Combining Ability and Heterosis using Line by Tester Analysis on some Hybrids of Grain Sorghum under Normal and Saline Soil

Tag El-Din, Aml A.; E.M. Hussien and O.A.Y. Abd Elraheem



ISSN: 1110-0486

E-mail: ajas@aun.edu.eg

Department of Grain Sorghum Research, Field Crops Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Egypt

Accepted for publication on: 15/11/2021

Abstract

Twenty F₁ grain sorghum crosses, their parents (four CMS A-lines and five male R-lines) and one commercial check hybrid (H-306) were evaluated for yield and six other characters in 2020 and 2021 seasons at Arab El-Awamer Station, Assiut, Egypt under salt (S) and normal (N) soils. The obtained combined analysis showed highly significant differences between salinity treatments, genotypes and their interaction for all studied traits. The means of genotypes under normal soil were higher than the means of genotypes under salt soil for all traits except days to 50% flowering. Meanwhile, mean squares due to lines, testers and their interaction were significant or highly significant for almost studied traits. Mean crosses were higher than mean parent for all studied traits except days to 50% flowering. The best parents for general combining ability effects were BTX-807 and ICSV-112 for grain yield / plant under all environments (two salinity treatments and two years). The desirable crosses for specific combining ability for grain yield/plant were ICSA-88015 x ICSV-112, ATX-807 x RSH-19, ATX-807 x RSH-27, ASH-15 x Indian Exotic 27 and ASH-20 x ICSV-295) under all environments. The better crosses for heterosis relative to better parents were (ICSA-88015 x ICSV-112, ATX -807 x ICSV-112, ATX-807 x RSH-19, ATX-807 x RSH-27 and ASH-15 x Indian Exotic 27) under all environments. The best crosses for grain yield plant under normal and salt soil were ATX-807 x RSH-27and ASH-15 x Indian Exotic 27.

Keywords: Sorghum, plain and saline lands, Assiut.

Introduction

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench), aC₄ plant, is the fifth most important cereal in the world (Ighbal, 2015). This plant is well adapted to semi-arid and arid regions because of its tolerance to a biotic stress such as drought and salinity (Marsalis *et al.*, 2010). Sorghum is famous for its strong stress resistances and wide adaptability, Moreover, salt tolerance is one of its main characteristics (Igartua *et al.*, 1994). Enhancing sorghum production on saline-alkaline land is one of the best choices for effective

use of this marginal soil. Domestic and overseas studies on plant tolerance to soil salinity and alkalinity in sorghum have led to achievements. These mainly include the improvements in genetics and breeding, physiology, production, and evaluation of saline-alkaline resistance. Increased tolerance of sorghum to salt has been related to its ability to overcome reduced uptake of K+ and Ca2+ and /or accumulation in the leaves of toxic ions, especially Na+ and Cl⁻ (Lacerda et al., (2003). Numerous studies reported that there are high genetic variations in sorghum genotypes in response to salinity (Krishnamurthy et al., (2007) and Netondo et al., (2004). These genetic variations can be monitored to search for the most salt tolerant genotypes. This monitoring analysis should be done at the most critical and sensitive stage of plant growth (Lauchli and Epstein, (1990).Krishnamurthy et (2007), Nimir et al., (2014), Nimir et al.,(2015) and Ali et al., (2020) they reported reduction in seedling emergence by increasing salinity levels in sorghum, but the responses varied depending on the genotype. Salinity is one of the major factor which causes inhibitory effects on plant growth. The reduction in growth under saline conditions is more severe in arid and semi-arid regions due to adverse effects on metabolic and physiological processes (Krishnamurthy et al., (2007), Rengasamy, (2006) and Bonilla et al., (2004).

So, the objective of this investigation is to evaluate the Combining ability and heterosis using line by tester analysis on some hybrids of grain sorghum under normal and saline soil.

Materials and Methods

This investigation was carried out during 2020 and 2021 seasons at Arab El-Awamer Agric. Res. Station, Assiut, Egypt, to evaluate 30 sorghum genotypes. The twenty crosses were developed from crossed between four introduced cytoplasmic male sterile (ICSA-88015, ATX-807, ASH-15 and ASH-20) and five restorer lines 27, (Indian Exotic ICSV-112, ICSV-295, RSH-19 and RSH-27)

by line x tester design in 2019 summer season at Shandweel Agric. Res. Station. The parents, twenty crosses and check hybrid H-306 were evaluated under two salinity treatments (i.e. normal (N) and saline (S) soil conditions (Tables 1 and 2). A randomized complete block design with three replications was used for normal soil and saline soil (stress). The experimental unit was one row, four- meter- long and 20 cm between hills. After full emergence, seedlings were thinned to secured two plants/hill. The other recommended cultural practices of sorghum production in the two years were implemented. Data were recorded on plant height (cm), days from sowing to 50% flowering, panicle length (cm), panicle width (cm), 1000 grain weight (g), grain yield per plant (g) and the percentage of total protein in grains. Grain yield was adjusted to 14 % grain moister. Analysis of variance for four environments, normal soil and salt soil in two years and combined analysis of variance across four environments were done after testing the homogeneity of errors using Barttlet (1937) according to Gomez and Gomez (1984). Line by tester analysis was performed according to Kempthorne (1957). Heterosis was calculated as the percentage of deviation from better parent according to the following formula:

 $H = m F_1 - m B.P / m B.P x 100$

Where, F_1 and B.P are means for the F_1 hybrid and best parent, respectively. Test of significance was made by using LSD. Some physical and chemical properties of normal and saline soil are given in Table 1 and 2. Origin of the parental Y_N is the non lines and the check are given in Table 3. Y_{MN} is the meaning that Y_{MN} is the meaning Y_{MN} is the meaning

Stress susceptibility index was calculated according to Fischer and Maurer (1978) equation:

 $SS1 = (1 - Y_S / Y_N) / (1 - Y_{MS} / Y_{MN})$

Where Y_S is the yield under salt stress (salt soil).

 Y_N is the non – salt stressed yield (normal soil).

ISSN: 1110-0486

E-mail: ajas@aun.edu.eg

Y_{MN} is the mean yield for all genotypes under normal soil.

 Y_{Ms} is the mean yield for all genotypes under salt soil.

S.S.I values > 1.0 indicate relatively stress susceptible genotype and < 1.0 indicate relatively stress tolerance genotype.

Table 1. Some physical and chemical properties of normal soil.

		-				Chemical prop	perties				
pН	EC ds/m	S	oluble ((meg		ıs		S	oluble a	nio	ons (meg/	L)
(1:1)	(1:1)	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg^{++}	Na ⁺	K ⁺	CO ₃ ⁺ HCO ₃ ⁻	CL-			ilable rus (ppm)	Total nitrogen (%)
8.37	0.33	1.43	1.16	0.19	0.75	1.68	1.47		8	.31	0.009
						Physical proj	perties				
Particle t	e size di ion (%)		Text cla		1	Moisture cont (Volumetric	O.I (%		CaCO ₃ (%)	Bulk density	
Sand	Silt	Clay	Cla	133	S.P	F.C	W.P.	0.1	0	30.9	1.63
89.9	7.1	3.0	San	ıdy	23.3	3 10.9 4.5 0.19 30.9 1.6					

Table 2. Some physical and chemical properties of saline soil.

					(Chemical pr	opertie	S					
pН	EC ds/m	;	Soluble ca (meg/					Sol	uble an	ions (meg	g/L)		
(1:1)	(1:1)	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na ⁺	\mathbf{K}^{+}	CO ₃ ⁺ HC	CO ₃	CL-		Available sphorus (p		Total nitrogen (%)	
8.30	4.68	15.67	12.52	8.21	10.75	20.6	3	19.47		7.26		0.007	
					Ph	ysical pr	operti	ies					
Partic	ele size d	istribu-	Тоти		Mo	isture cor	itent		O.M	CaCO		Dully dang!4.	
	tion (%)	Textur	e	(V	olumetric	· %)		(%)	₃ (%)		Bulk density	
Sand	Silt	Clay	class		S.P	F.C	W	/.Р.	0.19	30.9	1.63		
89.9	7.1	3.0	Sandy	,	23.3	10.9	4	5	0.19	30.9		1.03	

Table 3. Origin of the parental lines and check.

No.	Cytoplasmic male sto (CMS lines)		Restorer lin	es	Check (I	H-306):
	Name	Origin	Name	Origin	Name	Origin
1	ICSA-88015	India	Indian Exotic 27	India		
2	ATX -870	USA	ICSV-112	India		
3	ASH-15	Egypt	ICSV-295	India	H-306	Egypt
4	ASH-20	Egypt	RSH – 19	Egypt		
5	-	-	RSH-27	Egypt		

Results and Discussion

The combined analysis of variance for seven traits across four environments (two salinity treatments and two years) Table 4, showed highly significant differ-

ences between two years for total protein percentage. Also the differences between two salinity treatments were highly significant for all studied traits.

ISSN: 1110-0486

Table 4. Combined analysis of variance for 30 genotypes of grain sorghum across two salinity treatments (N and S) and two years.

					Mean squa	res		
S.O.V	d.f	Plant height	Days to 50% floweri ng	Panicle height	Panicle width	1000- grain weight	Grain yield per plant	Total protein (%)
Years (Y)	1	31.27	8.100	2.010	0.870	10.75	4.923	6.162*
Rep. within years	4	62.02	10.416	4.842	0.664	35.84	81.98	0.756
Salinity treatments (T)	1	11961.2**	1400.3**	599.9**	93.94**	1803.6**	16931.9**	15.50**
YxT	1	12.21	1.878	0.971	0.038	0.900	2.225	0.775
Genotypes (G)	9	5672.5**	175.7**	72.62**	4.510**	1477.2**	741.4**	53.95**
G x Y	9	9.776	3.106	1.36	0.095	36.78	5.122	0.177
G x T	29	64.49**	20.30**	7.119**	0.591**	339.4**	110.4**	1.886**
GxYxT	9	7.390	1.056	0.708	0.079	20.21	4.652	0.162
Error	36	16.78	3.043	1.049	0.171	26.001	14.45	0.316

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Table 5. The effects of salinity treatments on seven traits for 30 genotypes of grain sorghum across two salinity treatments (N and S) and two years.

Salinity treatments	Plant height(cm)	Days to 50% flowering	Panicle height (cm)	Panicle width (cm)	1000grain weight (g)	Grain yield per plant(g)	Total protein(%)
Normal soil	157.7	80.1	20.9	6.4	30.1	55.9	10.88
Saline soil	145.4	83.5	18.8	5.5	25.1	42.9	10.86
F test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

The effects of salinity treatments on seven traits are shown in Table 5. The results showed the means under normal soil were higher than the salinity soil for all studied traits. The mean squares due to genotypes and their interaction with salinity treatments were highly significant for all studied traits, meaning that the

genotypes were differ from one to other, also the differences between genotypes were affected by salinity treatments. The mean performance of 30 genotypes for seven traits across four environments is presented in table 6. Table 6. The mean performance for 30 genotypes of grain sorghum for seven traits over the four environments (salinity treatments and two years).

	over the lour environi	nemes (Sammey ti	catine	its and	two yea	13).	
		Plant	Days to	Daniele	Panicle	1000-	Grain	Total
No.	Genotype	height	50% flow-		width	grain	yield per	Protein
		neight	ering	length	wiatii	weight	plant	(%)
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic 27	137.6	85.9	21.9	6.7	26.2	58.7	11.5
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	132.7	86	25.3	6.02	28.2	63.4	13.5
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	183.9	88.5	19.1	6.2	28.9	36.6	11.2
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	174.8	83.6	18.1	5.9	28.6	42.02	13.7
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	169.5	79.2	17.8	6.8	32.2	48.3	14.1
6	ATX -807 X Indian Exotic 27	165.05	81.6	16.7	6.2	27.9	41.4	14.9
7	ATX -807 x ICSV-112	164.6	81.9	21.3	7.7	31.1	58.8	8.9
8	ATX-807 x ICSV-295	164.5	78.3	17.9	6.1	23.1	46.8	13.8
9	ATX-807 x RSH – 19	176.4	81.6	22.9	7.02	30.6	58.7	13.4
10	ATX-807 x RSH-27	177.9	82.4	25.2	7.4	29.7	65.5	13.4
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	180.4	84.2	24.6	6.9	31.1	65.7	7.2
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	179.3	82.4	22.7	6.9	24.2	56.8	13.5
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	158.1	77.1	17.1	5.7	29.2	43.9	9.9
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	168.4	84	18.5	5.9	24.8	48.8	9.8
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	165.1	80.3	17.6	6.2	27.5	44.7	9.2
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	166.4	81.4	17.5	6.1	26.4	48.8	8.9
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	196.6	79.7	20.1	5.9	27.9	53.9	9.2
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	164.3	82.1	20.7	6.0	25.9	53.3	7.5
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	162.5	86	19.5	6.1	26.1	45.8	9.3
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	166.6	77.1	19.4	5.9	26.1	48.8	11.4
21	Indian Exotic 27	155.4	82.1	19.1	5.3	29.3	49.02	10.4
22	ICSV-112	136.2	79.1	18.6	5.6	28.4	45.5	12.2
23	ICSV-295	147.7	81.2	19.3	5.3	29.6	48.8	11.3
24	RSH – 19	170.1	82.4	18.3	5.3	27.9	43.6	9.9
25	RSH-27	142.8	88.9	16.4	6.5	27.6	48.1	11.5
26	ICSB-88015	117.5	83.0	16.6	5.3	27.8	42.4	10.6
27	BTX -870	133.8	82.3	16.6	5.0	27.3	40.9	10.7
28	BSH-15	118	83.7	18.5	5.1	27.9	40.6	9.4
29	BSH-20	129.9	83.7	19.5	5.8	29.0	39.1	10.2
30	H-306 (Check)	148.1	80.6	22.4	6.1	26.7	52.4	10.6
	LSD 0.05	4.68	1.99	1.17	0.47	5.81	4.34	0.63

The results over all environments showed that the means of plant height of almost genotypes were higher than the mean of the check (H-306). The means of genotypes no. 8, 13 and 20 were significantly earliness than the check (H-306) for 50% day to flowering. For panicle length, the genotypes no.2, 10 and 11 showed higher significantly means than the check. The genotypes no. 1, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 25 were significantly higher means of panicle width than the means of the check. The genotypes no. 5, 7, 9 and 11 were higher than

the check in values ranged from 14.61 to 20.60 % for 1000-grain weight. The genotypes no. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 20, 22, 23 and 25 were significantly surpassed the check for total protein %. For grain yield per plant the genotypes no. 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 11 and 12 exceeded significantly the means of the check (H-306).

Mean performance of 30 genotypes under normal and salt soil across two years are shown in Table 7. The results indicated that plant height for the crosses in saline soil ranged from 130.3 cm (ICSA-

88015 x ICSV-112) to 190.7 cm (ASH-20 x ICSV-112) with an average of 162.1 cm. While, plant height for the parental lines ranged from 111.0 cm (BSH-15) to 161.0 cm (RSH-19) with an average of 132.7cm. Under normal soil the plant height for the parental lines ranged from 122.3 cm (ICSB-88015) to 179.2 cm (RSH-19) with an average of 145.3 cm. Whereas, the crosses ranged from 135.2 cm (ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112) to

202.5 cm (ASH-20 X ICSV-112) with an average of 173.3 cm. General most of the crosses were taller than their parents in the two cases (under the normal and saline soil over two years), reflecting the presence of hybrid vigor. Meanwhile all genotypes (parental and crosses) under normal soil were higher than salt soil and also the crosses were significantly taller than the check hybrid (H-306).

Table 7. Means performance for 30 genotypes under normal and salt soil across two years.

-	two years.	1			. =00/			-	
		Plant h	eight (cm)		to 50% ering		cle length (cm)		nicle :h(cm)
No.	Genotype	S	N	S	N	S	N	S	N N
		Com.	Com.	Com.	Com.	Com.	Com.	Com.	Com.
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic 27	130.9	144.3	88.9	83.0	20.5	23.4	6.1	7.3
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	130.3	135.2	90.0	82.0	22.7	24.9	5.6	6.4
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	181.2	186.6	90.0	87.0	18.0	20.2	6.1	6.4
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	168.2	181.4	87.4	79.8	16.4	19.8	5.5	6.3
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	164.0	175.1	83.2	75.2	17.2	18.4	6.6	7.1
6	ATX -807 X Indian Exotic 27	156.2	173.9	83.0	80.2	16.4	17.2	5.8	6.5
7	ATX -807 x ICSV-112	157.5	171.7	84.4	79.5	17.5	25.2	6.2	7.4
8	ATX-807 x ICSV-295	153.0	176.1	83.2	73.3	16.8	19.2	5.5	6.7
9	ATX-807 x RSH – 19	172.0	180.8	82.4	80.9	20.9	24.9	6.6	7.4
10	ATX-807 x RSH-27	176.7	179.2	85.5	79.2	24.2	26.1	6.5	8.2
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	176.1	184.7	86.2	82.2	23.9	25.3	5.9	8.0
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	176.2	182.4	84.5	80.2	20.6	24.9	6.3	7.6
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	152.7	163.4	82.3	71.8	16.4	17.8	5.7	5.7
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	160.9	176.0	85.0	83.0	17.8	19.3	5.4	6.4
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	159.5	170.6	83.9	76.7	17.2	18.1	5.6	6.8
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	160.7	172.0	83.2	79.7	16.7	18.4	5.3	6.8
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	190.7	202.5	82.5	76.9	19.4	20.9	5.3	6.5
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	161.9	166.7	83.3	80.9	19.9	21.5	5.2	6.8
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	155.0	170.1	86.2	85.8	18.7	20.4	5.5	6.6
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	159.4	173.9	81.7	72.4	19.3	19.5	4.9	6.9
	Average	162.1	162.1	173.3	84.8	79.4	19.0	21.2	5.8
21	Indian Exotic 27	150.9	159.8	79.0	79.2	17.0	21.1	4.7	5.9
22	ICSV-112	128.0	144.4	80.7	79.8	16.0	21.2	5.1	6.1
23	ICSV-295	139.7	155.7	82.2	80.2	18.0	20.7	5.0	5.7
24	RSH – 19	161.0	179.2	83.4	81.4	17.2	19.4	4.90	5.7
25	RSH-27	137.5	148.1	88.0	89.9	15.4	17.5	6.20	6.8
26	ICSB-88015	112.7	122.3	85.5	80.5	15.3	17.9	4.8	5.8
27	BTX -807	126.3	141.3	81.9	82.7	15.2	18.2	4.6	5.4
28	BSH-15	111.0	125.0	83.5	83.9	16.7	20.3	4.4	5.7
29	BSH-20	128.0	131.9	84.4	83.0	17.1	21.9	5.4	6.3
	Average	132.7	145.3	83.1	82.2	16.4	19.7	5.01	5.9
30	141.5	154.7	82.5	78.7	21.1	23.6	5.9	6.5	6.5
	4.97	4.46	1.97	2.05	1.13	1.23	0.48	0.7	0.7

S= salt soil, N= normal soil

ISSN: 1110-0486 E-mail: ajas@aun.edu.eg

For, no. of days to 50% flowering for the crosses ranged from 81.7day (ASH-20 X RSH-27) to 90.00 (ICSA-88015 x ICSV-112 and ICSA-88015 x ICSV-295) with an average 84.8 day under saline soil. Whereas, the parental lines it ranged from 79.0 day (Indian Exotic 27) to 88.0 (RSH-27) with an average 83.1day. Under normal soil the parental lines ranged from 79.2 days (Indian Exotic 27) to 89.9 (RSH-27) with an average 82.2 day, and for the crosses it ranged from 71.8 day (ASH-15 x ICSV-295) to 87.0 (ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295) with an average of 79.4 day. In general, most of the F₁ crosses were earlier than their parents under two environments. These results are in harmony with these obtained by Al-Naggar et al. (1999), Mahmoud (2002), Amir (2004) and Mahmoud et al. (2013).

For panicle length for the evaluated genotypes under the salt and normal soil in the two seasons and combined across seasons Table 7 showed that panicle length under saline soil, ranged from 15.2 (BTX-807) to 18.0 (ICSV-295) with an average of 16.4 cm for the parental lines. Whereas, the crosses ranged from 16.35 cm (ICSA-88015 x RSH-19 and ATX-807 x Indian Exotic 27) to 24.20 cm (ATX-807 x RSH-27) with an average of 19.0 cm. Meanwhile, under normal soil, parents ranged from 17.5 (RSH-27) to 21.9 cm (BSH-20) with an average of 19.7 cm. whereas, for the crosses ranged from 17.15 (ATX-807 X Indian Exotic 27) to 26.10 cm (ATX-807 x RSH-27) with an average of 21.2 cm. General most of the crosses had panicle length more than the parents under two types of soils. On other hand, panicle width for the crosses under salt soil ranged from 4.9 (ASH-20 X RSH-27) to 6.6 cm (ICSA-88015 X RSH-27) with an average of 5.7cm, while, for the parental lines ranged from 4.4 (BSH-15) to 6.2 cm (RSH-27) with an average of 5.01 cm. Under normal soil the crosses ranged from 5.7 (ASH-15 X ICSV-295) to 8.2 cm (ATX-807 x RSH-27) with an average of 6.9 cm. whereas, for the parental lines ranged from 5.4 to 6.7 cm with an average of 5.9 cm. In general, all means of genotypes under normal soil are higher than under saline soil.

For 1000-grain weight, the parental lines under salt soil ranged from 23.8 (BSH-15) to 27.6 (ICSV-295) with an average of 26.02 g., while, for the crosses ranged from 21.45 (ATX-807x ICSV-295 and ASH-15 x ICSV-112) to 30.80 (ICSA-88015 x RSH-27) with an average of 25.5 g. Under normal soil the parental lines ranged from 28.9 (RSH-27) to 31.9 (BSH-15) with an average of 30.6 g, while the crosses ranged from 24.7 (ATX-807 x ICSV-295) to 32.9 (ASH-15 x Indian Exotic 27) with an average of 29.9 g. For the percentage of total protein, the crosses under salt soil ranged from 7.8 (ASH-20 x RSH -19) to 14.4 (ATX-807 x ICSV-112) with an average of 11.2%. While, the parental liens ranged from 9.25 (RSH-19) to 11.45 (ICSV-112) with an average of 10.31%. The crosses under normal soil ranged from 6.7 (ASH-15 x ICSV-112) to 15.4 (ATX -807 x ICSV-112) over

two years with an average of 11.5%. While, the parental lines ranged from 9.4 (BSH-15) to 12.9 (ICSV-112) with an average of 11.01%.

For grain yield per plant under the salt soil in the crosses ranged from 26.5 (ICSA-88015 x ICSV-295) to 56.8 (ICSA-88015 x ICSV-112) with an average of 43.83 g under salt soil, whereas, for the parental lines it ranged from 34.85 (BTX-807) to 44.10 (ICSV-112) with an average of 39.24 g. Under normal soil the crosses ranged from 46.30 (ICSA-88015 x RSH-19) to 76.70 (ATX-807 x RSH-27) with an aver-

age of 59. 24g. While, the parental lines ranged from 42.45 (BSH-20) to 56.35 (Indian Exotic 27) with an average of 49.2 g. The means of genotypes (parents and their crosses) under normal soil were higher than under salt soil. Three crosses (ICSA-88015 x ICSV-112, ASH-15 x Indian Exotic 27 and ASH-15 x ICSV-112) were significantly out yielded than check (H-306) for grain yield /plant under salt soil. Meanwhile, seven crosses were significantly surpassed the check under normal soil (no.1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 11, and 12).

Table 7. continue

		1000-			otal Protein		n yield per	
No.	Genotype	weigl			ı seeds		ant (g)	SSI
		S	N	S	N	S	N	
		Com.	Com.	Com.	Com.	Com.	Com.	
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic 27	24.3	28.0	11.1	12.0	45.6	71.8	1.4
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	27.4	28.9	13.2	13.9	56.8	70.0	0.72
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	24.2	33.5	10.8	11.6	26.5	46.6	1.6
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	26.9	30.2	13.5	13.8	37.7	46.3	0.71
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	30.8	33.5	13.7	14.8	44.5	52.0	0.55
6	ATX -807 X Indian Exotic 27	27.0	28.9	13.9	14.4	39.2	43.7	0.40
7	ATX -807 x ICSV-112	29.8	32.4	14.4	15.4	48.8	68.8	1.11
8	ATX-807 x ICSV-295	21.4	24.7	9.2	8.7	41.9	51.8	0.73
9	ATX-807 x RSH – 19	27.4	33.9	13.4	14.3	49.2	68.4	1.07
10	ATX-807 x RSH-27	27.6	31.9	13.3	13.6	54.3	76.7	1.12
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	29.4	32.9	12.2	14.8	55.8	75.5	1.00
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	21.4	26.9	6.7	7.6	47.4	66.2	1.09
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	26.6	31.8	13.1	13.9	39.7	48.2	0.67
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	24.0	25.7	10.4	9.50	39.6	57.9	1.2
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	24.9	30.2	9.90	9.45	34.6	54.7	1.4
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	23.2	29.7	9.25	9.05	46.3	51.2	0.37
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	25.2	30.7	9.15	8.75	46.7	61.1	0.90
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	24.4	27.5	9.50	8.95	45.7	60.9	0.96
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	21.6	30.5	7.80	7.25	35.5	56.1	1.4
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	24.4	27.8	9.40	9.20	40.75	56.8	1.08
	Average	25.5	29.9	9.40	9.20	40.75	56.8	
21	Indian Exotic 27	27.6	30.9	11.2	11.5	43.8	59.2	1.27
22	ICSV-112	26.4	30.4	10.1	10.7	41.7	56.4	0.29
23	ICSV-295	27.6	31.7	11.4	12.9	44.1	46.9	0.88
24	RSH – 19	25.1	30.7	11.4	11.2	44.0	53.6	1.6
25	RSH-27	26.3	28.9	9.25	10.5	35.1	52.1	0.82
26	ICSB-88015	25.3	30.3	11.3	11.7	43.7	52.5	1.18
27	BTX -870	25.2	29.4	10.1	11.1	36.8	48.0	1.3
28	BSH-15	23.8	31.9	10.2	11.3	34.8	47.0	0.81
29	BSH-20	26.7	31.3	9.40	9.40	37.0	44.3	0.76
-	Average	26.0	30.6	10.3	11.01	39.2	49.2	
30	H-306	23.80	29.7	11.1	10.2	45.6	59.2	
	LSD 0.05	1.16	1.28	0.63	0.66	4.77	3.95	

E-mail: ajas@aun.edu.eg

ISSN: 1110-0486

Nine crosses revealed to be tolerant to salinity were their SSI less than unity. These crosses in ranking for salt tolerance were ASH-20 x Indian Exotic 27, ATX -807 x Indian Exotic 27, ICSA-88015 x RSH-27, ASH-15 x ICSV-295, ICSA-88015 x RSH - 19, ICSA-88015 x ICSV-112, ATX-807 x ICSV-295, ASH-20 x ICSV-112 and ASH-20 x ICSV-295. Also, the tolerant parental lines for SSI (in ranking) were ICSV-112, BSH-20, BSH-15,

RSH-27 and ICSV-295. It is clear results that those tolerant parents were combined in the previous tolerant crosses. Consequently, their tolerant genes inherited to their progenies.

The line x tester analysis of variance of 29 genotypes (20 crosses and 9 parents *i.e.* 4 lines and 5 testers) of grain sorghum under normal and salt soil for all the studied traits in 2020 season are presented in Table 8.

Table 8. Line x tester analysis of variance of 20 F₁'s and 9 parents for seven traits under normal and salt soil in 2020 studied season.

		inaci n	or mar t	tild su	10 5011		-0 500	uicu	bettoo.	•••					
							N	Iean so	uares						
S.O.V	d.f	Plant	height		to 50% ering	Panicle	e length				-grain ight	_	yield per ant		total tein
		S	N	S	N	S	N	S	N	S	N	S	N	S	N
Rep.	2	0.20	37.16	14.72	1.24	5.05	2.80	0.34	0.59	0.19	4.64	78.78	44.52	0.73	5.24
Genotypes (G)	28	1258.2**	1150.6**	35.78**	51.26**	16.73**	22.97**	0.95**	1.55**	17.39**	16.68**	145.1**	289.9**	11.77**	14.69**
Parents (P)	8	783.9**	965.9**	29.51**	32.08**	4.49**	7.73**	0.84**	0.56**	5.89**	3.13*	45.98	65.86**	2.34**	2.48**
Parents vs. Crosses	1	15387.6**	13997.3**	14.05	117.4**	91.83**	36.95**	7.84**	16.00**	2.63	2.98	336.7**	2024.7**	15.93**	8.96**
Crosses (C)	19	714.3**	552.2**	39.56**	55.85**	17.93**	28.65**	0.63**	1.21**	23.01**	23.11**	176.8**	293.0**	15.51**	20.14**
Lines (L)	3	418.6**	438.13**	46.19**	28.58**	2.70	16.31**	1.89**	1.26**	22.59**	4.85**	70.65*	92.69**	48.44**	60.77**
Testers (T)	4	165.7**	110.0**	62.64**	92.52**	9.26**	40.09**	0.15	2.02**	17.75**	5.17**	256.7**	333.0**	2.25**	3.18**
Lines X															
Testers	12	971.2**	728.2**	30.21**	50.44**	24.63**	27.92**	0.47*	0.93**	24.86**	33.66**	176.7**	329.7**	11.71**	15.63**
(LxT)															
Error	56	18.41	17.76	3.82	2.05	1.02	1.00	0.24	0.10	0.84	1.24	23.15	12.64	0.28	0.52

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Data cleared significant or highly significantly differences among genotypes and their partition; crosses (C), parents (P) and P vs C for all traits under two types of soil, except for parents (P) for grain yield per plant under salt soil and parents vs crosses (P vs C) for days to 50% flowering in salt soil and

1000-grain weight in salt and normal soil. Partitioning sum of squares of crosses to their contributions (lines, testers and lines × testers interaction) showed highly significant differences for all traits except for panicle length for lines in salt soil and panicle width for testers in salt soil.

Doi: 10.21608/ajas.2021.104653.1059 Tag El-Din, et al., 2021

Table 9. Line x tester analysis of variance of 20 F1's and 9 parents for seven traits under normal and salt soil in 2021 studied season.

							N	Iean sq	uares						
o.v	d.f	Plant	height	Days t	o 50% ering	Panicle (cı	length	Panicle	e width	1000- wei	grain ight		yield per ant	% 1 Pro	total tein
		S	N	S	N	S	N	S	N	S	N	S	N	S	N
Rep.	2	33.77	59.89	6.51	1.98	0.43	1.11	0.15	0.19	0.45	4.91	1.26	34.24	0.02	0.44
Genotypes (G)	28	1251.4**	1256.5**	905.8**	48.47**	20.21**	21.43**	1.37**	1.58**	16.40**	15.58**	145.2**	307.6**	10.17**	17.38**
Parents (P)	8	842.8**	998.9**	218.7**	33.23**	2.17	8.25**	0.87**	0.64**	3.82**	3.72*	57.19**	68.81**	2.05**	2.21**
Parents vs. Crosses	1	16649.8**	15010.5**	27.82**	176.0**	160.5**	43.78**	15.21**	18.34**	4.70*	13.86**	458.4**	1708.9**	15.34**	2.02*
Crosses (C)	19	613.0**	641.1**	659.3**	48.18**	20.43**	25.81**	0.85**	1.09**	22.31**	20.66**	165.7**	334.4**	13.33**	24.58**
Lines (L)	3	338.0**	588.9**	152.9**	25.11**	0.58	11.65**	2.68**	0.86**	36.03**	13.54**	46.12**	70.47**	43.15**	76.57**
Testers (T)	4	147.2**	121.6**	211.2**	67.04**	12.57**	23.70**	0.19	0.98**	10.42**	2.83	260.2**	353.3**	1.67**	5.84**
Lines X Testers (LxT)	12	837.0**	827.4**	295.2**	47.65**	28.01**	30.05**	0.61**	1.18**	22.84**	28.38**	164.2**	394.0**	9.75**	17.82**
Error	56	14.08	15.60	3.88	2.33	1.21	1.12	0.22	0.13	0.93	1.59	11.5	12.75	0.30	0.36

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

The line x tester of variance of twenty nine genotypes (20 crosses and 9 parents) of grain sorghum for seven traits under normal and salt soil in 2021 season are presented Table 9. Data cleared highly significantly differences among genotypes and their partition, crosses (C), parents (P) and parents vs. crosses(P vs C) for all the studied traits in two types of soil, except parents for panicle length (salt soil). Partitioning sum of squares of crosses to their contributions (lines, testers and lines × testers interaction) showed highly significant differences for all traits except lines for panicle length for lines in salt soil and testers for panicle width in salt soil and 1000grain weight in normal soil.

Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of the lines and testers for all studied traits under normal and salt soil in 2020 and 2021 seasons are presented in Table 10. For plant height, the lines BSH-15 and BSH-20 had positive and highly significant GCA effect

under the two types of soil in both years, these lines had favorable genes for tallness. The tester RSH-27 had positive and significant GCA effect in the two seasons in salt soil, while the tester Rsh-19 had positive and significant GCA effects in both seasons in normal soil. These testers had favorable genes for tallness. GCA effects for days to 50% flowering showed that the line BSH-20 had desirable effects in two the seasons in two types of soil. Also, the tester line RSH-27 had negative (favorable) and highly significant GCA effects in the two seasons and two types of soils, respectively). These lines were the best for earliness. For panicle length, the line BTX -807 had desirable GCA effects in normal soil in two seasons while, the tester line ICSV-112 had positive and significant or highly significant in the two seasons and both types of soil. These lines may have favorable genes for panicle length and could be considered as good combiners for panicle elonga-

ISSN: 1110-0486 E-mail: ajas@aun.edu.eg

tion. Regarding panicle width, the lines BTX-807 had positive and highly significant in the two soils and both seasons. While, the tester RSH-27 had positive and significant or highly significant GCA effects in both two seasons in normal soil. These lines may have favorable gene effects for increasing panicle width and could be considered as good combiners for this trait. For 1000-grain weight the line ICSB-88015 had positive and highly significant in the two seasons and two types of soils. While, the tester RSH-27 had positive and highly significant in two seasons in salt soil and in 2020 in normal soil. These lines had favor gene for heavier 1000- grain weight. For grain yield per plant, GCA effects showed that the line BTX-807 and tester

ICSV-112 had positive and highly significant GCA effects in two seasons for both types of soils. These lines would be considered best combiners for grain yield / plant. Similar results were obtained by Bakheit et al., (2004), Hussien (2015), Tag El-Din (2015) and El-Sagheer (2019). For the percentage of protein, the lines ICSB-88015 and BTX-807 had positive and highly significant GCA effects in two types of soils and both seasons. The tester Indian Exotic 27 had positive and significant or highly significant GCA effects in the two seasons in two types of soils, respectively. The current results showed that the parents behave different responses for general combining ability (GCA) effects for different traits.

Table 10. Estimates of general combining ability effects of parents for all studied traits under two types of soil in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

			Plant heig	tht (cm)		Da	ys to 50%	6 flowerii	ng
	Genotype		S		N	S		ľ	V
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021
	ICSB-88015	-7.5183**	-6.9417***	-8.088**	-9.3033**	2.517**	2.6**	2.067**	1.8**
e	BTX -807	0.9817ns	0.8717ns	3.205**	3.0433**	-0.617ns	-0.067ns	-0.667ns	-1.067**
Line	BSH-15	3.1417**	2.6983**	2.372*	2.0433*	-0.35ns	-0.933ns	-0.6ns	-0.8*
	BSH-20	3.395**	3.3717***	2.512*	4.2167**	-1.55**	-1.6**	-0.8*	0.067ns
	Indian Exotic 27	-6.3083**	-6.095***	-4.187**	-4.7867**	1.983**	1.25*	2.15**	1.417**
Ħ	ICSV-112	1.425ns	1.655ns	-0.778ns	-0.9783ns	0.4ns	0.333ns	0.15ns	0.167ns
ste	ICSV-295	-0.0583ns	0.1717ns	-0.57ns	0.5383ns	0.0667ns	0 ns	-1.35**	-1.083*
	RSH – 19	1.7583ns	2.005ns	3.972**	3.78**	1.4*	1.917**	2.983**	2.833**
	RSH-27	3.1833*	2.2633*	1.563ns	1.4467ns	-3.85**	-3.5**	-3.933**	-3.333**

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Table 10. Continue.

			Panicle le	ngth (cm)			Panicle v	width (cm)	
	Genotype		8	N	Ī	S		N	I
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021
	ICSB-88015	-0.287ns	0.133ns	0.115ns	0.007ns	0.135ns	0.253*	-0.248**	-0.132ns
Line	ATX -807	0.107ns	0.2 ns	1.288**	1.187**	0.362**	0.347**	0.4183**	0.322**
Li	ASH-15	0.553*	-0.207ns	-0.152ns	-0.273ns	-0.018ns	-0.007ns	-0.055ns	0.035ns
	ASH-20	-0.373ns	-0.127ns	-1.252**	-0.92**	-0.478**	-0.593**	-0.115ns	-0.225*
	Indian Exotic 27	-0.105ns	0.795*	-0.297ns	-0.125ns	-0.053ns	0.05ns	0.35***	0.19ns
er	ICSV-112	1.228**	0.77*	3.003**	2.383**	0.063ns	0.025ns	0.067ns	0.115ns
Tester	ICSV-295	-1.222**	-1.213**	-1.913**	-1.292**	-0.1033ns	-0.217ns	-0.5333**	-0.443**
	RSH – 19	-0.138ns	-1.022**	-0.022ns	-0.3ns	-0.07ns	0.025ns	-0.3**	-0.1183ns
	RSH-27	0.237ns	0.67*	-0.772**	-0.667*	0.163ns	0.117ns	0.417**	0.257*

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Table 10. Continue.

			1000-grain	weight (g)		Grain yield per plant (g)				
	Genotype	S		ľ	1	5	5	N		
		2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	
	ICSB-88015	1.04**	1.173**	0.582*	1.172**	-1.562ns	-1.617ns	-2.028*	-1.753ns	
Line	BTX -807	0.9**	1.2**	0.382ns	0.352ns	3.205*	2.47**	3.038**	2.233*	
Ľ	BSH-15	-0.36ns	-0.3ns	-0.378ns	-0.602ns	-0.635ns	-0.157ns	1.025ns	1.48ns	
	BSH-20	-1.58**	-2.073**	-0.585*	-0.922**	-1.008ns	-0.697ns	-2.035*	-1.96*	
	Indian Exotic27	0.07ns	0.647*	-0.385ns	0.135ns	2.352ns	3.415**	1.54ns	1.087ns	
ï	ICSV-112	0.662*	0.063ns	-0.01ns	-0.457ns	6.152**	6.007**	6.723**	7.845**	
ester	ICSV-295	-1.597**	-1.303**	-0.71*	-0.457ns	-5.957**	-4.71**	-7.602**	-7.088**	
Ĭ	RSH – 19	-0.697*	-0.47ns	0.073ns	0.068ns	-2.59ns	-4.043**	-1.977ns	-2.163*	
	RSH-27	1.562**	1.063**	1.032**	0.71ns	0.043ns	-0.668ns	1.315ns	0.32ns	

^{**} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability; respectively.

Table 10. Continue.

		% Total Protein in grains							
	Genotype	9		ľ	N				
		2020	2021	2020	2021				
	ICSB-88015	1.303**	1.112**	1.385**	1.902**				
Line	BTX -807	1.577**	1.592**	1.752**	1.728**				
ij	BSH-15	-0.617**	-0.575**	-0.508**	-0.785**				
	BSH-20	-2.263**	-2.128**	-2.628**	-2.845**				
	Indian Exotic 27	0.357*	0.368*	0.7583**	1.123**				
<u>.</u>	ICSV-112	-0.218ns	-0.115ns	-0.275ns	-0.343ns				
Tester	ICSV-295	-0.643**	-0.573**	-0.5417*	-0.71**				
	RSH – 19	0.123ns	0.043ns	-0.208ns	-0.21ns				
	RSH-27	0.382*	0.277ns	0.267ns	0.14ns				

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability; respectively.

Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) of 20 crosses under two types of soil in the two seasons are presented in Table 11. For plant height, the desirable crosses for CSA effects were no. 3,4,5, 11,12 and 17 under two types of soil in both seasons. For days to 50% flowering, the desirable crosses for SCA effects were no. 4, 5,8,17 and 20 in two seasons and both soils, indicating that these crosses could be considered the best combination for earliness. For panicle length, the desirable crosses for SCA effects were no. 9, 10, 11 and 18 in both seasons and the two soils. For panicle width, desirable crosses for SCA effects were no he crosses no. 9, 10, 11, 12 and 18 had positive and significant or highly significant SCA effects in the two seasons in normal soil. These crosses were considered as best combinations for panicle

width. For 1000-grain weight, desirable crosses for SCA effects were no. 5, 7, 9, 11, 13 and 17 in two seasons in two types of soil, respectively. While, the crosses no. 2, 9, 10,11 and 18 had positive and significant or highly significant in two seasons in two types of soil for grain yield/plant. In general, crosses which had positive and significant SCA effects for grain yield per plant were high in grain yield per plant. These results are in line with those reported by Haussmann et al., (1999), Mahmoud (2002), Amir (2004), Mahmoud (2007), Amir (2008), Mahmoud et al., (2013), Tag El-Din (2015) and El-Sagheer (2019). For the percentage of protein, the crosses no. 2, 4, 5,7,11 and 13 desirable SCA effects for the percentage of protein in two seasons in two types of soil.

ISSN: 1110-0486

Table 11. Estimated of specific combining ability effects of 20 crosses for all studied traits under two types of soil in 2020, 2021 seasons.

			Plant hei	ght (cm)		Days to 50% flowering				
No.	Genotype		S	1	N		S]	N	
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic 27	-20.27**	-15.33**	-15.95**	-15.45**	-0.183ns	0.483ns	-0.15ns	-0.217ns	
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	-26.23**	-26.11**	-26.90**	-29.99**	3.733**	3.4**	0.85ns	0.033ns	
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	26.85**	25.61**	22.06**	22.10**	2.067ns	2.733*	6.017**	7.617**	
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	11.00**	11.78**	13.36**	12.59**	-3.6**	-3.85**	-4.317**	-4.633**	
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	8.643**	4.05ns	7.43**	10.75**	-2.017ns	-2.767*	-2.4**	-2.8**	
6	ATX -807 X Indian Exotic 27	-0.532ns	-0.938ns	1.22ns	2.873ns	-0.717ns	-0.85ns	-0.083ns	-0.35ns	
7	ATX -807 x ICSV-112	-7.832**	-6.355**	-5.388*	-2.235ns	-0.467ns	-1.267ns	0.583ns	0.9ns	
8	ATX-807 x ICSV-295	-10.68**	-9.538***	-0.197ns	-0.285ns	-1.133ns	-2.6*	-3.25**	-4.85**	
9	ATX-807 x RSH – 19	8.168**	5.962**	1.562ns	-0.36ns	-2.467*	-0.183ns	-1.25ns	-0.1ns	
10	ATX-807 x RSH-27	10.88**	10.87**	2.803ns	0.0067ns	4.783**	4.9**	4.0**	4.4**	
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	18.31**	16.04**	12.12**	15.44**	0.35ns	0.35ns	1.183ns	2.05*	
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	9.642**	9.652**	9.945**	5.665*	-0.733ns	-1.4ns	1.517ns	0.967ns	
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	-11.84**	-13.03**	-11.80**	-12.19**	-2.067ns	-0.4ns	-6.317**	-5.117**	
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	-5.925*	-6.198**	-4.538ns	-2.027ns	1.267ns	0.683ns	1.017ns	1.633ns	
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	-10.18**	-6.457**	-5.73*	-6.893**	1.1833ns	0.767ns	2.6**	0.467ns	
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	2.488ns	0.228ns	2.613ns	-2.867ns	0.55ns	0.017ns	-0.95ns	-1.483ns	
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	24.42**	22.81**	22.34**	26.56**	-2.533*	-0.733ns	-2.95***	-1.9*	
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	-4.328ns	-3.038ns	-10.07**	-9.625**	1.133ns	0.267ns	3.55**	2.35*	
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	-13.25**	-11.54**	-10.38**	-10.20**	4.8**	3.35**	4.55**	3.1**	
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	-9.337**	-8.463**	-4.503ns	-3.867ns	-3.95**	-2.9*	-4.2**	-2.067*	

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

ISSN: 1110-0486

Table 11. Continue

Nia	Construe]	Panicle h	eight (cm)			Panicle width (cm)		
No	Genotype	5	5	N		S		N		
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic 27	0.912ns	1.525*	1.977**	2.485**	0.107ns	0.063ns	0.223ns	0.457*	
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	2.578**	2.883**	1.51*	0.21ns	-0.51ns	-0.245ns	-0.393*	-0.368ns	
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	0.262ns	0.3ns	0.593ns	0.3183ns	0.39ns	0.097ns	0.24ns	0.157 ns	
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	-2.055**	-1.958**	-1.832**	-0.94ns	-0.41ns	-0.478ns	-0.127ns	-0.235ns	
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	-1.697**	-2.75**	-2.248**	-2.073**	0.423ns	0.563*	0.057ns	-0.01ns	
6	ATX -807 X Indian Exotic 27	-2.648**	-3.675**	-4.83**	-5.428**	-0.353ns	-0.363ns	-0.81***	-1.163**	
7	ATX -807 X ICSV-112	-3.048**	-2.317**	0.103ns	-0.137ns	0.097ns	-0.105ns	0.007ns	0.145ns	
8	ATX-807 X ICSV-295	-1.198*	-1.1ns	-1.747**	-1.728**	-0.337ns	-0.463ns	-0.127ns	-0.063ns	
9	ATX-807 X RSH – 19	2.385**	2.375**	2.528**	2.613**	0.397ns	0.562*	0.407*	0.445*	
10	ATX-807 X RSH-27	4.51**	4.717**	3.945**	4.68**	0.197ns	0.37ns	0.523**	0.637**	
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	4.005**	4.732**	4.31**	4.632**	0.193ns	0.19ns	0.863**	0.79**	
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	1.138ns	-0.343ns	1.11ns	1.057ns	0.31ns	0.482ns	0.547**	0.665**	
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	-1.545*	-1.493*	-1.673**	-1.702**	-0.023ns	0.123ns	-0.72**	-0.61**	
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	-0.828ns	-0.785ns	-1.498*	-1.693**	-0.357ns	-0.352ns	-0.353ns	-0.302ns	
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	-2.77**	-2.11**	-2.248**	-2.293**	-0.1233ns	-0.443ns	-0.337ns	-0.543*	
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	-2.268**	-2.582**	-1.4567*	-1.688**	0.053ns	0.11ns	-0.277ns	-0.083ns	
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	-0.668ns	-0.223ns	-2.723**	-1.13ns	0.103ns	-0.132ns	-0.16ns	-0.442*	
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	2.482**	2.293**	2.827**	3.112**	-0.03ns	0.243ns	0.607**	0.517*	
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	0.498ns	0.368ns	0.802ns	0.02ns	0.37ns	0.268ns	0.073ns	0.092ns	
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	-0.043ns	0.143ns	0.552ns	-0.313ns	-0.497ns	-0.49ns	-0.243ns	-0.083ns	

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Tag El-Din, et al., 2021

Table 11. Continue

			1000-grain	weight (g	<u>;</u>)	Grain yield per plant (g)				
No.	Genotype		S	,	N		S	ľ	1	
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic27	-2.923**	-2.64**	-2.815**	-2.6217**	0.162ns	0.758ns	12.12**	14.22**	
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	0.318ns	0.31ns	-1.99**	-1.397ns	9.162**	7.833**	5.27*	5.4617*	
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	-1.457**	-0.79ns	2.843**	3.737**	-10.53**	-10.15**	-3.105ns	-3.605ns	
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	1.277*	0.3767ns	-0.64ns	-0.788ns	0.103ns	-2.417ns	-9.397**	-8.563**	
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	2.785**	2.7433**	2.602**	1.07ns	1.103ns	3.975*	-4.888*	-7.513**	
6	ATX -807 X Indian Exotic 27	-0.283ns	0.2ns	-1.382*	-1.302ns	-10.64**	-10.16**	-18.98**	-20.07**	
7	ATX -807 x ICSV-112	2.558**	3.017**	2.31**	2.257**	-3.272ns	-4.687*	0.303ns	-0.858ns	
8	ATX-807 x ICSV-295	-3.65**	-3.783**	-5.19**	-4.943**	-0.197ns	1.463ns	-2.338ns	-3.125ns	
9	ATX-807 x RSH – 19	1.817**	0.883ns	3.693**	3.132**	6.003*	5.63**	8.337**	8.683**	
10	ATX-807 x RSH-27	-0.442ns	-0.317ns	0.568ns	0.857ns	8.103**	7.755**	12.68**	15.37**	
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	4.143**	3.367**	3.478**	3.518**	10.37**	8.665**	13.73**	13.65**	
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	-3.848**	-4.517**	-2.23**	-2.457**	-3.398ns	-0.96ns	-2.317ns	-0.938ns	
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	2.143**	3.417**	3.437**	2.343**	2.31ns	0.99ns	-4.858*	-5.1383*	
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	-0.89ns	-0.417ns	-4.113**	-3.615**	-1.623ns	0.69ns	-0.117ns	-0.863ns	
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	-1.548**	-1.85**	-0.572ns	0.21ns	-7.657**	-9.385**	-6.442**	-6.713**	
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	-0.937ns	-0.927ns	0.718ns	0.405ns	0.108ns	0.738ns	-6.873**	-7.807**	
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	0.972ns	1.19*	1.91**	1.597*	-2.492ns	-2.187ns	-3.257ns	-3.665ns	
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	2.963**	1.157*	-1.09ns	-1.137ns	8.417**	7.697**	10.30**	11.87**	
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	-2.203**	-0.843ns	1.06ns	1.272ns	-4.483ns	-3.903ns	1.177ns	0.743ns	
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	-0.795ns	-0.577ns	-2.598**	-2.137**	-1.55ns	-2.345ns	-1.348ns	-1.14ns	

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Table 11. Continue

			% Total protein in grains							
No.	Genotype		S		N					
		2020	2021	2020	2021					
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic 27	-1.903**	-1.662**	-2.285**	-1.943**					
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	0.938**	0.922**	1.215**	0.857*					
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	-1.17**	-1.02**	-1.1183**	-0.71*					
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	1.197**	0.93**	0.8817*	0.923**					
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	0.938**	0.83*	1.3067**	0.873*					
6	ATX -807 X Indian Exotic 27	0.657*	0.758*	0.215ns	0.097ns					
7	ATX -807x ICSV-112	1.798**	1.542**	1.915**	2.563**					
8	ATX-807 x ICSV-295	-3.043**	-2.933**	-3.3183**	-3.937**					
9	ATX-807 x RSH – 19	0.59ns	0.45ns	1.015*	1.163**					
10	ATX-807 x RSH-27	-0.002ns	0.183ns	0.1733ns	0.113ns					
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	1.35**	1.058**	2.2083**	2.677**					
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	-3.108**	-2.692**	-3.525**	-3.757**					
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	3.05**	2.967**	3.675***	3.643**					
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	-0.35ns	-0.183ns	-0.692ns	-1.023**					
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	-0.942**	-1.15**	-1.667**	-1.54**					
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	-0.103ns	-0.155ns	-0.138ns	-0.83*					
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	0.372ns	0.228ns	0.395ns	0.337ns					
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	1.163**	0.986**	0.762ns	1.003**					
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	-1.437**	-1.197**	-1.205**	-1.063**					
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	0.005ns	0.137ns	0.1867ns	0.553ns					

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

The magnitude of SCA effects of the crosses along with the mean performances indicated that the highest SCA effect with highest mean grain yield per plant was recorded in the crosses 2,9,10,11 and

ISSN: 1110-0486 E-mail: ajas@aun.edu.eg

18 showed significant and positive SCA effects for grain yield/plant with desirable mean grain yield/plant. The results are in conformity with the findings of Amsalu and Bapat (1990), Rafiq *et al.*, (2002) and Kaul *et al.*, (2003).

Heterosis

Estimates of combined heterosis for 20 crosses for the seven study traits as a percentage of the better parent in two types of soil in both seasons are presented in Table 12. The best crosses for heterosis relative the better parent in two types of soil in the two years were no. 3,5,6,7,8,10,11,12,13,15,16,17, 18 and 20 for plant height, no.5, 10,12,13,15 and 20 for earliness, no.1,2,7,9,10,11 and 12 for panicle length, No. 1,3,4,6,7,8,9, 11,12 and 14 for panicle width, no. 5,7,9 and

10 for 1000- grain weight, no. 2.7.9.10 and 11 for grain yield / plant and no. 1,2,4,5,6,7,9,10,11 and 13 for total protein% in seed. The obtained results expressed the genetic variability for current crosses for heterosis. Consequently, the crosses no. 2, 7, 9, 10 and 11 which possessed the positive and significant heterosis for grain yield matched the same trend for protein%, and three of them i.e. no. 7, 9 and 10 matched the same trend for seed index and one of them no.10 possessed the same trend for earliness and could be the best cross. These results are harmony with those obtained by Amir (1999), Hoveny et al., (2001), Mahmoud (2002), Abd El-Halim (2003), Tag El-Din (2015) and El-Sagheer (2019).

Tag El-Din, et al., 2021

Table 12. Heterosis of 20 crosses as percentage from the better parent under two

types of soil in 2020 and 2021 seasons.

	• •		Plant he	ight (cm)		I	Days to 50% flowering					
No.	Genotype	S			N	5	<u>S</u>	N				
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021			
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic 27	-15.67**	-10.75**	-10.24**	-9.17**	8.98**	9.47**	3.72**	2.49**			
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	1.44NS	2.2*	-5.25**	-7.45**	12.76**	11.93**	2.48**	1.24*			
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	30.0**	29.45**	20.28**	19.38**	7.2**	9.35**	7.02**	8.23**			
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	4.38**	4.52**	2.09**	0.33NS	0.39NS	0 NS	-0.82NS	-2.86**			
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	19.81**	18.68**	17.23**	19.32**	-10.62**	-10.37**	-15.36**	-17.28**			
6	ATX -807 X Indian Exotic 27	3.02**	3.98**	7.43**	10.18**	3.23**	3.67**	-2.8**	-3.25**			
7	ATX -807 x ICSV-112	22.58**	23.64**	17.42**	20.39**	1.61*	2.04**	-4.4**	-3.25**			
8	ATX-807 x ICSV-295	9.11**	9.98**	13.2**	12.97**	-0.4NS	-0.41NS	-10.8**	-11.79**			
9	ATX-807 x RSH – 19	7.93**	5.75**	1.81**	0NS	-1.97**	1.18NS	-3.2**	-1.22*			
10	ATX-807 x RSH-27	27.57**	29.39**	21.73**	20.4**	-6.59**	-4.81**	-11.24**	-12.5**			
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	16.93**	16.46**	13.68**	17.47**	1.56*	0.39NS	-1.98**	-1.99**			
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	38.0**	37.51**	27.44**	25.19**	-1.56*	-2.76**	-3.97**	-4.78**			
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	9.83**	8.79**	5.17**	4.73**	-3.52**	-1.97**	-15.08**	-13.55**			
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	0.46NS	-0.62NS	-2.07**	-1.48*	1.95**	1.18NS	-1.19*	-0.8NS			
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	13.9**	18.05**	15.41**	15.06**	-10.26**	-10.37**	-12.73**	-16.54**			
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	6.62**	6.42**	7.86**	7.3**	1.98**	2.44**	-4.38**	-3.64**			
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	48.03**	48.26**	36.11**	41.2**	-3.57**	0.41NS	-9.16**	-5.67**			
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	15.42**	16.39**	6.37**	7.75**	0.4NS	1.22NS	-3.19**	-2.02**			
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	-3.97**	-1.44*	-5.27**	-4.81**	5.51**	3.53**	3.19**	3.64**			
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	14.7**	17.07**	16.33**	18.58**	-17.22**	-15.19**	-20.6**	-18.38**			

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Table 12. Continue

			Panicle L	ength (cm)		Panicle width (cm)				
No	Genotype	S		I	N		S]	N	
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic 27	10.92**	30.46**	10.93**	10.08**	20.41**	28.97**	20.9**	28.74**	
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	38.8**	43.66**	22.05**	12.03**	4.52NS	17.22**	3.31*	4.23**	
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	-3.31*	3.17NS	-3.23*	-1.13NS	19.48**	23.29**	8.05**	12**	
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	-6.5**	-3.53NS	-0.51NS	5.06**	8.05**	17.24**	5.75**	10.86**	
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	7.81**	10.19**	3.2*	2.77NS	4.32NS	9.09**	4.98**	3.41*	
6	ATX -807X Indian Exotic 27	-7.28**	-0.4NS	-16.24**	-21.24**	18.06**	22.92**	14.69**	9.25**	
7	ATX -807 x ICSV-112	6.22**	11.64**	20.94**	15.94**	20.65**	21.85**	20.99**	19.58**	
8	ATX-807 x ICSV-295	-9.19**	-4.28*	-8.87***	-5.35**	9.74***	13.7**	17.96***	16**	
9	ATX-807 x RSH – 19	21.22**	22.35**	27.77**	29.84**	28.86**	41.67**	31.74***	30.29***	
10	ATX-807x RSH-27	49.58**	58.85**	42.07**	44.81**	4.32NS	7.49**	21.89**	19.51**	
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	33.52**	47.7**	20.9**	18.76**	21.53**	31.65**	35.03**	34.27**	
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	28.15**	18.7**	18.9**	4.69**	17.42**	26.49**	22.1**	23.28**	
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	-8.64**	-8.75**	-15.48**	-12.32**	8.44**	18.49**	-0.6NS	0NS	
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	5.35**	1.37NS	-4.87**	-4.67**	6.04*	16.08**	10.24**	10.67**	
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	-0.79NS	7.32**	-12.18**	-9.52**	-7.03**	-11.23**	1.99NS	-1.95NS	
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	-8.9**	4.21*	-17.27**	-14.83**	-4.85NS	4.49NS	5.73**	10.22**	
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	7.77**	19.19**	-8.03**	-0.76NS	-1.82NS	-0.64NS	3.12*	1.59NS	
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	8.46**	12.85**	-5.15**	1.83NS	-7.27**	1.92NS	5.73**	9.68**	
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	6.63**	8.63**	-5.76**	-7.8**	0.61NS	7.05**	1.04NS	8.06**	
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	5.68**	20.81**	-10.3**	-11.01**	20.54**	-21.39**	2.49NS	0.98NS	

ISSN: 1110-0486

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Table 12. Continue

		1	000-grain	weight ((g)	Gra	ain yield	per plan	ıt (g)
No.	Genotype		S	1	N	,	S	I	V
		2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021	2020	2021
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic 27	-15.38**	-8.57**	-11.01**	-7.59**	4.62NS	14.1**	28.39**	26.67**
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	5.1**	2.38*	-7.39**	-5.05**	27.79**	30.1**	44.54**	45.21**
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	-15.38**	-10.25**	3.5**	8.25**	-40.99**	-38.27**	-13.91**	-11.89**
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	8.84**	4.42**	-2.72**	-1.4NS	7.14*	-1.73NS	-9.1**	-13.0**
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	17.81**	15.7**	15.37**	6.67**	1.73NS	1.63NS	2.87NS	-4.99**
6	ATX -807 X Indian Exotic 27	-6.44**	1.96*	-6.98**	-5.99**	-9.55**	-2.61NS	-18.69**	-26.15**
7	ATX -807 x ICSV-112	13.12**	12.64**	5.98**	7.2**	10.7**	10.62**	43.66**	4.09**
8	ATX-807x ICSV-295	-23.8**	-20.99**	-22.69**	-21.5**	-6.09*	-3.2NS	-3.14NS	-3.46NS
9	ATX-807 x RSH – 19	9.85**	6.63**	1.76**	9.54**	40.49**	34.04**	35.99**	26.62**
10	ATX-807x RSH-27	4.96**	4.27**	10.42**	6.54**	29.46**	19.08**	46.17**	45.9**
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	4.89**	8.08**	4.27**	1.53NS	30.78**	37.0**	36.83**	31.32**
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	-16.18**	-21.28**	-12.82**	-18.57**	1.86NS	13.16**	35.09**	47.27**
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	-7.45**	-0.36NS	2.33*	-3.88**	-9.17**	-10.13**	-11.51**	-8.68**
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	-4.85**	-4.29**	-18.59**	-20.51**	3.29NS	11.23**	15.29**	7.4**
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	-4.07**	-7.16**	-4.17**	-6.84**	-16.73**	-24.78**	5.74**	2.65NS
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	-17.64**	-14.2**	-3.27**	-7.08**	5.79NS	16.3**	-5.97**	-12.09**
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	-2.67**	-8.34**	1.52NS	-5.21**	3.05NS	9.08**	26.75**	33.82**
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	-8.89**	-14.96**	-12.73**	-13.75**	4.08NS	3.65NS	10.83**	16.93**
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	-19.95**	-17.79**	-0.98NS	-4.58**	-2.65NS	-1.3NS	11.8**	3.98*
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	-5.97**	-11.17**	-9.49**	-13.23**	-3.22NS	-10.36**	9.63**	6.69**

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Table 12. Continue

		% Total protein in grains						
No.	Genotype	S		N	1			
		2020	2021	2020	2021			
1	ICSA-88015 X Indian Exotic 27	7.72**	10.14**	7.28**	13.37**			
2	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112	13.52**	16.82**	13.46**	7.83**			
3	ICSA-88015 X ICSV-295	-5.49**	-5.65**	-0.89NS	6.29**			
4	ICSA-88015 X RSH – 19	37.58**	33.11**	28.98**	27.36**			
5	ICSA-88015 X RSH-27	23.82**	18.1**	23.08**	23.34**			
6	ATX -807 X Indian Exotic 27	35.05**	37.67**	31.99**	26.18**			
7	ATX -807x ICSV-112	23.1**	26.73**	22.25**	19.84**			
8	ATX-807x ICSV-295	-19.36**	-18.45**	-17.16**	-25.59**			
9	ATX-807 x RSH – 19	33.01**	31.33**	30.43**	23.82**			
10	ATX-807 x RSH-27	17.94***	16.62**	16.52**	15.27**			
11	ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27	20.58**	21.84**	31.96**	33.85**			
12	ASH-15 X ICSV-112	-36.9**	-30.93**	-41.21**	-49.35**			
13	ASH-15 X ICSV-295	14.45**	14.88**	24.85**	21.26**			
14	ASH-15 X RSH – 19	11.62**	11.11**	-1.31NS	-12.5**			
15	ASH-15 X RSH-27	-9.71**	-14.54**	-18.52**	-20.75**			
16	ASH-20 X Indian Exotic 27	-9.32**	-6.48**	-12.11**	-18.01**			
17	ASH-20 X ICSV-112	-21.41**	-18.62**	-26.37**	-33.42**			
18	ASH-20 X ICSV-295	-16.18**	-16.67**	-19.82**	-20.96**			
19	ASH-20 X RSH – 19	-20.88**	-19.93**	-31.06**	-32.19**			
20	ASH-20 X RSH-27	-15.88**	-16.91**	-20.8**	-20.46**			

^{*, **} Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

References

Abd El-Halim, M.A.(2003). Heterosis and line x tester analysis of combining ability in grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt.

Ali, A.Y.A., M.E.H. Ibrahim, G. Zhou, N.E.A. Nimir, X. Jiao and G. Zhu, A.M.I. Elsiddig, M.S.E. Suliman, S.B.M. Elradi and W. Yue. (2020). Exogenous jasmonic acid and humic acid increased salinity tolerance of sorghum. Agron. J., 112, 871–884.

Al-Naggar, A. M., M. A. El-Lakany, O. O. El-Nagouly, E. O. Abu-Steit and M. H. El-Bakry. (1999). Studies on breeding for drought tolerance at pre and post flowering stages in grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). Egypt. J. Plant Breed 3: 183-212.

Amir, A.A. (1999). Line x tester analysis for combining ability in grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt.

ISSN: 1110-0486

Amir, A. A. (2004). Breeding for drought tolerance in some grain sorghum genotypes and their hybrids. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt.

Amir, A.A. (2008). Evaluation of some grain sorghum crosses and their parents under two levels of irrigation. (The Second Field Crops Conference), FCRI, ARC, Giza, Egypt, 241-261.

Amsalu, A.A., D.R. Bapat, (1990). Diallel analysis of combining ability in sorghum. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 15(3): 302 305.

Bakheit, B.R.A.H. Galal, M.R.A. Hovny and A.A. Abd El-Mottaleb (2004). Heterosis and combining ability in grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor

- (L.) Moench). Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 35:165-183.
- Bartlett, M.S. (1937). Some examples of statistical methods of research in agriculture and applied biology. J. R. Statist. Soc. Suppl. 4(2): 137-183.
- Bonilla, I., El-Hamdaoui, A. and Bolan OS, L. (2004). Boron and calcium increase *Pisum sativum* seed germination and seedling development under salt stress. Plant & Soil. 267: 97–109.
- El-Abd. M.H.H. (2003). A genetic analysis of moisture stress tolerance in sorghum M. Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agic. Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- El-Sagheer (2019). Combining ability and heterosis studies in grain sorghum hybrids under drought conditions. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 23(7): 1455-1484.
- Fischer, R.A. and R.Maurer (1978).

 Drought resistance in spring wheat cultivars. I. Grain yield response.

 Aust. J. Agric. Res, 29: 897-912.
- Gomez, K. A. and A.A. Gomez (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons. New York. 2nd ed.
- Haussmann, B.I.G., A.B. Obilana,P. O. Ayiecho, A. Blum, W. Schipprack, and H.H. Geiger. (1999). Quantitative-genetic parameters of sorghum [Sorghum bicolor L. Moench] grown in semi-arid areas of Kenya. Euphytica 105: 109-118.
- Hovny, M.R.A., M.M.El-Menshawi and O.O. El-Nagouly (2001). Combining ability and heterosis in grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench).Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ.52: 47-60.
- Hussien, E.M. (2015). Line X tester analysis and heterosis in Grain Sorghum hybrids under Arab-ElAwamer conditions. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., (46) No. (4) (1-11).

- Igartua E, Gracia MP and Lasa JM. (1994). Characterization and genetic control of germination emergence responses of grain sorghum to salinity. Euphytica 76:185-193.
- Ighbal, M.A. (2015). Agronomic management strategies elevate forage sorghum yield: A review. J. Adv. Bot. Zool. 3, 1–6.
- Kaul, S.L., Rafiq, F.M., Singh, K. (2003). Heterobeltiosis and combining ability for grain yield components in post rainy season sorghum. Int. sorghum Millets Newslett., 44: 21 23.
- Kempthorne, O. (1957). Yield stability of single, three way and double cross hybrids. Sorghum Newsletter, 33-59.
- Krishnamurthy, L., R. Serraj, C.T. Hash, A.J. Dakheel and B.V.S. Reddy(2007). Screening sorghum genotypes for salinity tolerant biomass production. Euphytica 156, 15–24.
- Lacerda, C.F., J., Cambraia, M.A., Oliva, H.A. Ruiz, and J.T., Prisco (2003). Solute accumulation and distribution during shoot and leaf development in two sorghum genotypes under salt stress. Environ. Exp. Bot. 49: 107-120.
- Lauchli, A. and E. Epstein (1990). Plant responses to saline and sodic conditions. In Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management; Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practiceed; Tanji, K.K., Ed.; ASCE: New York, NY, USA,; pp. 113–137.
- Mahmoud, Kh. M. (2007). Performance, heterosis, combining ability and phenotypic correlations in Grain Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 22:389-406.
- Mahmoud, Kh. M. (2002). Breeding for yield and related traits of grain

ISSN: 1110-0486 E-mail: ajas@aun.edu.eg

- sorghum under water stress conditions. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agic. Assiut Univ., Egypt.
- Mahmoud, Kh. M., H. I. Ali and A. A. Amir (2013). Line X tester analysis and heterosis in grain sorghum hybrids under water stress conditions. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., No. (2): 13-38.
- Marsalis, M.A., S.V. Angadi and F.E. Contreras- Govea (2010). Dry matter yield and nutritive value of corn; forage sorghum and BMR forage sorghum at different plant populations and nitrogen rates. Field Crop. Res. 116, 52–57.
- Netondo, G.W., J.C. Onyango and E. Beck (2004). Response of growth; water relations; and ion accumulation to NaCl salinity. Crop Sci. 44, 797–805.
- Nimir, N.E.A., S. Lu, G. Zhou, B.L. Ma, W. Guo and Y. Wang (2014). Exogenous hormones alleviated salinity and temperature stresses on germination and early seedling growth of sweet sorghum. Agron. J. 106, 2305–2315.

- Nimir, N.E.A., S. Lu, G. Zhou, B.L. Ma, W. Guo and Y. Wang (2015). Comparative effects of gibberellic acid, kinetin and salicylic acid on emergence, seedling growth and the antioxidant defense system of sweet sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) under salinity and temperature stresses. Crop Pasture Sci. 66, 145–157.
- Rafiq, S.M, R.Y. Thete, R. Madhusudhana and A.V. Umakhanth, (2002). Combining ability studies for grain yield and its components in post rainy season where sorghum grown in medium deep and shallow soils. Int. Sorghum Millets Newslett., 43: 33 77.
- Rengasamy, P. (2006). World salinization with emphasis on Australia. J. of Exp. Bot. 57: 1017-1023.
- Tag El-Din (2015). Performance, combining ability and heterosis in grain sorghum under water stress conditions. Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 19(4):1133 1154.

Doi: 10.21608/ajas.2021.104653.1059 Tag El-Din, et al., 2021

القدرة علي الائتلاف وقوة الهجين لبعض الهجن في محصول الذرة الرفيعة تحت ظروف الأراضي العادية والملحية

أمل عبد الرحيم تاج الدين، أعتماد محمد حسين وعمر أبو الحسن يونس عبد الرحيم قسم الذرة الرفيعة – معهد المحاصيل الحقلية – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة

الملخص

تم تقييم عدد au هجين من الذرة الرفيعة للحبوب و أبائهم (٤ سلالات عقيمة ذكريا و ٥ سلالات معيدة للخصوبة) والهجين التجاري شندويل - au المقارنة وذلك لصفة محصول الحبوب وستة صفات أخري في محطة عرب العوامر بأسيوط وذلك خلال موسمي au و au au au تحت ظروف التربة العادية والتربة الملحية كذلك بين التراكيب الوراثية والتقاعل بين التراكيب الوراثية ومعاملات الملوحة لكل الصفات المدروسة. متوسطات التراكيب الوراثية تحت ظروف الأرض العلاية أعلي منها تحت ظروف الأرض الملحية لكل الصفات محل الدراسة ما عدا صفة عدد الأيام حتى au au

(ICSA-88015 X ICSV-112, ATX-807 x RSH-19, ATX-807 x RSH-27, ASH-15 x Indian Exotic 27 and ASH-20 .x ICSV-295)

أفضل الهجن في قوة الهجين نسبة على أحسن الآباء هي:

ICSA-88015 x ICSV-112 , ATX-807 x ICSV-112, ATX-807 x RSH-19, ATX-807 x RSH-27, and ASH-15 X Indian Exotic 27.

أفضل الهجن في محصول حبوب للنبات تحت ظروف الأرض الملحية و الأرض العادية هي : $ATX-807 \times RSH-27$ and $ASH-15 \times Indian$ Exotic 27.