1_CONTENTS

No	Subject	Page
	ACKNOLEDGEMENTS	Ι
1	INTRODUCTION	1-3
2	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4-26
2.1	Definitions	4
2.1.1	Crop water requirements	4
2.1.2	Actual Evapotranspiration (water consumptive use)	4
2.1.3	Crop Evapotranspiration (ETc)	8
2.1.4	Reference evapotranspiration (ETo)	9
2.1.5	Crop coefficient (Kc)	11
2.1.6	Water use efficiency (WUE)	13
2.2	The experimental factors	15
2. 2. 1	Effect of sowing date	15
2. 2. 2	Effect of irrigation regime	19
2. 2. 2. 1	Effect of irrigation regime on wheat consumptive	19
	Effect of irrigation regime on Wheat yield and yield	21
2. 2. 2. 2	Components	
2.2.3	Effect of wheat variety	23
3	Materials and Methods	27
3.1	Location.	27-39
3.2	Climatic	27
3.3	The experimental design	29
3.4	Soil Samples	30
3.4.1	Soil physical chemical properties	30
3.5	Water relations	32
351	Actual water consumptive use 'WCU' (Actual	32
3. 3. 1	evapotranspiration)	54
3.5.2	Water measuring	33
3.6.3	Water use efficiency (WUE)	33
3. 5.4	Reference evapotranspiration (ET ₀)	33

Contents

3.5.4.1	FAO Penman-Monteith equation (Allen et al., 1998)	33
3. 5. 4. 2	Modified Penman equation	35
3.5.4.3	Doorenbos and Pruitt equation	36
3.5.4.4	Jensen and Haise equation	36
3.5.4.5	Pan evaporation equation	36
3.5.4.6	Blaney- Criddle equation	37
3. 5. 4. 7	Turc equation	38
3.5.5	Crop evapotranspiration (ET _c)	38
3.5.6	Crop coefficient (Kc)	38
3. 5. 7	Ratio between crop evapotranspiration and actual evapotranspiration.	39
3.6	Crop parameters measurements.	39
3.7	Statistical analysis	39
4	Results and discussion	40
4.1	Wheat Water relations	40
4. 1. 1	Actual evapotranspiration (Eta)as affected by irrigation regime, sowing and wheat variety	40
4.1.2	Water use efficiency (WUE)	46
4.1.3	Irrigation water applied	51
4. 1. 4	4. 1. 4. Actual evapotranspiration (mm) measured as affected by irrigation regimes intervals and different wheat growth stages	52
4.1.5	Reference evapotranspiration (ET ₀)	56
4.1.6	Crop evapotranspiration (ETc)	63
4.1.7	Crop coefficient (Kc)	70
4. 1. 8	Ratio between the estimated crop evapotranspiration (ETc) and the actual evapotranspiration (ETa)	77
4.2	Growth and Yield Parameters	80
4. 2.1	Plant height (cm)	80
4.2.2	Number spikes / m ²	84
4.2.3	Spike length (cm)	88
4.2.4	Number spikelet in spike	91
4.2.5	Grains number in Spike	96
4.2.6	Grains Weight of 5 Spikes (g)	101

Contents

4.2.7	Seed index (g/1000 grains)	105
4.2.8	Total biological yield (tons/fed)	109
4.2.9	Grain yield (kg /fed)	114
4. 2. 10	Straw yield (tons/fed)	118
4. 2. 11	Harvest index % (grain yield/total yield)	122
5	Summary conclusions	126-133
6	References	144-147
	Arabic Summary	1

2_List	of	Tables
--------	----	---------------

No	Subject	Page
1	Actual consumptive water use for wheat in some locations in Egypt, as cited in the literature.	8
2	Reference evapotranspiration (ETo) for wheat in some in locations Egypt, as cited in the literature.	11
3	The meteorological data at Sohage Station No. 623970 during 2012/2013, 2013 / 2014 and 2014 /2015 seasons	27
4	Local name and pedigree of the three cultivars	29
5	Soil moisture constants (% by weight) and bulkdensity(g cm ⁻³) of soil site at ShandaweelAgricultural Research Station.	31
6	physical and chemical properties of the soil.	31
7_A	7_A Seasonal Actual evapotranspiration Eta (m ³ /fed) of wheat cultivars i.e., Shandaweel-1, Giza-168 and Sides-12 as affected by irrigation regime and sowing date at Shandaweel region in the three seasons (2012/2013, 2013/2014 and 2014/2015)	43
7_B	7_A Seasonal Actual evapotranspiration Eta (m ³ /fed) of wheat cultivars i.e., Shandaweel-1, Giza-168 and Sides-12 as affected by irrigation regime and sowing date at Shandaweel region in the three seasons (2012/2013, 2013/2014 and 2014/2015)	45
8_A	Seasonal Water use efficiency (WUE)of wheat cultivars i.e., Shandaweel-1, Giza-168 and Sides-12 as affected by irrigation regime and sowing date at Shandaweel region in the three seasons (2012/2013, 2013/2014 and 2014/2015). WUE(kg grains/m ³)	49
8_B	Seasonal Water use efficiency (WUE)of wheat cultivars i.e., Shandaweel-1, Giza-168 and Sides-12 as affected by irrigation regime and sowing date at Shandaweel region in the three seasons (2012/2013,	50

v

	2013/2014 and 2014/2015). WUE(kg grains/m ³)	
9	Applied irrigation water as affected by sowing date and irrigation regime interval.	52
10	Actual evapotranspiration (mm) measured as affected by irrigation regimes intervals during different wheat growth stages through the winter seasons of 2012/13, 2013/14&2014/15.	55
11 _ A	Reference evapotranspiration ETo (mm) during the different growth stages of wheat using different empirical equations through the growing seasons of 2012/13 and the actual evapotranspiration ETa for the no stress irrigation treatment 21days interval.	60
11 _ B	Reference evapotranspiration ETo (mm) during the different growth stages of wheat using different empirical equations through the growing seasons of 2013/14 and the actual evapotranspiration ETa for the no stress irrigation treatment 21days interval.	61
11_C	Reference evapotranspiration ETo (mm) during the different growth stages of wheat using different empirical equations through the growing seasons of 2014/15 and the actual evapotranspiration ETa for the no stress of irrigation treatment 21days interval.	62
12 _ A	Crop evapotranspiration ETc (mm) during the different growth stages of wheat using different empirical equations through the growing seasons of 2012/13	67
12 _ B	Crop evapotranspiration ETc (mm) during the different growth stages of wheat using different empirical equations through the growing seasons of 2013/14	68
12_C	Crop evapotranspiration ETc (mm) during the different growth stages of wheat using different empirical equations through the growing seasons of	69

	2014/15	
13_A	Crop coefficients (Kc) during the different growth stages of wheat using different empirical equations through the growing seasons of 2012/13 and the crop coefficients (Kc*) as reported by FAO (1979).	74
13 _ B	Crop coefficients (Kc) during the different growth stages of wheat using different empirical equations through the growing seasons of 2013/14 and the crop coefficients (Kc*) as reported by FAO (1979).	75
13_C	Crop coefficients (Kc) during the different growth stages of wheat using different empirical equations through the growing seasons of 2014/15 and the crop coefficients (Kc*) as reported by FAO (1979).	76
14 _ A	The ratio between water consumptive used measured at non stress (21 days) and crop evapotranspiration calculated with different empirical equations for wheat during the winter season (2012/2013)	78
14 _ B	The ratio between water consumptive used measured at non stress (21 days) and crop evapotranspiration calculated with different empirical equations for wheat during the winter season (2013/2014)	79
14 _ C	The ratio between water consumptive used measured at non stress (21 days) and crop evapotranspiration calculated with different empirical equations for wheat during the winter season (2014/2015)	79
15 _ A	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation regimes on plant height (Cm) for some wheat varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	82
15 _ B	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation regimes on plant height (Cm) for some wheat	83

	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
16 A	Effect of different sowing dates and	
	irrigatioregimes on Spikes number /m2for some	97
10_A	wheat varieties under Sohage governorate	00
	conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
16 _ B	regimes on Spikes number /m2for some wheat	87
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
17 _ A	regimes on spike length (cm) for some wheat	90
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
17 <u>B</u>	regimes on spike length (cm) for some wheat	91
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
1Q A	regimes on number spikelet in spike for some	04
10 _ A	wheat varieties under Sohage governorate	94
	conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
18 R	regimes on number spikelet in spike for some	95
10 _ D	wheat varieties under Sohage governorate	73
	conditions.	-
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
19_A	regimes on grains number/spike for some wheat	99
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
19 <u>B</u>	regimes on grains number/spike for some wheat	100
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	_
20 _ A	regimes on grain weight /5spikes (g) of some wheat	103
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
20 <u>B</u>	regimes on grain weight /5spikes (g) of some wheat	104
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
21 _ A	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	107
	regimes on Seed Index (g/1000grains) for some	- · · /

	wheat varieties under Sohage governorate	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
21 B	regimes on Seed Index (g/1000grains) for some	108
	wheat varieties under Sohage governorate	
	conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
22 A	regimes on Total biological Yield (tons/fed)for	112
	some wheat varieties under Sohage governorate	114
	conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
22 D	regimes on Total biological Yield (tons/fed)for	112
22 _ D	some wheat varieties under Sohage governorate	115
	conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
23 _ A	regimes on Grain Yield (kg /fed) for some wheat	116
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
23 _ B	regimes on Grain Yield (kg /fed) for some wheat	117
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
24 _ A	regimes on Straw yield (tons/fed) for some wheat	120
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
24 _ B	regimes on Straw yield (tons/fed) for some wheat	121
	varieties under Sohage governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
a= 1	regimes on Harvest index % (grain yield/total	104
25_A	vield) for some wheat varieties under Sohage	124
	governorate conditions.	
	Effect of different sowing dates and irrigation	
az n	regimes on Harvest index % (grain yield/total	105
25 <u>B</u>	yield) for some wheat varieties under Sohage	125
	governorate conditions.	
1		

3_List of Figures

No	Subject	Page
1	Class A evaporation pan	37
2	Actual evapotranspiration (Eta)	44
3	water use efficiency WUE	49
4	Irrigation water applied	51
5_A	Actual evapotranspiration (mm)	53
5 _ B	Actual evapotranspiration (mm)	54
5_C	Actual evapotranspiration (mm)	54
6_A	Reference evapotranspiration (ET0)	58
6_B	Reference evapotranspiration (ET0)	58
6_C	Reference evapotranspiration (ET0)	59
7 _ A	Crop evapotranspiration (ETc)	65
7 _ B	Crop evapotranspiration (ETc)	66
7_C	Crop evapotranspiration (ETc)	66
8_A	Crop coefficient (Kc)	72
8_B	Crop coefficient (Kc)	72
8_C	Crop coefficient (Kc)	73
	Ratio between the estimated crop	
9	evapotranspiration (ETc) and the actual	87
	evapotranspiration (ETa)	

Х

5-Summary

Effect of Different Sowing Dates and Irrigation Regimes on Growth, Yield and Consumptive Use for Some Wheat Varieties under Sohage Governorate Conditions.

Field experiments were conducted at Shandaweel Agricultural Research Station, during the three winter successive seasons 2012/2013, 2013/2014 and 2014/2015 to find out the effect of two different sowing dates 20th November and 5th December and irrigation regimes intervals 21, 28 and 35 days on growth, yield and consumptive use of three wheat varieties Shandaweel-1, Giza-168 and Sides-12 under Sohage governorate conditions. The experiment was laid out in a split – split plot design with three replications.

The experiment was laid out in a split – split plot design with three replications. The plot area was 42.0 m² (6 x 7 m). The main plots were devoted to sowing dates of S1 = first sowing date (20th November) S2 = second sowing date (5th December); the sub plots were assigned to the irrigation regime as intervals of I1= 21 days, I2= 28 days, and I3= 35 days, and the sub_ sub plots were assigned to three wheat cultivars including V1 = Shandaweel 1, V2 = Giza 168 and V3 = Sides 12. Results revealed that sowing dates, irrigation regimes intervals and wheat cultivars were resulted in significant differences in most studied traits through the three seasons.

The obtained results could be summarized as follows:-

<u>1- Water relations for wheat.</u>

1. 1. Irrigation water applied.

The average of irrigation water applied for wheat crop through the three seasons 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15 for irrigation treatments 21, 28

and 35 days intervals were 4364, 3730 and 3008 m^3 /fed, respectively these for the first sowing date 20th November, where in the second sowing date 5th December were 4425, 3587 and 3089 m^3 /fed, in the same respective.

1. 2. Actual evapotranspiration (ETa) for wheat.

The mean average of ETa for the first sowing date 20^{th} November were 1908, 1857 and 1778m³/fed and it were 1855, 1879 and 1777 m³/fed for the second sowing date 5th December through the three growth seasons 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15, respectively.

The mean average of ETa was affected by the irrigation intervals, where the irrigation treatment 21days interval recorded the highest rate of ETa 2194, 2104 and 2030 m³/fed through the three growth seasons 2012/13, 201314 and 2014/15, respectively, these for increased in the irrigation applied number and the increased in the available soil moisture after each irrigation .

Wheat cultivar Giza 168 produced the highest value for the estimated ETa, where wheat cultivar sides12 was the lowest in ETa.

Actual evapotranspiration rate was low in the begging of the season during the early stage they increased to reach their maximum values in third stage mid-season due to the maximum temperature and plant canopy, and then tended to decline again until the crop maturity due to crop canopy changes.

1. 3. water use efficiency (WUE).

The second sowing date 5^{th} December recorded the highest values of WUE 1.255, 1.323 and 1.409 kg of wheat grain/m³ through the three growth seasons 2012/13, 2013/14 and 2014/15, respectively.

The irrigation at 21 days interval produced the highest value of WUE 1.251 kg of wheat grain/m³ during the first season 201/13, while the irrigation treatment 35 days interval recorded the highest values of WUE 1.382 and

1.380 kg of wheat grain/m³ through the second and third seasons 2013/14 and 2014/15, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 recorded the highest rates for WUE 1.145, 1.340 and 1.332 kg of wheat grain/m³, through the three seasons.

1.4. Reference evapotranspiration (ET_0) & Crop evapotranspiration (ETc).

Penman equation recorded the highest $ET_0 l$ values through the three seasons.

The maximum monthly values of ET_0 calculated using Penman Monteith, Modified Penman, Doornbos and Pruitt, Jensen and Haise, evaporation Pan, Blaney- Criddle and Turc equations for Wheat crop were found in April in the three studied seasons this due to the increased in the air temperature during this month. ETc results take the same direction of ETc through the three seasons but, the maximum monthly calculated values of ETc for wheat crop were in mid season stage in March.

1. 5. Crop coefficient (Kc).

Evaporation Pan recorded the highest value of Kc, while modified penman equation recorded the lowest value of Kc, through the three growth seasons, at the beginning of the growing season the values of Kc were low, because plant vegetation growth has not established yet and so the loss of moisture is mostly by evaporation from soil surface. As the plant growth stages developed, a gradual increase is observed in crop coefficient. The crop coefficient reached their peaks in the third growth stage. After reaching the peak of vegetation development, the rate of crop coefficient pronouncedly decrease during the late season.

1. 5. Ratio between the estimated crop evapotranspiration (ETc) and the actual evapotranspiration (ETa).

These ratio showed who mush the estimated ETc data are close range with the actual evapotranspiration.

The best equations to estimate the ETc of wheat crop at Shandaweel region were Penman Monteith and Modified Penman equations .

2. Growth and Yield Parameters:

All the experiment treatments from sowing dates, irrigation treatments intervals and wheat cultivars produced significant differences.

2. 1. Plant height (cm):

The second sowing date 5^{th} Dec, recorded the best results for plant height as an average of the three seasons 94.6 cm, and increase the plant height by 2.8% more than the first sowing date 20^{th} November.

Irrigation treatment 21 and 28 days interval produced the highest values of plant height 93.6 and 93.4 cm as an average of the three seasons, and increased the plant height by 0.9 and 0.7, more than the irrigation treatment 35 days interval, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 produced the highest values of plant height 95.8 cm as an average of the three seasons and increased the plant height by 4.6% more than 92.4 cm from wheat cultivar Giza 168.

2. 2. Number spikes / m²:

The second sowing date recorded the best results for number spikes/m²as an average of the three seasons 468 spikes/m², and increase the spikes/m² by 3.97% more than the first sowing date 20^{th} November.

Irrigation treatment 21 and 28 days interval increased the number spikes/m² average of the three seasons, by 23.9 and 8.34 % more than the irrigation treatment 35 days interval, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 produced the highest values of spikes/m² 471.8 spikes/m² as an average of the three seasons and increased the spikes/m²by 5.71% more than wheat cultivar Giza 168.

2. 3. Spike length (cm):

The second sowing date recorded the best results for Spike length as an average of the three seasons 12.1 cm, and increase the spike length by 3.84 % more than the first sowing date 20th November.

Irrigation treatment 21 and 28 days interval increased the spike length average of the three seasons, by 8.26 and 3.42 % more than the irrigation treatment 35 days interval, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 produced the highest values of Spike length 12.2 cm as an average of the three seasons and increased spike length by 6. 53 % more than wheat cultivar Giza 168.

2. 4. Number spikelet in spike:

The second sowing date recorded the best results for Number spikelet in spike as an average of the three seasons 20.6 spikelet / spike, and increase the Number spikelet in spike by 1.5 % more than the first sowing date 20^{th} November.

Irrigation treatment 21 and 28 days interval increased the Number spikelet in spike average of the three seasons, by 7.8 and 2.9 % more than the irrigation treatment 35 days interval, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 produced the highest values of number spikelet in spike 21.2 spikelet / spike as an average of the three seasons and increased Number spikelet in spike by 6. 9 % more than wheat cultivar Giza 168.

2. 5. Grains number in Spike:

The second sowing date recorded the best results for grains number in spike as an average of the three seasons 69 grains, and increase the grains number in Spike by 13.9 % more than the first sowing date 20th November.

Irrigation treatment 21 and 28 days interval increased the grains number in spike average of the three seasons, by13.3 and 5 % more than the irrigation treatment 35 days interval, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Sides 12 produced the highest values of grains number in spike as an average of the three seasons and increased grains number in spike by 12.3 % more than wheat cultivar Giza 168.

2. 6. Grains Weight of 5 Spikes (g)

The second sowing date recorded the best results for grains weight of 5 spikes (g)as an average of the three seasons 13.7 g, and increase the grains weight of 5 spikes (g) by 5.6 % more than the first sowing date 20^{th} November.

Irrigation treatment 21 and 28 days interval increased the grains weight of 5 spikes (g) average of the three seasons, by 32.7 and 10.6 % more than the irrigation treatment 35 days interval, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 produced the highest values of grains weight of 5 spikes (g) 14 as an average of the three seasons and increased grains weight of 5 spikes by 9.1 % more than wheat cultivar Giza 168.

2. 7. Seed index (g/1000 grains):

The second sowing date recorded the best results for Seed index as an average of the three seasons 42.4 g, and increase the Seed index by 1.4 % more than the first sowing date 20^{th} November.

Irrigation treatment 21 and 28 days interval increased the Seed index (g) average of the three seasons, by 14.9 and 7.1 % more than the irrigation treatment 35 days interval, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 produced the highest values of Seed index (g) 14 as an average of the three seasons and increased Seed index by 4.3% more than wheat cultivar sides 12.

2. 8. Total biological yield weight (tons/fed):

The second sowing date recorded the best results for Total biological yield as an average of the three seasons 6.444 tons/ fed and increase the Total biological yield by 7.98 % more than the first sowing date 20^{th} November.

Irrigation treatment 21 and 28 days interval increased the Total biological yield average of the three seasons, by 23.41and 11.27 % more than the irrigation treatment 35 days interval, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 produced the highest values of Total biological yield 6.389 tons/fed as an average of the three seasons and increased Total biological yield by 4.7% more than wheat cultivar sides 12.

2. 9. Grain yield (kg /fed):

The second sowing date recorded the best results for grain yield as an average of the three seasons 2419.2 kg/ fed and increase the grain yield by 17.5 % more than the first sowing date 20^{th} November.

Irrigation treatment 21 and 28 days interval increased the grain yield average of the three seasons, by 33 and 12.4 % more than the irrigation treatment 35 days interval, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 produced the highest values of grain yield 2316.2 kg/fed as an average of the three seasons and increased grain yield by 7.3 % more than wheat cultivar sides 12.

2. 10. Straw yield (tons/ fed):

The second sowing date recorded the best results for straw yield as an average of the three seasons 3.99 tons / fed and increase the straw yield by 2.52% more than the first sowing date 20^{th} November.

Irrigation treatment 21 and 28 days interval increased the straw yield average of the three seasons, by18.12 and 9.76 % more than the irrigation treatment 35 days interval, respectively.

Wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 produced the highest values of straw yield 4.065 tons /fed as an average of the three seasons and increased straw yield by 4.82 % more than wheat cultivar sides 12.

CONCLUSIONS

To maximizes wheat crop production in Shandaweel region use 5th December as sowing date.

Use the irrigation interval 21 days.

Use wheat cultivar Shandaweel 1 to get the highest yield.