
ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

Recently serious attention has been given to the possibility of increasing agricultural production by controlling the post-harvesting losses. So the national income of Egypt can be increased by improving the methods of harvesting; handling; transporting; and storing of the agricultural products. The importance of knowing the suitable conditions for harvesting; right methods of handing; and storage for potatoes led us to study the changes in physical properties and behaviour of potatoes during storage time.

The main objective of this work was to study the changes in some physical characteristics, mechanical properties, and rheological properties under static loads of potato tubers (*Diamond* and *Santana* varieties) during storage ; Evaluating and comparison between cold store and Nawalla for potatoes seed after storage, this evaluated involved two phases:

- 1) storage phase, and 2) field production phase

The experimental work that was carried out revealed the following:

- I- Studying the changes in physical characteristics include (dimensions; coefficient of spherical Shape; potato weight; calculated and measured volume; particle and bulk density; porosity; calculated and measured surface area; and specific gravity) during storage.
 - II- Studying the changes in mechanical properties of the potato tubers included (repose angle; coefficient of static friction on steel, wood, and rubber surfaces; firmness; penetration resistance; and impact damage height at (30, 60, and 90 cm) on steel sheet surface) during storage.
 - III- Studying changes in potato behaviour under static loads during storage: The total of 180 potatoes creep tests experimenters including, three load levels (10, 14, and 18 N), two stored temperature (Nawalla, and cold store 4 C°), three loading positions (L = Longitudinal, D = Radial, and T = Minimum axis),

and two varieties (Diamond and Santana) where each treatment was replicated five storage times (harvesting day, after curing, 25 days after curing, after 50, and after 75 days from storage). The four element model (Burgers' model) was used to analyze the creep data obtained to find model constants (k_1 = Instantaneous elasticity, N/mm; K_2 = Retarded elasticity, N/mm; C_1 = Free viscous element, N.min/mm; and C_2 = Retarded viscous element, N.min/mm) at different storage times during storage operation in Nawalla and Cold storage systems. A linear regression analysis equations were used to describe the creep constants as a function of storage time at two storage systems.

IV- Studying changes in the chemical properties of the potato tubers included (moisture content; and sugar concentration) due to the storage time.

V- Studying the storage evaluation of the potato tubers included (weight losses; defect percentage; number of sprouts per tuber; plant emergence percentage; and total yield).

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODCUTION.....	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	3
2.1. General View on Potatoes.....	3
2.1.1. The Economic Status of Potato Crop	3
2.1.2. Exporting Egypt potatoes	3
2.1.3. Systematic Potatoes policies in Egypt	4
2.2. Physical Characteristics	5
2.2.1. Size and shape	6
2.2.2. Volume and density	8
2.2.3. Specific Gravity	10
2.2.4. Surface area	12
2.2.5. Moisture content	13
2.2.6. Porosity	14
2.3. Engineering properties	14
2.3.1. Firmness	14
2.3.2. Coefficient of friction	15
2.3.3. Impact properties	17
2.3.3.1 Bruising damage	18
2.4. Rheological Properties	24
2.4.1. Viscoelastic Properties	24
2.4.2. Four-elements model (Burgers' model).....	25
2.4.3. Creep Behavior	30
2.5. Potatoes storage	32
2.5.1. Storage methods	32
2.5.1.1. Traditional store (Nawalla).....	33
2.5.1.2. Improving storage methods	34
2.5.2. Losses in tubers weigh during storage	34
2.5.3. Change in Sugar content	35
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS	36
3. Materials	36
3.1. Potato samples	36
3.2. Measurements and Methods	37
3.2.1. Physical characteristics	37
3.2.1.1. Size and Coefficient of sperical Shape	37
3.2.1.2. Weight and Volume	38
3.2.1.3. Densities and porosity	39

a- Particle density	39
b- Bulk density	39
3.2.1.4. Surface area of potato	40
3.2.1.5. Specific Gravity	41
3.2.2. Mechanical properties	41
3.2.2.1. Potato repose angle	41
3.2.2.2. Static coefficient of friction	42
3.2.2.3. Penetration resistance and Firmness	42
3.2.2.4. Impact height of tuber and Potato bruising	43
3.2.3. Rheological Properties	45
3.2.3.1. Creep test	45
3.2.3.2. Four-elements model (Burgers' model)	50
3.2.4. Chemical Properties	50
3.2.4.1. Moisture content	
3.2.4.2. Sugar Concentration	50
3.2.5. Storage Methods	51
3.2.5. Storage Evaluation	54
3.2.5.1. Storage and Weight Losses	54
3.2.5.2. Number of Sprouts	54
3.2.5.3. Field Performance	54
3.3.5.3.1. Emergence Percentage	54
3.2.5.3.2. Potato Yield.....	54
3.2.6. The economic evaluation	54
3.2.6.1. Storage losses coefficient	55
3.2.6.2 Benefit cost ratio	55
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	56
4.1. Physical properties of the investigated some potato tubers	56
4.1.1. frequency distribution dimensions of potato tubers	56
a- Potato tuber length	56
b- Potato tubers Width	57
c- Potato tubers thickness	58
d- Coefficient of spherical Shape	64
4.1.2. Weigh of potato tuber	66
4. 1.3. Potato tubers volume	69
4.1.3.1 Changes in measured and calculated volumes during storage period in the two storage systems	69
4.1.4. Particl, bulk density and porosity of Potato tubers	76
4.1.5 Surface area	76

4.1.6.	Specific gravity	80
4.2.	Mechanical properties	80
4.2.1.	Potato repose angle	80
4.2.2.	Coefficient of friction	81
4.2.3.	Firmness (N/mm ²).....	84
4.2.4.	Penetration resistance, (N).....	87
4.2.5.	Impact height	90
4.3.	Rheological Properties	92
4.3.1.	Creep experiments	92
4.3.1.1.	Instantaneous elasticity (k_1), N/mm.....	95
4.3.1.2.	Retarded Elasticity (K_2), N/mm	100
4.3.1.3.	Free viscous element (C_1), N.min/mm.....	105
4.3.1.4.	Retarded Viscous Element (C_2), N.min/mm	111
4.4.	Chemical Properties:	116
4.4.1.	Moisture Contents.....	116
4.4.2.	Sugar Concentration	117
4.5.	Storage Evaluation	120
4.5.1.	Weight Losses of Potato	120
4.5.2.	Defect percentage (%).....	121
4.5.3.	Average number of Sprouts per tuber	121
4.6.	Field Performance:	122
4.6.1.	Percentage of plant emergence	122
4.6.2.	Average Plant height	122
4.6.3.	Total yield	122
4.7.	The economic evaluation	125
4.7.1.	Storage costs	125
4.7.2.	Benefit cost ratio	125
5.	SUMMARY AND CONCULUSION.....	126
6.	REFERANCES.....	133
	Appendix, A	
	Appendix, B	
	Appendix, C	
	الملخص العربي	