

<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>page</b>
Acknowledgment	
Note	
Aim of the work	
Contents	<b>I</b>
List of tables	<b>VII</b>
List of figures	<b>X</b>
Summary	<b>XIII</b>
<b>CHAPTER (1) INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Contamination of soils by crude oil components	<b>3</b>
1.1.1. Hydrocarbons	<b>3</b>
1.1.2. Heavy metals	<b>8</b>

1.2. Contamination of soil by waste water and automobiles	<b>10</b>
1.3. Hazardous effects of pollution	<b>11</b>
1.3.1. Effects on human health	<b>11</b>
1.3.2. Effects on soil properties	<b>13</b>
1.3.3. Effects on marine environment	<b>16</b>
1.3.4. Effects on plants	<b>18</b>
1.4. Remediation of contaminated soils	<b>20</b>
1.4.1. Remediation of soils contaminated with petroleum Hydrocarbons	<b>20</b>
1.4.1.1. Application of natural humic acid	<b>25</b>
1.4.1.1.1. Formation of humic acids	<b>26</b>
1.4.1.1.2. Structures of humic acid	<b>27</b>
1.4.1.1.3. Applications of humic acid	<b>33</b>

1.4.1.2.Application of synthetic surfactants	<b>36</b>
1.4.2. Remediation of soils contaminated with heavy metals	<b>39</b>
1.4.2.1.Remediation of soils polluted by heavy metals using organic Acids or it's salts	<b>39</b>
1.4.2.2.Application of natural (humic acid) and synthetic surfactants	<b>48</b>
1.5.Biodegradation	<b>51</b>
1.5.1.. Biodegradation of surfactants	<b>51</b>
1.5.2.. Biodegradation of chelating agents	<b>54</b>
<b>CHAPTER (2) EXPERIMENTAL</b>	<b>56</b>
2.1. Materials	<b>56</b>
2.1.1. Soil samples	<b>56</b>
2.1.2. Crude oil	<b>59</b>

2.1.3. Amendments	<b>59</b>
2.2. Remediation of contaminated soils	<b>59</b>
2.3. Methods of analysis	<b>60</b>
2.3.1. Particle size distribution	<b>60</b>
2.3.2. Chemical analysis	<b>61</b>
2.3.2.1. Analysis of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons	<b>61</b>
2.3.2.2. Estimation of organic matter	<b>64</b>
2.3.2.3. Soluble cations and anions	<b>65</b>
2.3.2.4. Electrical conductivity (EC)	<b>65</b>
2.3.2.5. Soil pH	<b>66</b>
2.3.2.6. Heavy metals	<b>66</b>

<b>CHAPTER (3) RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>67</b>
3.1. Chemical analysis of the crude oil	<b>67</b>
3.2. Soil contamination by crude oil components	<b>69</b>
3.2.1. Hydrocarbons	<b>69</b>
3.2.2. Heavy metals	<b>75</b>
3.3. Green House Experiment (remediation of oil contaminated soils)	<b>77</b>
3.3.1. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)	<b>78</b>
a- Aliphatic hydrocarbons	<b>78</b>
b- Aromatic hydrocarbons	<b>78</b>
c- Heavy metals	<b>83</b>
3.3.2. Humic acid	<b>85</b>
a- Aliphatic hydrocarbons	<b>85</b>

b- Aromatic hydrocarbons	<b>90</b>
c- Heavy metals	<b>90</b>
3.3.3. Triton X100	<b>93</b>
a- Aliphatic hydrocarbons	<b>93</b>
b- Aromatic hydrocarbons	<b>93</b>
c- Heavy metals	<b>98</b>
3.3.4. Tween 20	<b>100</b>
a- Aliphatic hydrocarbons	<b>100</b>
b- Aromatic hydrocarbons	<b>100</b>
c- Heavy metals	<b>105</b>
3.3.5. Tween 80	<b>107</b>
a- Aliphatic hydrocarbons	<b>107</b>

b- Aromatic hydrocarbons	<b>107</b>
c- Heavy metals	<b>112</b>
3.3.6. Diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA)	<b>114</b>
3.3.7. Citric acid	<b>117</b>
3.3.8. Oxalic acid	<b>119</b>
<b>CHAPTER (4) REFERENCES</b>	<b>122</b>
Arabic summary	
Arabic abstract	
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	
Table (1) Priority PAHs as listed by US EPA	<b>12</b>
Table (2) Some chemical and physical properties of studied soils adjacent to traffic roads	<b>57</b>
Table (3) Some chemical and physical properties of studied soils polluted with crude oil	<b>58</b>

Table (4) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons in the crude oil	<b>69</b>
Table (5) Concentrations of the aliphatic hydrocarbons in soils at different distances from the pollution source from mostorod	<b>71</b>
Table (6) Concentrations of the aliphatic hydrocarbons in soils at different distances from the pollution source from Kafr El-Zayat	<b>72</b>
Table (7) Concentrations of the aliphatic hydrocarbons in soils at different distances from the pollution source from Tanta	<b>73</b>
Table (8) Available heavy metals concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) in soil samples	<b>77</b>
Table (9) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with SDS (2 % crude oil)	<b>79</b>
Table (10) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with SDS (4 % crude oil)	<b>80</b>
Table (11) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with SDS (6 % crude oil)	<b>81</b>
Table (12) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with humic acid (2 % crude oil)	<b>87</b>
Table (13) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with humic acid (4 % crude oil)	<b>88</b>
Table (14) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with humic acid (6 % crude oil)	<b>89</b>
Table (15) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with Triton X-100 (2 % crude oil)	<b>94</b>



Table (16) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with Triton X-100 (4 % crude oil)	<b>95</b>
Table (17) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with Triton X-100 (4 % crude oil)	<b>96</b>
Table (18) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with Tween 20 (2 % crude oil)	<b>102</b>
Table (19) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with Tween 20 (4 % crude oil)	<b>103</b>
Table (20) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with Tween 20 (6 % crude oil)	<b>104</b>
Table (21) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with Tween 80 (2 % crude oil)	<b>108</b>
Table (22) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with Tween 80 (4 % crude oil)	<b>109</b>
Table (23) Concentrations of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons after treatment with Tween 80 (6 % crude oil)	<b>110</b>
Table (24) Heavy metals concentrations in the soil samples after treatment with DTPA	<b>115</b>
Table (25) Heavy metals concentrations in the soil samples after treatment with citric acid	<b>117</b>
Table (26) Heavy metals concentrations in the soil samples after treatment with oxalic acid	<b>120</b>

<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	
Fig. (1) Formation of humic acid	<b>27</b>
Fig. (2) Structure of humic acid according to Fuchs	<b>28</b>
Fig. (3) Dragunov's structure of humic acid	<b>29</b>
Fig. (4) Hypothetical structure of humic acid according to Flaig	<b>29</b>
Fig. (5) Orlov's structure of humic acid	<b>30</b>
Fig. (6) Steelink's tetramer structure of humic acid	<b>31</b>
Fig. (7) Steinberg and Muenster's structure of humic acid	<b>32</b>
Fig. (8) Reaction mechanism between TNT and soil humic acid	<b>36</b>
Fig. (9) Sketch diagram of treatments used in the experiment	<b>60</b>
Fig. (10) Gas chromatogram of standard of aliphatic hydrocarbons	<b>63</b>
Fig. (11) Gas chromatogram of standard of aromatic hydrocarbons	<b>64</b>

Fig. (12) Gas chromatogram of aliphatic hydrocarbons of the crude oil	<b>68</b>
Fig. (13) Gas chromatogram of aromatic hydrocarbons of the crude oil	<b>68</b>
Fig. (14) Concentrations of hydrocarbons in surface soil samples (0-15cm) at different distances from the petroleum compound of mostorod	<b>74</b>
Fig. (15) Concentrations of hydrocarbons in surface soil samples (0-15cm) at different distances from the petroleum compound of Kafr El-zayat	<b>74</b>
Fig. (16) Concentrations of hydrocarbons in surface soil samples (0-5cm) at different distances from Tanta	<b>75</b>
Fig. (17) Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of aliphatic hydrocarbons in crude oil polluted soils treated with SDS	<b>82</b>
Fig. (18) Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of aliphatic hydrocarbons in crude oil polluted soils treated with SDS	<b>82</b>
Fig. (19) Available concentrations of heavy metals in crude oil polluted soils treated with SDS	<b>84</b>
Fig. (20) Reaction mechanism between humic acid and alkanes	<b>86</b>
Fig. (21) Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of aliphatic hydrocarbons in crude oil polluted soils treated with humic acid	<b>90</b>
Fig. (22) Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of aromatic hydrocarbons in crude oil polluted soils treated with humic acid	<b>91</b>
Fig. (23) Available concentrations of heavy metals in crude oil polluted soils treated with humic acid	<b>92</b>

Fig. (24) Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of aliphatic hydrocarbons in crude oil polluted soils treated with Triton X-100	<b>97</b>
Fig. (25) Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of aromatic hydrocarbons in crude oil polluted soils treated with Triton X-100	<b>97</b>
Fig. (26) Available concentrations of heavy metals in crude oil polluted soils treated with Triton X-100	<b>99</b>
Fig. (27) Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of aliphatic hydrocarbons in crude oil polluted soils treated with Tween 20	<b>101</b>
Fig. (28) Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of aromatic hydrocarbons in crude oil polluted soils treated with Tween 20	<b>101</b>
Fig. (29) Available concentrations of heavy metals in crude oil polluted soils treated with Tween 20	<b>106</b>
Fig. (30) Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of aliphatic hydrocarbons in crude oil polluted soils treated with Tween 80	<b>111</b>
Fig. (31) Concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ ) of aromatic hydrocarbons in crude oil polluted soils treated with Tween 80	<b>111</b>
Fig. (32) Available concentrations of heavy metals in crude oil polluted soils treated with Tween 80	<b>113</b>
Fig. (33) Available concentrations of heavy metals in crude oil polluted soils treated with DTPA	<b>116</b>
Fig. (34) Available concentrations of heavy metals in crude oil polluted soils treated with citric acid	<b>118</b>
Fig. (35) Available concentrations of heavy metals in crude oil polluted soils treated with oxalic acid	<b>121</b>

## SUMMARY

Soil contamination by petroleum hydrocarbons and heavy metals is one of the most serious problems due to their hazardous and toxic effects on soil, marine environment, plants and human health. These contaminants accumulate in plant tissues (fruits and vegetables) and causes many diseases in liver and kidney as well as may lead to cancer and death of human and animals.

In this work, four contaminated soils by hydrocarbons and heavy metals were studied as follows :

- 1- The first site was clay soils closed to petroleum companies group at Tanta city that contains several factories as pipe line company and company of oil refinery.
- 2- The second site was clay soils of Kafr El-Zayat city, Gharbiya governorate, beside group of factories that manufacture several types of fertilizers.
- 3- The third site was clay soils samples collected from mostorod city adjacent to petroleum compound group.
- 4- soils adjacent to Egypt-Alexandria agricultural road are selected as heavy metals polluted soils derived from automobiles and waste water collected from Benha and Sandyoun El-Kalioubiya governorate.

Soil samples were taken from three distances from the pollution sources i.e. 3 m (D1) , 50 m (D2) and 100 m (D3) and soil depth 0 – 30 cm. In soil samples of the first three sites, concentrations of hydrocarbons (aliphatic and aromatic) and heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Ni, Zn and Mn) were estimated. While in the samples taken from Benha and Sandyoun the available concentrations of the heavy metals were measured.

For remediation of hydrocarbons, five remedies were applied, namely, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), humic acid (HA), Triton X-100, Tween 20 and Tween 80. However, for remediation of heavy metals, eight remedies were applied, namely, diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA), citric acid and oxalic acid in addition to the five remedies used in remediation of hydrocarbons. These remedies were added by rates 0 , 3 and 6 %, except DTPA , citric acid and oxalic acid that was added by rates 0 , 0.04 and 0.08 M. These remedies were added to the soil samples contaminated by 2 , 4 and 6 % of crude oil.

### **1. Chemical analysis of the crude oil :**

The crude oil was taken from the pipe line company that transfers the crude oil to the petroleum compound of Tanta. This crude oil was subjected to analysis by Gas Chromatography (GC) to determine its components. For aliphatic hydrocarbons, eleven various n-paraffins were present, n-Hexacosane ( $C_{26}H_{54}$ ) was the highest concentration which represents 12.36 % of the aliphatic hydrocarbons, followed by n-octacosane ( $C_{28}H_{58}$ ) in 11.71 %, then n-docosane ( $C_{22}H_{46}$ ) 11.04 %, while n-Hexadecane ( $C_{16}H_{34}$ ) was the least percentage of aliphatic hydrocarbons (it was 5.82 %). For aromatic hydrocarbons, the highest percent was for acenaphthalene, it constituted 16.92 % of aromatic hydrocarbons of the crude oil, followed by phenanthrene 16.08 % then fluoranthene 15.89 %, while benzo(b)fluoranthene was the least component. It represented 10.21 % of the aromatic hydrocarbons in this crude oil.

## **2. Concentrations of contaminants in the soil samples :**

### **2.1. Hydrocarbons :**

For aliphatic hydrocarbons, the greatest concentration (698.51,346 and 396.81)  $\mu\text{g/g}$  was found in the soil samples closest to the petroleum compound (30 m distance) for the three sites ( Mostorod, Kafr El-Zayat and Tanta) respectively. The aliphatic hydrocarbons concentration in soil samples at 50 m distance decreased to be 528.71,245.93 and 277.77  $\mu\text{g/g}$ . The least concentration of aliphatic hydrocarbons 366.45,112.75 and 100.84  $\mu\text{g/g}$  respectively was recorded in soil samples far from the pollution source 100 m distance .

For aromatic hydrocarbons, the greatest concentrate pollution source (30 m) , it was 538.3,402.89 and 246.42  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for samples of mostorod, Kafr El-Zayat and Tanta respectively. While these concentrations decreased to be 406.48,291.39 and 126.97  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for D2 (50m) and 239.79,160.14 and 86.15  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for D3 (100m).

### **2.2. Heavy metals :**

The estimated available concentrations of the studied heavy metals (Pb, Cd, Ni, Zn and Mn) in soil samples under investigation indicated that their values were highly exceeded the normal levels in alluvial Nile Delta soil irrigated by Nile River water.

Soil samples of Tanta at D1(3 m) have the greatest concentrations of heavy metals Pd, Cd, Ni, Mn and Zn ,these concentrations were 12.36,00.56,8.96,59.21 and 28.17  $\mu\text{g/g}$  respectively. While these concentrations decreased to be 5.62,0.13,2.29,25.31 and 12.61  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for the same heavy metals respectively at D3(100 m).

Soil samples of Kafr El-Zayat and mostorod have the same manner and have the highest concentrations at D1(3 m). for Kafr El-Zayat

concentrations of heavy metals Pd, Cd, Ni, Mn and Zn were 25.11, 0.82, 12.61, 85.14 and 38.14  $\mu\text{g/g}$  while for mostorod samples these concentrations were 20.81, 0.70, 8.81, 58.16 and 29.18  $\mu\text{g/g}$ . On the other hand these concentrations decreased at D3 to be 4.26, 0.51, 5.41, 40.16 and 6.18  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for Kafr El-Zayat and 4.51, 0.00, 2.33, 21.32 and 9.61  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for mostorod samples for the same heavy metals respectively.

Samples of Sandyoun have the highest concentrations 19.25, 0.064, 6.74, 65.17 and 116.24  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for the same heavy metals at D1(3 m) and 9.66, 0.011, 2.11, 30.52 and 100.19  $\mu\text{g/g}$  D3(100 m).

Samples of Benha at D1(3 m) have the concentrations 8.24, 0.038, 5.26, 50.13 and 39.45  $\mu\text{g/g}$  while for D3 these concentrations were 3.53, .001, 3.15, 20.15 and 25.14  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for the same heavy metals respectively.

### **3. Application of organic remedies**

#### **3.1. Remediation of hydrocarbons**

Application of surfactants as organic remedies was very useful in remediation of hydrocarbons contaminated soils. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was the best one in decreasing concentrations of hydrocarbons. samples contaminated with 2 % crude oil, that concentrations of aliphatic hydrocarbons were decreased with percent 54 and 57 % after applying 3 and 6 % of SDS (v/w), respectively. In 4 % oil contaminated samples treatment with the same rates of SDS decreased the concentrations of aliphatic hydrocarbons by 59 and 60 %. While these concentrations had been decreased by percent 89 and 89 % after remediation with SDS by rates 3 and 6 %, respectively for 6% crude oil . SDS also decreased concentrations of aromatic hydrocarbons in the polluted samples. In 2 %



crude oil contaminated samples treatment with SDS by rates 3 and 6 % has decreased concentrations of aromatic hydrocarbons by 66 and 76 %, respectively. In 4 % oil contaminated samples, these concentrations were decreased by 13 and 20 %. While in 6 % contaminated samples, application of 3 and 6 % of SDS reduced the aromatic hydrocarbons by percent 64 and 68 %, respectively

### **3.2. Remediation of heavy metals :**

Treatment of the contaminated soil samples by the studied eight remedies led to decrease the available contents of the studied heavy metals by different rates. DTPA was the best remedy in decreasing the available contents of the studied heavy metals. When DTPA was added by rates 0.04 and 0.08M to 2 % oil contaminated soil samples, the available concentrations of the estimated heavy metals were decreased by percent ranged between 35 – 56 and 50 – 77 %, respectively. While in soil samples contaminated by 4 % crude oil, the concentrations of the studied heavy metals Pb, Cd, Ni, Zn and Mn were 11.21, 0.83, 6.81, 15.47 and 52.61  $\mu\text{g/g}$  respectively, i.e. these concentrations are lower than those of un-treated samples by percent 19 - 43 %. In the highest contamination level (6 % crude oil) treatment with 0.04 and 0.08 M DTPA decreased the heavy metals contents by rates 33 – 50 and 49 – 68 %, respectively.