

## Contents

Subject	Page
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. REVIEW OF LITRTATURE</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.1.Salt affected soils</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.1.1. Definition of salt-affected soils</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.2.Classification of salt-affected soils</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2.1. Saline soils</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2.2. Sodic or alkali soils</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2.3. Saline-sodic soils</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.3.Some characteristic of salt affected soils</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.3.1. Soil chemical properties</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.3.2. Soil physical properties</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2.3.3. Soil biological properties</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.4.Relationship between salt-affected soils and production of crops</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.5.Effect of magnetite (magnetic iron) on growth and yield plants</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>2.6.Effect of organic amendments on some soil properties</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>2.6.1. Some soil chemical properties</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>2.6.1.1. Soluble salt content, SAR and ESP</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>2.6.1.2. Soil pH</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>2.6.1.3. Organic matter content</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>2.6.1.4. Availability nutrients in soil</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>2.6.2. Some soil physical properties</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>2.6.3. Effect of organic amendments on growth and yield of plants</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>2.7.Effect of sugar lime on some soil properties</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>3. MATERIAL AND METHODS</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>3.1.Experimental work</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>3.2.Magnetite</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>3.3.Vermicompost</b>	<b>27</b>

<b>3.4.Industrial wastes</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>3.5.Methods of analysis</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>3.5.1. Soil sampling</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>3.5.2. Soil analysis</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>3.5.3. Plant analysis</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>3.5.4. Statistical analysis</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>4.1.Effect of treatments on some soil chemical properties</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>4.2.Effect of treatments on some physical properties</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>4.3.The effect different treatments on soil available nutrient contents</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>4.4.Plant nutrient contents as affected by ameliorating soil properties</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>4.5.Influence of applied different treatments on grain yield of wheat and maize plants</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>4.6.Influence of applied magnetic iron Levels on wheat and maize yield parameters</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>4.7.Evaluate the studied treatments</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>6. REFERENCES</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>7. ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>No.</b>
1.	Some chemical and physical properties of the studied soil	26
2.	The main chemical characteristics and nutrients status of vermicompost	27
3.	Some characteristics of sugar industrial wastes	28
4.	The effect of the studied treatments on some chemical properties of soil after wheat	34
5.	The effect of the studied treatments on some chemical properties of soil after maize	35
6.	The effect of treatments on some physical properties of the soil	38
7.	The effect of the studied treatments on available soil macronutrient and micronutrients after wheat	40
8.	The effect of the studied treatments on available soil macronutrient and micronutrients after maize	42
9.	The effect of the studied treatments on the concentration of macronutrients and micronutrients in wheat grain	45
10.	The effect of the studied treatments on the uptake of macronutrients and micronutrients in wheat grain	45
11.	The effect of the studied treatments on the concentration of macronutrients and micronutrients in wheat straw	46
12.	The effect of the studied treatments on the uptake of macronutrients and micronutrients in wheat straw	47
13.	The effect of the studied treatments on the concentration of macronutrients and micronutrients in maize grain	48
14.	The effect of the studied treatments on the uptake of macronutrients and micronutrients in maize grain	48
15.	The effect of the studied treatments on wheat and maize yield	50
16.	The effect of the studied treatments on wheat and maize return	54

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIG.	Subject	No.
1.	The effect of different treatments on relative decrease values of $EC_e$ after wheat	34
2.	The effect of different treatments on relative decrease values of ESP after maize	34
3.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of CEC after wheat	35
4.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of O. M after wheat	35
5.	The effect of different treatments on relative decrease values of $EC_e$ after maize	36
6.	The effect of different treatments on relative decrease values of ESP after maize	36
7.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of CEC after maize	36
8.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of O. M after maize	36
9.	The effect of different treatments on relative decrease values of bulk density	38
10.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values of total porosity	39
11.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of hydraulic conductivity	39
12.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of available water	39
13.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of total soil N% after wheat	41
14.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of available soil macronutrient after wheat	41
15.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of available soil micronutrient after wheat	41

16.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of total soil N% after maize	42
17.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of available soil macronutrient after maize	42
18.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase values over control % of available soil micronutrient after maize	42
19.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase value over control % of macronutrients uptake in wheat grain	46
20.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase value over control % of micronutrients uptake in wheat grain	46
21.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase value over control % of macronutrients uptake in wheat straw	47
22.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase value over control % of macronutrients uptake in wheat straw	47
23.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase value over control % of macronutrients uptake in grain maize	49
24.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase value over control % of micronutrients uptake in grain maize	49
25.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase value over control % of grain wheat yield	51
26.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase value over control % of straw wheat yield	51
27.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase value over control % of grain maize yield	51
28.	The effect of different treatments of total net yield L. E	55
29.	The effect of different treatments on over control of total net return amendment L. E	55
30.	The effect of different treatments on relative increase value over control % of total net yield	55

## ABSTRACT

This study was carried out on clayey soil at kom Abou-Khallad village, Nasser district, Beni-Suef Governorate, Egypt during the winter season of 2014/2015 (Wheat) and summer season of 2015 (Maize) Also, this study aims at investigating effects of the studied treatments on improving some physical and chemical properties of saline soil, using magnetite (Magnetic iron), Vermicompost and mixture of filter mud and sugar lime 1:1 w/w experiment. In addition, improving some physical and chemical properties of saline soil using vermicompost, magnetite (magnetic iron) and sugar industry wastes (mixture of filter mud and sugar lime 1:1 w/w).

### **The main purpose of this work was:**

1. Evaluate the effect of (sugar lime + filter mud) application in combination with magnetite and organo-stimulant (vermicompost) on improving of some chemical and physical properties of salt affected clay soils.
2. Evaluate the effective role of applied (Filter Mud+ Sugar Lime), magnetite and vermicompost as solely in combined treatments on the tolerance of wheat and maize plants grown under a slight soil salinity condition.
3. Evaluate the studied amendments economically.

### **The experiment had 13 treatments as follow:**

T<sub>1</sub> = Control

T<sub>2</sub> = Magnetite (100 K.g fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>3</sub> = Magnetite (150 K.g fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>4</sub> = Magnetite (200 K.g fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>5</sub> = Vermicompost (2.5 Mg fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>6</sub> = Vermicompost (3.3 Mg fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>7</sub> = Vermicompost (4 Mg fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>8</sub> = Filter Mud and Sugar Lime Mix (1:1) (6.7 Mg fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>9</sub> = Filter Mud and Sugar Lime Mix (1:1) (10 Mg fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>10</sub> = Filter Mud and Sugar Lime Mix (1:1) (13.3 Mg fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>11</sub> = Magnetite (100 K.g fed<sup>-1</sup>) and Vermicompost (2.5 Mg fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>12</sub> = Magnetite (100 K.g fed<sup>-1</sup>) and Filter Mud and Sugar Lime Mix (1:1) (6.7 Mg fed<sup>-1</sup>)

T<sub>13</sub> = Filter Mud and Sugar Lime Mix (1:1) (6.7 Mg fed<sup>-1</sup>) and Vermicompost (2.5 Mg fed<sup>-1</sup>)

**From the obtained result, of this experiment it could be concluded that:**

- A.** Adding magnetite (magnetic iron), vermicompost and sugar industry wastes (mixture filter mud and sugar lime 1:1 w/w) and their mixture, improved the chemical and physical properties of salt-affected soil.
- B.** Application of T10 = Filter Mud and Sugar Lime Mix (1:1) (13.3 Mg fed-1), gave the highest decrease in values of electrical conductivity (EC), (ESP), and increased of (O.M content and CEC) which lead to improving the chemical properties of salt-affected soil. Also, increased the total porosity and hydraulic conductivity, which render easy to get rid of the salts and the bulk density decreased.
- C.** Application of T10 = Filter Mud and Sugar Lime Mix (1:1) (13.3 Mg fed-1), gave the highest increase of wheat and maize yield.
- D.** The results showed that the top three practical and economic parameters of the field experiment were (T7, T13, T10) respectively where the increase values were (79.34, 66.91, 65.20) as compared to control.

**Key words:** *magnetic iron, vermicompost, sugar industry wastes, amendments, wheat, maize, Salt-affected Soils.*