

# CONTENTS

Title	Page
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>REVIEW OF LITRATURE.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>RESULTS.....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>---</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
<b>Table (1):</b> Prevalence of infestation with <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> larvae among camels of various age groups	<b>66</b>
<b>Table (2):</b> Seasonal prevalence of <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> larvae among camels	<b>67</b>
<b>Table (3):</b> Prevalence of <i>Oestrus ovis</i> 3 <sup>rd</sup> stage larvae in camel's heads	<b>68</b>
<b>Table (4):</b> Prevalence of <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae among donkeys of various age groups	<b>69</b>
<b>Table (5):</b> Seasonal prevalence of <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae among donkeys	<b>70</b>
<b>Table (6):</b> Mean of larval burden of <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae among donkeys of different sex	<b>71</b>
<b>Table (7):</b> Seasonal prevalence of <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae among donkeys from different Governorates	<b>71</b>
<b>Table (8):</b> Mean larval burden of <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. among donkeys from different Governorates	<b>72</b>
<b>Table (9):</b> Mean prevalence of infestation with <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae among donkeys of different head colors	<b>72</b>
<b>Table (10):</b> Bionomics of <i>C. titillator</i> 3 <sup>rd</sup> stage larvae	<b>73</b>
<b>Table (11):</b> Bionomics of <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. 3 <sup>rd</sup> stage larvae	<b>73</b>
<b>Table (12):</b> Evaluation of different <i>C. titillator</i> antigens by ELISA using suspected camels' sera	<b>74</b>
<b>Table (13):</b> ELISA parameters of different <i>C. titillator</i> larval antigens	<b>74</b>

<b>Table (14):</b> Evaluation of different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. antigens by ELISA using suspected donkeys' sera	<b>75</b>
<b>Table (15):</b> ELISA parameters of different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larval antigens	<b>75</b>
<b>Table (16):</b> Molecular weights (KDa) of protein bands of different antigens analyzed by SDS-PAGE under reducing condition	<b>76</b>
<b>Table (17):</b> Molecular weights (KDa) of protein bands of different antigens revealed by Western blot analysis	<b>77</b>
<b>Table (18):</b> Survival, mean weight, molting and reduction % of different <i>C. titillator</i> larvae reared on Agar based media	<b>78</b>
<b>Table (19):</b> Survival, mean weight, molting and reduction % of different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae reared on Agar based media	<b>79</b>
<b>Table (20):</b> Survival, mean weight, molting and reduction % of different <i>C. titillator</i> larvae reared on serum based media	<b>80</b>
<b>Table (21):</b> Survival, mean weight, molting and reduction % of different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae reared on serum based media	<b>81</b>
<b>Table (22):</b> Survival, mean weight, molting and reduction % of different <i>C. titillator</i> larvae reared on Immunoglobulin based media	<b>82</b>
<b>Table (23):</b> Survival, mean weight, molting and reduction % of different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae reared on Immunoglobulin based media	<b>83</b>

<b>Table (24):</b> Mean size of skin reaction (Inch) using different <i>C. titillator</i> larval antigen concentrations at different period of time	<b>84</b>
<b>Table (25):</b> Mean size of skin reaction (Inch) using different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larval antigen concentrations at different periods of time	<b>85</b>

## LIST OF GRAPHS

Graph	Page
<b>Graph (1):</b> Prevalence of infection with <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> among camels of various age groups	<b>86</b>
<b>Graph (2):</b> ): Prevalence of infection with <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> among camels of different sex	<b>86</b>
<b>Graph (3):</b> Seasonal prevalence of <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> larvae infesting camels	<b>87</b>
<b>Graph (4):</b> Mean seasonal larval burden of <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> larvae infesting camels	<b>88</b>
<b>Graph (5):</b> Prevalence of infestation with <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae among donkeys of various age groups	<b>89</b>
<b>Graph (6):</b> Prevalence of infestation with <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae among donkeys of different sex	<b>89</b>
<b>Graph (7):</b> Seasonal prevalence of <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae infesting donkeys	<b>90</b>

<b>Graph (8):</b> Mean seasonal prevalence of <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae infesting donkeys	<b>91</b>
<b>Graph (9):</b> Mean seasonal antibody% using L1CE and SG antigens of <i>C. titillator</i> larvae	<b>92</b>
<b>Graph (10):</b> ELISA assay parameters of L1CE and SG antigens of <i>C. titillator</i> larvae	<b>92</b>
<b>Graph (11):</b> Mean seasonal antibody% using different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larval antigens	<b>93</b>
<b>Graph (12):</b> ELISA assay parameters of different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larval antigens	<b>93</b>
<b>Graph (13):</b> Mean size of skin reaction using different <i>C. titillator</i> antigen concentrations at different periods of time	<b>94</b>
<b>Graph (14):</b> Mean size of skin reaction using different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. antigen concentrations at different periods of time	<b>95</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES AND PLATES

<b>Figure and Plate</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Plate (1):</b> <i>Cephalopina titillator</i>	<b>96</b>
<b>Plate (2):</b> Different degree of degenerations to <i>Oestrus ovis</i> 3 <sup>rd</sup> instar larvae in camel's heads	<b>97</b>

<b>Figure (1):</b> Different larval instars of <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> in camel pharanx	<b>98</b>
<b>Figure (2):</b> Dead L3 of <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> in the nasal mucosa	<b>99</b>
<b>Figure (3):</b> Macerated L3 of <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> in the nasal mucosa.	<b>99</b>
<b>Plate (3):</b> <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp.	<b>100</b>
<b>Figure (4):</b> L3 of <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. in the nasal mucosa of donkey	<b>101</b>
<b>Figure (5):</b> L3 of <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. in the larynax of donkey	<b>102</b>
<b>Figure (6):</b> Electrophoretic pattern of <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> antigens	<b>103</b>
<b>Figure (7):</b> Electrophoretic pattern of different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. antigens	<b>104</b>
<b>Figure (8):</b> Western Blot analysis showing reactivity of <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> antigens against their specific hyperimmune sera	<b>105</b>
<b>Figure (9):</b> Western Blot analysis showing reactivity of different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. antigens against their specific hyperimmune sera	<b>106</b>
<b>Plate (4):</b> <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. larvae after 24hours rearing in Immunoglobulin based media	<b>107</b>
<b>Plate (5):</b> Skin reaction using different <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> L1 crude antigen concentrations at different periods of time	<b>108</b>
<b>Plate (6):</b> Skin reaction using different <i>Cephalopina titillator</i> Salivary gland antigen concentrations at different periods of time	<b>109</b>
<b>Plate (7):</b> Skin reaction using different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. ESP	<b>110</b>

antigen concentrations at different periods of time	
<b>Plate (8):</b> Skin reaction using different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. Salivary gland antigen concentrations at different periods of time	<b>111</b>
<b>Plate (9):</b> Skin reaction using different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. Midgut ant concentrations at different periods of time	<b>112</b>
<b>Plate (10):</b> Skin reaction using different <i>Rhinoestrus</i> spp. Mixed cru antigen concentrations at different periods of time	<b>113</b>

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
%	Percent
°C	Celsius Degree
µg	Microgram
µL	Microliter
µm	Micrometer

<b>μmol/l</b>	Micromole per liter
<b>4CN</b>	4-Chloro 1-Naphthol
<b>B.WT.</b>	Body weight
<b>BSA</b>	Bovine serum albumin
<b>cm</b>	centimeter
<b>D.W</b>	Distilled water
<b>ELISA</b>	Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay
<b>ESP</b>	Excretory Secretory Product
<b>g</b>	Gram
<b>gm</b>	Gram
<b>hr</b>	Hour
<b>IDT</b>	Intradermal test
<b>IgG</b>	Immunoglobulin G
<b>KDa</b>	Kilodalton
<b>Kg</b>	Kilogram
<b>L1</b>	First larval instar
<b>L1CE</b>	L1 crude extract
<b>L2</b>	Second larval instar
<b>L3</b>	Third larval instar
<b>M</b>	Molar
<b>mA</b>	Milliampir
<b>MG</b>	mid gut
<b>mg</b>	Milligram
<b>mg/dl</b>	Milligram to each 100 milliliter
<b>min</b>	Minute
<b>MixCE</b>	Mixed crude extract
<b>ml</b>	Milliliter
<b>mM</b>	Millimol
<b>mm</b>	Milimeter
<b>MW</b>	Molecular weight
<b>n</b>	Number
<b>N</b>	Normality
<b>NaCl</b>	Sodium chloride
<b>Neg-HIS</b>	negative hyperimmune serum
<b>nm</b>	Nanometer
<b>OD</b>	Optical density
<b>OPD</b>	OrthophenyleneDiamine
<b>PBS</b>	Phosphate buffer saline
<b>PBST</b>	Phosphate buffer saline tween
<b>pH</b>	Degree of acidity and alkalinity
<b>PMSF</b>	phenyl methyl sulfonyl fluoride



<b>rpm</b>	Revolution per minute
<b>SDS</b>	Sodium dodecyl sulphate
<b>SE</b>	Standard error
<b>SG</b>	salivary gland
<b>TBS</b>	Tris-Buffer Saline
<b>TBS-T</b>	Tris-Buffer Saline Tween
<b>TEMED</b>	NNN'N' tetra methyl ethylene diamine
<b>V</b>	volt
<b>W</b>	Watt
<b>W/V</b>	Weight to volume
<b>β</b>	Beta

## Summary

The present study aimed to early diagnosis of nasal bots infestation in camels and donkeys through determination of the prevalence of infestation with of *C. titillator* and *Rhinoestrus* spp. larvae among camels and donkeys, beside studying their biology, evaluation of the immune response of the animals through ELISA test, characterization of antigens by electrophoresis and immunoblotting, in vitro rearing test and Intradermal test. The study revealed the influence of age, season and sex of the animals on their infestation rate. Also the importance of ELISA, immune-electrophoresis, in vitro rearing and intradermal tests as early tools in diagnosis of *Cephalopina titillator* and *Rhinoestrus* spp. larval infestations.

The results showed that the overall prevalence of infestation with *C. titillator* larvae among camels slaughtered at Toukh city slaughter house was 63.32% (449/709).

Regarding to the effect of age on the prevalence of infestation with *C. titillator* among camels, it was found that camels at all ages were susceptible to *C. titillator* infestation especially those more than ten years old (64.71%).

Dealing with the effect of camel sex on the prevalence, our data revealed that female camels showed higher incidence of infestation with *C. titillator* larvae (75%) than males (63.26%).

Regarding to the monthly prevalence of *C. titillator* larvae among camels, the highest infestation was in September (71.79%). In relation to the seasonal prevalence of *C. titillator* larvae among camels, the highest infestation rate was recorded in autumn (69.38%) and winter (67.04%).

Seasonal data in our study indicated that L1 were prevalent during spring while L2 and L3 were prevalent during summer & autumn, respectively.

In the present study, a total of 23790 *C. titillator* larvae were detected in 449 camels' heads (14020 L1 (58.93%), 7171 L2 (30.14%), 2599 L3 (10.92%)) giving an overall mean of  $33.53 \pm 2.22$  larvae per head.

The highest number of *C. titillator* larvae recovered from one camel's head was 462 and the lowest was one larva. The highest number of L1, L2 and L3 obtained from one camel head was 420, 217 and 46 larva/head, respectively.

The seasonal larval burden / number of larvae per infested camel's head (L/C) was the highest ( $7448/40.48 \pm 5.50$ ) in spring while the lowest was ( $4480/28.01 \pm 4.03$ ) in autumn.

With regard to number of generations per year, our data indicated that the evolution of *C. titillator* takes place all year round with many generations, at least three generations.

In the present study we detected that there was a period of diapauses during May that was characterized by prime prevalence (95.44%) to L1 over both L2 and L3, which gave an indication on the time of choice for chemotherapeutic application.

It was the first record to an infestation of 89 (12.55%) of camel head in Egypt with 129 L3 of *Oestrus ovis*.

The overall prevalence of infestation with *Rhinoestrus* spp. larvae Egyptian donkeys slaughtered at Giza zoo slaughter house was 74.91% (227/303).

Regarding to the effect of age on the prevalence of infestation with *Rhinoestrus* spp. larvae among donkeys, it was found that donkeys of all

ages were susceptible to *Rhinoestrus* spp. infestation especially those >4-8 years old (81.82%).

Dealing with the effect of donkey sex on the prevalence, our data revealed that female donkeys showed higher incidence of infestation with *Rhinoestrus* spp. larvae (76.59%) than males (73.45%).

Regarding to the monthly prevalence of *Rhinoestrus* spp. larvae among donkeys, it reached its peak in July (91.89%) followed by August (88.89%).

In relation to the seasonal prevalence of *Rhinoestrus* spp. larvae among donkeys, the highest infestation rate was recorded in winter (81.36%).

Seasonal data in our study revealed that L1 were prevalent in winter while L2 and L3 were prevalent in spring.

In the current study, a total of 8388 *Rhinoestrus* spp. larvae were recovered from 227 donkeys' heads (7221 L1 (86.08%), 545 L2 (6.49%), 622 L3 (7.41%)) giving an overall mean of  $27.68 \pm 2.43$  larva / head.

The highest number of *Rhinoestrus* spp. larvae recovered from one donkey's head was 248. The highest number of L1, L2 and L3 obtained from one donkey's head was 247, 43 and 34larva/head, respectively.

Seasonal larval burden / number of larvae per donkey's head (L/D) was the highest (3277/35.25 $\pm$ 5.15) in summer while the lowest was (1125/15.83  $\pm$  3.80) in spring.

This study indicated that donkeys admitted from Giza presented the highest infestation rate (89.09%). Also, there was no significant difference in the number of larvae among donkeys of different head colors.

With regard to number of generations per year, our data indicated that the evolution of *Rhinoestrus* spp. takes place all year round with many generations, at least two generations.

In this present study we detected that there were periods of diapauses during both June and November that were characterized by 100% prevalence for L1 and 0% for both L2 and L3, which could be considered an indication on time of choice for chemotherapeutic application.

As a first record, we detected 1L3 of *Oestrus ovis* dead and calcified in only one donkey's head.

Our study indicated that *C. titillator* full mature 3<sup>rd</sup> stage larvae had a mean larval prepupal period of 38.93±8.83hr and a pupal period of 27 day. *Rhinoestrus* spp. full mature 3<sup>rd</sup> stage larvae had a mean larval prepupal period of 24.88±3.90hr, pupal period of 15.75±2.52day, and life span of the adult fly of 8.19±1.89 day. It was noticed that the female emergence followed the male emergence at a rate of approximately 1 male: 3 females. Also, the females had a longer life span (6-15 days) than males.

ELISA assay detected 43.06% and 63.89% infested camels using L1 crude antigen and SG antigen, respectively. The highest antibody level was 168.57% for SG and the lowest was 31.42% for L1CE of *C. titillator*. The highest sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and diagnostic efficacy were 42.24%, 56.28%, 43.87%, 54.63% and 50%, respectively for L1CE.

ELISA assay detected 86.89%, 79.17%, 57.36%, 91.03% infested donkeys using MG extract, SG extract, ESP and Mixed crude antigens of *Rhinoestrus* spp., respectively. The highest antibody ratio was 192.68% for ESP antigen. The highest sensitivity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and diagnostic efficacy were 97.56%, 60.61%, 84.62% and

62.76%, respectively, for MixCE antigen. While the highest specificity was 36.51% for ESP antigen.

Characterization of *C. titillator* larval antigens using SDS-PAGE revealed 9 protein bands to L1CE of molecular weights 114–6.5KDa and 13 protein bands to SG of molecular weights 143–6.5KDa. While characterization of four different *Rhinoestrus* spp. larval antigens using SDS-PAGE revealed 6 protein bands to ESP at 240–32KDa, 15 protein bands to MG extract at 270– 6.5 KDa, 12 protein bands to the SG extract at 240–6.5 KDa and 17 protein bands to the MixCE at 270–6.5 KDa.

The immunoblotting analysis of different hyperimmune sera against their specific *C. titillator* larval antigens on nitrocellulose membrane, revealed 10 immunogenic reactive bands to hyperimmune sera of L1CE at MWs of 171–24KDa and 10 bands to hyperimmune sera of SG extract at 175–21KDa. There were 3 cross reactive bands between L1 and SG antigens at 60, 49 and 24KDa. While analysis of the hyperimmune sera against their specific *Rhinoestrus* spp. larval antigens revealed 5 immunogenic reactive bands to hyper immune sera of ESP at 75–24KDa, 7 bands to hyperimmune sera of MG extract at 175–24KDa, 10 bands to hyperimmune sera of SG extract at 148–20KDa and 14 bands to hyper immune sera of MixCE extract at 175–16KDa. There were cross immunogenic reactive bands: 3 between all antigens at 62, 52 and 24KDa, 2 between MG and Mixed crude antigens at 175 and 45KDa, 2 between SG and Mixed crude antigens at 78 and 37KDa.

Both ELISA and immune-electrophoresis revealed that L1 antigen of *C. titillator* and mixed crude or SG antigens of *Rhinoestrus* spp. larvae are the most efficient antigens in diagnosis of cephalopinosi and rhinoestrosi, respectively.

Early diagnosis of cephalopinosi and rhinoestrosi through in vitro rearing of different larval instars of *C. titillator* and *Rhinoestrus* spp., respectively, on three different media (Agar based, Serum based and Immunoglobulin based media) showed that the only successful inhibition to the larval growth and weight could occur by using the Immunoglobulin based media.

This study recorded for the first time that the intradermal test was superior in early diagnosis of cephalopinosi and rhinoestrosi because it gave fast response after 30min-1hr.