

CONTENTS	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
1- Ecological studies	3
1-1- Survey of arthropods associated with soybean and peanut plants :	3
1-1-1- Survey of arthropods associated with soybean plants: ..	3
1-1-2- Survey of arthropods associated with peanut plants: ...	7
1-2- Seasonal abundance of certain pests infesting soybean and weather records:	9
1-2-1- Spider mite, (<i>Tetranychus urticae</i>)	9
1-2-2- Sweetpotato whitefly, (<i>Bemisia Tabaci</i>)	12
1-2-3- Lima bean pod borer (<i>Etiella zinckenella</i>)	15
1-3- Seasonal abundance of certain pests infesting peanut and weather records	16
1-3-1-Tetranychid mite (<i>T. urticae</i> and <i>E. orientalis</i>).....	16
1-3-2- Leafhopper, (<i>Empoasca fabae</i>)	17
2-Agricultural practices:	19
2-1-Soybean:	19
2-1-1- Effect of sowing dates on the infestation of certain pests.	19
2-1-1-1- Spider mite, (<i>Tetranychus urticae</i>)	19
2-1-1-2-Sweetpotato whitefly, (<i>Bemisia Tabaci</i>)	20
2-1-1-3- Lima bean pod borer (<i>Etiella zinckenella</i>) ...	21
2-1-2- Effect of soybean varieties on the infestation of certain pests.	22
2-1-2-1-Spider mite, (<i>Tetranychus urticae</i>)	22
2-1-2-2- Sweetpotato whitefly, <i>Bemisia tabaci</i>	24
2-1-2-3-Lima bean pod borer (<i>Etiella zinckenella</i>)	25
2-1-3- Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on the infestation of certain pests	26
2-2- Peanut:	29
2-2-1. Effect of sowing dates on certain pests infesting peanut.	29
2-2-2. Effect of varieties on some pests infesting peanut ...	30
2-2-2-1-Tetranychid mite [Spider mite, (<i>Tetranychus urticae</i>) and (<i>Eutetranychus orientalis</i>)	30
2-2-2-2- Leafhopper, <i>Empoasca fabae</i>	31

2-2-2-3- Cotton leafworm, (<i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>)	32
2-2-3- Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on the infestation of certain pests	33
3- Control studies of two spotted spider mite, <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> on soybean crop:	35
3-1. Field trails	35
3-2- Laboratory tests	39
MATERIALS AND METHODS:	42
1- Ecological studies:	42
1-1-Survey of arthropods associated with soybean and peanut plants:	42
1-1-1- Design of field experiments and field activities	42
1-1-2-Sampling methods (Direct count method) and observation	42
1-2- Seasonal abundance of certain pests (insects and mites) infesting soybean and peanut crops.....	43
1-2-1. Design of field experiments and field activities.....	43
1-2-2- Sampling and counting.....	43
1-3- The effect of certain weather factors and plant age on the seasonal abundance of certain pests infesting soybean crop	44
1-3-1- Meteorological data.....	44
1-3-2- Statistical analysis.....	44
2- Agricultural practices:	44
2-1- The effect of some agricultural practices of soybean and peanut crops on the population density of certain pests infesting soybean and peanut plants.	44
A- Sowing dates of soybean and peanut.	44
B- Soybean and peanut varieties.	44
C- The application times of potassium fertilizer.	44
2-2- Design of field experiments and field activities.....	45
2-2-1- Sampling and counting.....	46
2-2-2- Statistical analysis.....	46
3- Control studies of two spotted spider mite, <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> on soybean crop:	46
3-1- Field trails:	46
3-1-1- Sampling methods and counting.....	47
3-1-2- Statistical analysis.....	49
3-2- Laboratory tests:	49
3-2-1- Testing methods:	49

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	51
1- Ecological studies:	51
1-1- Survey of arthropods associated with soybean and peanut plants:	51
1-1-1. Survey of certain arthropods associated with soybean plants.....	51
1-1-2. Survey of certain arthropods associated with peanut plants.....	53
1-2- Seasonal abundance of certain pests infesting soybean crop and weather records	58
1-2-1- The two spotted spider mite <i>T. urticae</i> (movable stages)	58
1-2-1-1- The first sowing date (Apr., 15 th)	58
1-2-1-2- The second sowing date (May, 15 th)	66
1-2-2- Sweetpotato whitefly, <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> (nymphs) and weather records	76
1-2-2-1- The first sowing date (Apr., 15 th)	76
1-2-2-2- The second sowing date (May, 15 th)	84
1-3-Effect of some variable factors affecting the population of spider mite and whitefly infesting soybean crop in the field through two sowing dates during 2001, 2002 and 2003 seasons.	94
1-3-1-The two spotted spider mite, <i>T. urticae</i>	97
1-3-1-1- The first sowing date, (Apr., 15 th)	97
1-3-1-2- The second sowing date, (May, 15 th)	98
1-3-2-Whitefly, <i>B. tabaci</i>	102
1-3-2-1- The first sowing date, (Apr., 15 th)	102
1-3-2-2- The second sowing date (May, 15 th)	106
1-4- Seasonal abundance of certain pests infesting peanut crop	111
1-4-1-The two spotted spider mite, <i>T. urticae</i>	111
1-4-1-1-The first sowing date (Apr., 15 th)	111
1-4-1-2- The second sowing date (May, 15 th)	112
1-4-2- <i>Eutetranychus orientalis</i>	118
1-4-2-1- The first sowing date (Apr., 15 th)	118
1-4-2-2- The second sowing date (May, 15 th)	119
1-4-3- Potato leafhopper, <i>Empoasca Fabae</i> (Harris)	125
1-4-3-1- The first sowing date (Apr., 15 th)	125
1-4-3-2- The second sowing date (May, 15 th)	127

2- Agricultural practices:	130
2-1- Soybean:	130
2-1-1-Effect of sowing dates, soybean varieties, different application time of potassium fertilizers and plant levels on the population of certain pests infesting soybean plants.	130
2-1-1-1-The two spotted spider mite, <i>T. urticae</i>	130
A- The upper level of soybean plants:	
B- The middle level of soybean plants:	
C- The lower level of soybean plants:	
2-1-1-2- Sweetpotato whitefly, <i>Bemisia tabaci</i> (Genndius)	149
A- The upper level of soybean plants:	
B- The middle level of soybean plants:	
C- The lower level of soybean plants	
2-1-1-3- Limabean pod borer, <i>Etiella zinckenella</i> (Treitschke)	169
2-1-2- Effect of sowing dates, soybean varieties and different application times of potassium fertilizers on the yield weight (Kg/fed.)	175
2-2- Peanut:	184
2-2-1- The effect of sowing dates, peanut varieties and the application times of potassium fertilizer on the population of certain pests infesting peanut plants..	184
2-2-1-1- The twospotted spider mite, <i>Tetranychus urticae</i>	184
2-2-1-2- <i>Eutetranychus orientalis</i>	188
2-2-1-3- Potato leafhopper, <i>Empoasca fabae</i>	189
2-2-1-4- Cotton leafworm, <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	191
2-2-2-The effect of sowing dates, peanut varieties and the application times of potassium fertilizer on the yield weights (ardeb/fed.)	193
3- Control studies of spider mite, <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> on soybean crop:	198
3-1-Field trails	198
3-1-1- Effect of certain compounds on the population density of two spotted spider mite, <i>T. urticae</i> infesting soybean plants subjected to three sprays programmes.....	198
3-1-2- Evaluation of tested compounds through four weekly intervals on the population density of two	

spotted spider mite, <i>T. urticae</i> infesting soybean plants	213
3-1-3- Effect of tested compounds on the yield increment	228
3-2- Laboratory tests	239
3-2-1-Susceptibility of the two spotted spider mite, <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> to certain compounds under laboratory conditions	239
SUMMARY	243
REFERENCES	255
ARABIC SUMMARY	--

Summary

The present studies were carried out at the Experimental Farm of Shandweel Agricultural Research Station, Sohag Governorate, during three soybean growing seasons (2001-2003) and two peanut growing seasons (2001&2002).

The aim of the present studies had to be accomplished in the following four items in Shandweel Agric. Res. Station, Sohag Governorate:

- 1- Survey of arthropods (Insects and mites) associated with soybean and peanut plants.
- 2- Seasonal abundance of certain pests (insects and mites) infesting both crops, and the effect of certain variable factors [plant age and weather factors (maximum temp., minimum temp., average temp. and relative humidity R.H.)] on these pests.
- 3- Effect of some agricultural practices on seasonal abundance of certain pests infesting both crops.
- 4- Control of spider mite on soybean plants.

1- Ecological studies:

1-1-Survey of arthropods species associated with soybean and peanut plants:

Two experiments were carried out in the experimental Farm of Shandweel Agricultural Research Station, Sohag Governorate during the growing season of 2001 in order to survey the various insects and mites on soybean (Giza 35 variety) and peanut (Giza 5 variety) by using direct account.

1-1-1- Survey of certain arthropods associated with soybean plants:

Data revealed the presence of 48 arthropod species belonging to 40 genera under 27 families from 12 orders. Out of total 48 arthropod species, there were 33 insect species belonging to 30 genera under 22 families from 10 orders. In addition to, 15 mite species belonging to 10 genera under 5 families from 2 sub-orders. All species were well known.

1-1-2. Survey of arthropods associated with peanut plants:

Data indicated the occurrence of 36 arthropod species belonging to 31 genera under 21 families from 12 orders. Out of total 36 species, there were 26 insect species belonging to 24 genera under 19 families from 10 orders, as well as, 10 mite species belonging to 2 families from 2 sub-orders. It is cleared that all species were well known.

1-2- Seasonal abundance of certain pests infesting soybean crop and weather records:

This experiment was carried out during 2001, 2002 and 2003 seasons at the Experimental Farm of Shandweel Agricultural Research Station, Sohag Governorate. The variety Giza 35, was cultivated at (April 15th) and (May 15th). The considered insects were the twospotted spider mite, *T. urticae* and sweetpotato whitefly, *B. tabaci*.

1-2-1- The two spotted spider mite *T. urticae* (movable stages):

The obtained results indicated that the first growing season (2001) of the first sowing date (April 15th) had the highest total number of mite compared with the second growing season 2002 and the third one 2003. On contrary, the second growing season (2002) in the second sowing date (May 15th) had the highest total number followed by the third growing season (2003) with difference. Moreover, the total number of mite in the first sowing date was higher than that in the second sowing date only during the first growing season (2001), while the total number during both the second and the third growing seasons (2002 and 2003), the total number in the second sowing date was higher than that in the first one.

It is also, cleared that in the first sowing date, the twospotted spider mite (*T. urticae*) had two peaks through the three growing seasons, in (1st July) and (22nd July) in 2001 and in (8th July) and (5th August) in both of 2002 and 2003 seasons. On the other hand, in the second sowing date, mite had only two peaks

(17th July) and (14th August) in 2001 season, while during 2002 and 2003 seasons, the mite recorded one peak at (31st July).

Average numbers were fluctuated through the three growing seasons and through the two sowing dates, this may be due mainly to the prevailing weather factors.

1-2-2-Sweetpotato whitefly, *B. tabaci* (nymphs) and weather records:

Generally, the results showed that the grand total numbers of season 2001 was higher than that of 2002 and 2003 seasons during both the first and the second sowing dates. It was cleared that the second sowing date (May, 15th) had the highest grand total numbers of *B. tabaci* than the first one (April, 15th) in the three growing seasons.

The results also, indicated that the grand averages of relative humidity in the second sowing date was higher than those of the first sowing date through the three growing seasons 2001, 2002 and 2003 (53.71, 53.02 and 51.71%, respectively) for the second sowing date, while in the first sowing date the grand average of relative humidity were (49.81, 49.18 and 46.91%) for the three seasons, respectively. This may be indicated that the relative humidity plays an important role in increasing the numbers of *B. tabaci*.

On the other hand, the results in the present study showed that *B. tabaci* had one peak in the first sowing date (24th June) through the three growing seasons. While, in the second sowing date the highest numbers were recorded at the end of July (31st July) in 2001 seasons, and at (24th July) in 2003 season, whereas, in 2002 season the highest numbers were recorded earlier at (26th June).

1-3- Effect of certain factors on the population density of the twospotted spider mite and whitefly infesting soybean crop in the field through two sowing dates during 2001, 2002 and 2003 seasons.

This study was carried out at the Experimental Farm of Shandweel Agricultural Research Station, Sohag Governorate, in order to explain the

influence of some variable factors on the population density of the spider mite, *T. urticae* and whitefly, *B. tabaci* on soybean crop (var., Giza 35) through two sowing dates during three seasons (2001, 2002 and 2003 seasons). The variable factors were plant age (X_1) and weather factors were represented by daily maximum temperature (X_2), daily minimum temperature (X_3), average daily temperature (X_4) and average relative humidity (X_5). The relationship between the population of the pests and the variable factors was analyzed by using Multiple Regression Analysis.

1-3-1-The two spotted spider mite, *T. urticae*:

Generally, results indicated that maximum temperature in 2001 season, average relative humidity in 2002 season and plant age in 2003 season expressed the most effect on the population changes of *T. urticae* on soybean at the first sowing date (Apr., 15th), during the period from May to August. Their efficiencies were (24.34%, 46.71% and 61.10%), out of the total of (41.98%, 74.27% and 95.53%) for 2001, 2002 and 2003 seasons, respectively. Also, Average daily temperature in 2001 and 2003 seasons and average relative humidity in 2002 season at the second sowing date (May, 15th) during the period from (June to Sept.) was an important factor on the population changes of *T. urticae*, it was responsible for (11.77%, 33.85% and 21.73%), out of the total (33.22%, 80.86% and 58.92%, for 2001, 2002, 2003 seasons, respectively

1-3-2-Whitefly, *B. tabaci*:

It can be concluded that at first sowing date, maximum temperature in 2001 and 2002 seasons and minimum temperature in 2003 season were the most important variable factors on population changes of *B. tabaci*, these variables were responsible for (39.38%, 16.33% and 30.74%) out of the total (55.52%, 37.68% and 47.02%), for 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively. Also it is cleared that maximum temperature had significant and positive effect on population changes of *B. tabaci* during 2001 and 2003 seasons. While at the second sowing

date (May, 15th), maximum temperature in 2001, minimum temperature in 2002 and average relative humidity in 2003 season were the most important variable factors on population changes of *B. tabaci*, where they were responsible for (30.89%, 43.95% and 42.61%) out of the total (82.19%, 59.74% and 47.86%) for 2001, 2002 and 2003, respectively.

Generally, the results showed that all variables had different effects on population changes of both of *T. urticae* and *B. tabaci*. At the first sowing date (Apr. 15th), maximum temperature, in 2001 season, average relative humidity in 2002 season and plant age in 2003 season, were effective variables on population changes of *T. urticae*. While maximum temperature in 2001 and 2002 seasons and minimum temperature in 2003 season were the most effective ones on population changes of *B. tabaci*. Whereas at the second sowing date (May, 15th), average daily temperature in 2001 and 2003 and average relative humidity in 2002 season were the most effective and important variables on population changes of *T. urticae*. Also maximum temperature in 2001 season, minimum temperature in 2002 and average relative humidity in 2003 season were the most effective variables on population changes of *B. tabaci*.

1-4- Seasonal abundance of certain pests infesting peanut crop:

1-4-1-The twospotted spider mite, *T. urticae*:

The results revealed that the grand total of *T. urticae* on peanut leaflets at the first sowing date was higher than that at the second sowing date during the two seasons (2001 and 2002). Generally, the grand total of this pest in the second season (2002) was higher than that in the first season (2001), either in the first sowing date (Apr., 15th) or in the second one (May, 15th). In addition, in the second sowing date the population of *T. urticae* appeared for a short period as compared with the first sowing date, either in 2001 season or in 2002 season.

1-4-2- *Eutetranychus orientalis*:

It is cleared from results that the first sowing date (Apr., 15th) harboured the highest grand total, either in the first or in the second growing seasons (2001 or 2002 season). Also, the population of *E. orientalis* in the second sowing date, either in 2001 or 2002 season, was represented by a low grand total and a short period of appearance. Moreover, the first growing season (2001) of the first sowing date, harboured the highest grand total than the second growing season (2002), while the opposite result was recorded in the second sowing date, whereas the first growing season (2001) harboured the lowest grand total, as compared with the second growing season (2002).

1-4-3- Potato leafhopper, *E. fabae* (Harris):

From the results, it could be concluded that the grand total of the population of *E. fabae* during the first sowing date (Apr., 15th) in both seasons was higher than that in the second sowing date (May, 15th). Also, it is cleared that the grand total of the population during the first season (2001), either in the first or in the second sowing date, was lower than that in the second season (2002).

Generally, it is obvious that the population densities of the three sap-suckers pests (*T. urticae*, *E. orientalis* and *E. fabae*), were fluctuated through the two seasons and the two sowing dates, these may be related to as the weather factors.

Generally, from all the previous results it could be mentioned that for the three sap-sucker pests (*T. urticae*, *E. orientalis* and *E. fabae*), the grand total of these pests on peanut, in the first sowing date (Apr., 15th) was higher than that in the second one (May, 15th), during both seasons (2001 and 2002), while the grand total of *T. urticae* and *E. fabae* in the first season (2001) was lower than that in the second season, either in the first sowing date or in the second sowing date. Moreover, the grand total of *E. orientalis*, only in the second sowing date, the first season (2001) was lower than that in the second season (2002). In

addition, the maximum monthly percentages for the mite (*T. urticae* and *E. orientalis*) were recorded in July all over the two seasons (2001 and 2002), either in the first sowing date or in the second sowing date. While, for the *E. fabae*, the maximum monthly percentages were recorded in August, in both seasons (2001 and 2002), either in the first sowing date or in the second one.

2- Agricultural practices:

2-1- Soybean:

2-1-1-Effect of sowing dates, soybean varieties and different application time of potassium fertilizers on the population of certain pests infesting soybean plants.

2-1-1-1- The two spotted spider mite, *T. urticae*.

Finally, from the previous it was obvious that the highest population of *T. urticae* was recorded on the upper level of the plants. While, the lowest was recorded on the lower level of plants in all seasons (2002 and 2003). These may be due mainly to the effect of leaves age.

On the other side, the highest population of *T. urticae* was recorded in the second sowing date (May, 15th), during the two growing seasons (2002 and 2003). These results may be attributed to the weather factors prevailing in each growing season.

The results also, indicated that the varieties influenced the population of *T. urticae* with significant differences. Generally, Clark variety harboured the lowest average numbers of *T. urticae*, while Giza 35 variety harboured the highest average numbers and Giza 111 variety in between.

Data in the present study also, indicated that the application times of potassium fertilizer influenced the population of *T. urticae*. The lowest average numbers of *T. urticae* was recorded on plants received potassium fertilizer at the third time of application.

2-1-1-2- Sweetpotato whitefly, *B. tabaci* (Genndins):

In conclusion, the results indicated that the highest population of *B. tabaci* was recorded on the upper plant level during 2003 seasons, whereas on the lower one during 2002 season.

Moreover, the highest population of *B. tabaci* was occurred on plants cultivated in the second sowing date (May 15th) during the two growing seasons. The differences between the two sowing dates were significant during the three seasons. These results may be attributed to the weather factors presented in the area of experiment during the two growing seasons, especially temperature and relative humidity.

In conclusion, the three soybean varieties had significant differences among them to whitefly infestation.

Moreover, the recent results indicated that the application times of potassium fertilizer affected on the population density of *B. tabaci* on soybean plants. It is obvious that the early supplied time of potassium fertilizer caused the lowest population of *B. tabaci*.

2-1-1-3- Limabean pod borer, *E. zinckenella* (Treitschke):

Data revealed that sowing dates, soybean varieties and application times of potassium fertilizer affected on the infestation percentages with *E. zinckenella* during two growing seasons (2002 and 2003).

Data also, showed that the plants cultivated at the first sowing date (Apr., 15th) recorded average percentages of infestation lower than that at the second sowing date (May, 15th) with significant differences during the two growing seasons.

Also, the soybean varieties affected markedly on the infestation percentages by *E. zinckenella*. Clark variety had the lowest percentage of infestation during the two growing seasons. While, Giza 35 variety exhibited the highest percentage of infestation by this pest with significant difference.

Furthermore, the results revealed that the differences between the application times of potassium fertilizer were insignificantly during 2003 seasons but significantly between the first and third times of application during 2002 season.

2-1-2- Effect of sowing dates, soybean varieties and different application times of potassium fertilizers on the yield weight (Kg/fed.):

Generally, data obtained revealed that the three studied factors (sowing dates, soybean varieties and the application times of potassium fertilizer) influenced on the seeds yield of soybean (Kg/fed.).

It is cleared that the seeds yield (Kg/fed.) during the second sowing date was higher than that during the first sowing date, through 2002 and 2003 seasons, these results were regardless of the soybean varieties and the application times of potassium fertilizer.

On the other hand, irrespective of the sowing dates and the application times of (K) fertilizer, the three soybean varieties follow the same trend through the two growing seasons. Clark variety was the highest seeds yield, followed by Giza 111 variety, while Giza 35 variety was the lowest seeds yield with significant differences.

Moreover, regardless of the sowing dates and varieties, it is obvious that the application times of (K) fertilizer didn't follow the same trend through the two growing seasons. During 2002 season, there were significant differences among the application times of (K) fertilizer, the highest seeds yield was obtained from plants subjected to potassium fertilizer at the third time of application, while the lowest seeds yield was obtained from plants subjected to potassium fertilizer at the second time of application. During 2003 season, the highest seeds yield was obtained from plants subjected to potassium fertilizer at

the first and second application times, whereas the lowest seeds yield was obtained from plants subjected to potassium fertilizer at the third application time.

Generally, it is cleared that the seeds yield during 2003 season was higher than that during 2002 seasons.

Generally, the infestation of soybean plants by (spider mite, whitefly and limabean pod borer) may be played role on yield and on the responses of soybean yield to the three factors (sowing dates, varieties and the application times of potassium fertilizer).

Moreover, data obtained from the recent study revealed that the differential responses of yield weight may be attributed to soybean varieties.

2-2- Peanut:

2-2-1- The effect of sowing dates, peanut varieties and the application times of potassium fertilizer on the population of certain pests infesting peanut plants.

2-2-1-1- The twospotted spider mite, *T. urticae*:

Data of the present study showed that the late sowing date (May, 15th) exhibited the highest infestation by most studied pests as compared with early sowing date (Apr., 15th).

Furthermore, the present study indicated that the three studied peanut varieties of peanut were infested by studied pests, with different degrees. Balady variety exhibited the highest infestation by all studied pests.

On the other hand, the present study showed that the application times of potassium fertilizer influenced the infestation by all studied pests.

Considering the pods yield of peanut, the results in this study showed that the three variables (sowing dates, peanut varieties and the application times of potassium fertilizer) influenced the pods yield of peanut. The differential responses of peanut yield may be attributed to the infestation by these pests.

3- Control studies of spider mite, *T. urticae* on soybean crop:

3-1- Field trails:

3-1-1- Effect of certain compounds on the population density of the twospotted spider mite, *T. urticae* infesting soybean plants and subjected to three sprays programmes:

This experiment was carried out during 2002 and 2003 seasons at Shandweel Research Station. The soybean variety Giza 35 was used.

The results indicated that, for each compound, 2-sprays and 3-spray programme gave high reduction percentage as compared with 1-spray programme, and could be recommended for the control of *T. urticae* on soybean plants. 2-sprays programme could be preferable than 3-sprays programme, causing pollution lower than 3-sprays programme.

Also, abamectin 1.8%EC and propargite 73%EC at low concentration of each (30cm³/100lit. water and 300cm³/fed.) gave high reduction percentages after three weeks from spraying and could be recommended for control *T. urticae* rather than the recommended concentration, because of decreasing pollution.

In view of the intervals after spraying, the obtained results during both seasons (2002 and 2003), indicated that the intervals after spraying could be arranged descently according to the reduction percentages as follows: after one, two, three and four weeks from spraying. It is obvious that the highest reduction percentages were achieved after one week from spraying. Moreover, the results in affirmed that after three and four weeks from spraying, highly reduction percentages were obtained by using abamectin 1.8%EC, propargite 73%EC and fenpyroximate 5%SC at both recommended and lower concentrations of each compound, It could be recommended that these compounds could be used at low concentrations in controlling *T. urticae* for economical and healthy reasons.

Worth mentioning, propargite 73 % EC was forbidden recently by Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation. On the other side, abamectin 1.8

% EC, fenpyroximate 5% SC and Bio Fly gave satisfactory percentages reduction of *T. urticae*. So, the later compounds (abamectin 1.8 % EC, fenpyroximate 5% SC and Bio Fly) could be recommended for control *T. urticae* instead of propargite 73% EC.

3-1-2- Effect of tested compounds on the yield increment:

Data of the combined effect of spray programmes and the tested compounds with their concentrations revealed that all treatments were efficient in augmentation of soybean yield with different percentages ranged from 8.76 to 58.17 % in 2002 season and 2.69% to 35.20% in 2003 season.

3-2- Laboratory tests:

3-2-1-Susceptibility of the two spotted spider mite, *T. urticae* to certain compounds under laboratory conditions.

Tested compounds could be arranged in descending order (depending on LC₅₀ values (ppm) established from 24 hrs. mortalities) as follows: abamectin 1.8 % EC (0.1185), fenpyroximate 5% SC (10.1690), sulfur 70% WP (96.0543), propargite 73% EC (117.9137), KZ oil 95% EC (440.0378) and Bio Fly 30x10⁶ cells/cm³ (100% SC) (1949.409).

abamectin 1.8 % EC and fenpyroximate 5% SC were the most toxicity, while KZ oil 95% EC and Bio Fly 30x10⁶ cells/cm³ (100% SC) were the least toxicity and the remain compounds were in between.