

# CONTENTS

	Page
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	VI
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	VIII
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b>	X
<b>ACONOWLEDGMENT</b>	11
<b>ABBREVIATIONS</b>	12
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	13
<b>CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	17
2.1. Background and Importance of Sugarcane	18
2.2. Sugarcane and Tissue Culture	20
2.3 Drought Tolerance in Sugarcane	25
2.4. Molecular Studies	34
<b>CHAPTER 3: MATERAILS AND METHODS</b>	38
<b>3.1. Materials</b>	38
3.1.1. Source of Genotypes	38
3.1.2. Tissue Culture Techniques	39
3.1.2.1. Murashige and Skoog Media	39
3.1.2.2. Plant Growth Regulators	41
3.1.2.3. Other Components	41
3.1.3. Abiotic Stress Chemicals	41
3.1.4. Ions Determinations (K <sup>+</sup> & Na <sup>+</sup> )	41
3.1.5. Molecular study	42
<b>3.2. Methods</b>	43
<b>3.2.1. Callus Production</b>	43
3.2.1.1. Media Content	43
3.2.1.2. Media Preparation and Sterilization	43
3.2.1.3. Culture Preparation and Conditioning	44
3.2.1.4. Callus Induction Response	44
<b>3.2.2. <i>In Vitro</i> Drought Tolerance</b>	46
3.2.2.1. Mannitol (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>8</sub> OH <sub>6</sub> ) Treatment	46
3.2.2.2. Callus Relative Growth Rate and Water Content	46
3.2.2.3. Determination of K <sup>+</sup> and Na <sup>+</sup> Ions Concentration	46
3.2.2.4. Proline Determination	47
3.2.2.5. Extraction Procedure	47
3.2.2.6. Proline Standard Curve	47
<b>3.2.3. Shoot Regeneration</b>	47
<b>3.2.4. Root Formation</b>	48
<b>3.2.5. Molecular Study</b>	49
3.2.5.1. Total Genomic DNA Extraction	49
3.2.5.2. Random Amplified Polymorphic (RAPD- PCR) Analysis	49
3.2.5.3. Data Analysis	49
<b>3.2.6. Statistical Analysis</b>	50

<b>CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	52
<b>4.1. Callus Production of Sugarcane</b>	53
4.1.1. Callus Induction	53
4.1.2. Embryogenic Callus Production	55
<b>4.2. <i>In Vitro</i> Drought Tolerance</b>	57
4.2.1. Effect of Drought on Callus	57
4.2.1.1. Relative Growth Rate (RGR) and Water Content (WC)	57
4.2.1.2. K <sup>+</sup> and Na <sup>+</sup> Ions Determination	67
4.2.1.3. Proline Determination	72
4.2.2. Effect of Drought on Morphological Characters in Regeneration	77
4.2.2.1. Shoot and Root Formation	77
<b>4.3. Molecular Studies</b>	86
4.3.1. Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) Analysis	86
<b>CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY</b>	99
<b>CHAPTER 6: LITERATURE CITED</b>	103
<b>CHAPTER 7: ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	118

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Title</u>	<b>Page</b>
Table (1): Sugarcane genotypes parentage and time of release used in the present study.	39
Table (2): Murashige and Skoog medium composition.	40
Table (3): The nucleotide sequences of primers used for RAPD analysis.	42
Table (4): Callus induction percentage and day to callus initiation of three sugarcane genotypes	53
Table (5): Percentage of embryogenic callus of three sugarcane genotypes	55
Table (6): Means of relative growth rate (RGR) and Percentage water content with standard error between sugarcane genotypes.	60
Table (7): Means of callus relative growth rate (RGR) and water content (% WC) derived from leaf explants of sugarcane after treated with different concentrations mannitol.	60
Table (8): K <sup>+</sup> and Na <sup>+</sup> ions content between the three sugarcane genotypes.	69
Table (9): Means of K <sup>+</sup> and Na <sup>+</sup> in callus in sugarcane after treated with different concentrations mannitol.	69
Table (10): Regression between mannitol concentrations (X-factor) and the proline (Y-factor) in GT 54-9 callus.	74
Table (11): Regression between mannitol concentrations (X-factor) and the proline (Y-factor) in G 84-47 callus.	75
Table (12): Regression between mannitol concentrations (X-factor) and the proline (Y-factor) in ph 8013 callus.	76
Table (13): Shoot, root and number of shoot formation for the three genotypes under the study.	79
Table (14): Interaction between shoot, root formation and number day for root formation for the three genotypes under the study.	79
Table (15): DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA with primer OPD-02 (54: GT 54-9, 80: ph 8013, 84:G 84-47, M: marker, C: control, I: 100mM, II: 200mM and III: 300mM mannitol).	87
Table (16): DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA with primer OPD-03(54: GT 54-9 80:ph 8013 84:G 84-47) (C: 0 I: 100mM II: 200mM III: 300mM mannitol).	89
Table (17): DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA with primer OPH-03(54: GT 54-9, 80: ph 8013, 84:G 84-47, M: marker, C: control, I: 100mM, II: 200mM and III: 300mM mannitol).	91
Table (18): DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic	93

DNA with primer OPO-01(54: GT 54-9, 80: ph 8013, 84:G 84-47, M: marker, C: control, I: 100mM, II: 200mM and III: 300mM mannitol).

Table (19): DNA polymorphism using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA with primer OPO-02 (54: GT 54-9, 80: ph 8013, 84:G 84-47, M: marker, C: control, I: 100mM, II: 200mM and III: 300mM mannitol).	95
Table (20): Similarity indices (%) among the three cultivars using five random amplified (RAPD) primers.	97

# **CHAPTER FIVE**

## **SUMMARY**

The present investigation was carried out during 2011, 2012 and 2013 at the Tissue Culture Laboratory of Agriculture Research Center. El-Sabhya, Alexandria, Central Laboratory at the Faculty of Science, University of Alexandria and Institute of Genetic Engineering & Biotechnology Research. Sadat City University

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) is an important sugar crop for produced the sweetener and sugar. Commercial production of sugar from sugarcane began in India and China approximately 2500 years ago and spread to Western Europe in the eighteenth century.

Today, sugar production, sugarcane are used as raw materials for fuel production, chemicals, bio-fertilizers, paper and pulp. Sugarcane is an important agro-industrial sugar crop, contributing about 70% world sugar production. Globally, it occupies more than 23.98 million hectares of land worldwide, generating 1.71 billion tons of harvested cane in 2010

Tissue culture system is useful for the evaluation of tolerance to environmental stresses because the stress conditions can be easily controlled *in vitro*. Moreover, *in vitro* culture provides a uniform population of synchronously developing plant cells without involving regulatory mechanisms that naturally repaired at the whole plant level.

The present investigation was undertaken to fill in some of lacunae with the following objectives:

- 1- To evaluate three sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) genotypes for their capabilities for callus induction, day of callus initiation and embryogenic calli,
- 2- To study the effect of drought stress by using different concentration of mannitol on calli to determine the tolerance of each genotypes,
- 3- To study effect of relative growth rate ( RGR ), Water content (WC), accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> ions and determine the proline content at different concentration of mannitol on callus,
- 4- To identify the genetic variation among sugarcane genotypes via RAPD-PCR,
- 5- To study the effect of drought stress on shoot and root formation.

The sugarcane genetic materials used in this study namely G 84-47, Ph8013 and with the commercial Genotype GT 54-9. They are under the genus

*Saccharum*. Three Sugarcane genotypes ph 8013, GT 54-9 and G 84 - 47 were selected and tested in the present research.

Stem sections containing two lateral buds were planted in plastic pots containing soil in greenhouse conditions until reaching ~6 months. The explants from 6-8 month old, healthy, disease free were cut the shoot tip which used in our study. Stem sections of sugarcane genotypes (GT 54-9, G 84-47 and ph 8013) were used as starting materials on MS containing  $3 \text{ mgL}^{-1}$  2,4-D for callus induction.

The explants were induced to develop callus at all genotypes. The results clearly indicated that the degree of callus proliferation varied from 70 - 86%.

Analysis of variance indicated high significant difference between three genotypes with  $\text{LSD}=3.88$  in relation to percentage of callus induction. The highest frequency ( $86\pm 3.16$ ) was recorded to GT 54-9 compared to the other two genotypes ( $70\pm 1.87$ ,  $80\pm 20$ ) in respect.

Although the two genotypes GT 54-9, G 84-47 had the same day to callus initiation in average 10 days, GT 54-9 was the highest one compared with others in mean  $14\pm 2.0$  and  $\text{LSD}=2.38$ . While the highest day to callus initiation was 14 days for ph 8013 and showed the second value in callus induction.

The results showed the high embryogenic callus percentages ~ 80%. While no significant difference was observed between GT 54-9 and G 84-47 ( $\text{L.S.D.}_{0.05}$ ) which gave the best response compared to the other genotype.

The results showed that the maximum RGR were obtained for GT 54-9 ( $2.22\pm 0.15$ ) while the lowest was  $0.73 \pm 0.20$  for ph8013. On the other hand our results showed that control callus gained the highest RGR in mean  $2.83 \pm 0.011$  for GT 54-9 followed by mannitol treated callus

Among the treated samples, callus treated with 100mM mannitol showed the highest RGR in mean  $2.56 \pm 0.022$  for GT 54-9 while, with 300mM callus recorded the lowest RGR by mean  $0.09 \pm 0.002$  for ph8013.

The results clearly indicated that, by increasing the mannitol concentration, the RGR of callus decreased.

Data showed that significant effect between the three genotypes and treatments. The result showed that the maximum callus RGR in control and treated genotypes were decreased by increasing the concentrations of mannitol.

Concerning to percentage of water content callus fresh weight and dry weight were recorded after four weeks of treatment.

Results showed that the highest %WC was  $77.952 \pm 2.36$  for GT 54 -9 while, the lowest was  $76.12 \pm 3.38$  for ph8013.

Comparison between the means of genotypes results indicated that genotypes showed no significant variations in callus water content between GT 54-9 and G 84-47.

Genotype GT 54 -9 recorded the highest water content after all treatment except with 100 mM mannitol concentration. While, ph8013 recorded the lowest % WC value in mean  $54.45 \pm 0.796$  after treatment with 100mM mannitol.

In the current study, five oligonucleotide primers (100%) used in the RAPD analysis gave unique markers. Out of the five primers, five detected for GT 54-9 and 8 for G 84-47. Data showed the similarity matrix of the five RABD-PCR markers.

Cluster analysis of the current research, divided the three sugarcane genotypes into two main groups in similarity percentage 59%. The first group includes G 84-47 by the three concentrations 100, 200 and 300 mM mannitol by similarity 70%. While, control with the other concentrations by 68%. On the second group, the genotypes divided into two sub groups on 65% similarity. The two sub group includes the genotypes ph 8013 and GT 54-9.

The main consolation of the present study indicated that, GT 54-9 genotype considers the promising genotypes other than G 84-47 and ph-80-13, in respect, in all the morphological and molecular studies.



## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Title</u>	<b>Page</b>
Figure (1): Material of sugarcane used in the current study (a) Sugarcane leaf roll and (b) leaf sections on solidified medium.	45
Figure (2): Different callus induction in three sugarcane genotypes GT 54-9, G 84-47 and ph 8013	54
Figure (3): Callus induction and day of callus initiation in three sugarcane genotypes.	54
Figure (4): Embryogenic and non-embryogenic callus induced from leaf explant of sugarcane genotypes.	56
Figure (5): percentage of embryogenic callus in three sugarcane genotypes.	56
Figure (6): Difference in relative growth rate for all genotypes.	61
Figure (7): Means of callus relative growth rate derived from leaf explants of sugarcane after treated with different concentrations of mannitol.	61
Figure (8): Effect of different concentrations of mannitol (a) 0, (b) 100, (c) 200 and (d) 300 mM on callus relative growth rate of GT 54-9 genotype.	62
Figure (9): Effect of different concentrations of mannitol (a) 0, (b) 100, (c) 200 and (d) 300 mM on callus relative growth rate of G84-47 genotype.	63
Figure (10): Effect of different concentrations of mannitol (a) 0, (b) 100, (c) 200 and (d) 300 mM on callus relative growth rate of ph8013 genotype	64
Figure (11): Percentage of water content for sugarcane genotypes.	65
Figure (12): Percentage of callus water content of sugarcane after treated with different concentrations of mannitol.	65
Figure (13): Effect of different concentrations of mannitol (a) 0, (b) 100, (c) 200 and (d) 300 mM on percentage of callus water content .	66
Figure (14): K <sup>+</sup> and Na <sup>+</sup> ions determination for sugarcane genotypes.	70
Figure (15): Means of K <sup>+</sup> in callus derived from leaf explants of sugarcane after treated with different concentrations of mannitol.	70
Figure (16): Means of Na <sup>+</sup> in callus derived from leaf explants of sugarcane after treated with different concentrations of mannitol.	71
Figure (17): Regression between mannitol concentrations (X-factor) and the proline (Y-factor) in GT 54-9 callus.	74

Figure (18): Regression between mannitol concentrations (X-factor) and the proline (Y-factor) in G 84-47 callus.	75
Figure (19): Regression between mannitol concentrations (X-factor) and the proline (Y-factor) in ph 8013 callus.	76
Figure (20): Morphological performance of sugarcane genotypes (No. SF: number of shoot formation; No. DRF: day numbers for root formation; NO. R: Root number).	80
Figure (21): Interaction of shoot number formation of sugarcane genotypes (No. SF: number of shoot formation)	80
Figure (22): Regeneration of GT54-9 obtained from tolerant callus under different mannitol concentrations.	81
Figure (23): Regeneration of ph 80-13 obtained from tolerant callus under different mannitol concentrations.	82
Figure (24): Day number of root formation for three sugarcane genotypes.	83
Figure (25): Root number formation for three sugarcane genotypes.	83
Figure (26): Difference in number of root formation for GT-54-9 under different mannitol concentration.	84
Figure (27): Difference in number of root formation for G84-47 under different mannitol concentration.	85
Figure (28): Dendrogram of the three cultivars and using five random amplified (RAPD) primers (54: GT 54-9, 80: ph 8013, 84:G 84-47, M: marker, C: control, I: 100mM, II: 200mM and III: 300mM mannitol).	97

## LIST OF PLEATS

	<u>Title</u>	<b>page</b>
Plate (1):	DNA polymorphism of the Sugarcane ( <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> L.) treated with mannitol using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA with primer OPD-02. (54: GT 54-9, 80: ph 8013, 84: G 84-47, M: marker, C: control, I: 100mM, II: 200mM and III: 300mM mannitol)	86
Plate (2):	DNA polymorphism of the Sugarcane ( <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> L.) treated with mannitol using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA with primer OPD-03. (54: GT 54-9, 80: ph 8013, 84: G 84-47, M: marker, C: control, I: 100mM, II: 200mM and III: 300mM mannitol).	88
Plate (3):	DNA polymorphism of the Sugarcane ( <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> L.) treated with mannitol using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA with primer OPH-03 (54: GT 54-9, 80: ph 8013, 84: G 84-47, M: marker, C: control, I: 100mM, II: 200mM and III: 300mM mannitol).	90
Plate (4):	DNA polymorphism of the Sugarcane ( <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> L.) treated with mannitol using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA with primer OPO-01. (54: GT 54-9, 80: ph 8013, 84: G 84-47, M: marker, C: control, I: 100mM, II: 200mM and III: 300mM mannitol).	92
Plate (5):	DNA polymorphism of the Sugarcane ( <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> L.) treated with mannitol using randomly amplified polymorphic DNA with primer OPO-02 (54: GT 54-9, 80: ph 8013, 84: G 84-47, M: marker, C: control, I: 100mM, II: 200mM and III: 300mM mannitol).	94

## *ABBREVIATIONS*

%	: Percentage
μl	: Micro liter
μM	: Micro molar
2,4-D	: 2,4- Dichlorophenoxy Acetic Acid
AC	: Activated Charcoal
bp	: Base Pair
Cv(s)	: Cultivar(s)
CW	: Coconut Water
DW	: Dry Weight
EC	: Embryogenic Callus
FW	: Fresh Weight
hrs	: Hours
K <sup>+</sup>	: Potassium
Kin	: Kinetin
M	: Molar
Mg l <sup>-1</sup>	: Milligram per liter
ml	: Milliliter
mM	: Milli molar
MS	: Murashige and Skoog
Na <sup>+</sup>	: Sodium
NAA	: 1-Nphthylacetic acid
NaOH	: Sodium Hydroxide
NO.DRF	: Number of Day for Root Formation
NO.R	: Number of Root
NO.SF	: Number of Shoot Formation
°C	: Degree Celsius
PCR	: Polymerase Chain Reaction
PEG	: Poly Ethylene Glycol
pH	: - Log [H <sup>+</sup> ]
PVP	: Poly Vinyl Pyrrolidone
RAPD	: Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA
RGR	: Relative Growth Rate
SE	: Somatic Embryogenesis
WC	: Water Content