## **CONTENTS**

	<b>Pages</b>
I-INTRODCUTION	1
2-REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2-1. Heat stress and its Alleviation	4
2-1.1 <b>Heat stress</b>	4
2-1.2 Alleviation of heat stress	9
2-1.2.1 Physical techniques	11
2-1.2.2 Physiological techniques	21
2-1.2.3 Nutritional techniques	23
2-2. Effect of shade type on:	29
2-2.1 Thermoregulation responses	29
2-2.1.1 Body temperature	29
2-2.1.2 Respiration rate	31
2-2.2 Hematological responses	35
2-2.2.1 Hemoglobin	35
2-2.2.2 Total proteins	36
2-2.2.3 Albumin, Globulin and A/G ratio	37
2-2.2.4 Total lipids	38
2-2.3 Thyroid functions	40
2-2.3.1 Triiodothyronine	42
2-2.4 Water consumption	43
2-2.5 Dry matter intake	46
2-2.6 Daily weight gain	47
2-2.7 Feed utilization	48
3-MATERIALS AND METHODS	50
3-1 Experimental aims	50
3-2 Experimental measurements	50
3-3 Experimental Animals, Housing and Feeding	50
3-4 Experimental procedures	56
3-5 Experimental parameters	58
3-5.1 Surroundings temperature	58
3-5.2 Thermorespiratory responses	58
3-5.3 Live body weight and daily weight gain	58
3-5.4 Feed performance	59

3-5.5 Water consumption	59
3-5.6 Blood components	59
3-5-6.1 Blood sampling	59
3-5-6.2 Hemoglobin	60
3-5.7 Blood hormones	60
3-5-7.1 T <sub>3</sub> hormone	60
3-5.8 Blood metabolites	60
3-5-8.1 Plasma total proteins albumin and total lipids	60
3-6 Statistical analysis	61
4-RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	62
4-1 Effect of different shade types on:	62
4-1.1 Thermoregulation responses	62
4-1.1.1 Skin temperature	62
4-1.1.2 Respiration rate	69
4-1.2 Hematological responses	75
4-1.2.1 Hemoglobin	75
4-1.2.2 Total proteins	78
4-1.2.3 Albumin, Globulin and A/G ratio	81
4-1.2.4 Total lipids	88
4-1.3 Thyroid functions	91
4-1.3.1 Triiodothyronine	91
4-1.4 Water consumption	95
4-1.5 Dry matter intake	99
4-1.6 Daily weight gain	103
4-1.7 Feed utilization	106
4-1.7.1 Feed conversion and feed efficiency	106
5- SIMPLE ECONOMICAL STUDY OF COMPARING	112
BETWEEN TYPES OF EXPERIMENTAL SHADES	
6- CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	116
7- SUMMARY	118
8- REFERENCES	122
9- ARABIC SUMMARY	
3- ANADIC SUMMANI	

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A/G Albumins/Globulins ratio

AC Ambient circumstances

ACTH Adreno-cortico tropic hormone

Add. Additives

ADG Average daily gain

ADH Anti-diuretic hormone

Alb. Albumins

Ani. Animal

Asb. Asbestos shade

ASH Ash

AT Ambient temperature

Aver. or Ave. Average

Bst Bovine somatotropin

BT Body temperature

BW Body weight

BWc Body weight changes

CF Crude fiber

CFM Concentrates feed mixture

Con. Control

CP Crude protein

D.T. Day time

DIR Digital infra red thermometer

DM Dry matter

DMC Dry matter concentrate

DMI Dry matter intake

DWG Daily weight gain

EE Either extract

ETHI Elevated temperature humidity index

Exp. Experiment or Experimental

F:G Feed: Gain

FC Feed conversion

FCM Fat corrected milk

FE Feed efficiency

FU Feed utilization

G Group

GH Growth hormone

Glub. Globulins

H. or h. Head of animal

Hb Hemoglobin

Heif Heifers

Holst Holstein breed

HSP Heat shock proteins

IGF-I Insulin like growth factor-1

KK Korral Kool

LBW Life body weight

Lys Laysen

M Month

ME Metabolizable energy

Min Minute

Min. temp Minimum temperature

NE Net energy

NEg Net energy for gain

NEm Net energy for maintenance

Net. Shade-net shade or cloth

NPN Nitrogen non-protein

r.p.m Round per minute

r.pm or Resp./min Respire per minute

RBC's Red blood cells

Ree. Reed-mat shade

RH Relative humidity

RIA Radioimmunoassay

RR Respiration rate

RT Rectal temperature

SCC Somatic cell count

SE Starch equivalent

SH Shaded

Sig. Significant

ST Skin temperature

T Treatment

Triiodothyronine hormone

T<sub>4</sub> Thyroxin hormone

TCAL Thermal comfort with ad libitum feed

TCR Thermal comfort with restricted feed

TDN Total digestible nutrients

Temp. Temperature

THI Temperature humidity index

TL Total lipids

TMR Total mixed ration

TP Total protein

TSAL Thermal stress with ad libitum feed

VFA Volatile fatty acids

Vit or V. Vitamin

VWI Voluntary water intake

W. With

WBC's White blood cells

WC Water consumption

wk Week

## 6-CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Summing up the points of comparison between the two shading and additives treatments and control group during the experimental months, under study, and their effects on the physiological responses of the animals could be discussed in accordance with the obtained data from this study.

It's clear that, using shade-net material for shading was the best choice enhancing for animals performance, then reedmat material also, enhanced animal's performance, but less than the previous material and more than control group, whereas, they increase significantly dry matter intake (DMI), daily weight gain (DWG), feed efficiency (FE), total proteins (TP), albumin (Albu) and total lipids (TL). While, they decrease significantly skin temperature (ST), respiration rate (RR) and feed conversion (FC), comparing with control group. Also, they did not affected consumption hemoglobin significantly on water (WC), percentage (Hb%), globulin (Glop), albumin/globulin ratio (A/G) and triiodothyronine (T<sub>3</sub>). While, using minerals-NPN additives slightly decreased, and enhanced significantly, ST and RR and increased WC higher significantly than control group.

Also; experimental months affected significantly on some parameters, whereas, it was increased gradually and significantly each of (WC), (DMI), (FC) and (FE) throughout experimental months. Also increased significantly (ST) and (RR) during Jul.  $(M_2)$  and Aug.  $(M_3)$ . Whereas, (DWG) was not affected by months; TP, Alb, Glob, A/G and TL increased through Jul.  $(M_2)$  to Sept.  $(M_4)$ . While  $T_3$  decreased significantly through the same periods; and the opposite was happened during Sept.  $(M_4)$ . Concerned Hb%, it was significantly lower in Jun  $(M_1)$  then increased during Jul  $(M_2)$  and Aug.  $(M_3)$ , then significantly increased more through sept.  $(M_4)$ .

Also, day time affected significantly on ST and RR, whereas, both of them reached its maximum at 2pm. then decreased at 7pm., and reached its minimum at 7am.

In conclusion, using shade-net for roofing shades as mean for elevating heat stress on crossbred feed lot calves to improve their performances under hot seasons, was the best economically way of the three experimental materials and recommended for great farms, specially, recently new technologies are now offering life spans up to 10 years. Also, using reed-mat was less effective than the previous material and more positive effect than asbestos (control group). It was simple mean for keeping calves during heat stress of hot summer, so that, it recommended for small farms and farmers owned remarkable animals. Using additives-NPN under using asbestos shed is not enough for good performance. Generally, asbestos is not suitable material for roofing sheds to elevating heat stress.