CONTENTS

Subject	Page
1-INTRODUCTION	1
2- REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	36
4- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	44
Effect of different locations, cultivars, fertilizers level	44
treatments and their interaction on:	
4-1- Vegetative growth.	44
4-1-1-Different locations.	44
4-1-2- Cultivars.	47
4-1-3- Fertilizers level.	47
4-1-4- Interaction.	48
4-2- Chemical Composition of plant foliage.	51
4-2-1-Different locations.	51
4-2-2- Cultivars.	53
4-2-3- Fertilizers level.	53
4-2-4- Interaction.	54
4-3- Fruit yield and its components.	57
4-3-1-Different locations.	57
4-3-2- Cultivars.	60
4-3-3- Fertilizers level.	61
4-3-4- Interaction.	62
4-4- Chemical fruit quality.	66

4-4-1-Different locations.	67
4-4-2- Cultivars.	70
4-4-3- Fertilizers level.	71
4-4-4- Interaction.	71
5- SUMMARY	78
6- CONCLUSION	86
7- REFERENCES	87
8- ARABIC SUMMARY	-

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Subject	Page
1	Chemical analysis of soil.	36
2	Monthly Maximum, minimum and average air temperature (c°) as well as relative humidity% and radiations at El- Bosily and El- Fayoum region during the two growing seasons.	39
3	Monthly Max., min. and average soil temperature at El- Bosily and El- Fayoum region during the two growing seasons.	40
4	Effect of different locations, Cultivars, fertilizer levels treatments and their interactions on vegetative growth of tomato plants after 60 days from transplanting in the first season 2013.	45
5	Effect of different locations, Cultivars, fertilizer levels treatments and their interactions on vegetative growth of tomato plants after 60 days from transplanting in the second season 2014.	46
6	Effect of different locations, Cultivars, fertilizer levels treatments and their interactions on Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium contents as well as Chlorophyll reading of plant foliage in both seasons 2013-2014.	52

7	Effect of different locations, Cultivars, fertilizer levels treatments and their interactions on fruit yield of tomato plants in the first season 2013.	58
8	Effect of different locations, Cultivars, fertilizer levels treatments and their interactions on fruit yield of tomato plants in the second season 2014.	59
9	Effect of different locations, Cultivars, fertilizers level treatments and their interactions on chemical fruit quality of tomato plant in the first season 2013.	68
10	Effect of different locations, Cultivars, fertilizers level treatments and their interactions on chemical fruit quality of tomato plant in the second season 2014.	69

ABSTRACT

Two open field experiments were performed during the two successive summer seasons of 2013 and 2014 at El-Bosily, El-Behaira governorate and El-Fayuom to investigate the growth and productivity of two tomato cultivars under different climatic conditions and different levels of NPK fertilizers. The experimental treatments were arranged by applying the split split plot design where, location treatments were arranged in the main plots, tomato cultivars treatments were arranged in the sub plots and fertilizer levels were arranged in the sub sub plots. Results showed that planting the tomato in El Fayoum location and using cv. Super Strain B plants and fertilized by 100% from the recommended doses of N.P.K. exhibited the highest values of all vegetative growth parameters compared with the other interaction treatments.. Also the tomato plants cv. Super Strain B cultivated in El Fayoum location combined with using 100% level from the recommended N.P.K doses exhibited the highest values of all fruit yield characteristics expressed as number of fruits/plant, fruit yield/ plant, total fruit yield/ fedd., fruit weight, length, diameter, shape and fruit set% compared with the other interaction treatments. On the other hand, using cv. Castelrock and 80% from the recommended dose treatments in El Behaira location gave the lowest values. Meanwhile, cultivating the tomato plants in El Behaira by using cv. Super Strain B and 120 % from the recommended NPK doses exhibited the highest values of all chemical fruit quality of tomato plant (Nitrogen%, Phosphorus%, Potassium%, total carbohydrates, T.S.S and total acidity, compared with the other interaction treatments. As well as, the same interaction treatment exhibited the highest content of all N%, P%, K% and chlorophyll reading in plant foliage as compared with the other interaction treatments