

# CONTENTS

<i>Subject</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>1.INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.1.Housing systems</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.2.Stocking density effects on welfare</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.3.Heat stress</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.4.Phytobitic</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.5.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on growth performance</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2.5.1.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on body weight</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2.5.1.1.Effect of stocking density on body weight</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2.5.1.2.Effect of phytobitic (lycopene) on body weight</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>2.5.2.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on growth rate and body weight gain</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>2.5.2.1.Effect of stocking density on growth rate and body weight gain of rabbits</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>2.5.2.2.Effect of phytobitic (lycopene) on growth rate and body weight gain of rabbits</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>2.5.3.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on feed intake and feed conversion</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>2.5.3.1.Effect of stocking density on feed intake and feed conversion</b>	<b>29</b>

2.5.4.Effect of stocking density on mortality	33
2.6.Effect of stocking density on carcass traits of rabbits	35
2.7.Effect of stocking density on blood hematology of rabbits	39
2.8.Effect of stocking density on blood parameters of rabbits	40
2.9.Effect of stocking density on blood economic efficiency	42
<b>3.MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>46</b>
3.1.Experimental diets	46
3.2.Animal procedure	46
3.3.Performancetraits	48
3.3.1.Bodyweight, feed intake, feed conversion ratio and performance index	48
3.3.2.Mortality rate	49
3.3.3.Carcass traits	49
3.4.Chemical composition of meat	50
3.5.Hematological analysis of blood	50
3.6.Biochemical analysis of blood	50
3.7.Chemical analysis	51
3.8.Economical efficiency	51
3.9.Statistical analysis	51

<b>4.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>4.1.Air temperature and humidity (%) during the experimental period</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>4.2.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on body temperature</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>4.3.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on growing APRI line rabbits performance (from 3 to 13 week of age)</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>4.3.1.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on growth performance</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>4.3.1.1.Final body weight and daily weight gain</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>4.3.1.2.Feed intake and feed conversion ratio</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>4.3.1.3.Mortality rate</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>4.3.2.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on carcass traits of growing APRI – line rabbits</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>4.3.3.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on meat chemical composition of growing APRI- line rabbits</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>4.3.4.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on some blood parameters of growing APRI – line rabbits</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>4.3.5.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on blood hematology of growing APRI – line rabbits</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>4.3.6.Effect of stocking density and phytobitic on economic efficiency</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>5.SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>6.REFERENCES</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>7.ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

### **5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

This study was carried out at the Rabbits Farm of Sakha Station, Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Egypt, during the period from June 2016 till September 2016.

One hundred and eight APRI line rabbits were divided and assigned randomly into nine experimental groups of 5 weeks of age with an average live body weight of  $620 \pm 6.0$  g. Rabbits were similar, with respect to body weight and sex. the experimental design was factorial  $3 \times 3$ , whereas three stocking density (2,4 and 6 rabbit/ cage) and three Levels of dietary phytobiotic (0,0.5 and 1% lycopene). So, nine experimental treatments were as follow: **G1**: Stocking density of 2 rabbits per cage ( $800 \text{ Cm}^2/\text{ rabbit}$ ) and rabbits fed basal diet without any supplementation, **G2**: Stocking density of 4 rabbits per cage ( $400 \text{ Cm}^2/\text{ rabbit}$ ) and rabbits fed basal diet without any supplementation, **G3**: Stocking density of 6 rabbit per cage ( $267 \text{ Cm}^2/\text{ rabbit}$ ) and rabbits fed basal diet without any supplementation, **G4**: Stocking density of 2 rabbits per cage ( $800 \text{ Cm}^2/\text{ rabbit}$ ) and rabbits fed basal diet supplemented with 0.5% phytobiotic, **G5**: Stocking density of 4 rabbits per cage ( $400 \text{ Cm}^2/\text{ rabbit}$ ) and rabbits fed basal diet supplemented with 0.5% phytobiotic, **G6**: Stocking density of 6 rabbits per cage ( $267 \text{ Cm}^2/\text{ rabbit}$ ) and rabbits fed basal diet supplemented with 0.5% phytobiotic, **G7**: Stocking density of 2 rabbits per cage ( $800 \text{ Cm}^2/\text{ rabbit}$ ) and rabbits fed basal diet supplemented with 0.5% phytobiotic, **G8**: Stocking density of 4 rabbits per cage ( $400 \text{ Cm}^2/\text{ rabbit}$ ) and rabbits fed basal diet supplemented with 1% lycopene, **G9**: Stocking density of 6 rabbits per cage ( $267 \text{ Cm}^2/\text{ rabbit}$ ) and rabbits fed basal diet supplemented with 1% lycopene.

## ***SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION***

---

rabbit) and rabbits fed basal diet supplemented with 1% phytobiotic, **G8:** Stocking density of 4 rabbits per cage (400 Cm<sup>2</sup>/ rabbit) and rabbits fed basal diet supplemented with 1% phytobiotic and **G9:** Stocking density of 6 rabbits per cage (267 Cm<sup>2</sup>/ rabbit) and rabbits fed basal diet supplemented with 1% phytobiotic.

**The obtained results can be summarized as follows:-**

- 1- the minimum and maximum temperature were 27.6 and 30.2 °C, respectively during 1<sup>st</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> week of experimental period and the moderate temperature between the same period.
- 2- There was a significant difference in the rectal temperature among 2, 4 and 6 rabbits / cage started from week 7 of age up to end of experimental period (12 week). The minimum rectal temperature 39.01<sup>0</sup>C as found in the treatment 2 rabbits /cage during 6 and 8 weeks of age, whoever, the maximum rectal temperature 40.01<sup>0</sup>C was found in the treatment for 6 rabbits /cage on 12 weeks of age.
- 3- The highest body weight was found in the treatment 2 rabbits / cage and those fed diet with 1% lycopene. While the lowest body weight was found in the treatment 4 and 6 rabbits / cage and those fed diet with 0 and 0.5% lycopene.
- 4- Daily feed intake was significantly higher for stocking density of 2 rabbits /cage during 5-9 (69.38 g/d), 9-13 (94.17 g/d)

## ***SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION***

---

and 5-13 weeks (81.77 g/d) than stocking density of 4 rabbits /cage (62.19, 84.42 and 73.30 g/d, respectively) and treatment 6 rabbits /cage (59.25, 80.11 and 69.68 g/d, respectively) during the whole experimental period (5 to 13 weeks of age).

- 5- Feed conversion ratio showed significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ), on stocking density during 5-9 weeks and 5-13 weeks among 6 rabbits /cage (3.074 and 3.688, respectively) than treatment 2 rabbits /cage (2.703 and 3.321) and treatment 4 rabbits /cage (2.914 and 3.434, respectively).
- 6- Carcass percentage were significantly decreased ( $P < 0.001$ ) by increasing the number of animals from 2 to 6 rabbits/cage. (53.3, 52.7 and 50.8%, respectively). The opposite trend of increasing carcass was found in rabbit fed diets with 0, 0.5 and 1.0% lycopene (50.9, 52.2 and 53.8%, respectively).
- 7- Crude protein percentage of meat were significantly decreased ( $P < 0.001$ ) by increasing stocking density from 2 to 6 (68.9, to 65.7%, respectively).
- 8- Total protein (g/dl) of growing APRI-line rabbits were significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) decreased the number of animals increased from 2 to 6 (6.19, 6.04 and 5.68, respectively). Total protein (g/dl) of growing APRI-line rabbits were significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) of phytobiotic 0, 0.5 and 1.0 (5.72, 5.99 and 6.20, respectively).

## ***SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION***

---

- 9- TAC (mmol/L) of growing APRI-line rabbits were significantly ( $P<0.001$ ) of stocking density 2, 4 and 6 (0.766, 0.699 and 0.621 respectively). TAC (mmol/L) of growing APRI-line rabbits were significantly ( $P<0.001$ ) of phytobiotic 0, 0.5 and 1.0 (0.680, 0.726 and 0.726, respectively).
- 10- WBC's ( $\times 10^3/\mu\text{l}$ ) of growing APRI-line rabbits were significantly ( $P<0.001$ ) of stocking density 2, 4 and 6 (9.01, 8.10 and 5.30, respectively). WBC's ( $\times 10^3/\mu\text{l}$ ) of growing APRI-line rabbits were significantly ( $P<0.001$ ) of phytobiotic 0, 0.5 and 1.0 (6.57, 7.52 and 8.32, respectively).
- 11- The economic efficiency was decreased from 1.17 to 0.96 as the number of rabbits increased from 2 to 6 animals/ cage. While it was increased from 1.02 to 1.08 by increasing the level of lycopene from 0 to 1% in rabbit diets.

### **Conclusion**

It can be concluded that raising rabbits in cages with low density and supplementing with 1% lycopene in rabbit diets gave the best productive performance, increasing immune responses and improving economical efficiency. At the same time, raising rabbits in low density, permits for somewhat motor activity and social life which reflect on the meat quality and increasing the selling price.