

CONTENTS

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	i
LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
AIM OF WORK	4
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
PART I	
2.1.1- Keratinolytic and keratinophilic fungi	5
2.1.2- Keratinase enzyme	7
2.1.2.1- General biochemical characteristics	8
2.1.2.2- Hydrolysis of native proteins	9
2.1.2.3- Production of keratinases	10
2.1.2.4- Factors affecting on keratinase production	11
2.1.2.5- Applications of microbial keratinases	12
2.1.3- Keratin	14
2.1.3.1- Structure of keratin	16
2.1.4- Keratin and fish	18
2.1.4.1- Teeth	18
2.1.4.2- Hagfish Slime	18
2.1.5- Bio-control of keratinolytic fungi	19
2.1.5.1- Nanotechnology technique	19
PART II	
2.2.1- Drainage and wastewaters	23
2.2.2- Water importance for aquaculture	23

2.2.3- Water pollution	24
2.2.4- Physical, chemical and biological parameters affecting aquaculture water	25
Abiotic factors	25
2.2.4.1- Physical parameters of water	25
1- Temperature	25
2- Turbidity	25
3- Dissolved oxygen	26
4- Concentration of hydrogen ion (pH)	27
5- Salinity	27
6- Total dissolved solids	28
2.2.4.2- Chemical parameters of water	28
1- Nitrogen	28
- Ammonia	29
- Nitrite	29
- Nitrate	30
2- Total alkalinity	30
3- Total hardness	31
4- Phosphorus	32
- Total phosphorus	32
- Dissolved phosphorus	32
2.2.4.3- Heavy metals	33
1- Iron	35
2- Zinc	37
3- Copper	39
4- Cadmium	40
5- Lead	43
Biotic factors	45

2.2.4.4- Phytoplankton	45
2.2.4.5-Zooplankton	47
III. MATERIAL AND METHODS	49
3.1- Location of the study sites	49
3.2- Sampling	50
3.2.1- Water samples	50
3.2.2- Soil samples	50
3.2.3- Fish samples	51
3.3- Mycological analysis	51
3.3.1- Qualitative and quantitative determination of fungi	51
1- Isolation	51
2- Purification	53
3- Identification of fungal species	53
4- Maintenance of the stock fungal cultures	54
5- Inoculum preparation	54
6- The media used	54
- Solid mineral medium (g/l)	54
- Mineral broth medium (g/l)	54
- Czapek's Dox agar medium (g/l)	55
- Czapek's yeast autolysate (CYA) agar for identification of <i>Aspergillus</i> species (g/l)	55
- Blakeslee malt extract autolysate (MEA) agar for identification of <i>Aspergillus</i> species, <i>Mucor</i> sp. <i>Humicola</i> sp. and <i>Trichoderma</i> sp. (g/l)	55
- Potato sucrose agar (PSA) for identification of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. (g/l)	55
3.3.2- Determination of keratinolytic activity	56

3.3.3- Pretreatment of the feathers	56
3.3.4- Screening of keratinolytic activity on agar plates	57
3.3.5- Effect of culture conditions and nutritional requirements on fungal growth and keratinase production of highly keratinolytic fungi (<i>Penicillium commune</i>)	58
3.3.6- Mycelial dry weight	58
3.3.7- Keratinase activity assay	59
3.3.8- Enzyme Unit	59
3.3.9- Estimation of protein	60
3.3.10 - Purification of keratinase enzyme	60
- culturing	60
- Sephadex G-100 fractionation	61
3.3.11- Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis	61
3.3.12- Nanotechnology technique	61
- Synthesis of ZnO Nanoparticles	61
- Antimicrobial Activity	62
3.4- Analytical procedure	63
3.4.1- Water analysis	63
3.4.1.1- Physical analysis	63
- Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	63
- Water transparency (cm)	64
- Hydrogen ion concentration (pH)	64
- Salinity and Electric Conductivity and Total Dissolved Solids	64
3.4.1.2- Chemical analysis	64
- Ammonia (NH ₃)	64

- Nitrite (NO ₂)	64
- Nitrate (NO ₃)	65
- Total alkalinity and total hardness (mg/l)	65
- Total phosphorus (TP) and ortho phosphorus (OP) (mg/l)	65
3.4.1.3- Heavy metals in water	65
3.4.1.4- Chlorophyll "a" Chlorophyll "a"	65
3.4.1.5- Biological examinations	66
- Phytoplankton assessment	66
- Zooplankton assessment	67
3.5- Statistical analysis	67
IV. RESULTS	68
PART I	
4.1.1- Effect of different locations and different seasons on fungal flora community	68
4.1.2- Fungi recovered in the present investigation	70
4.1.3- Screening of the different fungal isolates for their keratinolytic activity	71
4.1.4- Selection of isolate for further study	73
4.1.5- Culture conditions affecting growth and keratinase enzyme production	76
4.1.5.1- Effect of incubation period on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i>	76
4.1.5.2- Effect of pH-value on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i>	78
4.1.5.3- Effect of incubation temperature on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium</i>	80

<i>commune</i>	
4.1.5.4- Effect of different volumes of the basal medium on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i>	82
4.1.5.5- Effect of different sizes of inocula on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i>	84
4.1.5.6- Effect of different carbon sources on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i>	86
4.1.5.7- Effect of different concentrations of starch as carbon source on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i>	88
4.1.5.8- Effect of different nitrogen sources on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i>	90
4.1.5.9- Effect of different concentrations of yeast extract as nitrogen source on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i>	92
4.1.6- Purification of keratinase Systems Produced by <i>Penicillium commune</i>	94
4.1.6.1- Fractional precipitation of the keratinase of <i>Penicillium commune</i> with different agents	95
4.1.6.2- Concentration of extracellular keratinase of <i>Penicillium commune</i> by dialysis	95
4.1.6.3- Gel filtration on Sephadex G₁₀₀	96

4.1.6.4- Gel electrophoresis	99
4.1.6.5- Determination of molecular weight of the pure keratinase of <i>Penicillium commune</i>	100
4.1.7- Nanotechnology technique	101
PART II	
4.2.1- Physical parameters of water	102
4.2.2- Chemical parameters of water	112
4.2.3- Biological assessment of water	126
4.2.4- Heavy metals in water	145
V. DISCUSSION	154
PART I	154
PART II	174
VI. SUMMARY	186
VII. REFERENCES	193
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	NAME
DO	Dissolved oxygen
EC	Electric conductivity
CLAR	Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research
G. fish farm	Governmental fish farm
µg/g	Micro gram per gram
ND	Not detected
O. P	Ortho Phosphorus
Phyto.	Phytoplankton
Sal.	salinity
SD	Secchi Disc
Shad. Azz. fish farm	Shader Azzam fish farm
T. Alk	Total Alkalinity
TDS	Total dissolved solids
T. Hard	Total Hardness
T. P	Total Phosphorus
Zoo.	Zooplankton

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
Table (1):	Potential applications of microbial keratinases.	13
Table (2):	Major keratin distribution in animals.	15
Table (3):	Guidelines for some heavy metals concentration in aquaculture water.	35
Table (4):	Chemical composition of feather waste used in experiment.	57
Table (5):	Effect of different locations on average percentage of fungal flora community in four seasons.	69
Table (6):	Effect of different seasons on average percentage of fungal flora community in four locations.	70
Table (7):	List of different isolated Keratinolytic fungi.	72
Table (8):	Keratinolytic activity as clear zone of different fungal isolates grown on keratin as carbon and nitrogen source at 28 °C ± 2.	74
Table (9):	Effect of incubation period on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	77
Table (10):	Effect of pH-value on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	79
Table (11):	Effect of incubation temperature on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	81
Table (12):	Effect of different volumes of the basal medium on growth and keratinase enzyme production by	83

	<i>Penicillium commune</i> .	
Table (13):	Effect of different sizes of inocula on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	85
Table (14):	Effect of different carbon sources on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	87
Table (15):	Effect of different concentrations of starch as carbon source on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	89
Table (16):	Effect of different nitrogen sources on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	91
Table (17):	Effect of different concentrations of yeast extract as nitrogen source on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	93
Table (18):	Purification and overall recovery of <i>Penicillium commune</i> keratinase.	97
Table (19):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water temperature ($^{\circ}$ C) during studying period in study locations.	103
Table (20):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water Secchi disc (cm) during studying period in study locations.	104
Table (21):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water dissolved oxygen (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	106
Table (22):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water pH during studying period in study locations.	107

Table (23):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water salinity (g/l) during studying period in study locations.	109
Table (24):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water electrical conductivity (mS/cm) during studying period in study locations.	110
Table (25):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water TDS (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	111
Table (26):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water NH ₃ (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	113
Table (27):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water NO ₂ (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	114
Table (28):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water NO ₃ (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	115
Table (29):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water T. Alk (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	117
Table (30):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water T. Hardness (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	118
Table (31):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water total phosphors (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	120
Table (32):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water ortho phosphors (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	121
Table (33):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water Chlorophyll "a" (μ g/L) during studying period in study locations.	122
Table (34):	Annual mean \pm SE of water Physicochemical	124

	parameters during studying period in study locations.	
Table (35):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water <i>Bacillariophyceae</i> numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	128
Table (36):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water <i>Chlorophyceae</i> numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	130
Table (37):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water <i>Cyanophyceae</i> numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	132
Table (38):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water <i>Euglenophyceae</i> numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	133
Table (39):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water total phytoplankton numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	134
Table (40):	Annual mean \pm SE of water Phytoplankton numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	135
Table (41):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water <i>Copepoda</i> numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^3$) during studying period in study locations.	137
Table (42):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water <i>Cladocera</i> numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^3$) during studying period in study locations.	139
Table (43):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water <i>Rotifers</i> numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^3$) during studying period in study	140

	locations.	
Table (44):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water <i>Ostracoda</i> numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^3$) during studying period in study locations.	142
Table (45):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water Total Zooplanktons numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^3$) during studying period in study locations.	143
Table (46):	Annual mean \pm SE of water Zooplankton numbers (Ind./L $\times 10^3$) during studying period in study locations.	144
Table (47):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water Fe (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	146
Table (48):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water Zn (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	148
Table (49):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water Cu (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	150
Table (50):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water Pb (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	151
Table (51):	Seasonal mean \pm SE of water Cd (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	152
Table (52):	Annual mean \pm SE of water Heavy metals (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	153

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
Fig. (1):	Molecular structure of a keratin.	17
Fig. (2):	A map showing the study sites at Abbassa - Sharkia; Shader Azzam and Lake Manzala (Alpashter algowany), Port Said, respectively.	52
Fig. (3):	Standard curve of Bovine Serum Albumin.	60
Fig. (4):	Effect of different locations on average percentage of fungal flora community in four seasons.	69
Fig. (5):	Effect of different seasons on average percentage of fungal flora community in four locations.	70
Fig. (6):	<i>Penicillium commune</i> isolate LKF10-002 18S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence; internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal RNA gene, and internal transcribed spacer 2, complete sequence; and 28S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence.	75
Fig. (7):	Phylogenetic tree of <i>Penicillium commune</i> isolate.	75
Fig. (8):	Effect of incubation period on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	77
Fig. (9):	Effect of pH-value on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	79
Fig. (10):	Effect of incubation temperature on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium</i>	81

	<i>commune</i> .	
Fig. (11):	Effect of different volumes of the basal medium on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	83
Fig. (12):	Effect of different sizes of inocula on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	85
Fig. (13):	Effect of different carbon sources on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	87
Fig. (14):	Effect of different concentrations of starch as carbon source on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	89
Fig. (15):	Effect of different nitrogen sources on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	91
Fig. (16):	Effect of different concentrations of yeast extract as nitrogen source on growth and keratinase enzyme production by <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	93
Fig. (17):	Gel-filtration of crude keratinase from <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	98
Fig. (18):	SDS-PAGE analysis of keratinase from <i>Penicillium commune</i> , revealing purified enzyme (after dialysis).	100
Fig. (19):	Photos showing effect of ZnO nanoparticles on the growth of tested fungus <i>Penicillium commune</i> .	101
Fig. (20):	Seasonal mean of water temperature (°C) during	103

	studying period in study locations.	
Fig. (21):	Seasonal mean of water Secchi disc (cm) during studying period in study locations.	104
Fig. (22):	Seasonal mean of water dissolved oxygen (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	106
Fig. (23):	Seasonal mean of water pH during studying period in study locations.	107
Fig. (24):	Seasonal mean of water salinity (g/l) during studying period in study locations.	109
Fig. (25):	Seasonal mean of water electrical conductivity (mS/cm) during studying period in study locations.	110
Fig. (26):	Seasonal mean of water TDS (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	111
Fig. (27):	Seasonal mean of water NH ₃ (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	113
Fig. (28):	Seasonal mean of water NO ₂ (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	114
Fig. (29):	Seasonal mean of water NO ₃ (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	115
Fig. (30):	Seasonal mean of water T. Alk (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	117
Fig. (31):	Seasonal mean of water T. Hardness (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	118
Fig. (32):	Seasonal mean of water total phosphors (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	120
Fig. (33):	Seasonal mean of water ortho phosphors (mg/L)	121

	during studying period in study locations.	
Fig. (34):	Seasonal mean of water Chlorophyll "a" ($\mu\text{g/L}$) during studying period in study locations.	122
Fig. (35):	Annual mean of water Physicochemical parameters during studying period in study locations.	125
Fig. (36):	Seasonal mean of water <i>Bacillariophyceae</i> numbers ($\text{Ind./L} \times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	128
Fig. (37):	Seasonal mean of water <i>Chlorophyceae</i> numbers ($\text{Ind./L} \times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	130
Fig. (38):	Seasonal mean of water <i>Cyanophyceae</i> numbers ($\text{Ind./L} \times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	132
Fig. (39):	Seasonal mean of water <i>Euglenophyceae</i> numbers ($\text{Ind./L} \times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	133
Fig. (40):	Seasonal mean of water total phytoplankton numbers ($\text{Ind./L} \times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	134
Fig. (41):	Annual mean of water Phytoplankton numbers ($\text{Ind./L} \times 10^4$) during studying period in study locations.	135
Fig. (42):	Seasonal mean of water <i>Copepoda</i> numbers ($\text{Ind./L} \times 10^3$) during studying period in study locations.	137
Fig. (43):	Seasonal mean of water <i>Cladocera</i> numbers	139

	(Ind./L x10 ³) during studying period in study locations.	
Fig. (44):	Seasonal mean of water <i>Rotifers</i> numbers (Ind./L x10 ³) during studying period in study locations.	140
Fig. (45):	Seasonal mean of water <i>Ostracoda</i> numbers (Ind./L x10 ³) during studying period in study locations.	142
Fig. (46):	Seasonal mean of water Total Zooplanktons numbers (Ind./L x10 ³) during studying period in study locations.	143
Fig. (47):	Annual mean of water Zooplankton numbers (Ind./L x10 ³) during studying period in study locations.	144
Fig. (48):	Seasonal mean of water Fe (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	146
Fig. (49):	Seasonal mean of water Zn (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	148
Fig. (50):	Seasonal mean of water Cu (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	150
Fig. (51):	Seasonal mean of water Pb (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	151
Fig. (52):	Seasonal mean of water Cd (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	152
Fig. (53):	Annual mean of water Heavy metals (mg/L) during studying period in study locations.	153

ABSTRACT

In a study to biocontrol of keratinolytic fungi, the percentage of fungal flora community was higher in Shad. Azz. fish farm and Lake Manzala than G. fish farm and Private fish farm and was higher in spring and summer seasons than autumn and winter seasons. Lowest percentage was recorded in Private fish farm and in winter season. Several fungi were isolated and assayed for keratinolytic activity; *Penicillium commune*, which had the highest keratinolytic activity was selected for further experimental studies. Several experiments were carried out to investigate the effect of some environmental as well as nutritional factors on the potentiality of *Penicillium commune* to grow and produce keratinase enzymes. It is cleared that the best environmental conditions and nutritional factors for highest growth and keratinase production were 7 days as incubation period at 6 pH-value and 30 °C in 50 ml of the medium by using 2 ml inoculum of tested fungus and addition of starch and yeast extract to the medium as carbon and nitrogen sources by the concentration of 0.6 g respectively. Purification of keratinase enzyme was carried out by salting out using ammonium sulfate ((NH₄)₂SO₄) at 80% saturation and then dialyzed against sucrose crystals then fractionation by sephadex G-100. Enzyme activity and protein content in each fraction were measured. Fractions which showed highest protein and keratinase activity were collected and analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) which indicated that the molecular weight of the keratinase enzyme was 60 kDa. For biocontrol of *Penicillium commune* growth we used ZnO nanoparticles; we found that ZnO nanoparticles has no any effects on the fungal growth in comparison to the control.

Water analysis (physical, chemical and biological characteristics of water) and heavy metals residues; iron (Fe), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn),

cadmium (Cd) and lead (Pb) in water were carried out at the 4 locations. The results obtained revealed that: ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, and phosphorus were higher in Shad. Azz. fish farm, followed by Lake Manzala then the two fish farms in Abbassa. The harmful phytoplankton was significantly higher in Lake Manzala than other sites, but chlorophyll "a" was high in the private farm in Abbassa than other sites. This is due to the applied management system only. Also pollutant concentration of iron, zinc, copper, lead and cadmium were in the same direction. The study recommends the prevention of the use of sewage water in aquaculture.

AIM OF WORK

- Isolation of keratinolytic fungal flora from lake Manzala and some fish farms.
- Determination of fungal species which has the most keratinolytic effect.
- Investigation the effect of some environmental as well as nutritional factors on the potentiality of fungi to grow and degrade the keratinaceous substrate in order to produce keratinase enzyme.
- Purification of keratinase enzyme produced by fungi and detecting its molecular weight.
- Use of nanotechnology technique to biocontrol of keratinolytic fungal flora.
- Evaluation of water quality of some production fish farms in different locations and Lake Manzala areas.

Impacts of Some Environmental Conditions on Water Quality and Some Heavy Metals in Water of Different Aquaculture Sites

¹I. M. Shaker, ²G. H. Rabie, ²A. A. Ismaiel and ¹M. T. Mekawy

¹Central laboratory for Aquaculture Research, Abbassa – Sharkia, Egypt

²Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Sharkia, Egypt

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of some environmental conditions on water quality and concentrations of some heavy metals in some fish farms waters and Lake Manzala that lasted for four seasons. The sites of the diversity of water resources were the Lake Manzala, Al Aboty region (South Port said), brackish water; private fish farm used water from Bahr El Bakar drain directly (sewage wastewater), Shader Azzam region (Port Said Governorate); governmental fish Farm, Abbassa - Abou Hammad (agricultural drainage and ground water) and private fish farm in Abbassa - Abou Hammad (agricultural drainage water). Water, phytoplankton and zooplankton samples were collected once every season. The results obtained revealed that: ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, and phosphorus were higher in Shader Azzam fish farm, followed by Lake Manzala then the two fish farms in Abbassa. The harmful phytoplankton significantly higher in Lake Manzala than other sites but chlorophyll "a" was high in the private farm in Abbassa than other sites and this is due to the applied management system only. Also pollutant concentration of iron, zinc, copper, lead and cadmium were in the same direction. The study recommends the prevention of the use of sewage water in aquaculture.

Key words: Environmental condition; water quality; lakes; heavy metals; fish farms.

Introduction

Environmental conditions play an important role in determining the properties of physical, chemical, biological of water and affecting the accumulation of pollutants from heavy elements and then move to the fish and soil.

The environmental conditions in water bodies are constantly changed by various natural and human induced factors. The features of the physico-geographical environment of the catchment area, as well as the morphometric parameters of the water body and its hydrological regime, accelerate or block the supply of organic matter to the lakes, which affects its trophic level, water pH and hardness, its electrolytic conductivity and coloring, light and oxygen availability, and consequently algae and plant species diversity (Chobot and Banaś, 2008). Physical factors such as climate (i.e. temperature, wind, precipitation, and solarradiation) are also important determinants of water quality in lakes and all critically affect the lake's hydrologic and chemical characteristics, and indirectly affect the composition of the biological community (Najafpour et al., 2008). Also, water quality may be affected by the source of the water, rate of flow, nutrients and algae. Other factors like sewage and agricultural runoffs, various hazardous chemicals and natural contaminants (animal feces) reach the natural sources of water and also pollute the ground water by seeping (Hamill and Verburg, 2010).

Heavy metals are the most hazardous pollutants due to the speed of their dissemination in biosphere and their accumulative concentration. They permeate the environment by various means, penetrate the circle of metabolism, become toxic and disturb physiological functions of organism (Öztürk et al., 2009). While regulating constituents of food products, the World Health Organization (WHO) as well as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) suggest monitoring the concentrations of heavy metals.

Studies on heavy metals in rivers, lakes, fish and sediments have been a major environmental focus especially during the last decade. Sediments are important sinks for various pollutants like pesticides and heavy metals and also play a significant role in the remobilization of contaminants in aquatic systems under favorable conditions and in interactions between water and sediment (Özmenet et al., 2004; Fernandes et al., 2008; Öztürk et al., 2008; Pote et al., 2008 and Praveena et al., 2008).

Heavy metals such as copper, iron, chromium and nickel are essential metals since their play an important role in biological systems, whereas cadmium and lead are non-essential metals, as they are toxic, even in trace amounts (Fernandes et al., 2008). For the normal metabolism of the fish, the essential metals must be taken up from water, food or sediment (Canlı and Atli, 2003). These essential metals can also produce toxic effects when the metal intake is excessively elevated (Tüzen, 2003). The aim of this study was to evaluate the

Corresponding Author: I. M. Shaker, Central laboratory for Aquaculture Research, Abbassa – Sharkia, Egypt
E-mail: dr_ibrahim_shaker@yahoo.com