Egypt. J. Food. Sci. **Vol. 48,** No. 1**,** pp. 147-157 (2020)

Egyptian Journal of Food Science
http://ejfs.journals.ekb.eg/

Improvement of Nutritional Value, Physical and Sensory Properties of Biscuits Using Quinoa, Naked Barley and Carrot

Gamal. S. El-Hadidy[1], Esam. A. Rizk[1] and Elshahat, G. El-Dreny[2]
[1]*Bread and Pasta. Dep., Food Tech. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Egypt*
[2]*Special Food and Nutrition Dep., Food Tech. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center. Egypt*

THE aim of this study is to produce high quality, nutritional value, physical and sensory properties biscuits from quinoa flour QF, naked barley flour NBF, carrot powder CP and wheat flour WF. The materials used in this study were quinoa, naked barley, carrot and wheat flour as well as other ingredients used to produce biscuits. The results of chemical composition of materials and biscuit blends showed that crude ether extract, ash and crude fiber contents of all blends prepared using quinoa, naked barely and carrot were increment than those of blends prepared using wheat flour only. The obtained data showed that minerals contents of Ca, K, P and Fe in NBF, QF and CP were higher than those of WF. The blends made from naked barley, quinoa and carrot were a rich source of essential amino acids. The results showed that the quality of protein parameters biological value BV or Computed protein efficiency ratio C-PER were higher in samples prepared with NBF, QF and CP (B2, B3, B4 and B5) than those of control (wheat flour).The applied physical procedure using well blended combination of supplements resulted in production of biscuits its excellent sensory properties of color, taste, odor and over acceptability

Keywords: Naked barley, Quinoa flour, Carrot, Biscuits

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is a major of the important edible grains around the world (Alu'datt et al., 2012). It is used in many forms comprises flat or pan style leavened bread. Wheat flour is that it is depression in essential amino acids, such as lysine and methionine, which minimizes its nutritional value when utilization in foods products (Newman and Newman, 2006).

Quinoa proteins considered one of the better affirmative food component, additionally quinoa is a significant source of minerals, vitamins and significant minerals and has likewise been found to contain compounds such as phytosterols, polyphenols, and flavonoids (Hrusková et al., 2007). Quinoa protein consists of a balance amino acid such as higher methionine (0.4-1.0%), lysine (5.1- 6.4%) (Repo-Carrasco et al., 2003). Unfortunately, quinoa seeds content of bitter-tasting substances (accepting water-soluble saponins) the out layers of quinoa seed coat, which can have a bitter effect on food, the reason most consumed commercial quinoa seeds, have been operation to remove their coating by water washings and milling so to eliminate antinutritional substances before consumption (Rosero et al., 2013).

Nutritionally, quinoa is a stellar grain and the (WHO) World Health Organization has appraised quinoa as tantamount to milk as it contains significant levels of riboflavin, potassium, B6, thiamin and niacin alongside with zinc, magnesium, manganese and copper (Bhaduri, 2013).

Barley flour is plentiful in phenolic compounds vitamin B complex, minerals, and dietary fiber (beta glucan), which assist to lower cholesterol by formation to bile acids and expelling them from the body, Barley is one of the rich and inexpensive exporter of plant protein that can be utilized to

*Corresponding author: Email, gamalelhadidy1982@gmail.com
Received:12/4/2020; accepted: 21/5/2020
DOI: 10.21608/EJFS.2020.27770.1050

improve the eating regimen of a huge number of individuals, particularly poor people and low salary workers in most countries (Vasan et al., 2017). Barley naked (hull-less) is a rich source of soluble fiber and insoluble fiber, it was demonstrated that the high substance of dietary fiber in grain plays a higher role in the health enhance impact of cereal build products and there is a sturdy association between obesity and chronic diseases and the admission of dietary fiber, the high nutritional health impacts of β-glucans are proposed to decrease plasma cholesterol, decrease glycemic index and boosting the immune (Izydorczyk & Dexter, 2008). The Food and Drug Administration advise consumption of 3 g of β-glucan soluble fiber from barley or oat products every day to get bringing down of low serum cholesterol (Verma and Banerjee, 2010). On the other hand, Mariotti et al. (2014) indicted that wheat flour has lower amount of β-glucans contrasted with to barley flour (0.136 and 2.923 g/100 g, respectively). A recent study reported that mixing barley flour with wheat flour at various levels derived from barley had fundamentally improved the nutritional good of bread by expanding its degrees of lysine and methionine (Alu'datt et al., 2012).

Carrot (*Daucus carota* L) is one of the higher nutritious root vegetables grown is a worldwide, it is an important source of phytonutrients for example carotenoids, and phenolics besides its appreciable amount of minerals and vitamins (Hansen et al, 2003). The main physiological purpose of carotenoids is as a portent of vitamin A. Carotenoids are the main antioxidants in carrots which help to decrease the impact of free radicals, reports have demonstrated that they have inhibitory mutagenesis action thus, contributing to reduce risk of some cancers (Dias, 2012).

Biscuits are the most well-known bakery items consumed almost by all sections of the general public in Egypt, some of the causes for such wide prominence are reduce cost in comparison with other manufactory foods, great nutritional quality and accessibility in various structures, various taste and longer shelf life, bakery products are utilized for incorporation of various nutritionally rich ingredients (Sudha et al., 2007). The biscuits quality relies on amount and quality of contents (especially the flour), it was discovered that blending two or more distinct materials help to solve the insufficiency problem of grains as Increases nutritional value by utilized different seed as high nutritive source (Shalini and Sudesh, 2005). The improving nutritional value of a commercially applicable biscuit ought appealing to children and grownup, increase ratio in protein, vitamins, and fibers in biscuit, the sensory and textural quality of biscuit are taken into consideration to improve the quality of biscuit (Miranda et al., 2010).

The aim of the present study was to substitute the wheat flour in biscuit by QF quinoa flour, NBF barley flour and CP carrot powder in order to raise nutritional value and to improve sensory attributes of biscuit subsequently to get a high quality product.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Quinoa seeds (*Chenopodium quinoa Willd*.) and naked barley (*Hordeumv ulgare)* variety Giza (G) l30 were getting from Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Egypt. Wheat flour (72% extraction), Carrot (*Daucus carota* L) and other materials were purchased from the local market at Kafr El-Sheikh City, Egypt.

Samples preparation

Quinoa seeds were cleaned to remove the unwanted materials such as mud particles, dirt, stone, dust, leaf, etc and soaked in water for 2hr at room temperature to get rid of saponins materials., Quinoa seeds were washed ordinarily with tap water until there was no froth in the washing water to remove saponins after that dried at 50°C for 12 h. The quinoa seeds were ground to fine powder utilizing a commercial electric blender (More Blender plant, Model Type No: MB-355, China) to get entire meal quinoa flour (Rosell et al., 2009).

Naked barley grains were cleaned, tempered 15% moisture and milled in (a local mill) to obtain whole barley flour 100% extraction.

Carrot powder, the method inducted by Marvin (2009) was utilization in preparation of carrot powder. The carrot roots were washed in tap water, peeled and cut into slice. The slices were soaked for 3 min in heated water containing sodium meta bisulphite to inhibit browning and discoloration. The carrot slices were cooled by exposing to air and dried at 50°C for 12 hr. The dried carrotslices were ground to fine powder phillips mill (model HL 3294/C Phillips) and packaged in black polythenebags until use.

Preparation of biscuits

Biscuit samples were prepared according to the standard procedure for semi hard sweet biscuits of BiscoMisr Company, at Cairo city, Egyptas shown inTable1according to the procedure described by Abdelazim et al. (2019).

Chemical analyses

Crude protein (official method no 950.36), ash (official method no 930.22), crude fiber (official method no 950.37) and crude ether extract contents (official method no 935.38) were calculated according to the procedure described in A.O.A.C (2000). Total carbohydrates content was determined by difference (100 - crude protein+ ash+ ether extract), Mineralcontentswere determined according to AOAC (2000). The energy value (on dry weight basis) was calculated using the Atwater formula as: energy (kcal/100 g) = 4× protein (%) + 9× fat (%) + 4 × carbohydrate (%). The energy value was estimated according to James (1995).

Determination of amino acids

Amino acids profile of wheat, quinoa, barley and carrot were calculated according the method described in Bailey (1967).

Determination of tryptophan

Tryptophan content of samples was determined colorimetrically, in the alkaline hydrolyzate using P-dimethyl-amino-benzaldehyde(DMAB), following the method of Miller (1967). Tryptophan content was secured by the means of standard curve prepared under the same conditions

Computed protein efficiency ratio (C-PER)

C-PER was calculated as given by Alsmeyer et al. (1974) following the equation:

C-PER = 0.684+0.456 (Leucine)-0.047(proline).

Computed Biological value(BV)

Biological value was calculated as described by Farag et al. (1996) according to the following equation:

Computed Biological Value (BV) =49.9+10.53C-PER

Sensory Characteristics of Biscuits

Sensory evaluation for the texture, odor, taste, color, and overall acceptability were done in order to calculated consumer acceptability. A numerical decadent scale extending from 1 to 20 (1 is very bad and 20 for excellent) was utilized for sensory evaluation (Smith, 1972).

Color measurements of biscuits samples

The crust color of biscuits was determined depending on the method substantive by Tong et al. (2010). The L*, a* and b* values for color were evaluated using a Konica Minolta CR-410 Chroma meter (Konica Minolta, Sensing, INC., Japan).

Physical Characteristics of Biscuits

The control and the samples were examined for physical parameters like width, length, thickness and spread ratio utilize the following prescription were calculated by the method (Nandeesh et al., 2010).

Width and length of biscuits (cm). Thickness of biscuits (cm). Spread ratio = width ⁄ thickness. Weight of biscuits was measured as average of values of four individual biscuits with the help of digital weighing balance. Volume of biscuits was measured using width (W), length (L) and thickness (T) using the following formula:

Volume (cm^3) = T x W x L

TABLE 1. Formulation and added ingredients for biscuits.

Ingredients	Control1	Blend 2	Blend 3	Blend 4	Blend 5
Wheat flour (WF)	100	75	64	59	54
Naked barley flour (NBF)	-	25	25	25	25
Quinoa flour (QF)	-	-	10	15	20
Carrot powder (CP)	-	-	1	1	1
Butter	15	15	15	15	15
Sugar	30	30	30	30	30
Fresh egg	24	24	24	24	24
Ammonium bicarbonate	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66
Sodium bicarbonate	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33
Skimmed milk	1	1	1	1	1
Vanilla	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3

Hardness of biscuits was measured according to the method of AACC (2002)

Statistical analysis

The expository information was analyzed using SPSS 16.0 programming. Means and standard deviations were resolved utilizing expressive insights. Examinations between samples were resolved utilizing investigation of single direction fluctuation (ANOVA) and multiple range tests, Statistical significance was defined at $P \leq 0.05$ (SPSS, 2000).

Results and Discussions

Chemical composition of raw materials

The proximate compositions of wheat flour(72% extraction), barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder are presented in Table 2.The mean value of wheat flour (72% extraction), recorded 11.60% crude protein,1.05% crude ether extract, 0.50%ash, 0.45% fiber and 86.85% total carbohydrates. These agree with Moawad et al. (2019) who reported that wheat flour (72% extraction) had 12.25% proteins, 0.64% crude fiber, 0.63% ash, 0.70 % lipids and 85.78% total carbohydrates.

As for barley flour, the results showed 10.92% crude protein, 2.60% crude ether extract, 1.30%ash, 2.54 % crude fiber and 85.18% total carbohydrates. The obtained results were in agreement with Rizk (2014) who reported that barley flour had 10.29% proteins, 2.44% crude fiber, 1.28% ash, 2.66% ether extract and 83.33% carbohydrates. As for quinoa flour, the obtained results showed 13.13% crude protein, 6.52% ether extract, 4.65%ash, 4.53% fiber and 75.70% total carbohydrates. These results were in agreement with Moawad et al. (2019) who reported that quinoa flour had 15.10% proteins, 3.80% crude fiber, 3.72% ash, 6.33% lipid and 71.05% total carbohydrates.

As for carrot powder, the results showed that it contains 7.37% crude protein, 1.67% crude ether extract, 6.62%ash, 9.25%crude fiber and 84.34% total carbohydrates. These results were, in general, higher than those obtained by Rizk (2004) who reported those carrot powder had 6.33% proteins, 6.54 crude fiber, 5.62% ash, 1.62% ether extract and 79.89% carbohydrates.

The obtained results indicate that barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder are very rich of ash and crude fiber contents compared with wheat flour. Also, the results reveal that quinoa flour is good source for protein, ash and crude fiber. These results make them very useful to prepare high nutritional value bakery products.

Mineral contents of wheat flour, barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder

Data presented in Table 3 showed mineral content of wheat flour, barley flour, and quinoa flour and carrot powder as mg ⁄100 g sample. The obtained data showed that the mean value of minerals contents of (Ca, K, P and Fe) in barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder sample were higher than those of wheat flour72%extraction. The value of Zn in wheat flour (4.6 mg/100 g) is higher than that in barley (2.1 mg/100 g) and that in quinoa (3.27 mg ⁄100 g). The content of Mn in wheat flour (3 mg ⁄100 g) is higher than

TABLE 2.The proximate analysis of raw materials

Materials	Crude Protein	Crude ether extract	Ash	Crude fiber	T.C	Caloric value (kcal/100g)
Wheat flour 72%	11.60^{b} ±0.06	1.05^{d} ±0.03	0.50^{d} ±0.05	0.45^{d} ±0.06	86.85^{a} ±0.20	403.25^{c} ±0.25
Barley flour	10.92^{c} ±0.02	2.60^{b} ±0.05	1.30^{c} ±0.04	2.54^{c} ±0.06	85.18^{b} ±0.08	407.80^{b} ±0.15
Quinoa flour	13.13^{a} ±0.03	6.52^{a} ±0.02	4.65^{b} ±0.04	4.53^{b} ±0.06	75.70^{d} ±0.12	414.00^{a} ±0.06
Carrot powder	7.37^{d} ±0.07	1.67^{c} ±0.04	6.62^{a} ±0.05	9.25^{a} ±0.06	84.34^{c} ±0.10	381.87^{d} ±0.07

- Values followed by the same letter in columns are not significantly different at LSD at ($p \leq 0.05$).
- Each value was an average of three determinations ± standard deviation.

T C = Total carbohydrates

that in barley (1.5 mg/100g). The values were 16.30, 150, 129.63, 4.60, 1.60 and 3.00 mg /100 g of (Ca, K, P, Zn, Fe and Mn), respectively in wheat flour 72% extraction. These results are in agreement with the results reported by El-Dreny and El-Hadidy (2018).

Results of barley flour were 21.40, 160, 189.20, 2.10, 2.00 and 1.50 mg /100 g, respectively. The results are in agreement with the results reported by Rizk (2014). Also the results of quinoa flour were 120.33, 1627, 443.65, 3.27, 5.79 and 3.79 mg /100 g. These results are in agreement with the results reported by Atef et al. (2012).Carrot powder contains 287.33,2215, 38.00, 6.47, 9.50 and 3.29 mg /100 g, respectively. The results are in agreement with the results reported by Rizk (2004).

It could be observed that the iron content of barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder is higher than that of wheat flour. The iron is important for the schoolchildren, which mostly needs more iron to avoid the anemia especially in developing countries. The results indicated that the tested materials are good sources for the minerals.

Amino acids profile of biscuits (g/100 g of protein)

Data given in Table 4 showed the amino acid composition (gamino acid / 100g protein) of biscuits made from wheat flour 72%, naked barley, and quinoa flour and carrot powder. The obtained results indicated that, the amount of total essential amino acids of biscuits blends contained quinoa flour and carrot powder (blend 3, blend 4 and blend 5) were relatively higher compared to biscuits made from wheat flour 72% ext) only or with barley flour (control and blend 2) lysine, leucine, tyrosine, threonine and methionine in samples contained quinoa flour and carrot powder were higher than those in control biscuits made from wheat flour. On the other hand, total non-essential amino acids contents were lower in blends prepared with barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder compared to control prepared from wheat flour.

Protein quality parameters (Computed protein efficiency ratio C- PER and biological value BV) of biscuits made from barley flour, quinoa flour, carrot powder and wheat were presented in Table 4. The results in this Table showed that the quality of protein parameters were higher in samples prepared with barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder (B2, B3, B4 and B5) than those of control (wheat flour). These results are in accordance with Moawad et al. (2019).

Chemical composition of biscuits (on dry weight)

The chemical composition of biscuits prepared from barley flour, quinoa flour, carrot powder with wheat flour and biscuits prepared from 100% wheat flour (72% extraction) was studied and obtained results are shown in Table 5. It was found that crude protein, ether extract, crude fiber and ash increased in all blends of biscuits compared with biscuits prepared from 100% wheat flour (control 1). On the other hand, the mean value of crude protein decrease in (B2) and(B3)of biscuits made from wheat flour with barley flour and quinoa flour. Also total carbohydrate in all blends of biscuits decrease compared with biscuits prepared from 100% wheat flour. This may be due to barley flour have low amounts of crude protein compared with wheat flour. The results are in accordance with Makpoul & Ibrahem (2015) and Abdelazim et al. (2019).

TABLE 3. Minerals content (mg/100g dry sample) of raw materials

Minerals	**Macro elements**			**Micro elements**		
Raw materials	**Ca**	**K**	**P**	**Zn**	**Fe**	**Mn**
Wheat flour 72%	16.30^{d} ±0.30	150^{d} ±0.40	129.63^{c} ±0.95	4.60^{b} ±0.04	1.60^{d} ±0.03	3.00^{c} ±0.02
Naked barley flour	21.40^{c} ±0.50	160^{c} ±0.50	189.20^{b} ±1.20	2.10^{d} ±0.03	2.00^{c} ±0.03	1.50^{d} ±0.03
Quinoa flour	120.33^{b} ±0.03	1627^{b} ±2.30	443.65^{a} ±1.45	3.27^{c} ±0.02	5.79^{b} ±0.04	3.79^{a} ±0.04
Carrot powder	287.33^{a} ±0.80	2215^{a} ±3.33	38.00^{d} ±1.55	6.47^{a} ±0.05	9.50^{a} ±0.06	3.29^{b} ±0.05

- Values followed by the same letter in columns are not significantly different at LSD at ($p \leq 0.05$).
- Each value was an average of three determinations ± standard deviation.

TABLE 4. Amino acids and protein quality parameters in biscuits

Amino acids(g/100g protein)	Control1	Blend 2	Blend 3	Blend4	Blend 5
Essential amino acids					
Lysine	2.93	2.97	3.35	3.52	3.71
Isoleucine	4.29	3.93	4.00	4.02	4.04
Leucine	4.67	4.90	5.28	5.36	5.48
Phenylalanine	5.46	5.30	5.18	5.03	4.93
Tyrosine	2.13	2.16	2.29	2.23	2.16
Histidine	4.11	3.50	3.39	3.32	3.26
Valine	4.56	4.60	4.55	4.60	4.56
Threonine	2.11	2.28	2.45	2.52	2.60
Methionine	1.33	1.55	1.70	1.77	1.87
Tryptophan	1.17	1.40	1.43	1.45	1.50
Total (EAA)	32.76	32.59	33.62	33.82	34.11
Nonessential amino acids					
Aspartic acid	5.77	5.84	5.65	5.43	5.19
Glutamic acid	30.47	28.88	26.99	26.08	25.18
Serine	6.96	6.13	5.89	5.78	5.69
Proline	12.06	12.63	11.90	11.47	11.04
Glycine	3.80	3.86	3.98	4.21	4.45
Alanine	3.76	3.80	3.79	3.83	3.88
Arginine	2.42	3.02	3.33	3.68	3.94
Total(NEAA)	65.24	63.76	61.53	60.48	59.37
C-PER	2.24	2.32	2.53	2.59	2.66
BV	73.56	74.38	76.57	77.16	77.95

EAA: Essential amino acids. NEAA: Nonessential amino acids
C-PER = Computed protein efficiency ratio. BV = Biological value

TABLE 5. Chemical composition of biscuits (on dry weight basis)

Samples	Crude protein	Crude ether extract	Ash	T. C	Crude fiber	Kcal/100 g
Control 1	10.93^{b} ±0.03	12.50^{e} ±0.04	0.66^{e}±0.02	75.91^{a} ±0.09	0.30^{e} ±0.03	459.86^{c} ±0.12
Blend 2	10.83^{b} ±0.02	12.77^{c} ±0.07	0.80^{d} ±0.05	75.60^{b} ±0.10	0.66^{d} ±0.02	460.63^{b} ±0.15
Blend 3	10.89^{b} ±0.04	13.13^{b} ±0.03	1.11^{c} ±0.01	74.87^{c} ±0.00	0.97^{c} ±0.01	461.22^{a} ±0.11
Blend 4	10.94^{b} ±0.04	13.31^{a} ±0.06	1.25^{b} ±0.05	74.50^{d} ±0.15	1.11^{b} ±0.01	461.55^{a} ±0.10
Blend 5	11.17^{a} ±0.15	13.39^{a} ±0.09	1.38^{a} ±0.00	74.06^{e} ±0.20	1.24^{a} ±0.02	461.45^{a} ±0.50

- Values followed by the same letter in columns are not significantly different at LSD at ($p \leq 0.05$).
- Each value was an average of three determinations ± standard deviation.
- T.C = Total carbohydrates - Kcal = Kilo Calories

Sensory evaluation of prepared biscuits

Biscuits replaced by different levels of substitutions of naked barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder were sensory evaluated and compared with wheat flour biscuit (control). Table 6 shows that there was a significant increase in the appearance, color, taste, texture, odor and overall acceptability of all biscuits blends (B2, B3, B4 and B5) after incorporating biscuits with naked barley, quinoa flour and carrot powder with wheat flour compared with biscuit made from 100% wheat flour. The sensory attributes of biscuits with 20% quinoa flour (B5) have the best scores than other biscuits samples. Thus, replacement of quinoa flour at 20% level improved the sensory attributes of biscuits. The obtained results accordance with Makpoul and Ibrahem (2015). Since all sensory characteristics had good scores, it could be recommended that biscuits sample contained 25% barley flour, 20% quinoa flour and 1% carrot powder (blend 5) be used in the substitution of wheat flour in the production of high quality biscuits.

Color parameters of biscuits

Color is one of the most important quality attributes of bakery products. The Hunter color parameters L (Lightness), a (redness/greenness) and b (yellowness/blueness) are widely used to describe color changes of food materials. Preferred colors are closest to the original color of samples. Color parameters of biscuits made from naked barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder were measured and the results were tabulated in Table 7. The results indicated that lightness (L*) value of biscuit sample contained barely flour (B2)was higher but it's a value lower than control. It could be noted that biscuits samples contained barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder (B3, B4 and B5) have L values lower but they have a, and b values higher than control. The results were accordance with Abdelazim et al. (2019).

Physical properties of biscuits

The results of the physical properties of biscuits prepared from naked barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder with wheat flour blends and biscuits made from 100% wheat flour 72% ext. are shown in Table 8. The length, volume and weight were significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher in biscuits samples prepared from naked barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder (B3, B4 and B5) but width and spread ratio of these samples were lower compared with those of biscuit made from 100% wheat flour. The results in Table 8 show also there was no significant difference in the thickness of the biscuit samples. It's clear that width, spread ratio, volume and weight of biscuit sample prepared with barley flour without quinoa and carrot powder (B2) were lower than those of control. The results are in agreement with the results reported by Makpoul & Ibrahem (2015). Such differences in the physical properties could be attributed to properties in the raw materials such as naked barley flour, quinoa flour, wheat flour and carrot powder.

TABLE 6. Organoleptic properties of biscuits

Samples	Appearance 20	Color 20	Texture 20	Odor 20	Taste 20	Overall acceptability 100
Control 1	17.67^{c} ±0.58	16.67^{d} ±0.58	17.66^{b} ±0.58	16.33^{d} ±0.58	17.00^{c} ±0.00	85.33^{e} ±0.03
Blend 2	17.60^{c} ±0.41	17.40^{c} ±0.55	17.60^{b} ±0.54	17.40^{c} ±0.42	17.40^{bc} ±0.55	87.40^{d} ±0.06
Blend3	18.20^{bc} ±0.27	18.30^{b} ±0.27	18.00^{b} ±0.71	17.80^{bc} ±0.45	18.20^{ab} ±0.27	90.05^{c} ±0.06
Blend 4	18.60^{b} ±0.42	18.80^{ab} ±0.57	18.30^{b} ±0.27	18.10^{ab} ±0.22	18.40^{a} ±0.89	92.20^{b} ±0..10
Blend 5	19.50^{a} ±0.50	19.40^{a} ±0.55	19.20^{a} ±0.27	18.60^{a} ±0.54	19.00^{a} ±0.61	95.70^{a} ±0.05

- Values followed by the same letter in columns are not significantly different at LSD at ($p \leq 0.05$).
- Each value was an average of three determinations ± standard deviation.

TABLE 7. Color parameters of biscuits

Blends	L(Lightness)	a (Redness/greenness)	b (Yellowness/blueness)
Control1	61.43^{b}±.0.03	7.19^{c}±.0.03	36.94^{c}±.0.58
Blend 2	65.98^{a}±.0.05	5.22^{d}±.0.05	37.38^{c}±.0.08
Blend 3	60.39^{c}±.0.04	9.16^{b}±.0.01	40.98^{a}±.0.01
Blend 4	56.25^{e}±.0.05	7.24^{c}±.0.02	37.83^{c}±.0.06
Blend 5	58.85^{d}±.0.03	9.54^{a}±.0.04	38.40^{b}±.0.20

- Values followed by the same letter in columns are not significantly different at LSD at ($p \leq 0.05$).
- Each value was an average of three determinations ± standard deviation.

TABLE 8. Physical properties of biscuits

Samples	Length (cm)	Width (cm)	Thickness (cm)	Spread ratio	Weight (g)	Volume (cm^3)
Control1	8.00^{d} ±0.20	3.00^{a} ±0.20	1.33^{a} ±0.05	2.25^{a} ±0.14	15.00^{d} ±0.30	32.04^{bc} ±3.50
Blend 2	8.93^{c} ±0.12	2.68^{b} ±0.09	1.33^{a} ±0.03	2.02^{b} ±0.03	12.53^{e} ±0.25	31.78^{c} ±1.68
Blend 3	10.23^{a} ±0.06	2.63^{b} ±0.06	1.32^{a} ±0.02	2.00^{b} ±0.05	16.53^{c} ±0.25	35.03^{ab} ±0.89
Blend 4	8.80^{c} ±0.20	2.67^{b} ±0.06	1.33^{a} ±0.01	2.00^{b} ±0.02	17.00^{b} ±0.00	31.21^{c} ±0.88
Blend 5	9.66^{b} ±0.05	2.77^{b} ±0.05	1.33^{a} ±0.03	2.08^{b} ±0.05	18.00^{a} ±0.20	35.60^{a} ±1.05

- Values followed by the same letter in columns are not significantly different at LSD at ($p \leq 0.05$).
-Each value was an average of three determinations ± standard deviation.

Hardness of Biscuits

The importance of texture in the consumer acceptance is highly recognized. Karaoğlu and Kotancilar (2009) showed that hardness is the most important in evaluation of baked goods, because of its close association with human perception of freshness. The data in Fig. 1 presented the hardness of biscuits blends and control. The hardness of control biscuits were 95 newtons. On the other hand, all samples become harder with increment quinoa flour level. The results showed that B5 had the highest hardness value 107 newton compared to other samples and control. This may be due to the effect of quinoa flour and naked barley flour in formulation. This might have outcome from combination of protein rich flour or fiber which requirement more water to get good biscuits dough, and the biscuits made from high-absorption dough resort to be highly hard.

Conclusion

The obtained results in this study revealed that biscuits were prepared using wheat flour supplemented with barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder at different levels. The final products were rich of protein, crude fiber and minerals with high caloric value. These products were a rich source of essential amino acids. Supplemented biscuits had higher energy value with increased energy portions coming from protein, minerals, fiber and amino acids. The applied technological procedure using well blended combination of supplements resulted in production of biscuits its excellent sensory properties of color, taste, odor and over acceptability. Finally, it could prepare some bakery products using materials such as naked barley flour, quinoa flour and carrot powder with high quality that are suitable for consumers.



Fig. 1. Hardness of prepared biscuits samples

References

AACC (2002) Approved Method of American Association of Cereal Chemists. Approved Methods of AACC Published by the American Association of Cereal Chemists. 13th edition, St. Paul, Inc., Minnesota.

A.OAC (2000) Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Official Method of Analysis 17th ed, Arlington Virginia, USA.

Abdelazim, S. A. A., Sohair, T. El-Hadidie and Kamel, M, A. (2019) Chemical and Technological Evaluation of Some Varieties Naked Barley. *Acta Scientific Nutritional Health,* **3,** (11), 114-123.

Alsmeyer, R. H., Cuningham, A. E. and Happich, M. L. (1974) Equations predict PER from amino acid analysis. *Food Techno.,* 28 (7), 34-40.

Alu'datt, M. T., Ereifej, K., Alli, I., Alrababah, M., Almajwal, A., Masadeh, N. and Alhamad, M. (2012) Effects of barley flour and barley protein isolate on chemical, functional, nutritional and biological properties of pita bread. *Food Hydrocolloids,* **26**, 135-143.

Atef, A., Abou-Zaid, A.A., El-Faham, S.Y., Wafaa. and Emam, H. (2012) Use of Quinoa Meal to Produce Bakery Products to Celiac and Autism Stuffs. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR).,* **3** (9),1344-1354.

Bailey, J.L. (1967) *Techniques in Protein Chemistry.* Elsevier Publishing Co., London, UK.

Bhaduri, S.A. (2013). Comprehensive Study on Physical Properties of Two Gluten-Free Flour Fortified Muffins. *J. Food Proc. and Technol.,* 4, 4-7.

Dias, J. S. (2012). Nutritional Quality and Health Benefits of Vegetables: A Review. *Food and Nutrition Sciences,* 3, 1354-1374.

El-Dreny, E. G. and El-Hadidy, G.S. (2018) Utlization of young green barley as a potential source of some nutrition substances. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, **45** (4):1333-1344.

Farag, S. A.; El-Shirbeeny, A. and Nassef, A. E. (1996) Physicochemical studies for preparing quick-cooking rice by using gamma irradiation. *Annals of Agric Sci.,* Moshtohor, 34, 641-652.

Hansen, S. L., Purup, S. and Christensen, L.P. (2003) Bioactivity of falcarinol and the influence of processing and storage on its content in carrots (*Daucuscarota L*). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture,* **83**, 1010-1017.

Hrusková, M., Vítová, M. and Svec, I. (2007) Pasta with Non-Traditional Crops Addition. *Milling Papers,* 4, 7-11.

Izydorczyk, M.S. and Dexter, J.E. (2008) Barley β-glucans and arabinoxylans: Molecular structure, physico-chemical properties, and uses in food products A Review. *Food Research International*, 41, 850-868.

James, C. S. (1995) "*Analytical Chemistry of Foods*". Chap. 6, General Food Studies, Firsted. The Alden press, Oxford, UK.

Karaoğlu, M. M. and Kotancilar, H. G (2009) Quality and textural behaviour of par-baked and rebaked cake during prolonged storage. *Inter. J Food Sci Tech;* **44**,93-99.

Makpoul, K, R. and Ibrahem., A. A. (2015) Improving Biscuits nutritional value using quinoa flour, *J. FoodandDairySci.,***6**(12),771-780.

Mariotti, M., Garofalo, C., Aquilanti, L., Osimani, A., Fongaro, L., Tavoletti, S., Hager, A. S. and Clementi, F. (2014) Barleyflour exploitation in sourdough bread-making: A technological,

nutritional and sensory evaluation. *LWT – Food Science and Technology,* **59**, 973-980.

Marvin, S. (2009). Processing of dried carrots and carrot powder. *Food Recipe. Net,* 14-20.

Miller, E.L. (1967) Determination of the tryptophan content of feeding stuffs with particular reference to cereals. *J. Sci. Food Agric.,* 18, 381-38

Miranda M., Vega-Gálvez, A., Vergara, J., Uribe, E., Puente, L and Martínez, E.A. (2010). Nutrition facts and functional potential of quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.). An ancient Andean grain: A review. *Journal of Science Food Agricultural,* **90** (15), 2541-2547.

Moawad, E.M.M., Rizk, I.R.S., Kishk, Y.F.M. and Youssif, M.R.G. (2019) Effect of substitution of wheat flour with quinoa flour on quality of pan bread and biscuit. *Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci. (AUJAS),* Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt., **26** (7D), 2387- 2400.

Nandeesh, K., Jyotsna, R. and Venkateswara, G. (2010) Effect of differently treated wheat bran on rheology, microstructure and quality characteristics of soft dough biscuits. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation,* **35**, 179-200.

Newman, C.W. and Newman, R.K. (2006) A brief history of barley foods. *Cereal Foods World,* 514-517.

Repo-Carrasco, R., Espinoza, C. and Jacobsen, S.E. (2003) Nutritional Value and Use of the Andean Crops Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) and Kaniwa (*Chenopodium pallidicaule*)," *Food Reviews International,* **19**, (12), 179-189.

Rizk, E.A. (2004) Studies on enrichment of bread. *M. Sc. Thesis,* Food industry. Dept., Fac. Agric. Mansoura Univ., Egypt

Rizk, E.A. (2014). Improvement of nutritional value and technological of balady bread using some natural additives. *Ph. D. Thesis,* Food industry. Dept., Fac. Agric. Tanta Univ., Egypt

Rosell C.M., Cortez G. and Repo-Carrasco R. (2009) Bread making use of Andean crops quinoa, kañiwa, kiwicha, and tarwi. *Cereal Chem.,* **4**, 386- 392.

Rosero, O., Marounek, M. B□e□ová, N. and Lukešova, D. (2013) Phytase activity and comparison of chemical composition, phytic acid content of four varieties of quinoa grain (*Chenopodium quinoa Willd.*) *Acta Agronómica.*, **62**, (1), 13 - 20.

Shalini, H. and Sudesh, J. (2005) Organoleptic and nutritional evaluation of wheat biscuits supplemented with untreated and treated fenugreek flour. *Food Chemistry,* **90**, 427 - 435.

Smith, W. H. (1972) "Wine-cut cookies". In: Simth, W. H. (Ed). Biscuits, Crackers and cookies: Technology, Production and Management". Applied Science Pulishers London 737.

SPSS (2000) "Statistical Package for Social Sciences". SPSS for Widows, Version 15, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA.

Sudha, M., Vetrimani, R. and Leelavathi, K. (2007) Influence of fiber from different cereals on the rheological characteristics of wheat flour dough and on biscuits quality. *Food Chem.,* **100**, 1365-1370.

Tong, Q., Zhang, X., Wu, F., Tong, J., Zhang, P. and Zhang, J. (2010) Effect of honey powder on dough rheology and bread quality. *Food Re-Search Int.,* **43**, 2284-2288.

Vasan, A., Boora, P. and Khetarpaul, N. (2017) Grab a healthy bite: Nutritional evaluation of barley based cookies. *Asian J. Dairy & Food Res,* **36** (1), 76-79.

Verma, A. K. and Banerjee, R. (2010) Dietary fiber as functional ingredient in meat products: a novel approach for healthy living - a review. *J. Food Sci. Technol.,* **47**, 247-257.

تحسين القيميه الغذائيه والخواص الفيزيقية والحسيه للبسكويت باستخدام الكينوا والشعير العاري والجزر

الهدف من هذه الدراسه أعداد بسكويت عالي الجوده والقيمه الغذائيه . وذو مواصفات حسيه مقبوله لدي المستهلك. وتم أستخدام بذور الكينوا ودقيق الشعير العاري ومسحوق الجزر ودقيق القمح أستخلاص ٧٢٪ في أعداد البسكويت تحت الدراسه بنسب مختلفه وأوضحت الدراسه نتائج التحليل الكيماوي للمواد المستخدمه تحت الدراسه ; والبسكويت المصنع منها أرتفاع محتواه من الزيت الخام والألياف والعناصر المعدنيه الكبري مقارنه بالبسكويت المعد من دقيق القمح فقط. بينما أرتفع محتوي البسكويت المعد من دقيق القمح فقط في نسبه البروتين ومنخفض في نسبه الألياف الخام والعناصر المعدنيه والمستخلص الأثيري الخام مقارنه بباقي الخلطات . وأظهرت النتائج ان البسكويت المصنع من دقيق الكينوا ومطحون الشعير العاري ومسحوق الجزر كان مرتفع في محتواه من الاحماض الامينيه الاساسيه وكذلك ارتفاع كفاء البروتين والقيمه البيولوجيه للبروتين في الخلطات مقارنه بالبسكويت المصنع من دقيق القمح فقط. وأظهرت النتائج أيضا أن البسكويت المصنع من الكينوا والشعير والجزر كان له خواص فيريقيه وخواص حسيه مقبوله لدي المستهلك من حيث الطعم واللون والنكهه والرائحه والقبول العام .