ABSTRACT

The hydrographic conditions (temperature, pH, transparency, dissolved oxygen and chlorosity) and inorganic salts (alkalinity, nitrate, nitrite, ammonia and phosphate) were measured monthly in the lake's water. Primary (phytoplankton) and secondary (zooplankton) producers were also identified and quantified. Some heavy metals (Zn, Cu, Fe, Cd and Pb) were detected seasonally in water and sediment samples as well as in fish organs (muscle, gills and liver) of three cichlid species (*Oreochromis niloticus, Oreochromis aureus* and *Sarotherodon galilaeus*) inhabiting three different ecological habitats in Lake Borollus. Also, the present study deals with a comprehensive investigation on the length-weight analysis, condition factor (K) and hepato-somatic index (HSI) of the same fishes.

The present study showed that, the concentrations of nutrients have higher values than that recorded previously. The phytoplankton standing stock consists mainly of Chlorophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Cyanophyceae and to less extent Euglenophyceae, while zooplankton population is mainly represented by Cladocera, Rotifera, Copepoda and Ostracoda the minor one.

The metal concentrations in water (mg/L) followed an abundance of Fe > Zn> Pb> Cu> Cd with an annual average of 0.345, 0.057, 0.044, 0.039 and 0.004 mg/L, respectively. On the other hand, the order of abundance of the same metals in sediment and fish tissues was as follow: Fe> Zn> Cu> Pb> Cd with an annual average of 27.6 (mg/g), 110.9 (μ g/g), 67.6 (μ g/g), 43.3 (μ g/g) and 1.6 (μ g/g), respectively in sediment and 14.94, 4.83, 37.3, 0.044 and 1.71 μ g/g. dry wt. in muscle

tissues, whereas in gills tissues they attained 35.58, 9.61, 164.21, 0.35 and 4.30 μ g/g. dry wt. The liver tissues recorded the maximum concentrations; 47.18, 22.45, 260.89, 0.53 and 7.06 μ g/g. dry wt *O. aureus* concentrated more heavy metals than *O. niloticus* and *S. galilaeus*. The liver and gills had tendency to accumulate heavy metals higher than muscle tissue. The levels of metals were less than the maximum world permissible limits. *O. niloticus* had better conditions than *O. aureus* and *S. galilaeus*, however all species meet a good conditions in the lake.

From this study it could be concluded that Lake Borollus as a whole is suitable for fish and primary productivity but the western region is the most suitable one.

Contents

| 40 46 cht relationship | Page No. |
|---|-------------|
| Abstract | Condition |
| CHAPTER 1: Introduction | 1 |
| CHAPTER 2: Review of literature | 5 |
| 2:1Hydrographic conditions and inorganic | 5 |
| salts | 4:1Physi |
| 2:1:1Temperature | 5 |
| 2:1:2 pH | 6 |
| 2:1:3 Chlorosity | 8 |
| 2:1:4 Dissolved oxygen | 10 |
| 2:1:5 Alkalinity | 12 |
| 2:1:6 Calcium hardness | 14 |
| 2:1:7 Inorganic nitrogen | 15 |
| 2:1:8 Dissolved Phosphate | 18 |
| 2:2: Heavy metals | 20 |
| 2:2:1: In water | 20 |
| 2:2:2: In sediment | 25 |
| 2:2:3: In fish organs | 28 |
| 2:3: Plankton | 32 |
| 2:3:1: a) Phytoplankton | 32 |
| 2:3:2: b) Zooplankton | 34 |
| 2:4: Fish biology | 35 |
| CHAPTER 3: Materials and methods | 38 |
| Morphometry of the lake | 38 |
| Sampling date and analytical methods | 41 |
| Hydrographic conditions and inorganic salts | 42 |
| Determination of heavy metals | 43 |
| In water | 43 |
| In sediment | 43 |
| In fish organs | 44 |
| Plankton estimation | 45 |
| | |

| Growth parameters | 46 |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| Length-weight relationship | 46 |
| Condition factor (K) | 46 |
| Hepato-somatic index (HSI) | 46 |
| Statistical analysis | 47 |
| CHAPTER 4: Results | 48 |
| 4:1Physico-chemical traits | 48 |
| 4:1:1 Temperature | 48 |
| 4:1:2 pH | 49 |
| 4:1:3 Transparency | 52 |
| 4:1:4 Chlorosity | 55 |
| 4:1:5 Dissolved oxygen | 58 |
| 4:1:6 Alkalinity | 61 |
| 4:1:6:1 Bicarbonate | 61 |
| 4:1:6:2 Carbonate | 65 |
| 4:1:7 Calcium hardness | 68 |
| 4:1:8: Inorganic nitrogen | 71 |
| 4:1:8:1: Nitrate | 71 |
| 4:1:8:2: Nitrite | 74 |
| 4:1:8:3:Ammonia | 77 |
| 4:1:9: Dissolved Phosphate | 80 |
| 4:2: Heavy metals | 83 |
| 4:2:1 In water | 83 |
| 4:2:2: In sediment | 90 |
| 4:2:3: In fish organs | 99 |
| 4:2:3: a) Muscle | 103 |
| 4:2:3: b) Gills | 106 |
| 4:2:3: c) Liver | 109 |
| 4:3: Plankton | 116 |
| 4:3:1: Phytoplankton | 116 |
| 4:3:2: Zooplankton | 122 |
| 4:4: Fish biology and fisheries | 128 |
| 4:4:1 Fish production | 128 |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| 4:4:2 Species composition | 128 |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| 4:4:3: Length-weight relationship | 129 |
| 4:4:4: Condition factor (K) | 137 |
| 4:4:5: Hepato-somatic index (HSI) | 141 |
| CHAPTER V: Discussion | 143 |
| CHAPTER VI: Summary and conclusion | 174 |
| CHAPTER VII: References | 185 |
| Arabic summary | |
| | |

List of abbreviations

| AOAC | Association of Official Analytical Chemists | | |
|---------|---|--|--|
| APHA | American Public Health Association | | |
| GAFRD | General Authority for Fishery Resources | | |
| | Develolpment | | |
| CAPMS | Central Agency for Public Mobilization and | | |
| | Statistics | | |
| NWRP | National Water Resources Plan for Egypt. | | |
| DO | Dissolved oxygen | | |
| mg/L | milligram per Liter | | |
| μg/g | microgram per gram | | |
| St. No. | Station number | | |
| Av. | Average | | |
| A.A. | Annual average | | |
| T.A.A. | Total annual average | | |
| O. nil. | Oreochromis niloticus | | |
| O. aur. | Oreochromis aureus | | |
| S. gal. | Sarotherodon galilaeus | | |