان ترتيبها تنازليا حسب ضررها ضد حشرة أسد المن كالتالي chlorantraniliprole > pirimicarb > thiamethoxam > acetamiprid > pymetrozine، هذا وقد تشابهت النتائج خلال موسمي الدراسة.