



Zagazig University  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Animal Medicine Department  
(Infectious diseases)

# **Studies on Brucellosis in sheep and goats**

*By*

**Ahmed El-Sayed Ahmed Mousa**

**B.V.Sc., Zagazig University, 2012**

*Under the supervision of*

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Esmat  
Mohamed Mohamed**

Professor of Infectious Diseases,  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,  
Zagazig University

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Salah Eldin  
Ebrahim**

Professor of Infectious Diseases,  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,  
Zagazig University

**Prof. Dr. Ramadan Mohamed Khoudair**

Professor in *Brucella* Research Department,  
Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Gizza

**A thesis**

**Submitted to Zagazig University**

**For the Degree of Master in Vet. Medical Sciences**

**(Infectious Diseases).**

**Dept. of Animal Medicine.**

**(2017)**

<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>SUMMARY</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

## LIST OF TABLES

NO	Title	Page
1	Number of examined animals in different localities at Sharkia governorate.	33
2	Oligonucleotide primers used for <i>Brucella</i> DNA amplification, their sequences, expected products sizes and species specificity by Bricker&Halling (1994).	42
3	Haemolysis standards for macrotitration of CFT reagents	49
4	Conversion of CFT titers into ICFTU/ ml Described by Alton <i>et al.</i> , (1988).	52
5	Differentiation of <i>Brucella</i> species and their biotypes according to Alton <i>et al.</i> , (1988).	61
6	Determination of sensitivity and specificity.	66
7	Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats by using BAPAT, RBPT and CFT.	68
8	Seropositive and titration of sheep samples using complement fixation test	70
9	Seropositive and titration of goats samples using complement fixation test.	71
10	Sensitivity and specificity of BAPAT and RBPT using CFT as a gold standard test.	72
11	Agreement between BAPAT, RBPT and CFT in sheep and goats' serum samples.	74
12	Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats according to species.	75

<b>13</b>	<b>Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats according to locality.</b>	77
<b>14</b>	<b>Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats according to age.</b>	79
<b>15</b>	<b>Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats according to sex.</b>	81
<b>16</b>	<b>Isolation and Identification of <i>Brucella</i> spp in sheep and goats.</b>	83
<b>17</b>	<b>Species and biovar differentiation of <i>Brucella</i> isolates.</b>	85

## LISTS OF FIGURES

<b>NO</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats using BAPAT, RBPT and CFT.</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Sensitivity and specificity of BAPAT and RBPT using CFT as a gold standard test.</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats according to species.</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats according to locality.</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats according to age.</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Seroprevalence of brucellosis in sheep and goats according to sex.</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Isolation and Identification of <i>Brucella</i> spp in sheep and goats.</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Identification of isolated colony from tissues of seropositive slaughtered sheep and goats using PCR.</b>	<b>86</b>

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>AHRI</b>	Animal Health Research Institute
<b>AMOS</b>	<i>Brucella</i> species : Abortus, Melitensis, Ovis and Suis
<b>AOAD</b>	African organization for agriculture development
<b>BAPAT</b>	Buffered Acidified Plate Antigen test
<b>Bp</b>	Base pair
<b>Br.</b>	<i>Brucella</i>
<b><i>Br.abortus</i></b>	<i>Brucella abortus</i>
<b><i>Br.melitensis</i></b>	<i>Brucella melitensis</i>
<b><i>Brucella spp.</i></b>	<i>Brucella</i> species
<b>cELISA</b>	Competitive ELISA
<b>CFSPH</b>	The center for food security and public health
<b>CFT</b>	Complement fixation test
<b>CFU</b>	Colony forming unit
<b>CO<sub>2</sub></b>	Carbon dioxide.
<b>DNA</b>	Deoxyribonucleic acid
<b>DPR</b>	differential positively rates
<b>ELISA</b>	Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay
<b>FAO</b>	Food and Agriculture Organization
<b>GOVS</b>	General organization for veterinary services
<b>H<sub>2</sub>S</b>	Hydrogen sulphide.
<b>ICA/ ICT</b>	Immunochromatographic Assay, Immunochromatographic test
<b>ICFTU ml</b>	International Complement Fixation Test Univ. per ml of serum samples

<b>I-ELISA</b>	Indirect Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
<b>IgA</b>	Immunoglobulin class A
<b>IgG</b>	Immunoglobulin class G.
<b>IgG1</b>	Immunoglobulin class G1
<b>IgM</b>	Immunoglobulin class M
<b>ISAbS</b>	International Society For Applied Biological Sciences
<b>IU</b>	International Unit
<b>LFA</b>	Lateral Flow Assay
<b>2-ME</b>	2-Mercapto Ethanol
<b>Mg</b>	Milligram
<b>ml</b>	Milliliter
<b>mRBT</b>	modified Rose Bengal Test
<b>No.</b>	Number.
<b>NVSL</b>	National veterinary services laboratories
<b>PBS</b>	Phosphate-buffered saline
<b>PCR</b>	Polymerase Chain Reaction
<b>QACs</b>	Quaternary ammonium compounds
<b>RBPT</b>	Rose Bengal Plate test
<b>RIV.T</b>	Rivanol test
<b>TAT/ SAT</b>	Tube Agglutination test, standard agglutination test
<b>USDA</b>	United State Department of Agriculture
<b>WHO</b>	World Health Organization
<b><math>\mu</math>l</b>	Microliter
<b>/</b>	Per
<b>&gt;</b>	Greater than
<b><math>\geq</math></b>	Greater than or equal
<b>&lt;</b>	Less than
<b><math>\leq</math></b>	Less than or equal

## CONCLUSION

From the results of the present study, it is concluded that.

- 1) The buffered acidified plate antigen test is an effective test for initial screening of brucellosis in farm animals as it is more sensitive for detecting brucella infected animals than rose bengal plate test. Beside that, it is simple easy, quick and inexpensive test.
- 2) No single serological test could be identify all brucellosis infected animals, Complement Fixation test is still the superior one among the employed tests as it gave the highest balance of sensitivity and specificity.
- 3) PCR assay could be recommended as a confirmatory method and an alternative to culture for diagnosis of brucellosis as its speed, safety, high sensitivity, specificity and saving cost and time where the results could be obtained within less than 3 hours.
- 4) The isolation and biotyping of *Br. melitensis* particularly biovar 3, the most pathogenic strain and the main cause of brucellosis in some animal species among El-Sharkia governorate, is a very dangerous alarm and gives spot light for application of preventive hygienic measures and control program of brucella not only in El-Sharkia governorate but in all Egypt.
- 5) Special direction toward sheep and goats for control and vaccination of these species against brucellosis as these species represent the main host for *Br. melitensis* which proved to be among all animals.



## SUMMARY

- The present study was carried out on 837 animals ( 626 sheep and 211 goats) from different localities of Sharkia governorate to study the prevalence of brucellosis, diagnosis of brucellosis by using different serological techniques including (BAPAT, RBPT and CFT) and evaluate these techniques, Apply trials of isolation, identification and typing of *Brucella* spp. from seropositive animals and characterize the *Brucella* spp. which isolated from seropositive animals using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) .
- All blood samples are tested by BAPAT and RBPT as screening tests and confirmed by CFT.
- Serological examination of 837 blood samples under investigation by the application of :-
  - A- BAPAT: revealed that total percentage of positive reactors was 14/626 total sheep samples (2.2 %) and 11/211 total goat samples (5.2%).
  - B- RBPT: revealed that total percentage of positive reactors was 10/626 total sheep samples (1.6%) in sheep and 10/211 total goat serum samples (4.7%).
  - C- CFT: revealed that total percentage of positive reactors was 12/626 total sheep samples (1.9%) in sheep and 9/211 total goat serum samples (4.3%).
- Sensitivity and specificity of BAPAT with CFT was 100% and 99.5% respectively, While RBPT was 90.5% and 99.8% respectively.

- The agreement among BAPAT and RBPT by using CFT as gold standard test on 626 and 211 sheep and goats sera by using Cohen's Kappa statistics showed that there were a very good correlation between negative and positive results of (BAPAT and CFT) and (RBPT and CFT) where the agreement was (0.93) among sheep. And there were a very good correlation between negative and positive results of BAPAT and CFT where the agreement was (0.89) and RBPT and CFT where the agreement was (0.94) among goats
- From previous mentioned results it is shown that CFT has high sensitivity, specificity due to its avoidance of false results and cross reaction with other gram negative bacteria which has smooth antigen similar to *Brucella*. As it detect only IgG1 specific to *Brucella*. The RBPT has lower sensitivity and specificity than CFT. This may be due to the presence of some samples which reacted positively to the RBPT which proved negative by CFT as a specific test for diagnosis of brucellosis.

The results of studying some risk factors related to brucellosis showed that:-

- Percentage of *Brucella* reactors among sheep from Menea El-Qamh, Zagazig, Belbais, Abu\_Kabir and Dyarb Negm were 4.1%, 1.5%, 0.0%, 1.7%, and 1.7% by using CFT respectively.
- Percentage of *Brucella* reactors among goats from Menea El-Qamh, Zagazig, Belbais, Abu\_Kabir and Dyarb Negm were 3.8%, 5.7%, 0.0%, 3.9% and 9.5% by using CFT respectively.
- Percentage of *Brucella* reactors at age 2-5 years (2.5% and 5.7%), at age 1-2 years (1.4% and 3.8%) and at age <1 year (0.8% and 1.8%) among sheep and goats respectively using CFT.

- Percentage of *Brucella* reactors among ewes (2.7%, 1.9% and 2.3%) was higher than rams (0.7%) using BAPAT, RBPT and CFT respectively. While the percentage of *Brucella* reactors among bucks (6.5%, 6.5% and 3.3%) was higher than does (4.6%, 4% and 4.7%) using BAPAT, RBPT and CFT respectively.
- Bacteriological examination and identification revealed (6) isolates were obtained from (23) samples collected tissues from seropositive slaughtered sheep. While in goat (11) isolates were obtained from (24) samples collected tissues. All isolates identified as *Brucella melitensis* biovar (3).
- Application of PCR test for rapid identification of *Brucella* species isolated from lymph nodes and aborted foeti of (5) naturally infected slaughtered animals (3 sheep and 2 goats) revealed that five isolates of molecular size of 731 bp identified as *Br. melitensis*.