## **List of contents**

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. LITERATURE	3
2.1. FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE	
2.2. FMD virus	
2.3. Typing and sub typing of FMD virus	
2.4. EPIDEMIOLOGY OF FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE	
2.4.1. Foot and mouth disease in Egypt	
2.4.2. Prevalence of Foot and mouth disease in the world	
2.7. FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE IN SHEEP	
2.8. PERSISTENCE OF FMD	
2.9. ANTIGENIC COMPONENTS OF FMD VIRUS	
2.9.1. Structural proteins	
2.9.2. Non- structural proteins (NSP)	
2.9.2.1. Virus injection Associated Anagen (VIA)	
2.11. IMMUNITY AGAINST FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE	
2.11.1 Active Immunity	
2.11.1.1. Immunity after infection	
2.11.1.2. Immunity after Vaccination	
2.12. ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF THE VIRUS	
2.13. SERUM NEUTRALIZATION TEST (SNT)	
2.14. ENZYME LINKED IMMUNOSORBENT ASSAY (ELISA)	
2.15. 3ABC-ENZYME LINKED IMMUNOSORBENT ASSAY (3ABC-ELISA)	
2.16. POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION	35
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS	40
3.1. Materials	40
3.1.1. Animals	40
3.1.1.1. Sheep	40
3.1.1.2. Unweaned baby mice	40
3.1.2. Sheep Serum samples	40
3.1.3. Epithelial tissue samples	43
3.1.4. Oesophageal pharyngeal (op) fluids	43
3.1.5. Reference virus	43
3.1.6. Tissue cultures (established cell lines)	43

3.1.7. C	hemical reagents	44
3.1.7.1.	Media	44
3.1.7	7.1.1. Growth medium	44
<b>3.1.</b> 7	7.1.2. Maintenance medium	44
3.1.7.2.	Bovine serum	44
<i>3.1.7.3.</i>	Trypsin	44
3.1.7.4.	Sodium bicarbonate solution	44
3.1.7.5.	Neomycin	44
3.1.7.6.	Nystatine (antifungal)	45
3.1.7.7.	Tween 20	45
<i>3.1.7.8.</i>	Crystal Violet Stain	45
3.1.8. R	eagents used in ELISA	45
3.1.8.1.	Coating Buffer	45
3.1.8.2.	Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS)and Bovine Albumin	46
<i>3.1.8.3.</i>	Washing Buffer	46
<i>3.1.8.4.</i>	Phosphate Citrate Buffer	46
3.1.8.5.	Substrate	47
3.1.8.6.	Stopping Solution	47
3.1.8.7.	Conjugate	47
3.1.8.8.	Tween 20	47
3.1.8.9.	Blocking buffer	47
3.1.9. P	rio Check FMDV Non Strctural protein	47
	ucleic acid recognition reagents and kits	
3.1.10.1		
3.1.10.2		
3.1.10.3	o de la companya de	
3.1.10.4		
3.1.10.5		
	laterials used for detection of RT-PCR & PCR products	
3.1.11.		
3.1.11.2	. , , ,	
3.1.11.3		
3.1.11.4	<u> </u>	
3.1.11.5		
	quipment and supplies	
3.1.12.		
3.1.12.2		
3.1.12.3		
3.1.12.4		
3.1.12.4		
3.1.12.6		
3.1.12.0		
3.1.12.7		
3.1.12.9		

3.1.12.10. The	ermocycler	53
3.1.12.11. Elec	ectrophoresis unit (set)	54
3.1.12.12. Pow	wer supply	54
3.1.12.13. Tra	nnsilluminator, UV	54
3.1.12.14. Gel	Documentation and Analysis system	54
3.1.12.15. Oth	her equipment	54
a.	Refrigerators and Freezers	54
<i>b</i> .	Incubators	54
3.2. <i>METHODS</i>		55
3.2.1. Protocol	of sheep vaccination	55
3.2.2. Preparat	tion of serum samples	55
3.2.3. Oesopha	geal pharyngeal(op) fluids	55
3.2.4. Preparat	tion of FMD virus	55
3.2.5. Baby mic	ce	56
3.2.6. Serologic	cal tests	56
o o	zyme linked ImmunoSorbent Assay (ELISA)	
3.2.6.1.1.	Preparation of ELISA antigen	
3.2.6.1.2.	Titration of the conjugate	
3.2.6.1.3.	Testing of the serum samples using indirect ELISA	57
a-	Coating	57
b-	Blocking	57
<i>c</i> -	Serum dilutions	57
d-	Addition of the conjugate	57
e-	Addition of the substrate	57
f-	Addition of the stopping solutions	57
<i>g</i> -	Interpretation of the results	
	rum Neutralization Test (SNT)	
3.2.6.2.1.	Staining the SNT microplates used in the test Procedures	58
3.2.6.3. Prio	OCHECK FMDV NS test	
a-	Day 1	
b-	Day 2	
3.2.6.3.1.	Interpretation of the Percentage Inhibition	
	ar detection of FMDV by RT-PCR and PCR	
	ID-RNAextraction-(isolation)	
	e-step reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT PCR)	
•	lymerase chain reaction (PCR)	
3.2.7.4. Aga	arose Gel Electrophoresis of PCR Products	62
4. RESULTS		64
4.1. ISOLATION AND	D IDENTIFICATION OF FOOT AND MOUTH DISEASE VIRUS FROM FIELD	D SAMPLES64
4.2. REVERSE TRAN	NSCRIPCATION CHAIN REACTION POLYMERASE (R.T PCR)	66
5. DISCUSSION		92
6 SUMMARY		98

7. REFERENCES	

## **Abbreviations and Symbols**

μL Microliter

BHK21 Baby Hamster Kidney cells clone 21

CFT Complement Fixation Test

CPE Cytopathic Effect

D.D.W Double Distilled Water DPI days post infection

ELISA Enzyme Linked Immunosorbant Assay

FMD Foot and Mouth Disease

FMDV Foot and Mouth Disease Virus

I/P Intra Peritoneal

MEM Minimum Essential Media

MLD50 Mice lethal dose 50

nm Nanometer.

NSPs Non Structural proteins

OD Optical density

OIE Office International des Epizootie
OP Oesophageol Pharyngeal fluid
OPD OrthoPhyneyleneDiamine
PBS Phosphate buffer saline
PD50 Protective Dece 50

PD50 Protective Dose 50

RIP Radio-Immuno Precipitation

RNA Ribonucleic acid

rpm Revolution per minute

RT- PCR Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction

SC Sedimentation coefficient SAT South Africa Territories SNT Serum Neutralization Test

TCID50 Fifty tissue culture infective dose

μL Microliter UV Ultra Violet

VIA Virus Infection Associated Antigen

VNT Virus Neutralization test

VP Virus protein

VSVRI Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute

WPI Week post infection

WRL World Reference Laboratory of foot and mouth disease

## 6. Summary

In this study 852 serum sample were collected randlomy from apparently healthy sheep in Al-Giza, Al-Ismailia, Al-Behira and Al-Menya governorates. The serum were submitted for detection of antibodies against FMDV serotypes O1& A using SNT and ELISA. Differentition between vaccinated and previously infected sheep was done by PrioCHECK NSP blocking ELISA.

The results indicated that, antibodies against both serotypes of FMDV O1&A were detected from the four governorates under investigation.

In Al-Menya governorate the percentage of O1 antibodies was 19.54% (34/174), while antibody percentage against A was 14.94 % (26/174).

At the same governorate, the previously infected sheep (according to serum collected sample) were about 18.4% (32/174), when PrioCheck blocking ELISA used.

In Al-Ismailia governorate the percentage against O1 was 18.4 (51/276) while for A, it was 24.6 (68/276), mean while sheep previously infected with FMDV were 17.4 % (48/276).

In Al-Giza governorate 34.58% (46/133) of samples were positive to O1 while 18.04 %( 24/133) were positive to A, 29.32% of the collected serum samples showed previous infection with FMDV.

FMDV serotype O1 antibodies percentage was 10.78% (29/269) in Al-Behira, while it was 25.65% (69/269) for A. 18.2% (49/269) was due to previous infection with the virus.

Moreover, the effect of repeated vaccination on sheep with bivalent FMD vaccine locally produced was studied. The study showed that the non-strucural protein antibodies of FMDV started to appear since the 4<sup>th</sup> vaccination. The PrioCHECK FMDV NSP blocking ELISA was used in this study.

On the other hand, virological investigation was done on samples collected from Al-Ismailia and Al-Behira governorates where FMD outbreaks were existed. The samples were tongue epithelium (E.T) and oesphaigael pharyngeal fluid (OP), Al-Ismailia (3 E.T and 7 OP) and Al-Behira (2 E.T and 11 OP).

The samples were inoculated in tissue culture BHK21 clone 13 cell line and in unweaned baby mice. Cytopathic effect (CPE) and classical clinical signs and deaths were observed. ELISA indicated that 2 E.T samples were of serotype O1 and 1 E.T of serotype A while 5 of OP samples were FMDV serotype O1 and 2 of serotype A in Ismilia governorate.

In Al-Behira governorate 2 E.T samples of serotype O1 while 7 OP samples were of serotype O1 and 4 OP samples were of serotype A. The virological obtained results were confiremed by RT-PCR. E.T & OP isolated FMDV serotype O1 were used for extraction of FMDV RNA and subsequent amplification of 3D coding sequences, primers used in one step.

RT-PCR achived success when the target FMDV 3D sequences (422 bp) were amplified. All the tested samples showed positive with variable visible intensity on ethidium bromide stained gel.

## ⇒ *Our results concluded that:*

- ✓ FMD is still widely spread in Egypt, with higher rate in Upper Egypt than that of Lower Egypt.
- ✓ Egyptain sheep are playing an important role in epidemiology of FMD and issue of sheep vaccination is very important.
- ✓ FMD PrioCHECK test is useful in detection of previously infected animals and realability of the test in differentiation between infected and vaccinated animals.
- ✓ The results of PCR assay documented the occuracy and efficacy of the test rather than that of traditional one (SNT and ELISA).