

---



---

## Contents

Subject	Page
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	<b>VII</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.1. Characteristics of Groundwater in El-Dakhla Oasis</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.1.1. Origin and Extension</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2.1.2. Quality</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2.2. Salt Affected Soils and Classification</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2.2. Salinity Problems</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2.2.1. Global Salinity Problems</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2.2.2. Salinity Problems in Egypt</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.2.2.3. Salinity Problems in the New Valley</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.2.3. Classification of Salt Affected Soils</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.2.3.1. Saline Soils</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.2.3.2. Saline-Sodic Soils</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2.2.3.3. Sodic Soils</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.3. Effect of Groundwater Quality on Some Properties of Soil</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.4. Agricultural uses of Geographic Information Systems (GIS)</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.4.1. Geographic Information System definition</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>2.4.2. Components of GIS software</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2.4.3. Mapping Water and Soils Properties Using GIS</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>3. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>4. RESULTS AND DISSCUION.....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>4.1. 4.1. Groundwater Analysis and Quality for irrigation</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>4.1.1. Groundwater pH</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>4.1.2. Groundwater Electrical Conductivity (EC)</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>4.1.3. Soluble Cations</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>4.1.4. Soluble Anions</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>4.1.5. Sodium Absorption Ratio (SAR)</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>4.1.6. Soluble Sodium Percentage (SSP)</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>4.1.7. The EC<sub>w</sub> and SAR Diagram of the Studied Groundwater Samples</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>4.1.8. Residual Sodium Carbonate (RSC)</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>4.1.9. Corrosivity Ratio (CR)</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>4.1.10. Chloro-Alkaline Index (CAI)</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>4.1.11. Magnesium Ratio (MR)</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>4.1.12. Permeability Index (PI)</b>	<b>90</b>

*Contents (continued)*

<b>Subject</b>		<b>Page</b>
<b>4.1.13.</b>	<b>Kelley's Ratio (KR)</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>4.1.14.</b>	<b>Potential Salinity (PS)</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>4.1.15</b>	<b>Effect of Well Depth on Groundwater Chemistry</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>4.2.</b>	<b>Soil Properties and Classification</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>4.2.1.</b>	<b>Soil Properties</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>4.2.1.1</b>	<b>Soil Texture</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>4.2.1.2.</b>	<b>Soil Chemistry</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>4.2.1.2.1</b>	<b>Soil reaction (pH)</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>4.2.1.2.2.</b>	<b>Soil Electrical Conductivity (EC<sub>e</sub>)</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>4.2.1.2.3.</b>	<b>Soil Soluble Cations and Anions</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>4.2.1.2.4.</b>	<b>Exchangeable Sodium Percentage (ESP)</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>4.2.2.</b>	<b>Chemical Classifications of Soils in El-Dakhla oasis</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>Summary and Conclusions.....</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>Literature Cited.....</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>Appendices.....</b>	<b>159</b>
	<b>Arabic Summary</b>	<b>-</b>

---



---

*List of Tables*

Table No.	Title	Page
1)	Traditional and proposed classifications of salt affected soils	10
2)	Water reaction-pH of groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	26
3)	Electrical conductivity-EC ( $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ) of groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	31
4)	Soluble sodium- $\text{Na}^{+1}$ ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) concentrations in the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	36
5)	Soluble potassium- $\text{K}^{+1}$ ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) concentrations in the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	40
6)	Soluble calcium- $\text{Ca}^{+2}$ ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) concentrations in the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	44
7)	Soluble magnesium- $\text{Mg}^{+2}$ ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) concentrations in the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	48
8)	Soluble bicarbonate- $\text{HCO}_3^{-1}$ ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) concentrations in the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	52
9)	Soluble sulphate - $\text{SO}_4^{-2}$ ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) concentrations in the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	56
10)	Soluble chloride - $\text{Cl}^{-1}$ ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) concentrations in the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	62
11)	Sodium Absorption Ratio (SAR) of the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	66
12)	Soluble Sodium Percentage (SSP) of the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	70
13)	Residual Sodium Carbonate (RSC) of the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	76
14)	Corrosivity Ratio (CR) of the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	80
15)	Chloro-Alkaline Index (CAI) of the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	84
16)	Magnesium Ratio (MR) of the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	88
17)	Permeability Index (PI) of the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	91
18)	Kelley's Ratio (KR) of the groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	94
19)	Potential Salinity (PS) of groundwater samples in El-Dakhla oasis	98
20)	The relationship between well depth and chemical properties of groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	100
21)	Values of soil pH of subsurface soils samples in El-Dakhla oasis	109
22)	Values of soil pH of surface soils samples in El-Dakhla oasis	110
23)	Classification of soil samples of El-Dakhla oasis based on their pH values	111
24)	Values of soil salinity (EC) of surface soils samples in El-Dakhla oasis	114

---

*List of Tables (continued)*

---

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>25)</b>	<b>Values of soil salinity (EC) of subsurface soils samples in El-Dakhla oasis</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>26)</b>	<b>Classification of soil samples of El-Dakhla oasis based on their EC<sub>e</sub> values</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>27)</b>	<b>Values of exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) of subsurface soils samples in El-Dakhla oasis</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>28)</b>	<b>Values of exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP) of subsurface soils samples in El-Dakhla oasis</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>29)</b>	<b>Classification of soil samples of El-Dakhla oasis based on their ESP values</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>30)</b>	<b>Chemical classification criteria of soils</b>	<b>127</b>

---



---

*List of Figures*

<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
1)	A location map of samples	20
2)	Spatial distribution of groundwater pH in El-Dakhla oasis	27
3)	Spatial distribution of groundwater electric conductivity in El-Dakhla oasis	32
4)	Spatial distribution of sodium concentrations in the groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	37
5)	Spatial distribution of potassium concentrations in the groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	42
6)	Spatial distribution of calcium concentrations in the groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	45
7)	Spatial distribution of magnesium concentrations in the groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	49
8)	Spatial distribution of bicarbonate concentrations in the groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	53
9)	Spatial distribution of sulphate concentrations in the groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	57
10)	Spatial distribution of chloride concentrations in the groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	63
11)	Spatial distribution of groundwater SAR in El-Dakhla oasis	67
12)	Spatial distribution of groundwater SSP in El-Dakhla oasis	71
13)	US salinity lab classification of the groundwater samples of El-Dakhla oasis based on their $EC_w$ and SAR values	73
14)	The Wilcox diagram of the investigated groundwater samples based on their $EC_w$ and SSP values	74
15)	Spatial distribution of RSC in the groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	77
16)	Spatial distribution of CR in the groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	81
17)	Spatial distribution of CAI in the groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	85
18)	Spatial distribution of groundwater MR in El-Dakhla oasis	89
19)	Spatial distribution of groundwater PI in El-Dakhla oasis	92
20)	Spatial distribution of groundwater KR in El-Dakhla oasis	95
21)	Spatial distribution of groundwater PS in El-Dakhla oasis	99
22)	The relationship between well depth and chemical properties of groundwater in El-Dakhla oasis	101
23)	Triangular diagram of textural classification of soils in El-Dakhla oasis	106
24)	Spatial distribution of soil pH in El-Dakhla oasis	112
25)	Spatial distribution of soil $EC_e$ in El-Dakhla oasis	117
26)	Spatial distribution of soil ESP in El-Dakhla oasis	126
27)	Spatial distribution of salt affected soils in El-Dakhla oasis	128

---

*List of Abbreviations*

AMSL	Above mean sea level
EC	Electrical conductivity
ECe	Electrical conductivity of the saturated soil paste extract
ESP	Exchangeable sodium percentage
GAG	General Administration of Groundwater of New Valley
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
GPS	Global Positioning System
NSAS	The Nubian Sandstone Aquifer System
NVAD	New Valley Agriculture Directorate
RSC	Residual Sodium Carbonate
SAR	Sodium Adsorption Ratio
TDS	Total dissolved solids
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USSL	United States of Soil Laboratory Staff
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

This study was conducted in El-Dakhla oasis. The main objective of this study is to investigate the status of soil and groundwater salinity under El-Dakhla oasis conditions. The specific objectives of the study were:

1. To assess the chemical analysis of the groundwaters of El-Dakhla as well as to classify these waters according to the standard suitability classes of irrigation water.
2. To characterize the soil chemical properties and classify the soils of El-Dakhla oasis according to classes of the salt affected soils.
3. To study impacts of groundwater quality on soil properties.
4. To forecast the spatial distribution of groundwater and soil properties in El-Dakhla oasis using GIS software.

A total of 169 groundwater samples were collected from different wells located in El-Dakhla oasis. These wells are used for irrigation purposes.

From the area that the well covered, two composite samples were collected one from the surface soil (0-30 cm) and the other from the subsurface soil (30-60 cm). A total of 338 soil samples were collected. All sampling points were geo-referenced and sampling dates were recorded by GPS.

*The results of this study can be summarized as follow:*

### 5.1. Groundwater Chemistry and Quality for irrigation

#### 4.1.1. Groundwater pH

Groundwater pH in El-Dakhla oasis ranged from 3.70 to 8.70. The lowest value (3.70) were recorded in Ain El-Bait well followed by Ain Abo Refae (4.00) and Ain Ali (4.38) wells in Tenieda, Al-Rashda, El-Moshiya villages, respectively. Meanwhile, the highest value was observed in Ain Sayed Al-Daba well (8.70) followed by El-Oweina 7-1 well (8.09) in El-Qasr and El-Oweina villages, respectively. Data indicated that about 20.12,

79.17 and 0.59% of samples had acidic, neutral and alkaline water, respectively.

The spatial distribution of groundwater pH noticed that the natural groundwaters ( $6.50 \leq \text{pH} \leq 8.40$ ) covered most of the studied area (82.67% equal  $4034 \text{ km}^2$ ). The acidic groundwaters came in the second rank with 17.25% ( $842 \text{ km}^2$ ) of the studied area. These waters concentrated in the mid part of the oasis. Very small area (0.07% equal  $4 \text{ km}^2$ ) in southwest of El-Qaser village has alkaline groundwater.

### 5.1.2. Groundwater Electrical Conductivity (EC)

The  $\text{EC}_w$  values fluctuated from 164 to  $1840 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ . The lowest values of 164 and  $169 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$  were recorded by El-Hindaw 14-4 and El-Maasara 17-4 wells in El Hindaw and El-Maasara villages, respectively. The highest  $\text{EC}_w$  values of 1840 and  $1330 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$  were found in the groundwaters of Ain El-Baraka and Balat 44-5 wells that located in El-Qalamon village and Balat city, respectively. About 40.24% of the collected groundwater samples fall in the first class of the low salinity hazard. Meanwhile, 53.85% of these samples showed a medium salinity hazard. The rest samples (5.91%) had a high salinity hazard.

Data illustrated in kriged map indicate that about 42.01% of studied area ( $2050 \text{ km}^2$ ) of groundwater in the study area is excellent water ( $< 250 \mu\text{Scm}^{-1}$ ). The second groundwater salinity class ( $250 \leq \text{EC} < 750 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ) covers about 49.80% ( $2430 \text{ km}^2$ ) of the oasis area. The third groundwater salinity class ( $750-2250 \mu\text{Scm}^{-1}$ ) covers the remaining area (8.19% equal to  $400 \text{ km}^2$ ). It can be noticed that the EC tends to increase from the eastern side towards the western side of El-Dakhla oasis.

### 5.1.3. Soluble Cations

#### 5.1.3.1. Sodium

Data showed that sodium in the studied groundwater samples reached a high value as  $198 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , which found in water of Balat 44-5 well that located in Balat city. The lowest value of groundwater sodium (4

mg L<sup>-1</sup>) was found in water of El-Hindaw 14-4 well that located in El-Hindaw village.

Kriged map indicated that the most of groundwater in the study area has no restriction on the use of the water for irrigation purpose, where the sodium concentration is basically less than 70 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. This class is found in 98.87% (4825 km<sup>2</sup>) of the studied area. On the other hand, few parts in this oasis have Na concentration more than 70 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; therefore there is slight to moderate restriction for irrigation purpose in about 1.13% (55 km<sup>2</sup>) of this oasis.

#### **5.1.3.2. Potassium**

Gharb El-Mawhob32-12 and Gharb El-Mawhob 31-13 wells have the minimum value of K concentration (2 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), while the highest value (84 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) was found in groundwater of Ain Abd Kareem and Ain Abo Ali wells that located in El-Maasara village and Mut city, respectively. the studied groundwater samples have K concentrations over than 2 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (FAO limit) except groundwater of Gharb El-Mawhob 32-12 and Gharb El-Mawhob 31-13 wells.

The most groundwater aquifers (92.56%) are containing potassium greater than 2 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. However, potassium content of groundwater less than 2 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in the remaining area (7.44%); this area concentrated in Gharb El-Mawhob village.

#### **5.1.3.3. Calcium**

Calcium concentrations of groundwater samples ranged from 3 to 102 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The minimum value observed in water of Balat 35 well located in Balat city. On the other hand, water of Ain El-Baraka in El-Qalamon village recorded highest value of calcium. The studied groundwater samples have Ca concentrations lower than 120 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (FAO limit).

#### **5.1.3.4. Magnesium**

Magnesium concentrations ranged from 2 to 57 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The minimum value recorded in Ain Al-Toufah well, while the highest value

was found in water of Ain El-Baraka well that located in Balat city and El-Qalamon village, respectively. All studied groundwater samples have magnesium content lower than FAO limit ( $50 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ), except Ain El-Baraka well in El-Qalamon village. Whereas, about 0.59% of groundwater samples have magnesium higher than FAO limit.

Using kriged map, the study area was divided into two classes based on the Mg threshold given by FAO limit. The first one is safe groundwater which covers about 99.95% of the oasis. The second class is unsafe groundwater, which covers about 0.05% of the oasis.

#### **5.1.4. Soluble Anions**

##### **5.1.4.1. Bicarbonate**

Bicarbonate ( $\text{HCO}_3$ ) values in groundwater samples vary from 12 to  $294 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . The lowest value of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  was recorded by water of Ain Al-Toufah well in Balat city. Whereas, the highest one was found in water of Ain El-Baraka well in El-Qalamon village. All the groundwater samples have bicarbonate levels lower than the FAO upper limit ( $\text{HCO}_3^- > 520 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ).

The spatial distribution showed that about 91.75% of groundwaters in the studied area have no restriction. Meanwhile, the groundwaters in the remaining area (8.25%) have slight to moderate restriction against bicarbonate. These groundwaters concentrated in middle part of El-Dakla oasis (south of Mut and south of El-Mawhob).

##### **5.1.4.2. Sulphate**

Sulphate concentration ranges from 3 to  $385 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . Based on the previous classification, 167 (98.82%) of groundwater samples have  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  less than lower FAO limit ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-} < 200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ). This means that these waters for irrigation with none to slight corrosion attack on the irrigation infrastructures.

The majority of the groundwater (99.95% of oasis area) is good for the infrastructures against the corrosion effect. They have sulphate concentrations of less than 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.

#### **5.1.4.3. Chloride**

Chloride content in the groundwater samples ranged between 18 and 288 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The water of Balat 14-2 well in Balat city has the lowest value of chloride, while the highest value was recorded by Ain El-Bait well in Tenieda village.

About 85.80% of the groundwater samples are safe for almost all types of plants ( $\text{Cl}^- < 71 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) and 9.47% of samples ( $71 \leq \text{Cl}^- \leq 142$ ) are dangerous for sensitive crops. The remaining samples (4.73%) have  $142 < \text{Cl}^- \leq 355 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , that have moderately effect on moderately sensitive plants and of course sensitive plants.

Kriged map shows that the groundwaters in 88.96% of oasis area are safe for irrigation purposes based on its chloride contain. Whereas, about 5.02% of the studied area have groundwater might cause injury to the sensitive plants. The groundwaters in the remaining area (6.03%) have negative effect on moderately tolerant plants. It was noticed that chloride concentration increased from east to west of the oasis. Moreover, the harmful effect of chloride increased in south Mut and scattered parts of the oasis.

#### **5.1.5. Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR)**

The sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) values of the groundwater samples in the studied area varied from 0.26 to 5.06. The minimum and maximum SAR values were recorded in El-Hindaw 14-4 well and in Balat 44-5 well that located in El Hindaw village and Balat city, respectively. The low SAR value results from the dominant levels of calcium in the water samples.

About 97.04% of the groundwater samples have SAR values less than 3 indicating no restriction in using this water on soils and plants.

The kriged map of SAR showed that the level of SAR in groundwater is below the desirable limit in most parts (99.77%) of the study area. However, some parts (0.33%), have high values above the desirable limit (south of Balat, south of El-Qalamon and north of El-Gedida).

#### **5.1.6. Soluble Sodium Percentage (SSP)**

Soluble sodium percentage values ranged between 22.83 to 66.15%. The lowest value was recorded in Gharb El-Mawhob 39 well, while the highest value was observed in Ain Abo Refae in Gharb El-Mawhob and Al-Rashda villages, respectively.

About 33.73, 62.72 and 3.55% of the investigated groundwater samples have good, permissible and doubtful irrigation water qualities, respectively. It means that 96.45% of the studied water samples are suitable for irrigation purposes, while 3.55% of these samples are unsuitable for irrigation.

The spatial distribution map pointed out that the water quality base on SSP increased from east to west of the oasis. The kriged map of SSP also showed that the good groundwaters class based on this parameter falls in 48.54% of the study area. These waters concentrated in west of the oasis. Whereas, the permissible class of groundwaters falls in 50.60% of study area. The remaining area (0.86%) has doubtful groundwaters in separated parts.

#### **5.1.7. The $EC_w$ and SAR Diagram of the Studied Groundwater Samples**

It is clear that 70 groundwater samples (41.42%) fall under  $C_1S_1$  category suggesting low sodium and low salinity hazards conditions. Moreover, 90 samples (53.25%) occurs in the  $C_2S_1$  category indicating low sodium and medium salinity hazards and only 9 samples (5.33%) take place in the  $C_3S_1$  type suggesting low sodium and high salinity hazards.

About 94.68% of these waters (160 samples) had excellent to good quality for irrigation and 4.14% (7 samples) exhibited a good to permissible. Meanwhile, the rest samples (1.18%) showed a low quality (permissible to doubtful).

#### **5.1.8. Residual Sodium Carbonate (RSC)**

Residual sodium carbonate data revealed that the lowest RSC value (-5.05) was found in water of Balat 44-5 well. Groundwater RSC reached a high value of 0.28 which was found in Ain Mut well. Groundwater samples analysis showed that RSC of all samples within the safe quality category for irrigation.

The kriged map shows that free RSC groundwaters found in most of studied area (97.42%). However, 2.58% of area contain on low RSC groundwaters in northern Balat, south Mut and El-Maasara as well as western El-Qalamon.

#### **5.1.9. Corrosivity Ratio (CR)**

Corrosivity ratio values fluctuated from 0.46 to 9.22, 0.42 to 4.18 and 0.56 to 2.53 with an average of 2.27, 1.37 and 1.27 in Balat, Mut and El-Mawhob districts, respectively.

the kriged map indicate that about 51.02% of groundwater in the studied area can be used metal and non metal constructions with these waters, while it must be used with non-corrosive constructions with groundwaters in the remaining area (48.98%). It also indicates that the non-corrosive and corrosive groundwater are sequenced from east to west of the oasis, where, the safe groundwaters are located in the far east of the oasis followed by corrosive groundwater and so on.

#### **5.1.10. Chloro-Alkaline Index (CAI)**

Chloro-alkaline index values ranged from -1.0 to 0.78. Ain Mut well recorded the lowest value, while the highest value was found in water of Balat 7 well that located in Mut and Balat Cities. It is obvious that 45.56%

of samples gave positive values of CAI. Meanwhile, the remaining samples (54.44%) displayed negative values.

The spatial distribution indicates that partially exchange between Na+K in the groundwater with Ca+Mg in the host rock concentrated in the northern half of the oasis. It covers about 54.36% of the studied area. On the contrary, the exchange between Ca+Mg in the groundwater with Na+K in the host rock concentrated in southern half of the oasis. It covers about 45.64% of study area aquifer.

#### **5.1.11. Magnesium Ratio (MR)**

Magnesium ratio (MR) of the studied groundwater samples varies from 20.0 to 84.75. The lowest value was recorded in water of Ain Al-Toufah well, while the highest value was recorded in water of Balat 7 well that located in Balat city. About 46.15% of the groundwater samples are within the permissible limit, while about 53.85% of samples out of the permissible limit.

Predicted data indicated that unsafe groundwaters represent about 71.84% of studied area. This could cause magnesium hazard on the plants due to use there waters for irrigation purpose. Meanwhile, about 28.16% of groundwaters in study area have not magnesium hazard.

#### **5.1.12. Permeability Index (PI)**

The permeability index of the groundwater in the study area varied from 1.19 to 7.75. Therefore, the groundwaters in whole studied area are excellent water based on Doneen's guideline. The kriged map shows that the groundwaters in whole studied area are excellent water.

#### **5.1.13. Kelley's Ratio (KR)**

Kelley's ratio (KR) ranged from 0.17 to 1.82. The lowest value of KR was observed in El-Qalamon 12-1 well, whereas the highest value was recorded in Ain Abo Refae well that located in El-Qalamon and Al-Rashda, respectively. About 94.67% of groundwater samples are suitable, while

5.33% of samples are unsuitable water for irrigation. Predicted data showed that the safe groundwaters for irrigation cover about 99.44% of study area.

#### **5.1.14. Potential Salinity (PS)**

The potential salinity of studied groundwater samples ranged from 0.68 to 10.60 meq L<sup>-1</sup>. Balat 14-2 and Balat 44-5 wells were recorded the lowest and the highest values of the potential salinity, respectively.

Data also indicated about 95.27% of samples have excellent to good waters that can be recommended for soils of low permeability. Meanwhile, 4.14% of samples are good to injurious water, which can be recommended for medium permeability soils.

The spatial distribution showed that the first class (excellent to good) of groundwater covers about 92.496% of the studied area. The second class (good to injurious) of groundwater covers about 7.502% of the studied area. These parts are concentrated in the southwest ridge of the oasis. The unsuitable groundwater found in very small parts (0.002%) of study area.

#### **5.1.15. Effect of Well Depth on Groundwater Chemistry**

Correlation analysis reveals that positive and high significant ( $p \geq 0.01$ ) correlation is detected between well depth and groundwater pH with correlation coefficient of 0.3658. This means that pH of groundwater is increasing due to the increases of well depth.

There were negative correlation between well depth and EC, Na, K, Ca, Mg, Cl, HCO<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>4</sub> of groundwater with significant ( $p \geq 0.05$ ) correlation coefficients of -0.4511, -0.4830, -0.2170, -0.5343, -0.4740, -0.4857, -0.4227 and -0.3792, respectively. Data also reveal that increasing well depth caused a reduction in the studied parameters.

## **5.2. Soil Properties and Classification**

### **5.2.1. Soil Properties**

#### **5.2.1.1. Soil Texture**

In surface soil samples, about 52.65, 15.98, 8.88, 7.69 and 5.92% of the total samples were sandy loam, sandy clay loam, loamy sand, clay and clay loam. About 51.48% of subsurface soil samples fall in texture class sandy loam. The textures of 14.79, 12.43, 7.10 and 6.51% of the total soil samples were loamy sand, sandy clay loam, sand and clay, respectively.

#### **5.2.1.2. Soil Chemistry**

##### **5.2.1.2.1. Soil reaction (pH)**

Soil pH in both surface and subsurface samples was neutral to very strongly alkaline with values ranging from 6.43 to 9.65 and 6.67 to 9.91, respectively. Bear El-Mazraa area in Mut city recorded the lowest values of pH in surface and subsurface soil. Meanwhile, the highest values of pH in surface and subsurface soil were recorded in Ain Sayed Al-Daba in El-Qasr and El-Hindaw 9 areas, respectively.

About 1.18, 35.50, 42.01, 15.98 and 2.37% of surface soil samples were found to be slightly acid, neutral, slightly alkaline, moderately alkaline and strongly alkaline in soil reaction, respectively. The remaining samples (2.96%) were very strongly alkaline soil. Meanwhile, 30.18, 44.38, 16.57, 5.92 and 2.96% of subsurface soil samples were neutral, slightly alkaline, moderately alkaline, strongly alkaline and very strongly alkaline in soil reaction, respectively.

The spatial interpolated maps of soil pH showed that increases of slightly, strongly and very strongly alkaline soils area in the subsurface (63.77, 1.49 and 4.05%) compared to surface soil, respectively. On the other hand, neutral and moderately alkaline soils decreased with increasing depth from 37.78 and 17.51% to 14.40 and 16.30%.

#### 5.2.1.2.2. Soil Electrical Conductivity ( $EC_e$ )

The electrical conductivity of soil ( $EC_e$ ) values ranged from 0.19 to 14.38 and 0.22 to 14.50  $dS\ m^{-1}$  in surface soil in subsurface soil samples, respectively. El-Qasr 20 recorded the lowest values of  $EC_e$  in both surface and subsurface soil samples. However, the higher values of  $EC_e$  were observed in Al-Rashda 11 and Ain Sayed Al-Daba (El-Qasr village) areas for both surface and subsurface soil samples, respectively. There are 85.80% (145 samples) of subsurface soil samples have a higher  $EC_e$  values than those of surface one.

Data revealed that out of 169 surface soil samples, only 60 (35.50%) samples can be classed as non saline soils, which is good for all crops. The remaining samples are considered salt affected soils. Out of these 109 samples, 64 (37.87%) show very slight salinity and 36 (21.30%) samples have slight salinity. However, remaining 9 (5.33%) samples represent moderate salinity class.

Subsurface samples grouped into classes as follows: non saline (33.14%), very slightly saline (30.18%), slightly saline (24.26%) and moderately saline (12.43%).

The spatial distribution of soil salinity in the studied area revealed that the soil salinity affected by soil depth. The non saline, slightly saline and moderately saline soil areas have increased with increasing soil depth. These areas were 29.80 and 2.29% for surface soils to become 37.30 and 20.77%, respectively. Whereas, increasing soil depth caused decreasing very slightly and moderately saline soil areas that were 55.93 and 11.97% in surface soil to become 33.99 and 7.93% in subsurface soil, respectively.

#### 5.2.1.2.3. Soil Soluble Cations and Anions

The overall view of the concentration of soluble cations was in the descending  $Na^+ > Ca^{2+} > Mg^{2+} > K^+$  in soil paste extract. Soluble sodium concentration ranges from 0.76 to 1003.65 and 1.94 and 1726.09  $mg\ kg^{-1}$  soil in surface and subsurface samples, respectively. Soluble potassium

concentration ranges from 1.14 to 454.69 and 1.04 and 431.83 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in surface and subsurface samples, respectively. Soluble calcium concentration ranges from 1.34 to 608.10 and 1.02 and 357.50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in surface and subsurface samples, respectively. Soluble magnesium concentration ranges from 1.62 to 306.99 and 1.10 and 179.00 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in surface and subsurface samples, respectively.

The chloride content ranged from 13.33 to 1434.25 and 5.90 to 1747.02 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in surface and subsurface samples, respectively. The sulphate content ranged from 1.43 to 1249.90 and 1.27 to 2040.21 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in surface and subsurface samples, respectively. The bicarbonate content ranged between 4.90 to 2273.16 and 4.05 to 1369.09 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil in both surface and subsurface soil samples, respectively.

#### **5.2.1.2.4. Exchangeable Sodium Percentage (ESP)**

The ESP values ranged from 0.09 to 33.16 and 0.04 to 36.92 in the surface and subsurface layers, respectively.

In surface layer, the highest value of ESP was recorded in surface layer of Ain Sayed Al-Daba area (El-Qasr village) area, while the lowest one was observed in Bear El-Mazraa (Mut city) area.

In subsurface layer, the highest and lowest values of ESP found in El-Hindaw 12-3 and El-Hindaw 9 area, respectively. The most subsurface soil samples (63.91%) had ESP values greater than surface soil samples. Meanwhile, ESP values in 36.09% (61 samples) of surface soil samples were higher than those in subsoil.

The kriged map showed that non to slight sodic (ESP<15) soil fall in about 4830 and 4569 km<sup>2</sup> (98.98 and 93.63% of studied area) for surface and subsurface soil. Light to moderate sodic (15≤ESP<30) soil increased from 49.74 to 309.23 km<sup>2</sup> in both surface and subsurface soil, respectively. This increment is concentrated in south of El-Maasara. However, moderate to high sodic (30≤ESP<50) soils fall in small areas (0.19 and 1.75 km<sup>2</sup>

equal to 0.004 and 0.036% of study area) for surface and subsurface soils, respectively.

### **5.2.2. Chemical Classifications of Soils in El-Dakhla oasis**

In surface layer, Data revealed that 122 (72.19%) samples can be classified as non-saline soil, 37 (21.89 %) as saline, 2 (1.18%) sodic and 8 (4.73%) as saline-sodic soils. In subsurface layer, it is clear that 105 samples (62.13%) can be classified as non-saline soil, 45 (27.22%) as saline, 2 (1.18%) as sodic and 17 (10.06%) as saline-sodic soils.

The kriged maps indicated that none saline soil area decreased from 81.37% in surface layers to 56.02% in subsurface layers of the oasis area. As well as, saline, sodic and saline-sodic soils area in the surface layers were increased from 17.15, 0.81 and 0.67% to 36.08, 1.91 and 5.98% in the subsurface layers, respectively.

**Finally**, it can be recommended that the assement of groundwater and soil qualities is necessary to choice the suitable management practices for these soil and groundwatr as well as exigency for a periodic analysis of soil and groundwater wells to determine the changes taking place in the salinity.