

ABSTRACT

Naglaa Moussa Ahmed Balabel "Persistence of *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Syn. *Pseudomonas solanacearum*) in Different Habitats in Egypt" Unpublished Doctor of Philosophy Dissertation. Ain Shams University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Agric. Microbiology, 2006.

Bacterial wilt of solanaceous crops is an important disease in warm climates, though it has been reported in Europe and in the far northern hemisphere. The disease is caused by *Ralstonia (Pseudomonas) solanacearum*. Based on the host range and biochemical tests, five races and five biovars have been identified for the bacterium.

The dominant strain in Egypt is race 3 (biovar II) being characterized by low virulence to tobacco and a lower optimal temperature than other biovars.

From the pathological point of view, the bacterium is found in nature as virulent (vi) and avirulent (av) forms. Both forms may be recovered from diseased plant tissues, though the interrelations between them is not well understood and many questions are still unanswered.

Differentiation between the (vi) and (av) forms can be easily made on media containing 2,3,5 triphenyltetrazolium chloride. Colonies of the avirulent mutants are uniformly round, butyrous and deep red in colour due to the formation of formozan on tetrazolium-containing medium, contrary to the virulent ones. More recently, a Semi Selective Medium of South Africa (SMSA) has been developed for differentiation of virulent and avirulent forms.

The present work reveals the development of large proportion of atypical forms on SMSA medium, from virulent ones stored in water. These forms were phenotypically similar

to the (av) but with strong pathogenic potential on stem inoculation of tomato seedlings. The virulent (vi) and the atypical (at vi) forms were identical in PCR pattern, BOX PCR, Taq-Man and pathogenicity.

Both forms, however, showed considerable differences in fatty acids (FA) profile. The (at vi) forms showed lower content of C12:0 as well as C15:0 ISO and higher content of C15:1 ω C, C15:0 and C17:0 compared to the virulent ones.

The (ty vi) and the (at vi) (previously considered av) based on colony morphology showed distinct differences in nitrate utilization as well. The (ty vi) produced acid in Hugh & Leifson medium containing nitrate, either under aerobic or anaerobic conditions. The (at vi) form, phenotypically avirulent, produced an alkaline reaction under the same conditions with gas evolution anaerobically.

The noticeable differences between the (ty vi) and (at vi) in (FA) profiles and nitrate metabolism may be, in part, attributed to the observed phenotypic differences, on SMSA medium. Such an observation may render colony morphology on SMSA medium, as a sole diagnostic tool for virulence, controversial.

With respect to the origin of *R. solanacearum* isolates, the most pathogenic isolates was recovered from potato tubers and weeds. Soil, water and potato stem isolates were moderate in this regard. *Rumex dentatus* and *Solanum nigrum* were found as alternative hosts for *R. solanacearum* race 3 (biovar II) in Egypt.

Regarding the bacterial survival in the soil, which is of a paramount importance from the pathological and epidemiological viewpoint some unprecedented results have been accumulated. The pathogen has persisted for 6 months in

either loamy sand and clay loam soil under moisture content maintained at 75% WHC and ambient temperature conditions and in dry soil, the pathogen survived in loamy sand soil for 6 months with very high densities in December. On the other hand, densities in dry clay loam soil were extremely low after 5 months (November). This observation(s) on the survival may have a great impact regarding the time of planting potato in Egypt, particularly in view of the failure to detect the pathogen in January & February either under bare fallowing or under controlled soil moisture. These findings may have a great epidemiological value, in considering the disease under Egyptian conditions.

It is interesting to note that the biofertilization with a biosystem microorganisms product (EM) showed seasonal fluctuation in densities of *R. solanacearum*.

Fluctuation in densities of microbial flora in non-rhizosphere soil shaded with the plant canopy was studied. The total microbial flora showed gradual decrease in densities, in Spunta and Diamant potato cultivars, up to the middle of June either in clay loam or loamy sand soil. In the latter months however, the brown rot pathogen showed a significant increase, being more pronounced in loamy sand soil.

Key words: *Ralstonia solanacearum*, bacterial wilt disease, typical virulent form (ty vi), atypical virulent form (at vi), virulent (vi), avirulent (av), persistence in different habitat(s), survival in water, survival in soil, preferential host organ effect, biofertilization EM product, phenotypic change in colony morphology, effect of organic material on persistence, differences in pathogenic potentials.

CONTENTS

	Page
1. Introduction	1
2. Review of Literature	3
2.1. Distribution of <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> in different habitats	3
2.2. Characteristics of <i>Ralstonia (Pseudomonas)</i> <i>solanacearum</i>	7
2.2.1. Morphological and cultural characte- ristics	7
2.2.2. Races and biovars	8
2.3. Detection and identification of <i>Ralstonia</i> <i>solanacearum</i>	8
2.3.1. Detection	8
2.3.1.1. Detection by tomato bioassay test	8
2.3.1.2. Detection on selective media	9
2.3.1.3. Detection by Immunofluore- scence Antibody Stain (IFAS) ...	10
2.3.2. Identification techniques	10
2.3.2.1. Fatty acid profiling	10
2.3.2.2. PCR amplification	11
2.3.2.3. BOX-PCR	11
2.3.2.4. Quantitative, Multiplex, Real- Time, Fluorogenic PCR (Taq- Man) Assay	12
2.4. Pathogenicity of <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> ..	12
2.5. Survival of <i>Ralstonia (Pseudomonas)</i> <i>solanacearum</i>	18
2.6. Factors affecting the persistence of <i>R.</i> <i>solanacearum</i>	24
2.6.i. Biotic factors	24
2.6.1.1. Natural and weed host	24
2.6.1.2. Plant variety	27

	Page
2.6.1.3. Cropping system	29
2.6.2. Abiotic factors	30
2.6.2.1. Soil type	30
2.6.2.2. Soil moisture	32
2.6.2.3. Temperature and infection court.	33
2.6.2.4. Light	37
3. Materials and methods	39
3.1. Materials	39
3.1.1. Samples collected for monitoring <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>	39
3.1.2. Plant materials	40
3.1.2.1. Tomato seedlings	40
3.1.2.2. Potato seed-tubers	40
3.1.3. Fertilizers	40
3.1.3.1. Chemical fertilizers	40
3.1.3.2. Effective microorganisms pro- duct (EM)	40
3.2. Methods	41
3.2.1. Isolation and characterization of <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> from dif- ferent habitats	41
3.2.1.1. Potato tuber	41
3.2.1.2. Potato stems	42
3.2.1.3. Irrigation water	42
3.2.1.4. Soil	42
3.2.1.5. Weeds associated with potato fields	43
3.2.2. Pathogenicity of isolates	43
3.2.3. Identification of the pathogen	44
3.2.3.1. Physiological and biochemical characteristics	44
3.2.3.2. Detection methods	44

	Page
a. Plating on the SMSA medium	44
b. Immunofluorescence Antibody stain ..	45
c. Fatty acids profile	46
d. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)..	47
e. BOX-PCR	48
f. Quantitative, Multiplex, Real-Time, Fluorogenic PCR(Taq-Man) Assay	49
3.2.4. Bacteriological determinations in the selected potato districts	51
3.2.5. Analysis of soil samples used in the investigation	52
3.2.6. Phenotypic change in virulent isolates from different sources	53
3.2.7. Bacteriological differences between typical virulent (ty vi) and atypical virulent (at vi) forms of <i>R. solana-</i> <i>cearum</i>	53
3.2.7.1. Fatty acid profiles	53
3.2.7.2. Growth of phenotypically viru- lent (ty vi) and atypical virulent (at vi) isolates in relation to nitrate	53
3.2.7.3. Molecular differences between typical virulent (ty vi) and atypical virulent (at vi) forms	54
3.2.8. Effect of organic matter on persistence of <i>R. solanacearum</i> in still water	54
3.2.9. Microbial densities and <i>R. solana-</i> <i>cearum</i> in bare fallow soil	55
3.2.10. Microbial densities in soil under plant cover	55
3.3. Media, Buffers, Reagents and Protocols	56

	Page
3.3.1. Media used	56
3.3.2. Buffers used	63
3.3.2.1. Buffers used for Immunofluorescence Antibody Stain (IFAS) test.	63
3.3.2.1.1. Phosphate buffer (0.05 M) ...	63
3.3.2.1.2. Phosphate buffer (0.01 M) ...	63
3.3.2.1.3. Phosphate buffer saline (0.01M)	63
3.3.2.1.4. Phosphate glycerine buffer (0.1M)	63
3.3.3. Reagents for Fatty Acids Analysis (FAA)	63
3.3.4. Protocols	64
3.3.4.1. PCR protocol	64
3.3.4.1.1. Oligonucleotide primers	64
3.3.4.1.2. PCR reaction mix	65
3.3.4.1.3. 10X Tris Acetate EDTA (TAE) buffer for PCR	65
3.3.4.1.4. Preparation of the loading buffers	65
3.3.4.1.5. PCR reaction conditions	65
3.3.4.2. BOX-PCR	66
3.3.4.2.1. Oligonucleotide primers	66
3.3.4.2.2. BOX-PCR reaction mix	66
3.3.4.2.3. BOX-PCR reaction conditions	66
3.3.4.3. Quantitative, Multiplex, Real-Time, Fluorogenic PCR (Taq-Man) Assay	67
3.3.4.3.1. Primers for <i>R. solanacearum</i> (biovar 2/race 3) assay	67
3.3.4.3.2. Probe for <i>R. solanacearum</i> (biovar 2/race 3) assay	67

	Page
3.3.4.3.3. Reaction mixture (Taq reaction mix)	67
3.3.4.3.4. Thermal cycling programs ...	67
3.4. Statistical analysis	67
4. Results	68
4.1. Isolation of <i>R. solanacearum</i> from different habitats and pathogenicity of isolates	68
4.2. Identification of the pathogen	72
4.2.1. Physiological and biochemical characteristics	72
4.2.2. Detection methods	73
a. Plating on the SMSA medium	73
b. Immunofluorescent antibody staining test	73
c. Fatty acid analysis of <i>R. solanacearum</i> ..	79
d. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	79
e. BOX-PCR	83
f. Quantitative, Multiplex Real-Time, Fluorogenic PCR (Taq-Man) Assay	83
4.3. Determination of densities of soil bacteria in the selected potato districts	83
4.4. Characteristics of the soil samples used in the investigation	88
4.4.1. Physical and chemical characteristics of the soil samples used in <i>R. solanacearum</i> isolation	88
4.4.2. The availability of some nutrients (ppm) in the soil samples used in <i>R. solanacearum</i> isolation	90

	Page
4.5. Preferential organ effect on isolates potential	92
4.6. Phenotypic change in virulent isolates from different sources	92
4.6.1. Phenotypic changes in colony morphology of isolates stored in still water	92
4.6.2. Development of atypical forms in stored suspension of virulent isolates (after activation)	95
4.6.3. Phenotypic conversion to atypical virulent (at vi) at colony level	97
4.7. Differences between typical virulent (ty vi) and atypical virulent (at vi) forms of <i>R. solanacearum</i>	100
4.7.1. Fatty Acid Profiles	100
4.7.2. Growth of phenotypically virulent (ty vi) and atypical virulent (at vi) isolates in relation to nitrate	104
4.7.3. Molecular differences between typical virulent (ty vi) and atypical virulent (at vi) forms	104
4.8. Effect of organic matter on persistence of <i>R. solanacearum</i> in still water	104
4.9. Microbial densities in bare fallow soil and soil under plant cover	110
4.9.1. Microbial densities in bare fallow soil	113
4.9.2. Densities of <i>R. solanacearum</i> in bare fallow soil	115
4.9.3. Microbial densities and <i>R. solanacearum</i> in soil under plant cover	117

	Page
5. General Discussion and Conclusion	122
6. Summary	130
7. References	136
Arabic summary	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- at vi form	Atypical virulent form
- av form	Avirulent form
- B ₂	Probe used in Taq-Man for detecting only biovar 2 of <i>R. solanacearum</i>
- BW	Bacterial wilt
- CFU	Colony forming units
- COX	Fluorogenic probe used in Taq-Man
- CPG	Casamino acids peptone glucose medium
- C. sand	Coarse sand
- Cx	Cellulase
- dNTP	Deoxynucleotide triphosphates
- DTPA	Diethylene triamine penta acetic acid
- EC	Electric conductivity
- EDTA	Ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid
- EG	Endoglucanase
- EM	Effective microorganisms product
- EPS	Extracellular polysaccharide
- EPS1	Exopolysaccharide 1
- FA	Fatty acid
- FAA	Fatty acid analysis
- FITC	Fluorescein isothiocyanate
- FRET	Fluorescence resonance energy transfer
- F. sand	Fine sand
- GNA	Glucose nutrient agar medium
- HOM	Hara and Ono's medium
- IFAS	Immunofluorescence antibody staining
- IFC	Immunofluorescence colony staining
- KB medium	King's medium B
- LED	Light emitting diode
- LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
- NYB	Nutrient yeast broth

XIII

- OF	Oxidation/fermentation test
- OLI-1	Specific oligonucleotide primer for <i>R. solanacearum</i>
- OM	Organic matter
- PBRP	Potato brown rot project
- PB	Phosphate buffer
- PBS	Phosphate buffer saline
- PC	Phenotype conversion
- PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
- Peh A	Endo polygalacturonase
- Peh B	Exo polygalacturonase
- PFA	Pest free areas
- PG	Polygalacturonase
- PHB	Poly β -hydroxy butyrate
- pJTPS1	Mini plasmid
- PME	Pectin methylestrase
- RS	Probe used in Taq-Man for detecting all biovars of <i>R. solanacearum</i>
- SDS-PAGE	Sodium dodecyl sulfate - polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
- SI	Soil infestation
- So	Isolates of <i>R. solanacearum</i> isolated from soil
- So (m)	Mixture isolates of <i>R. solanacearum</i> from soil
- SP	Stem puncture
- St	Isolates of <i>R. solanacearum</i> isolated from potato stem
- St (m)	Mixture isolates of <i>R. solanacearum</i> from potato stem
- SMSA	Selective medium of South Africa
- SUPW	Sterile ultra pure water
- TAE	Tris acetate EDTA
- TSBA	Trypticase soy broth agar medium

XIV

- Tu Isolates of *R. solanacearum* isolated from potato tubers
- Tu (m) Mixture isolates of *R. solanacearum* from potato tuber
- Ty vi form Typical virulent form
- TZC Triphenyl tetrazolium chloride agar medium
- Vi form Virulent form
- VBNC Viable but non culturable
- Wd Isolates of *R. solanacearum* isolated from weeds
- Wd (m) Mixture isolates of *R. solanacearum* from weeds
- Wt Isolates of *R. solanacearum* isolated from irrigation water
- Wt (m) Mixture isolates of *R. solanacearum* from irrigation water
- Y-2 Non specific primer for *R. solanacearum* used in PCR technique
- YPGA Yeast peptone glucose agar medium