Assiut University web-site: www.aun.edu.eg

DETECTION AND PATHOGENICITY OF PASTEURELLA MULTOCIDA ISOLATED FROM LAYER FARMS IN EGYPT

MOHAMED SAAD ABOOD ¹; AWAD ABD EL HAFEZ IBRAHIM ²; AHMED KHALAF ABD EL HAMID ³ AND MARWA MOHAMED SAFWAT MOHAMED ⁴ ^{1,2,3,4} Poultry Diseases Department Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Assiut University

Received: 7 October 2021; Accepted: 31 October 2021

ABSTRACT

In this study 300 samples were taken from different layer farms in Egypt (El-Sharqia, Elmina, Assiut and Sohag) showing remarkable signs for fowl cholera and examined for detection of P. multocida. Isolation of P. multocida was from liver, lung and trachea. The targeted bacteria were isolated, identified and molecularly characterized. P. multocida were recovered from 8 cases (2.6%) and confirmed using phenotypic characterization. By multiplex PCR assay which considered a rapid diagnostic method for fowl Cholera confirmatory recognition regardless to serotypes, isolates were P. multocida serotype (A) at expected size; 1044 bp. Antimicrobial susceptibility test used to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration to all isolates resulted in high susceptibility to amoxicillin and doxycycline and with variable pattern of sensitivity to the other antibiotic. Studying pathogenicity of P. multocida capsular type A was carried out in Ross broiler chickens aged 21 days old through oropharyngeal inoculation (0.5 ml) brain heart infusion broth containing 2.93x10⁸ CFU. Characteristic mild respiratory signs were observed within 48 h and persisted for 9 day with 8% mortality. Mild septicemic lesions comprising of white necrotic foci and pinpoint hemorrhages in the coronary fat of the heart, liver and sever inflammation in pancreas were observed. This study has documented the incidence of fowl cholera in broiler chickens to some extent mild to moderate degree of the disease.

Key words: Pasteurella maltocida, Multiplex PCR, Pathogenicity, Broiler chickens.

INTRODUCTION

Fowl Cholera is considered as a main problem of avian species worldwide as it is a highly contagious bacterial disease of wild and domesticated birds (Singh *et al.*, 2014), associated with severe economic crisis for commercial laying hens due to poultry production loss, cost of treatment, and mortality (Marza *et al.*, 2015). *Pasteurella multocida* is a gram-negative, non-motile and non-spore forming, bacillus. Serologic indicators in the gel diffusion test grouped *P. mulocida* into five capsular serotypes (A, B, D, E, and F) and each is generally concomitant with a specific host (Akhtar *et al.*, 2016), serotype (A) is supposed to cause the most of fowl cholera cases (Marza *et al.*, 2015) and 16 serotypes according to the spreading of somatic antigens (Wilson *et al.*, 1993). Molecular techniques expanded more prominence in serotyping *P. multocida* as a consequence of the primitive limitations of bio-typing

Corresponding author: Marwa Mohamed Safwat *E-mail address:* merovet84@gmail.com

Present address: Poultry Diseases Department Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Assiut University

that relied on biochemical and serological tests.

Laying flocks, worldwide particularly in countries having hot humid environment, are more susceptible to Fowl Cholera as compared with younger chickens (Wang et al., 2009). However, P. multocida is normally inhabitant in the respiratory tract of avian species and disease emerged when the birds are stressed (Harper et al., 2006). The main route of infection is not certainly well-known; whereas, P. multocida may attain the body via the digestive tract or the respiratory one, that supposed to be the important site (Wilkie et al., 2012). The clinical picture course of Fowl cholera in avian species is from a few hours to numerous days as in per-acute cases the first sign report is sudden death. In many cases, the disease extended for prolonged course. Signs that often occur are nasal discharge, congestion of comb and wattles, facial edema, ataxia, nervous manifestation, loss of appetite, depression fever. accompanied with high morbidity rate reached 50% (Shah et al., 2008). After infection birds remain carrier up to 9weeks. All ages are susceptible to infection with FC, but rarely arise in saleable poultry of less than 8weeks of age (Rimler et al., 1998).

Antimicrobials are widely used in treatment of FC on the basis of selection to the most efficacious antibiotic with varying success depending mainly on the kind of drug used (Rimler and Glisson, 1997). However, long administration and misuse of antibacterial resulted in the emergence of multidrug resistance (MDR) among *P. multocida* strains which in turn led to a decline in the antimicrobials efficacy against diseased birds. Moemen *et al.* (2012)

Pathogenicity of *P.multocida* was detected by inoculation of animals including rodents, chicks and embryonated eggs (Mohamed *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, mice inoculation suspected to cause disease with consequent isolation of pure bacterial growth and is considered a main diagnostic tool for FC (Dziva et al., 2008), but it is not satisfactory pathogenicity appraise the to (Schivachandra et al., 2006). The present isolation, communication deals with identification, antimicrobial sensitivity against P. multocida isolated from layer farms in Egypt and detection of its pathogenicity in Ross broiler chickens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling:

Three hundred cases collected from different layer farms in Egypt (El-Sharqia, Elmina, Assiut and Sohag) showing signs of septicemia (congestion and cyanosis of comb and wattles), nasal and ocular discharge, conjunctivitis, greenish diarrhea, and increased mortality (5-10%). Bacterial cultures were from liver, heart, trachea and lung of freshly dead birds using brain heart infusion (BHI) broth, incubated at 37°C for 24h then sub-cultured on sheep blood agar and concurrent biochemical reactions were assessed for isolation and identification of P. multocida (Blackall and Miflin, 2000). Suspected isolates were preserved at -80°C for further studies.

Pathogenicity assay in mice:

P. multocida isolates were grown for 18h at 37°C in BHI broth. About 0.2 ml of each culture containing 2.4×10^8 colony forming units (cfu)/ml was inoculated into each of three mice by sub-cutaneous route and observed for 72h, to study the mortality pattern. Bacteria was re-isolated on a blood agar plate using heart blood collected from dead mice, and an impression smear from the liver was prepared on microscopic slides for bacterial observation, using Giemsa stain (Moemen *et al.*, 2012).

Molecular detection of *P.multocida*:

DNA of the suspected bacteria was extracted according to QIAamp DNA mini kit instructions and amplified via multiplexpolymerase-chain reaction assay using *P*. *multocida*'s universal and capsular serotype specific primers (Table 1) according to OIE (2012).

The assay reaction was accomplished in Biometra thermocycler (Germany). Precisely, an initial 95°C heating start for 5min. followed by 30cyles of 95°C, 55°C, 72°C for 1min each and a final extension step at 72°C for 6min. were done.

The reaction products (5ul) were visualized by UV after electrophoresis in gel documentation system (Syn-gene, Gene Genius Bio Imaging System, UK) (Bhimani *et al.*, 2014). A 100-1000bp DNA-ladder (Gene ruler, Fermentas) was used as a DNA-molecular weight marker.

Table1:	Sequence	of	universal	and	capsular	serotype	specific	primers	and	applied	for
detection of capsular-type genes in Pasteurella multocida strains											

Target agent	Target gene	Primers sequences	Amplified segment (bp)	Reference
Dlto oida		ATC-CGC-TAT-TTA-CCC-AGT-GG	160	
F. muilociaa		GCT-GTA-AAC-GAA-CTC-GCC-AC	400	OIE (2012)
Saragroup A		TGC-CAA-AAT-CGC-AGT-GAG	1044	
Selogioup A	Kmt1	TTG-CCA-TCA-TTG-TCA-GTG	1044	
		TA-CAA-AAG-AAA-GAC-TAG-		
Saragraup D		GAG-CCC	657	
Selogroup D		CAT-CTA-CCC-ACT-CAA-CCA-	037	
		TAT-CAG		

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing:

P. multocida strains were tested for their susceptibility to amoxicillin, florfenicol, tetracycline, trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole, Erythromycin, streptomycin and doxycycline. The antibiogram was determined using broth micro-dilution method (Tang et al., 2009). Evaluation of the MICs was done using an interpretive criterion from National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS, 2018).

Pathogenicity of *P. multocida* in broiler chickens:

This was carried out on 50 Commercial 1day-old chicks Ross broiler breed (El-Waddi Co, Egypt) were housed until the age of infection on experimental poultry shed in the Department of Avian and Rabbit diseases under strict hygienic conditions in separate rooms used for both pathogenicity and protection studies. At 21day old chickens were divided into 2 groups (25/each). The first group was inoculated oropharyngeally with 0.5 ml brain heart infusion broth containing 2.93×10^8 CFU *P. multocida* serotype (A) (Akhtar, 2013). The second one was kept as a Control negative.

Morbidity and mortality were recorded for a period of 14 dpi and bacterial re-isolation was verified. Concurrently, liver and heart were collected from dead and scarified birds and cultured in 5 mL of BHI, as described by Christensen and Bisgaard (2006).

RESULTS

Incidence of *P.multocida*: *P. multocida* was detected in 8 cases studied (2.6%). Isolates were established as *P. multocida* upon extended phenotypic identification showing small mucoid dew- drops like colonies were observed on BHI agar and non-haemolytic on blood agar. Moreover, there was no growth on MacConkey agar (fig.1) and typical Gram-negative, bipolar coccobacilli was revealed by Gram and Methylene blue stains from recent cultures, blood films and tissue smear prepared from positive sample.



Fig.1: Dew drop like, mucoid colonies on Brain heart infusion agar (left) and no growth on MacConkey agar (right)

Pathogenicity assay mice:

Inoculated mice showed moralities within 48h. Heart blood, liver and lung impression Giemsa stained smears revealed characteristic bipolarity. Re-isolation showed typical culture for *P. multocida*.

Capsular genotyping: multiplex PCR revealed that all isolates belonged to *P. multocida* serotype (A) within the expected size; 1044 bp. (Fig. 2). No amplicons specific for other capsular serotypes were reported.



Fig.2: Gel profiling of *P. multocida* capsular gene. Lane P=+ve control, Lane N=-ve control, Lane 1-8=P.*multocida* isolates, L=100-bp DNA ladder

Antimicrobial susceptibility: According to MIC test results shows that all isolates were (100%) resistant to Lincomycin, erythromycin, sulphaquinxaline, Spectinomycin, 87.5% were resistant to streptomycin, Cephradine, florphenicol. while they were (100%) sensitive to amoxicillin, (12.5%) were sensitive to doxycycline and (75%) were sensitive to gentamicin.Different variations of MICs were detected for resistant strains, whereas the MICs of streptomycin and erythromycin were distinctly higher revealed128 μ g/ml, while doxycycline was16 μ g/ml with 50% and was (8 μ g/ml) with 37.5% for all isolates (table .2).

Antimicrobial agents	<2	4	8	16	32	64	12 8	>25 6	Resistance breakpoints	Resistance %
Amoxicillin	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	>64	0%
Doxycycline	-	-	3	4	-	1	-	-	>32	12.5%
Gentamicin	1	-	1	-	2	2	2	-	>16	75%
Florphenicol	-	1	-	-	-	5	2	-	>8	87.5%
Streptomycin	1	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	>4	87.5%
Cephradine	-	1	-	-	1	2	4	-	>8	87.5%
Erythromycin	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	>8	100%
Lincomycin	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	>16	100%
Spectinomycin	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	>4	100%
Sulphaquinxaline	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	>256	100%

 Table 2: Minimum inhibitory concentrations of anti-microbial agents against examined P.

 multocida isolates

Pathogenicity of *P.multocida* in broiler chickens:

P. multocida type (A) in chickens produced characteristic mild clinical picture for respiratory system affection consisted of coughing, sneezing and frothy eyes within 48 h and persisted for 9day post infection with 8% mortality. Mild septicemic lesions including: white necrotic foci and pinpoint hemorrhages in heart, liver and sever inflammation in pancreas were observed (Fig.3), Heart blood and liver impressions represented characteristic bipolarity of *p. multocida* stained with Giemsa and typical growth of dew drop, mucoid, non-haemolytic colonies in sheep blood agar resulted from re-isolation, there were neither signs nor gross pathological lesions in the control group.





Fig.3: Representing post-mortem lesions of *p.multocida* type A after 48h post oropharyngeal infection, (A): showing small necrotic foci and subscapular pinpoint ecchymotic haemorrhages in liver (B) sever pancreatitis with pinpoint haemorrhages and (C): Ecchymotic haemorrhages in the coronary fat of the heart.

DISCUSSION

The prevalence of FC isolated from different layer farms in this work was (2.6%) in Egypt compared with Hasan *et al.* (2010) who reported incidence of FC 4.25% in broiler chicken and 12.05% in layer flocks, while Hossain *et al.* (2013)

recorded13.04% prevalence in chickens and this may be attributed to differences in breeds, age of the chickens and/or the resistance power of the commercial chicken because of improved management and biosecurity. Moreover, wet lands, overcrowded rearing of birds in hot humid environment, stresses and age of birds could be improvement factors for high incidence of pasteurellosis in poultry farms (Akhtar *et al.*, 2016).

Pasteurella spp. isolates were positive for *P. multocida* serotype (A) representing amplification of 1044bp through multiplex PCR which is a consistent technique for capsular serotyping of FC and this data is similar to previous reports which confirmed that serotype (A) considered as the main cause of fowl cholera, while other serotypes B, D and F are less commonly attendant with the infection Moemen *et al.* (2012).

P. multocida strains differs in their activities to current available chemotherapeutics as there was high resistance to lincomycin, streptomycin and erythromycin in which conformer with those findings who mentioned that the aminoglycosides usually represented poor efficacy against FC (Gutiérrez and Rodríguez, 1993), while the more active drugs were amoxicillin and doxycycline in contrast to Moemen et al. (2012) who reported high resistance rate to tetracycline and amoxycillin (100%), and this variation may be due to over or limited previous exposure and/or indiscriminate use of antibiotics for prevention and control of the disease (Kamruzzaman et al., 2016).

Mortality rate in the pathogenicity test in broiler chickens was 8% during the experiments, although moderate clinical signs of fowl cholera were perceived during the 14dpi with P. multocida Type (A), and variable lesions were found in dead and scarified birds as mentioned by (Christensen and Bisgaard, 2000 and Glisson et al., 2003) who observe the clinical manifestations of fowl cholera mostly from the first to fifth dpi with intermediate to chronic signs in chickens.

Affections of *P. multocida* among poultry species and different ages are of variable degrees within a type (Mbuthia *et al.*, 2008); and this recorded variations of our

study and other ones could be due to the virulence of *P. multocida* serotypes used, chicken breeds and age susceptibility. From this work we concluded that Fowl cholera could induce mild clinical view in broiler chickens with low mortality percentage.

REFERENCE

- Akhtar, M. (2013): Isolation, identificationand characterization of Pasteurellamultocidafrom chicken anddevelopment of oil based vaccine, MSthesis, Department of MicrobiologyandHygiene,BangladeshAgriculturalUniversity,Mymensingh.
- Akhtar, M.; Rahman, M.T.; Ara, M.S.; Rahman, M.; Nazir, Khmnh, Ahmed S; Hossen, M.L. and Rahman, M.B. (2016): Isolation of Pasteurella multocida from chickens, preparation of formalin killed fowl cholera vaccine, and determination of efficacy in experimental chickens. Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research, 3(1): 45-50.
- Bhimani, M.P.; Roy, A.; Bhanderi, B.B. and Mathakiya, R.A. (2014): Isolation, identification and molecular characterization of Pasteurella multocida isolates obtained from emu (Dromaius novaehollandiae) in Gujarat state, India. Vet. Arhiv. 84(4): 411-419.
- Blackall, P.J. and Miflin, J.K. (2000): Identification and typing of Pasteurella multocida: a review. Avian Pathol, 29, 271-287.
- Christensen, H.; Bisgaard M. The genus Pasteurella (2006): In: Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer KH, Stackebrandt E, editors. The Prokaryotes. 3rd ed. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 2006. p.1062-1090.
- Christensen, J.P. and Bisgaard, M. (2000): Fowl cholera. Revue Scientifique et Technique Office International des Epizooties, 19, 626637.

- Dziva, F.; Muhairwa, A.P.; Bisgaard, M. and Christensen, H. (2008): Diagnostic and typing options for investigating disease associated with Pasteurella multocida. Veterinary Microbiology 2008; 128:1-22.
- Glisson, J.R.; Hofacre, C.L. and Christensen, J.P. (2003): Fowl cholera. In: Saif, Y. M., Barnes, H. J.,Glisson, J. R., Fadly, A. M., McDougald, L. R., Swayne, D. E (Eds.), Diseases of poultry (11th ed.). Pp: 658-676. Iowa state press, USA.
- Gutiérrez Martin, C.B. and Rodríguez Ferri, E.F. (1993): In vitro susceptibility of Pasteurella multocida subspecies multocida strains isolated from swine to 42 antimicrobial agents. Zbl Bakt, 279, 387-393.
- Harper, M.; Boyce, JD. and Adler, B. (2006): Pasteurella multocida pathogenesis: 125 years after Pasteur. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 265: 1-10.
- Hasan, RAKM; Ali, MH.; Siddique, MP.; Rahman, MM. and Islam, MA. (2010): Clinical and laboratory diagnosis of broiler and layer chickens. Bangladesh Veterinary Journal, 8: 107-115.
- Hossain, MS.; Akter, S.; Ali, M.; Das, PM. and Hossain, MM. (2013): Bacteriological and pathological Investigation of nasal passage infections of chickens (Gallus gallus). The Agriculturists, 11: 47-55.
- Kamruzzaman, M.M.; Islam, M.; Hossain, M.M.; Hassan, M.K.; Kabir, M.H.B.; Sabrin, MS. and Khan, M.SR. (2016): Characterization Isolation, and Antibiogram Study of Pasteurella multocida Isolated from Ducks of Kishoreganj District, Bangladesh, International Journal of Animal Volume-1. Number-1. Resources. January-2016, Page 69 to 76.
- Marza, A.D.; Abdullah, F.F.J.; Ahmed, I.M.; Chung, E.L.T.; Ibrahim, HH.; Zamri-Saad, M., Omar, A.R.; Bakar,

M.Z.A, Saharee, AA.; Haron, AW. and Lila, M.A.M. (2015): Involvement of nervous system in cattle and buffaloes due to Pasteurella multocida B:2 infection: A review of clinicopathological and pathophysiological changes, Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research, 2: 252-262.

- Mbuthia, P.G.; Njagi, L.W.; Nyaga PN.; Bebora. LC.;Minga (2008): U. and Kamundia. J. Pasteurella multocida in scavenging family chickens and ducks: carrier status. age susceptibility and transmission between species. Avian Pathology, 2008; 37(1):51-57.
- Moemen, A.; Mohamed, A.M.; Mohamed-Wael, I.; Ahmed, A.; Awad, A. Ibrahem and Ahmed, M.S. (2012): Pasteurella multocida in backyard chickens in upper Egypt: incidence with polymerase chain reaction anaylsis for capsule type, virulence in chicken embryos and microbial resistance. Vet. Ital. 48(1): 77-86.
- Mohamed, M.A.; Mohamed, M.W.; Ahmed, A.I.; Ibrahim, A.A. and Ahmed, M.S. (2012): Pasteurella multocida in backyard chickens in Upper Egypt: incidence with polymerase chain reaction analysis for capsule type, virulence in chicken embryos and antimicrobial resistance. Veterinaria Italiana, 48: 77-86.
- Committee Clinical National for Laboratory **Standards** (NCCLS) (2018): Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals; approved standard, 9th Ed. NCCLS document M31-A2. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, Pennsylvania, 22 (6), 25-32.
- *OIE (2012):* OIE *Terrestrial Manual* 2012. chapter 2.4. 12. haemorrhagic septicaemia.

- *Rimler, R.B. and Glisson, J.R. (1997):* Fowl Cholera. In Disaeses of Poultry. P.143-159.Tenth ed. By Calnek, Iowa State University Press
- Rimler, R.; Calnek, B.W.; Barnes, H.J.; Beard, C.W.; MacDougal, L.R. and Saif, Y.A. (1998): Fowl cholera. In: Diseases Poultry. 10th Ed. Ames Iowa State Univ. Press. Pp: 143-159.
- Shah, A.H.; Kamboh, A.A.; Rajput, N. and Korejo, N.A. (2008): Optimization of physico-chemical conditions for the growth of *Pasteurella multocida* under *in vitro*. J. Agri. Soc. Sci. 4: 176-179.
- Shivachandra, S.B.; Kumar, A.A.; Gautam, R.; Singh, V.P.; Saxena, M.K. and Srivastava, S.K. (2006): Identification of avian strains of *Pasteurella multocida* in India by conventional and PCR assays. Vet J, 172 (3), 561-564.
- Singh, R.; Remington, B.; Blackall, P. and Turni, C. (2014): Epidemiology of fowl cholera in free range broilers. Avian Diseases, 58: 124-128.

- Tang, X.; Zhao, Z.; Hu, J.; Wu, B.; Cai, X.; He, Q. and Chen, H. (2009): Isolation, antimicrobial resistance, and virulence genes of Pasteurella multocida strains from swine in China. J Clin Microbiol, 47 (4), 951-958.
- Wang, C.; Wu, Y.; Xing, X.; Hu, G.; Dai, J. and He, H. (2009): An outbreak of avian cholera in wild waterfowl in Ordos wetland, Inner Mongolia, China. Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 45: 1194-1197.
- Wilkie, I.W.; Harper, M.; Boyce, J.D. and Adler, B. (2012): Pasteurella multocida: Diseases and pathogenesis. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 2012, 361, 1–22. [PubMed]
- Wilson, M.A.; Morgan, M.J. and Barger, G.E. (1993): Comparison of DNA fingerprinting and serotyping for identification of avian *P. multocida* isolates. J Clin Microbiol, 31, 255-259.

الكشف عن والتاثير الممرض للباستيريلا ملتوسيدا المعزولة من مزارع دجاج البياض في مصر

محمد سعد عبود ، عوض عبد الحافظ ابر اهيم ، احمد خلف عبد الحميد ، مروة محمد صفوت محمد

E-mail: merovet84@gmail.com Assiut Unive

Assiut University web-site: www.aun.edu.eg

تم اجراء هذه الدراسة علي ٢٠٠ حالة من مزارع بياض مختلفة في مصر (الشرقية , المنيا , اسيوط وسوهاج) ، تتراوح اعمارها من ٢٠-٢٠ اسبوع بها علامات ملحوظة لكوليرا الطيور (احتقان عام وشديد في العرف والدلايات , افرازات من الأنف والعين مع وجود إسهالات) مصاحبة بزيادة معدل الوفيات (٥-٢٠٪). ومن ثم تم تجميع عينات من الكبد والرئة والقصبة الهوائية لعزل الباستيريلا ملتوسيدا علي المستنبتات الصناعية المخصصة والتي اسفرت عن وجود ميكروب والقصبة الهوائية لعزل البلستيريلا ملتوسيدا علي المستنبتات الصناعية المخصصة والتي اسفرت عن وجود ميكروب والقصبة الهوائية لعزل الباستيريلا ملتوسيدا في ٨ حالات (٢,٦٪) وتم تأكيدها باستخدام التوصيف المظهرى للخلايا والمستعمرات البكتيرية . وواستخدام تفاعل البلستيريلا مالتوسيدا في ٨ حالات (٢,٦٪) وتم تأكيدها باستخدام التوصيف المظهرى للخلايا والمستعمرات البكتيرية . وواستخدام تفاعل البلمرة المتموسيدا من الذوع ٨ عند الوفيات (٢٠٠١%) وتم تأكيدها باستخدام التوصيف المظهرى للخلايا والمستعمرات البكتيرية . وواستخدام تفاعل البلمرة المقدم المنوع ٢, ٢٦٪) وتم تأكيدها باستخدام التوصيف المظهرى للخلايا والمستعمرات البكتيرية . وباستخدام تفاع منا را تفير الشرع ٢, ٢٠ (٢٠٠٤) وتم تأكيد العزلات أنها الباستيريلا ملتوسيدا من النوع ٨ عند والوزن ٤٤٠١ زوج قاعدي . تم إخصاع العزلات لقابلية مضادات الميكروبات لتحديد التركيز المثبط الأدنى و نتج عنه الوزن ٤٤٠١ زوج قاعدي . تم إخصاع العز لات لقابلية مضادات الميكروبات لتحديد التركيز المثبط الأدنى و نتج عنه وباستخدام تفاوت الخري . ٢٠١٤%) وبدرجات متفاوتة من الحساسية للمضادات الموزن ٤٤٠١ زوج قاعدي . تمريز مالتوسيدا النوع ٨ علي ٥٠ ككوت تسمين سلالة الروس من خلال تقسيم الكاريت الرما معان (٢٠ بكل مجموعة) عند مرا ٢٠ يوم : المجوعة الأخري علي ٥٠ ماكيري . مالتوسية البلموسية البلموسية البلموسيدا النوع ٨ علي ٥٠ معين مع والتها عن الروس من خلال تقسيم الكاميت الي مرد ٢٠ يمام عن (٢٠ بكل مجموعة) عند مرا ٢٠ يوم : المومية الإلى مالروس من خلال تقسيم الكامي . ولدوك تن من ر ٢٠ بكل مجموعة الأخري على ٢٠ ملي معنو يوم الخري تما عدم الارم علي ما مريق الفم (٥٠, مل يحتوي على ٢٠ لا٢٠ (٢٠, ٢٠ بعنومة ميزة خلال ٤٠ ساعة واستمرت ما مورد أولي يام مع ملاحلة الكري يلما للمجوعة الأخري على ما مريق مومود أولي يا ما معنيه وليات ماريقي ما