

# CONTENTS

Title	Page
<b>1- INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2- REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.1- Chemical Profile .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.2- Bacterial profile .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>2.3- Public Health .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>3- MATERIALS AND METHODS .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>4- RESULTS.....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>5- DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>6- CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>7-SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>8- REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>9- ARABIC SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>1-3</b>

## *List of Tables*

<b>Table No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>Table (1):</b>	Statistical analytical results of Thiobarbituric acid value (TBA) "mg/Kg" in the examined samples of thigh and breast of various poultry meats (n=15).	<b>51</b>
<b>Table (2):</b>	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of TBA levels in the examined samples of poultry meats	<b>51</b>
<b>Table (3):</b>	Acceptability of the examined samples of poultry meats based on their levels of TBA (n=15).	<b>52</b>
<b>Table (4):</b>	Statistical analytical results of Peroxide value (PV) "meqO <sub>2</sub> /kg" in the examined samples of thigh and breast of various poultry meats (n=15).	<b>54</b>
<b>Table (5):</b>	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of peroxide values in the examined samples of poultry meats	<b>54</b>
<b>Table (6):</b>	Statistical analytical results of free fatty acids (mg %) in the examined samples of thigh and breast of various poultry meats (n=15).	<b>56</b>
<b>Table (7):</b>	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of free fatty acids in the examined samples of poultry meats.	<b>56</b>
<b>Table (8):</b>	Fractionation of fatty acid composition (mg/100 g) in the examined samples of duck meat.	<b>58</b>
<b>Table (9):</b>	Fractionation of fatty acid composition (mg/100 g) in the examined samples of chicken meat	<b>59</b>

<b>Table (10):</b>	Fractionation of fatty acid composition (mg/100 g) in the examined samples of turkey meat	<b>60</b>
--------------------	---	-----------

<b>Table (11):</b>	Statistical analytical results of total lipolytic bacterial count (cfu/g) in the examined samples of thigh and breast of various poultry meats (n=15).	<b>61</b>
<b>Table (12):</b>	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of total lipolytic bacterial count in the examined samples of poultry meats	<b>61</b>

## *List of Figures*

<b>Figure No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>Figure (1):</b>	Mean values of TBA in the examined poultry meat samples.	<b>53</b>
<b>Figure (2):</b>	Mean values of PV in the examined poultry meat samples.	<b>55</b>
<b>Figure (3):</b>	Mean values of free fatty acids in the examined poultry meat samples	<b>57</b>
<b>Figure (4):</b>	Mean values of total lipolytic bacterial count in the examined poultry meat samples	<b>62</b>

## *List of Abbreviations*

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Meaning</b>
<b>BHA</b>	Butylated hydroxy anisole
<b>BHT</b>	Butylated hydroxy toluene
<b>CO</b>	Cholesterol oxidation
<b>EDTA</b>	Ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid
<b>EOS</b>	Egyptian organization for standardization
<b>FFA</b>	Free fatty acid
<b>GC</b>	Gas chromatography
<b>MDC</b>	Mechanically deboned chicken
<b>MUFAS</b>	Mono unsaturated fatty acid
<b>PUFAS</b>	Polyunsaturated fatty acids
<b>PV</b>	Peroxide value
<b>SFAS</b>	Saturated fatty acids
<b>TBA</b>	Thiobarbituric acid
<b>TBARS</b>	Thiobarbituric acid reactive substance
<b>TMUFAS</b>	Total monounsaturated fatty acids
<b>TPUFAS</b>	Total poly unsaturated fatty acids

<b>TSFAS</b>	Total saturated fatty acids
<b>WOF</b>	Warmed-over flavor

## 7. Summary

Ninety random samples of fresh poultry meat were collected from different poultry slaughtered shops in Tanta city, Gharbia government. The examined samples were breast, thigh cuts of duck, chicken and turkey (15 of each).

All collected samples were kept in a separated sterile plastic bag and preserved in an ice box. Then transferred as quickly as possible to the laboratory with a minimum limit of delay.

Keeping quality tests of these samples showed that the average value of TBA (mg/kg), PV (meq/O<sub>2</sub>/kg) and FFA (mg%) were  $0.52 \pm 0.03$ ,  $0.85 \pm 0.09$  and  $0.69 \pm 0.07$  for duck thigh samples and  $0.40 \pm 0.02$ ,  $0.64 \pm 0.07$  and  $0.52 \pm 0.05$  for duck breast samples where as for chicken thigh samples were  $0.33 \pm 0.02$ ,  $0.57 \pm 0.08$  and  $0.45 \pm 0.06$  respectively. While, for chicken breast sample were  $0.09 \pm 0.01$ ,  $0.41 \pm 0.05$  and  $0.36 \pm 0.03$ . Plus,  $0.15 \pm 0.01$ ,  $0.33 \pm 0.04$  and  $0.28 \pm 0.02$  for turkey thigh samples, as well as  $0.12 \pm 0.01$ ,  $0.27 \pm 0.02$  and  $0.20 \pm 0.03$  for turkey breast samples.

Actually, all examined samples were accepted according to (EOS) (2005) as TBA results as not exceeded 0.9.

There were highly significant differences ( $P < 0.01$ ) associated with the results of TBA, PV and FFAs in the examined samples.

On the other hand, the fractionation of fatty acid composition (mg/100g) as total saturated fatty acids, total mono-unsaturated fatty acids and total poly-unsaturated fatty acids were 2481, 1660, 745 & 2253, 1741 and 869 for duck thigh and breast samples, respectively.

As well as 2164, 1793 and 884 & 1959, 1872 and 967 for chicken thigh and breast samples, respectively. But for 1754, 1885 and 1028 & 1408, 2012 and 1136 for turkey thigh and breast samples

Finally mean value of total lipolytic bacterial count (cfu/g) were  $6.61 \times 10^4 \pm 1.02 \times 10^3$ ,  $2.98 \times 10^4 \pm 0.47 \times 10^4$  and  $5.16 \times 10^3 \pm 0.93 \times 10^3$  for thigh samples &  $3.8 \times 10^4 \pm 0.58 \times 10^4$ ,  $9.26 \times 10^3 \pm 2.15 \times 10^3$  and  $4.07 \times 10^3 \pm 0.73 \times 10^3$  for breast of duck, chicken and turkey samples, respectively.