Contents

	Page
1-Introduction	1
2- Review of Literature	6
PART A	
I-Historical background	6
II-Characterization of <i>S. aureus</i>	9
1- Morphology	9
2- Antigenic structure of S. aureus cell wall	11
3- Culture characteristics	11
4- Biochemical characteristics	14
PART B	
I- Virulence determinants of <i>S. aureus</i>	16
II- Factors permitting growth and enterotoxin production by <i>S. aureus</i> .	28
III- Genetic supports of staphylococcal superantigen genes.	31
IV- Staphylococcal superantigens activities	33
PART C	
I- Human carriage of <i>S. aureus</i> .	37
II- Food involved in staphylococcal poisoning.	38
III- Outbreaks of SFP due to consumption of milk and some dairy	41
products	
PART D	
I-Different methods for the detection of <i>S. aureus</i> enterotoxins.	46
PART E	
II-Molecular techniques for detection of staphylococcal superantigen	52
genes.	
3-Material and Methods	64
PART A	
- Detection of <i>S. aureus</i> in milk, kariesh cheese, ice-cream and nasal	64
swabs.	
PART B	
-Detection of enterotoxigenicity of <i>S. aureus</i> by SDS-PAGE.	75
PART C	
-Detection of <i>S. aureus</i> superantigen genes by PCR.	83
4-Results	87
5-Discussion	161
6-Conclusion & Recommendations	181
7-Summary	184
8-References	187
9-Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

- APC: Antigen presenting cell
- AGR: Accessory gene regultor
- a_w : Water activity
- B-PA: Baird -Parker Agar medium
- CoNS: Coagulase negative Staphylococcus
- CPS: Coagulase positive Staphylococcus aureus
- d NTP: Deoxynucleatide triphosphates
- ds DNA: Double stranded DNA
- Egc: Enterotoxin gene cluster
- EIA: Enzyme immunoassay
- ET: Exofoliative toxin
- ETA: Exofoliative toxin A
- ETB: Exofoliative toxin B
- FAME: Fatty acid modifying enzyme
- FBD: Food borne disease
- kDa: Kilo Dalton
- LTA: Lipo-teichoic acid
- MHC: Major Histocompatibility Complex
- m RNA: Messenger RNA
- MSA: Mannitol Salt Agar
- **ORF: Open reading frame**
- PCR: Polymerase chain reaction
- **PFGE: Pulsed field gel electrophoresis**
- pl: isoelectric point
- PTs: Pyrogenic toxins
- PTSAg: Pyrogenic toxin superantigen
- **RPLA: Reverse Passive Latex Agglutination**
- SAgs: Superantigens
- S. aureus: Staphylococcus aureus
- Sar: Staphylococcal activity regulator
- SDS-PAGE: Sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

SELs: Staphylococcal enterotoxins like toxins

SEs: Staphylococcal enterotoxins

SFP:Staphylococcal food poisoning

TCR: T -cell receptor

TEMED:Tetramethylene-ethylenediamine

TSS: Toxic shock syndrome

TSST-1: Toxic shock syndrome toxin-1

WTA: Wall teichoic acid

Conclusion&Recommendations

The results obtained from this work allow to conclude that:

- A large proportion (50%) of raw milk and some dairy products (kariesh cheese and ice-cream) exposed for sale in Assuit City, Egypt are contaminated with coagulase positive *S. aureus*, especially the products which are consumed raw or received insufficient heat treatment to kill the organisms as kariesh cheese which actually showed the highest percentage among the examined samples (65%).

- About 50% of coagulase positive *S. aureus* isolated from the milk and its products and from the nasal swabs of food handlers were toxigenic, and the highest percentages were observed in milk taken directly from the animals (68.7%) and in kariesh cheese from street distributers (65.8%).

-The major classical enterotoxin type was SEA which was detected separately in 29.3% of toxigenic isolates from milk and its products and in 40% of toxigenic isolates from nasal swabs of food handlers. This type is known to be less common in animal strains which suggests that human carriers are a major source for contamination of milk and dairy products. SEB was not detected in any of the samples. Other enterotoxin types (C,D,G and H) were detected in comparable percentages. 23% of the toxigenic isolates showed mixed types of SEA, C, D, G and H.

- The presence of *S. aureus*, its toxins and its toxin genes in milk obtained directly from animal indicated that a high proportion of animal showed subclinical mastitis which could be a main source of further contamination of bulk tank milk of dairy farms and consequently contamination of dairy products especially made of raw milk or unpasteurised milk. Furthermore, the presence of *S. aureus*, its toxins and its toxin genes in nasal swabs of human food handlers indicated that nasal carriage is considered a primary source of contamination of manually handled food

So the presence of *S. aureus* and its toxins in raw milk and its products constitutes a puplic health hazard. Therefore, to improve the bacteriological quality of raw milk and its products and to safeguard consumers from infection, the following suggestions should be taken into consideration:-

-Educational programs should be imposed for producers and handlers to improve the quality of the produced milk and to ensure a maximum safety to consumers.

-Raw milk should be collected and maintained in good hygienic condition.

-Regular examination of animals even animals which are apparently healthy for mastitis tests to avoid contamination of bulk tank milk.
-The milk should be pasteurized by full pasteurization or by an equivalent process to avoid hazards from pathogens.

-Good hygienic condition should be maintained throughout manufacture, distribution and storage until consumption.

-A hygienic practices among workers sharing in the production and handling of milk should be provided.

-Only healthy persons who have a keen sense of hygiene should be employed and they should pass a periodical medical examination.

-Adequate control through periodical inspection on farms by specialists to insure those errors are corrected.

In conclusion, it seems necessary that concerned authorities should impose regulation and bacteriological standards and take active part in the control of produced milk and its products to ensure a maximum of safety to the consumers.