

CONTENTS

Page:

1- Introduction	1
2- Review of literature	
2.1. Prevalence of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> in chickens.....	4
2.2. <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> characterization.....	14
2.3. Antimicrobial sensitivity test for <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	17
2.4. Pathogenicity of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	26
2.5. PCR for <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	31
3-Material and Methods	
3.1. Materials.....	36
3.1.1. Samples.....	36
3.1.2. Media	37
3.1.2.1. Cultivation and isolation.....	37
3.1.2.2. Biochemical identification of <i>P.aeruginosa</i>	39
3.1.2.3. Reagents and solutions.....	40
3.1.2.4. API 20 E test.....	41
3.1.3. Anti_ biogram determination.....	42
3.1.4. Experimental chicks.....	45
3.1.5. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR).....	45
3.1.5.1 Extraction of DNA.....	45

3.1.5.2. Extraction of nucleic acid.....	45
3.1.5.3. PCR Master Mix used for PCR	45
3.1.5.4. Oligonucleotide primers used in PCR.....	45
3.1.5.5. DNA Molecular weight marker.....	46
3.1.5.6. Agarose gel electrophoresis.....	46
3.1.5.7. Equipment and apparatuses used in PCR.....	47
3.1.6. Sequence analysis of <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	48
3.2. Methods.....	49
3.2.1. Sampling.....	49
3.2.2. Bacteriological examination.....	49
3.2.2.1. Isolation of bacterial agents.....	49
3.2.2.2. Identifications of the isolates.....	50
3.2.2.3. Morphological studies.....	50
3.2.2.4. Microscopical examination.....	50
3.2.2.5. Growth at 4°C and 42°C.....	50
3.2.2.6. The motility test.....	51
3.2.2.7. Biochemical identification.....	51
3.2.3. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing for the isolates of <i>P.aeruginosa</i>	57
3.2.4. Experimental infection.....	58
3.2.5. Method of polymerase chain reaction (PCR).....	59
3.2.6. Method of sequence.....	62

4-Results.....	66
4.1. Prevalence of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> in chickens.....	66
4.2. Identification of the isolated <i>P. aeruginosa</i> strains.....	68
4.3. Biochemical identification of the isolates by using API 20E.....	74
4.4. In vitro antimicrobial sensitivity test for <i>P.aeruginosa</i>	75
4.5. Results of experimental infection of three day old chicks.....	77
4.6. Results of P.C.R. analysis	82
4.7. Results of Sequencing of PCR product of <i>P.aeruginosa</i>	83
5-Discussion.....	86
6- Summary	93
7- Recommendation.....	95
8- Reference	96
9- Arabic summary.	

List of Abbreviations

API: Analytical Profile identification

bp: base pair

CRD: Chronic Respiratory Disease

DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid

Fig.: Figure

I/P: Intra peritoneal

I/V: Intra venous

M R: Methyl red

NCCLS: National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.

OprL: Outer membrane Lipoprotein L

P.aeruginosa: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction

P/M: Post mortum.

S/C: Sub cutaneous

TSI: Triple Sugar Iron agar

V P: Voges Proskauer

W. H. O.: World Health Organization

6- Summary

A total number of 372 samples were collected from five flocks representing 33,000 chickens, 224 samples were collected from broiler chickens of different ages showing profuse diarrhea and respiratory manifestations including sneezing, respiratory rale and nasal discharges, 84 samples from freshly dead and 64 samples from apparently healthy broiler chickens of different ages.

All samples were cultured for 24 hrs at 37°C aerobically on ordinary media, selective media (*Pseudomonas cetrimide* agar) for isolation and purification, nutrient agar to observe the pigment production and on sheep blood agar to observe the haemolysis properties. The suspected colonies were examined for their colonial morphology, microscopical examination and biochemical reactions.

The present results revealed that *P.aeruginosa* were isolated from diseased chickens with a rate of 14 (6.25%), recently dead 2 (2.38%) and apparently healthy 1 (1.56%). The Cloacal swabs gave the highest recovery rate with an incidence of 18.3%; followed by the intestinal and the heart blood with incidence rate of 3.8% and finally liver and air sac with incidence rate 1.9%. No isolates were reported from gall bladder and the lung samples.

P.aeruginosa isolates were highly sensitive to Colistin sulphate (76.5%), Norfloxacin (52.9%) and Amikacin (41.2%) while Gentamicin, Ciprofloxacin and Cefozone "cefoperazon" gave 23.5%, 17.6% and 11.8% respectively. But all isolates were resistant to Lincomycin, Nalidixic acid, Streptomycin, Florphenicol, Chloramphenicol and Doxycyclin.

The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from chickens were highly pathogenic to three day old chicks when inoculated intramuscular and subcutaneous, but oral inoculation were less pathogenic. The mortality rate during the first 24hrs.was 100%by intramuscular rout and subcutaneous rout but no mortalities by oral rout in (group A) and in group B The mortality rate during the first 24hrs.was 100%by intramuscular rout but the subcutaneous rout gave100% in 48hrs, and 20% mortalities by oral rout in 6th day post inoculation.

The results of PCR indicate the presence of *OPrL* gene of *pseudomonas aeruginosa* at 504 pb.

The results of PCR product of *P. aeruginosa* sequencing confirmed that the tested sample were *P.aeruginosa*.

7-Recommendations

P. aeruginosa is considered to be an environmental infection and it is found in soil, water, feed and farm equipment's. It is however difficult to clear the farm from the organism since it has high resistant to various antibiotics and may be resistant to conventional disinfectants. Hence, prevention of *Pseudomonas* invasion is an indispensable duty to any farm. The farm management should take stringent measures against all the possible sources of infection. The measures may include, the farm workers should be trained on how to avoid environmental associated infectious diseases, use of disinfectants and the farm management should be committed in implementing all the necessary bio-security measures .

In this study *P.aeruginosa* isolates were highly sensitive to Colistin Sulphate (76.5%), Norfloxacin (52.9%) and Amikacine (41.2%) while Gentamicin, Ciprofloxacin and Cefozone "Cefoperazon" gave 23.5%, 17.6% and 11.8% respectively. But all isolates were resistant to Lincomycin, Naldixicacid, Streptomycin, Florphenicol, Chloramphenicol and Doxycyclin.

Using PCR and API20 E technique for complete identification of *pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Using DNA sequencing confirm that the tested sample were *P.aeruginosa*