

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATURE	3
History in the world	3
.....	
History in Egypt.....	5
Etiology.....	7
Epidemiology.....	9
Clinical signs.....	14
Diagnosis.....	16
Vaccination.....	21
Immunity.....	43
MATERIAL AND METHODS	48
Materials.....	48
Methods.....	56
RESULTS	69
DISCUSSION	103
SUMMARY	113
REFERENCES	116
ARABIC SUMMARY	1

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AEI	Acetyl Ethylene Imines
AI	Artificial Insemination
BEI	Binary Ethylene Imines
BHV-1	Bovine Herpes Virus-1
BK	Bovine Kidney
BRSV	Bovine Respiratory Syncytial Virus
BVD	Bovine Viral Diarrhea
BVD-MD	Bovine Virus Diarrhea-Mucosal Disease
CPE	Cyto Pathic Effect
DDW	Double Distilled Water
DIW	De Ionized Water
ELISA	Enzyme linked Immuno Sorbent Assay
FAT	Fluorescent Antibody Technique
FITC	Fluorescence Iso Thio Cyanate
FMD	Foot and Mouth Disease
GMT	Geometric mean titers
HA	Haemagglutination
HBSS	Hank's Balanced Salt Solution
HI	Haemagglutination Inhibition
I/M	Intra Muscular
I/P	Intra Peritoneal
I/V	Intra Venous
IBR	Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis
IFT	Immuno Fluorescent Technique
IgA	Immunoglobulins A
IgG	Immunoglobulins G

IgM	Immunoglobulins M
IPB	Infectious Palano Bosthitis
IPV	Infectious Pustular Vulvovaginitis
MDBK	Madin Darby Bovine Kidney
MEM	Minimum Essential Medium
MLV	Modified Live Virus
OD	Optical Density
OPD	Ortho Phenylene Diamine
PBEK	Primary Bovine Embryo Kidney
PBS	Phosphate Buffer Saline
PI	Persistent Infection
PI-3	ParaInfluenza-3
PV	Post Vaccination
S/C	Sub Cutaneous
SNT	Serum Neutralization Test
TCID₅₀	Tissue Culture Infective Dose 50
VN	Virus Neutralization
VSVRI	Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute

6. SUMMARY

Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis (IBR), Bovine Viral Diarrhea (BVD) and Para Influenza-3 (PI-3) were responsible for approximately two thirds of sickness and deaths in feed lot cattle besides they are widely spread throughout the world.

The present study was planned to find out a suitable and economic method for quality control of vaccines of these diseases which appeared to be increased in recent years through:

Evaluation of combined inactivated vaccines containing IBR, BVD and PI-3 using:

- **Identity test:**

It was carried out on 3 slides for each vaccine batch and examined using fluorescent microscope.

All the slides examined gave satisfactory results except in batches 10 & 11 that gave slight positive reaction due to they pass the date of expiry.

- **Sterility test:**

It was carried out and regulated according to the **United States Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) (2005)**.

The obtained results proved that the vaccines were free from any bacterial, fungal and mycoplasma contamination.

- **Safety test:**

It was performed in mice & guinea pigs and revealed that there is neither clinical abnormalities nor deaths were observed among inoculated mice and guinea pigs through the observation period.

In calves, safety results revealed neither elevation of body temperature nor appearance of any clinical abnormalities in calves during 21 days of observation.

These results indicate safety of the tested vaccines.

- Comparison of potency test results of the same vaccines using two different method:
 - ✓ Injection in farm animal (calves) and using their serum in titration of antibody response by SNT and ELISA.

It was performed in 3 mixed breed Friesian and Balady apparently healthy male calves of approximately 6 – 9 months old each about 100 Kg body weight obtained from El- Wadi El-Gedeed governorate, Egypt; for each batch in this experiment. Serum samples were separated from each calf at 0, 1, 2, 5, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 24 weeks post vaccination.

Sera were examined by SNT using tissue culture according to **Matsuoka *et al.*, (1972)** and the titer was found to be satisfactory and protective for all virus fractions in all vaccinated animals from the second week post vaccination except those vaccinated with expired vaccines, after calculation according to **Reed and Muench (1938)**.

Enzyme Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay (ELISA) was carried out at the same time post vaccination for detection of antibodies against IBR, BVD and PI-3 according to **Suri Bubul *et al.*, (1984)** and **Durham and Sillars (1986)** and gave good satisfactory and protective results from the second week post vaccination.

- ✓ In the laboratory using specific hyper immune serum which was previously prepared in rabbits and Capture (Sandwich) ELISA was done to detect the titration of the vaccine according to **Ihemelandue *et al.*, (1985)** and **Wassel *et al.*, (1997)**.

It was used for all vaccine batches, a sample from each batch was submitted to Capture ELISA.

The results were all good satisfactory and protective from the second week post vaccination such as the results of SNT and ELISA.

Regarding the comparison between the two methods of evaluation of the vaccines, we find that the *in vitro* method in the Laboratory using specific hyper immune serum and sandwich ELISA is more economic and time and effort saving, other than the old method through injection in farm animals and using the separated serum in titration of antibody response which takes a lot of time, money and effort.