

LIST OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDEGMENT.....	I
OBJECTIVE	II
ABSTRACT	III
LIST OF CONTENTS.....	V
LIST OF TABLES	IX
LIST OF FIGURES	XI
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	3
2.1. Ecological studies	3
2.1.1.Incidence of insect species associated with cowpea crop at Sharkia Governorate.....	3
2.1.2. Population fluctuation of aphid, <i>Aphis craccivora</i> and it's predators on cowpea crop.....	5
2.1.3. Population density of the cotton leafworm, <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	10
2.2. Control studies	11
Laboratory studies.....	11
2.2.1.Response of field and laboratory strains of <i>Spodoptera. littoralis</i> to the tested Insecticides.....	11
2.2.2. Impact of indoxacarb, methomyl and lufenuron on the behavior of some biological aspects of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	18
2.2.3.Biochemical effects of tested insecticides on <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	22

2.2.4.Effect of some insecticides on <i>Aphis craccivora</i> under laboratory conditions.....	28
2.2.5.Impact of insecticides on the behavior of some biological aspects of <i>Aphis craccivora</i>	31
Field studies	32
2.2.6. Effect of certain insecticides against <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	32
2.2.7.Effect of some insecticides on <i>Aphis craccivora</i> under field conditions.....	34
III.MATERIALS AND METHODS	39
3.1. Ecological studies	39
3.1.1.Incidence of insect species associated with cowpea crop at Sharkia Governorate.....	39
3.1.2.Population fluctuation of aphid, <i>Aphis craccivora</i> and its predators on cowpea crop.....	40
3.1.3.Population density of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> on cowpea crop	41
3.2. Control studies	41
Laboratory studies	41
3.2.1.Response of field and laboratory strains of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> to the tested insecticides	44
3.2.2.Impact of indoxacarb, methomyl and lufenuron on the behavior of some biological aspects of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	46

3.2.3. Biochemical effects of tested compounds on laboratory and field strains of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> larvae	46
a-Carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes	47
b-Chitinase enzyme	47
c-Acetyl cholinesterase(AChE).....	48
d-Acid and alkaline phosphatases	48
3.2.4.Effect of insecticides, thiamethoxam 25% WG,pymetrozine 25% WG and chlorpyrifos-methyl 22.5% EC on <i>Aphis craccivora</i>	48
3.2.5.Impact of certain insecticides on the behavior of some biological aspects of <i>Aphis craccivora</i>	49
Field studies	50
3.2.6.Effect of certain insecticides against <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> on cowpea crop.....	50
3.2.7. Effect of some insecticides against <i>Aphis craccivora</i> on cowpea crop.....	51
IV.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	52
4.1. Ecological Studies	52
4.1.1.Incidence of insect species associated with cowpea crop at Sharkia Governorate.....	52
4.1.2.Population fluctuation of aphid, <i>Aphis craccivora</i> and its predators on cowpea crop.....	53
4.1.3.Effect of cowpea plants age on <i>Aphis craccivora</i> Population.....	61

4.1.4. Population density of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> on cowpea crop.....	62
4.1.5. Effect of plant age on population of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> on cowpea plants during seasons 2015 and 2016	67
4.2. Control studies	
Laboratory studies.....	68
4.2.1. Response of field and laboratory strains of <i>Spodoptera</i> <i>littoralis</i> to the tested insecticides.....	68
4.2.2. Impact of indoxacarb, methomyl and lufenuron on the behavior of some biological aspects of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	74
4.2.3. Biochemical effects of tested compounds on laboratory and field strains of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> larvae.....	79
- Carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes activity.....	79
- Acid, alkaline phosphatases and acetyl cholinesterase activities	83
- Chitinase activity.....	86
4.2.4. Effect of tested insecticides on <i>Aphis craccivora</i> under laboratory conditions.....	88
4.2.5. Impact of some insecticides on behavior of some biological aspects of <i>Aphis craccivora</i>	90
Field studies	92
4.2.6. Efficacy of tested insecticides against <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> on cowpea crop	92
4.2.7. Effect of tested insecticides against <i>Aphis craccivora</i> on cowpea under field conditions	94
V. SUMMARY	97
VI. CONCLUSION	106
VII. REFERENCES	108
Arabic Summary.....	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
1	Incidence of insect species associated with cowpea crop	52
2	Absolute and relative frequencies of insect pests associated with cowpea crops in three district at Sharkia Governorate	53
3	Population fluctuation of aphid, <i>Aphis craccivora</i> and its predators associated with cowpea crop at Zagazig district during season 2015	56
4	Population fluctuation of aphid, <i>Aphis craccivora</i> and its predators associated with cowpea crop at Zagazig district during season 2016	56
5	Simple correlation between <i>Aphis craccivora</i> , its associated predatory insects and climatic factors on cowpea during season of 2015 and 2016 at Zagazig district.	58
6	Effect of cowpea plants age on population of <i>Aphis craccivora</i> during seasons 2015 and 2016	61
7	Weekly mean numbers of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> , mean temperature and R.H. % on cowpea at Sharkia Governorate during season 2015	63
8	Weekly mean numbers of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> , mean temperature and R.H. % on cowpea at Sharkia Governorate during season 2016	63
9	Simple correlation (r) values for <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> on cowpea plants during seasons 2015 and 2016	64
10	Effect of cowpea plants age on population of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> during seasons 2015 and 2016	67
11	Response of field and laboratory strains of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> to the tested insecticides	70
12	Effect of tested insecticides on the behavior of some biological aspects of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i>	77
13	Carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes activity of the 4 th instar larval	82

Table	Title	Page
	homogenate of laboratory and field strains of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> after treatment with LC ₅₀ of each compound	85
14	Phosphatases and acetylcholinesterase activities in the 4 th instar larval homogenate of laboratory and field strains of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> (Boisd.) after treatment with LC ₅₀ of each compound	85
15	Chitinase activity in the 4 th instar larval homogenate of laboratory and field strains of <i>Spodoptera alittoralis</i> (Boisd.) after treatment with LC ₅₀ of each compound	87
16	Comparative toxicity of some insecticides against <i>Aphis craccivora</i> under laboratory conditions	89
17	Effect of tested compounds on biological aspects of <i>Aphis craccivora</i>	91
18	Efficacy of the tested insecticides against <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> on cowpea plants under field conditions during 2015	93
19	Efficacy of the tested insecticides against <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> on cowpea plants under field conditions during 2016	93
20	Mean reduction percentages of <i>Aphis craccivora</i> before and after different time interval when cowpea treated with some insecticides at Sharkia Governorate in the field during season 2015	96
21	Mean reduction percentages of <i>Aphis craccivora</i> before and after different time interval when cowpea treated with some insecticides at Sharkia Governorate in the field during season 2016	96

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.	Title	Page
1.	Relative frequency occurrence of insect species associated with cowpea crop in total collected samples in three districts at Sharkia Governorate	54
2.	Population fluctuation of aphid and its predators during season 2015 .	59
3.	Population fluctuation of aphid and its predators during season 2016	60
4.	Population density of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> on cowpea crop during season 2015	65
5.	Population density of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> on cowpea crop during season 2016	66
6.	Toxicity lines of insecticide, Match on the 4 th larval instar of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> of laboratory and field strains	71
7.	Toxicity lines of insecticide ,Betavaunt on the 4 th larval instar of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> of laboratory and field strains	72
8.	Toxicity lines of insecticide Methomate on the 4 th larval instar of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> of laboratory and field strains	73
9.	Malformation induced in 4 th instar larvae of <i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> treated with insecticides, Match, Methomate and Betavaunt.	78
10.	Toxicity lines of Chess 25%WG, Actara 25%WG and Reldan 22.5%EC against <i>Aphis craccivora</i>	89

ABSTRACT

This work is an attempt to obtain basic information concerning ecology of some insect species associated with cowpea plants, biology and control of major insect pests at Sharkia Governorate. Nine species belonging to 9 families were determined in the total collected samples. These species identified and classified according to their feeding habitats to two major groups i.e. harmful insects and predacious insects. Absolute and relative frequencies of occurrence for insect pests recorded on cowpea plants at the three localities, Belbees, Fakous and Zagazig, Sharkia Governorate were calculated. It was found that the most frequently species were *Aphis craccivora* Koch and *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.). The percentage of their absolute frequency occurrence recorded 76.06 and 43.58%, respectively while their relative frequency occurrence was 38.86 and 22.26 %. Population dynamics of the major pests were studied during season 2015 and 2016 on cowpea crop at Zagazig district. Aphids and cotton leafworm showed two peaks of abundance during 2015 and three peaks during season 2016.

Six insecticides were used in this study, namely, indoxacarb, lufenuron and methomyl against *S. littoralis* and thiamethoxam, pymetrozine and chlorpyrifos-methyl against *Aphis craccivora* under field and laboratory conditions. Laboratory studies were conducted to study susceptibility of both laboratory and field strains of 4th instar larvae of the cotton leaf worm *S. littoralis* (Boisd.) to Match, Betavaunt and Methomate. The high differences in LC₅₀ values were observed between the laboratory and the field strains as demonstrated by resistance ratio of 12.58, 13.47 and 23.09-fold for Betavaunt, Methomate and Match respectively.

In regarding to the effect of tested insecticides on biological aspects of *S. littoralis*, the results cleared that all insecticides caused prolongation in larval duration, reduction in pupation and adult emergence percent with significant differences between laboratory and field strains.

In addition, the effect of tested insecticides on the activities of carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes (amylase, trehalase and invertase), phosphatases (acid and alkaline phosphatase), acetyl cholinesterase and chitinase were evaluated in the field strain of *S. littoralis* (Boisd.), compared with the laboratory strain.

As for aphids ,toxicity of the tested insecticides was studied and the results showed that, Chess was the most effective insecticide followed by Actara and Reldan. LC_{50} for the tested insecticides can be arranged in the following descending order: Chess, Actara and Reldan since LC_{50} values were 2.39, 20.35 and 34.08 ppm, respectively.

In regarding to biological aspects, results showed that there were significant differences between longevity and fecundity of *A. craccivora* compared with control for using the concentration LC_{50} of insecticides. Going to field studies, results showed that, Match was the most effective insecticide against *S. littoralis* and exhibited the highest residual values which were 92.44% in the first season 2015 and 87.71% in 2016. Data indicated significant and high reduction percentages were recorded after 3 days of treatment against *A. craccivora*. Actara was the most effective insecticide which caused mean reduction percent 88.13% followed by Chess 79.37% while Reldan was the lowest one.