

ABSTRACT

Jehan Bastamy Ali Farag: Functional Properties of Modified Buffalo Milk Casein by Glycosylation . Unpublished, PH.D. Thesis, Department of Food Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2011.

Casein, the major milk protein component and its caseinate derivatives have physicochemical, functional and nutritive properties which make them useful worldwide. Functionality of casein is defined as any physicochemical property that affects the processing and behavior of protein in a food system, as judged by the quality attributes of the final product. Thus, the aim of the present research was to modify of the physico-chemical and functional properties of buffalo's casein through glycosylation with food – additives, i.e. ribose, glucose, galactose and lactose. The glycation of Rib-Glu-Gal- and Lac-casein was generated at 60 C°. pH 6.5 for 72 h and then dialysed for 36 h. The samples were analysed for amino acid, ethanol stability, Ca sensitivity, pH sensitivity, foam properties, emulsion properties, buffer capacity, viscosity, surface tension. Lysine side chain in control casein was 8.5 mg and decreased to 1.99, 3.4, 4.6 and 3.49 mg for glycated casein with ribose, glucose, galactose and lactose, respectivity. Histidine side chain in control casein was 2.98 mg and decreased to 0.001, 1.49, 1.15 and 1.7 mg for glycated casein with ribose, glucose, galactose and lactose, respectivity.

Ethanol stability was improved as a result of carbohydrate binding. The precipitations of alcohol test were detected only by using both 75 % and 95% alcohol concentrations and at pH 4.0 only (around isoelectric point of casein).

The casein solubility in calcium solutions for modified and unmodified casein was determined at different calcium concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 mM of CaCl₂ at pH value of 7.0. The results of glucose and lactose showed the higher solubility when compared to

unmodified casein whereas galactose showed less solubility, but ribose showed more similar solubility as control sample.

Glycated casein with glucose and lactose showed the higher foam stability and glycated with ribose and galactose presented the lowest values upto the end of the experiment. A significant difference between type of carbohydrate and emulsion properties shows glucoglycation the highest significantly value of casein then lactose, ribose and galactose. Control casein shows the least value.

Casein glycated with galactose showed the highest buffer index, followed by glucose, then ribose and the lowest was with lactose. Glycated buffalo's casein under the same experimental conditions, i.e., pH, temperature, and shear rate, can be graded in order of increasing apparent viscosity and shear stress as a result of changing both of kind of carbohydrate and concentration of protein solution: galactoglycated; lactoglycated; riboglycated; glucoglycated; unmodified control casein. Increasing shear rates resulted in decreasing apparent viscosity. It can be seen from the results reported that increasing the pH value resulted in decreased values of apparent viscosity.

Regarding the surface tension of 0.1% solutions of control buffalo casein as well as various glycated caseins, it was noticed that increasing the pH value resulted in significantly increase in the surface tension except at pH 4.0 which showed significant decrease. Glycosylation with lactose gave the least value of surface tension whereas the glycosylation with galactose showed the highest value.

Key Words:

Buffalo casein, Ribose, Glucose, Galactose, Lactose, Glycosylation, Functional properties.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES.....	iv
LIST OF FIGURES.....	ix
INTRODUCTION.....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1. Caseins	3
2.2. Protein modification.....	4
2.2.1. Chemical modification	6
2.2.1.1 Acylation	6
2.2.1.2. Esterification /Amidation	7
2.2.1.3. Reductive alkylation	7
2.2.1.4. Phosphorylation	8
2.2.2. Physical modification	8
2.2.3. Enzymatic modification	9
2.2.3.1. Some Functional properties of milk proteins	9
2.2.3.2. Functional properties of casein	10
MATERIALS AND METHODS	45
3.1. MATERIALS	45
3.2. Methods	45
3.2.1 Preparation of acid casein	45
3. 2.2 Glycosylation of casein	45
3.3. Chemical analyses	46
3.3.1 Moisture and ash	46
3.3.2 Total protein	46
3.3.3. Determination of total solids	46
3.3.4. pH measurement	46
3.3.5. Ethanol stability	47
3.3.6. Calcium sensitivity	47
3.3.7. pH sensitivity	47
3.3.8. Buffering capacity and pH value	47
3.4. Functional properties of the Glycosylated Casein	48

3.4.1. Foam volume capacity and foam stability	48
	Page
3.4.2. Emulsifying capacity and emulsion stability	48
3.5. Rheological properties	49
3.5.1. Viscosity	49
3.5.2. Shearing properties (flow behaviour) and dynamic viscosity	49
3.5.3. Surface tension	49
3.6. Determination of amino acids composition for modified and unmodified casein	50
3.7. Statistical analysis	51
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	52
4.1. Amino acid of native buffalo casein and glycated casein with different sugars	52
4.2. Ethanol stability (ES) of glycated buffaloe casein	53
4.2.1. ES of modified casein with different sugars.....	53
4.3. Calcium sensitivity of glycated buffalo casein with different sugars	56
4.4. Effect of environmental pH on the solubility of glycated buffalo casein	60
4.4.1. pH sensitivity of glycated buffalo casein with ribose, glucose, galactose and lactose	61
4.5. Foaming properties of glycated buffalo casein with different sugars	64
4.5.1. Foam volume capacity of modified casein with different sugars	64
4.5.2. Foam stability (FS) of modified buffalo casein with different sugars	67
4.6. Emulsifying Properties	74
4.6.1. Effect of buffalo casein glycation on emulsifying activity at different pH values	74
4.6.2. The emulsion stability of glycated caseins during storage ...	74
4.7. Buffer capacity of glycated buffalo casein with different	82

III

sugars	
4.8. Rheological properties of casein solution chemically modified by reductive alkylation with reducing sugars.....	88
4.8.1 Control casein	89
4.8.1.1. Effect of protein concentration	89
4.8.1.2. Effect of pH	94
4.8.1.3. General comparison	102
4.8.2. Ribose glycated casein	104
4.8.2.1. Effect of protein concentration	104
4.8.2.2. Effect of pH	110
4.8.2.3. General comparison	119
4.8.3. Glucose glycated casein	120
4.8.3.1. Effect of protein concentration	120
4.8.3.2. Effect of pH	126
4.8.3.3 General discussion	134
4.8.4. Galactose glycated casein	136
4.8.4.1. Effect of protein concentration	136
4.8.4.2. Effect of pH	142
4.8.4.3. General comparison	150
4.8.5. Lactose glycated casein	152
4.8.5.1. Effect of protein concentration	152
4.8.5.2. Effect of pH	159
4.8.5.3. General comparison	167
4.8.6. Influence of the kind of the bound carbohydrate to buffalo casein on the flow properties	169
4.8.6.1. The effect of pH and kind of carbohydrate on the apparent viscosity	173
4.8.6.2. General comment	179
4.9. Surface tension of glycated buffaloe's casein	179
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	183
REFERENCES	188
ARABIC SUMMARY	

ABBREVIATIONS

AGEs	: Advanced glycosylation end products
AOAC	: Association of Official Analytical Chemists
a_w	: Water activity
BSA	: Bovine serum albumin
α-CN	: Alpha casein
β-CN	: Beta casein
κ-CN	: Kappa casein
CCP	: Colloidal calcium phosphate
DM	: Dry matter
FE	: Foaming expansion
FS	: Foam stability
FVC	: Foam volume capacity
NPN	: Non-protein nitrogen
M	: Molar
ES	: Ethanol stability
U/g	: Unit per gram
EAI	: Emulsion activity index
RV	: Relative viscosity
V	: Viscosity
σ	: Surface tension
K	: Consistency coefficient
kJ	: Kilo Jull
DV	: Dynamic viscosity
mM	: Milli mole
min	: Minute
nm	: Nano meter
mPa*s	: Millipascal * per second
cP	: CentiPoise
pI	: Isoelectric point
α-La	: α- lactolbumin
β-Lg	: β-lactoglobulin

Da : Dalton
SDS : Sodium dodecylsulfate
SE : Standard error