



Kafrelsheikh University
Faculty of Agriculture
Department of Genetics

Genetical Studies on Salinity and Drought Tolerance in Rice

By

Mahrous Elsyed Abd El-Baky Ali Negr

B.Sc. Agric. (Genetics), Kafrelsheikh Univ., 2007.

M.Sc. Agric. (Genetics), Kafrelsheikh Univ., 2012.

Thesis

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
IN AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES**

In

"GENETICS"

2016

LIST OF CONTENTS

No.	TITLE	Page
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
	2.1. Salinity and salinity tolerance in rice.	3
	2.2. Drought and drought tolerance in rice.	5
	2.3. Seedling stage traits.	7
	2.4. Rice traits under salinity and drought conditions.	11
	2.5. Combining ability and genetic parameters.	15
	2.6. Heterosis.	22
	2.7. Phenotypic correlation.	26
	2.8. SSR markers for salinity drought tolerance in rice.	29
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	35
	3.1. Plant materials.	35
	3.2. Germination and seedling experiment conditions.	36
	3.3. Field experiment conditions.	36
	3.4. Soil analysis.	38
	3.5. Studied traits.	38
	3.5.1. Morphological traits.	38
	3.5.2. Physiological traits.	39
	3.5.3. Yield and its component traits.	39
	3.6. Salinity (SI) and drought (DI) tolerance indices.	40
	3.7. Statistical Analysis.	40
	3.7.1. Analysis of variance.	40
	3.7.2. Estimates of combining ability.	41
	3.7.3. Hayman diallel approach.	42
	3.7.4. The estimates of heterosis.	43
	3.7.5. The potence ratio in F_1 .	44
	3.7.6. Estimates of phenotypic correlation.	44
	3.7.7. Statistical analysis of F_2 data.	45
	3.8. Molecular analysis.	46
	3.8.1. DNA isolation.	46
	3.8.2. Electrophoresis, staining and analysis.	48
	3.8.3. SSR protocol.	49
	3.8.2. SSR data analysis.	50
	3.8.3. Phylogenetic tree construction.	50
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	51
	4.1. Germination and early seedling stage results.	51
	4.1.1. Analysis of variance, phenotypic, genotypic coefficient of variability and genetic advance for germination and seedling traits.	51
	4.1.2. Mean performance of germination and seedling traits.	55

List of Contents

4.2. Results of Parents and F ₁ Crosses.	60
4.2.1 Analysis of variance.	60
4.2.1.1. Analysis of variance for morphological traits.	60
4.2.1.2. Analysis of variance for biochemical and physiological traits.	62
4.2.1.3. Analysis of variance for yield and its component traits.	65
4.2.2. Mean performance.	69
4.2.2.1. Mean performance of morphological traits.	69
4.2.2.2. Mean performance of physiological traits.	73
4.2.2.3. Mean performance of yield and its component traits.	78
4.2.3. Drought tolerance index (DI) and salinity tolerance index (SI) and reduction percentage(R%).	85
4.2.3.1. Drought tolerance index (DI) and salinity tolerance index (SI) and reduction percentage (R%) for morphological traits.	85
4.2.3.2. Drought tolerance index (DI) and salinity tolerance index (SI) and reduction percentage (R %) for physiological traits.	91
4.2.3.3. Drought tolerance index (DI) and salinity tolerance index (SI) and reduction percent (R %) for yield and its component traits.	96
4.2.4. Combining ability.	104
4.2.4.1. General combining ability effects.	104
4.2.4.1.1. General combining ability effects for morphological traits.	105
4.2.4.1.2. General combining ability effects for biochemical and physiological traits.	108
4.2.4.1.3. General combining ability effects for yield and its component traits.	110
4.2.4.2. Specific combining ability effects.	114
4.2.4.2.1. Specific combining ability effects for morphological traits.	114
4.2.4.2.2. Specific combining ability effects for of biochemical and physiological traits.	118
4.2.4.2.3. Specific combining ability effects for yield and its component traits.	122
4.2.5. Heterosis.	127
4.2.5.1. Heterosis over mid-parent% (HM.P.%).	128
4.2.5.1.1. Heterosis over mid-parent for morphological traits.	128
4.2.5.1.2. Heterosis over mid-parent for biochemical and physiological traits.	132
4.2.5.1.3. Heterosis over mid-parents for yield and its component traits.	136
4.2.5.2. Heterosis over better parent% (HB.P.%).	142
4.2.5.2.1. Heterosis over better parent for morphological traits	142
4.2.5.2.2. Heterosis over better parent for biochemical and physiological traits.	147
4.2.5.2.3. Heterosis over better parent for yield and its component traits.	151
4.2.6. Nature and degree of dominance (potence ratio).	157

List of Contents

	4.2.6.1. Nature and degree of dominance (potence ratio) for morphological traits.	157
	4.2.6.2. Nature and degree of dominance (potance ratio) for physiological traits.	162
	4.2.6.3. Nature and degree of dominance (potance ratio) for yield and its component traits.	166
	4.2.7. Phenotypic correlation.	170
	4.2.8. Results and Discussion of Hyman's Parameters for estimation of genetic parameters and heritability.	177
	4.2.8.1. Estimation of genetic parameters and heritability for morphological traits.	177
	4.2.8.2. Estimation of genetic parameters and heritability physiological traits.	181
	4.2.8.3. Estimation of genetic parameters and heritability for yield and its component traits.	184
	4.3. Genetic diversity analysis of the tested rice varieties based on SSR markers.	189
	4.3.1. Number of alleles and allelic diversity.	189
	4.3.2. PIC values.	191
	4.3.3. Genetic similarity and phylogenetic tree based on the SSR markers.	192
	4.3.4. Identified MAS marker.	194
	4.3.5. Cluster analysis.	195
	4.4. Results of F ₂ data.	197
	4.4.1. F ₂ mean performance and inbreeding depression values (ID%).	197
	4.4.2. Inbreeding depression (ID%) and Heterobeltiosis.	204
	4.4.3. Genetic parameters in F ₂ .	212
5	SUMMARY	224
6	CONCLUSION	235
7	REFERENCES	237
8	ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	TITLE	Page No.
1	Origin, parentage, type, salinity and drought tolerance of the eight rice genotypes.	35
2	Some chemical and physical analysis of experimental sites during 2014 and 2015 seasons.	38
3	Analysis of variance according to Griffing (1956) method-2, model-1.	41
4	Analysis of variance for according to Panse and Sukhatme (1957) for F ₂ hybrid population (individuals).	45
5	Name; chromosome number (CN); SSR motifs and the sequences of the SSR markers used in the current study and related traits.	49
6	Mean square estimates and genetic parameters for germination and seedling traits under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	52
7	Mean performance of root and shoot traits in the studied rice genotypes under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	56
8	Mean square estimates of ordinary analysis and combining ability analysis for morphological traits under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	61
9	Mean square estimates of ordinary analysis and combining ability analysis for biochemical and physiological traits under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	64
10	Mean square estimates of ordinary analysis and combining ability analysis for yield and its component traits under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	66
11	Mean performance of morphological traits in some studied rice genotypes and their crosses under three conditions.	70
12	Mean performance of physiological traits of some rice genotypes and their crosses under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	75
13	Mean performance of yield and its component traits in the studied rice genotypes under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	79
14	Drought tolerance index (DI) and salinity tolerance index (SI) and reduction percentage (R%) for morphological traits.	86
15	Drought tolerance index (DI) and salinity tolerance index (SI) and reduction percentage (R %) for physiological traits.	92
16	Drought tolerance index (DI) and salinity tolerance index (SI) and reduction percentage (R %) for yield and its component traits.	97
17	Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects for morphological traits for eight parents under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	106

List of Table and Figure

18	Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects for physiological traits for eight parents under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	109
19	Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects for yield and its component traits for eight parents under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	111
20	Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects for morphological traits of 28 F ₁ hybrids under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	115
21	Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects for physiological traits of 28 hybrids under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	119
22	Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects for yield and its component traits of 28 hybrids under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	123
23	Estimates of heterosis over mid-parent (HM.P.%) for morphological traits of 28 hybrids under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	129
24	Estimates of heterosis over mid-parent (HM.P.%) for physiological traits of 28 hybrids under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	133
25	Estimates of heterosis over mid-parent (HM.P.%) for yield and its component traits of 28 hybrids under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	137
26	Estimates of heterosis over better parent (HB.P.%) for morphological traits of 28 hybrids under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	144
27	Estimates of heterosis over better parent (HB.P.%) for physiological traits of 28 F ₁ hybrids under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	148
28	Estimates of heterosis over better parent (HB.P.%) for yield and its component traits of 28 hybrids under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	152
29	Estimates of potence ratio for 28 F ₁ crosses evaluated under normal, salinity and drought conditions for morphological trait.	159
30	Estimates of potence ratio for crosses evaluated under normal, salinity and drought conditions for physiological trait.	163
31	Estimates of potence ratio for 28 F ₁ crosses evaluated under normal, salinity and drought conditions for yield and its component trait.	167
32	Phenotypic correlation coefficients among the studied traits under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	172
33	Estimates of genetic parameters for morphological traits.	179

List of Table and Figure

34	Estimates of genetic parameters for physiological traits.	182
35	Estimates of genetic parameters for yield and its component traits.	185
36	The presence (1) and absence (0) matrix for SSR amplified fragments for the studied genotypes.	190
37	List of SSR markers used including name, polymorphic bands (PM), unique bands (UN), number of amplified alleles (AN), common alleles (CA) and polymorphic information content (PIC).	191
38	Genetic similarity and distance values of SSR markers among the eight rice variety	192
39	Statistical and genetic parameters for number of plant height for the crosses studied in F ₂ generation under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	198
40	Statistical and genetic parameters of panicle length for the crosses studied in F ₂ generation under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	201
41	Statistical and genetic parameters of panicle plant ⁻¹ for the crosses studied in F ₂ generation under normal, Salinity and drought conditions.	205
42	Statistical and genetic parameters of 100-grain weight for the crosses studied in F ₂ generation under normal, salinity and drought.	209
43	Statistical and genetic parameters of panicle weight for the crosses studied in F ₂ generation under normal, salinity and drought.	213
44	Statistical and genetic parameters of filled grains panicles ⁻¹ for the crosses studied in F ₂ generation.	216
45	Statistical and genetic parameters of spikelets sterility percentage for the crosses studied in F ₂ generation.	219
46	Statistical and genetic parameters of grain yield for the crosses studied in F ₂ generation under normal, salinity and drought conditions.	222

LIST OF FIGURES

1	Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR amplified fragments for eight SSR markers.	193
2	Dendrogram derived from UPGMA cluster analysis of eight rice genotypes based on Jaccard's similarity coefficient using 8 SSR markers.	196

5- SUMMARY

The presented study was carried out at the Genetic Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt. In addition, Rice Research and Training Center (RRTC) facilities at El-Sirw Agriculture Research Station Experimental Farm, Damietta Governorate, Egypt, during 2014 and 2015 rice growing seasons. This study involved eight rice varieties, i.e., Sakha102, Sakha104, Sakha105, Sakha106, Giza178, A22, IRAT170, and WAB56-125 beside their F₁ crosses and F₂ generations. The parents and their F₁ were evaluated under the three environments; i.e., normal, salinity and drought conditions. Seventeen traits; i.e., plant height, tillers plant⁻¹, straw weight plant⁻¹, panicle length and days to heading for vegetative traits; total chlorophyll content, proline content, sodium content, potassium content and Na⁺/K⁺ ratio for physiological traits and panicles plant⁻¹, spikelets sterility percentage, 100-grain weight, panicle weight, filled grains panicle⁻¹, harvest index (HI %) and grain yield plant⁻¹ for yield traits. The 28 F₂ populations were evaluated for eight traits involved; plant height, panicle length, panicles plant⁻¹, 100-grain weight, panicle weight, filled grains panicle⁻¹, spikelets sterility percentage and grain yield plant⁻¹. Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications was used and the data were analyzed according to **Griffing, 1956** method 2, model 1 and **Hayman, 1954**.

The objectives of this investigation were to:

1. Evaluate the performance of some rice genotypes under the three environments; i.e., normal, salinity and drought conditions.
2. Estimate the combining ability, heterosis and heritability for the studied traits under different three conditions.

3. Estimate the correlation coefficient between the studied traits under different three conditions.
4. Study the genetic diversity among the parents by using eight SSR markers linked to some salinity and / or drought tolerance.
5. Study inbreeding depression, genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for F₂ hybrid populations.

The obtained results could be summarized as follows:

1) **Results for germination and seedling:** The results indicated that the genetic variation exists among rice cultivars in terms of early seedling under salt and drought stresses condition, where under sever salt stress, A22 followed by WAB56-125 cultivar were the most tolerant cultivar which can be suggested for cultivation under salt stress condition. Furthermore, Sakha104 followed by IRAT170 were the best genotypes under high drought stress for most traits. The most desirable parents under control (non-stressed) were, Giza178 for germination energy percentage (GE %) and final germination percent (FGP %); Sakha104 for shoot length and root length; IRAT170 for seedling fresh weight and shoot fresh weight and Sakha105 for root fresh weight.

2) **The ordinary analysis of variance:** The results indicated highly significant differences among genotypes, parents, crosses, parents vs. crosses under the three environments (normal, salinity and drought conditions).

3) **The most desirable mean values for mean performances:** The most desirable parents and hybrids **under normal condition** were Sakha105 and Sakha105 × Sakha106 for plant height; Giza178 and

Summary

Sakha102 × Giza178 for tillers plant⁻¹; WAB56-125 and Giza178 × WAB56-125 for straw weight plant⁻¹; IRAT170 and Sakha102 × A22 for panicle length; Sakha102 and Sakha102 × Sakha106 for days to heading; A22 and Sakha102 × Giza178 for total chlorophyll content and harvest index; Giza178 and Sakha105 × Giza178 for proline content; Giza178 and Sakha104 × WAB56-125 for Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and sodium content; Giza178 and Sakha102 × Giza178 for potassium content; Giza178 and A22 × WAB56-125 for panicles plant⁻¹; Sakha105 and Sakha102 × IRAT170 for 100-grain weight; IRAT170 and A22 × IRAT170 for panicle weight; Giza178 and Giza178 × A22 for filled grains panicles⁻¹; Sakha105 and A22 × WAB56-125 for sterility percentage and Giza178 and Sakha105 × A22 for grain yield plant⁻¹.

Meanwhile, under salinity condition the best genotypes were Sakha105 and Sakha106 × IRAT170 for plant height; Giza178, Sakha104 × A22 and Giza178 × WAB56-125 for tillers plant⁻¹; Giza178 and A22 × WAB56-125 for straw weight plant⁻¹; WAB56-125 and IRAT170 × WAB56-125 for panicle length; Sakha102 and Sakha102 × Sakha106 for days to heading; Giza178 and Giza178 × A22 for total chlorophyll content and filled grains panicles⁻¹; Giza178 and Sakha106 × Giza178 for proline content; Giza178 and Sakha104 × Giza178 for Na⁺/K⁺ ratio, harvest index and grain yield plant⁻¹; Giza178 and Sakha102 × WAB56-125 for sodium content and panicle weight; Giza178 and Giza178 × WAB56-125 for potassium content and sterility percentage; Giza178 and Sakha104 × A22 for panicles plant⁻¹ and IRAT170 and Sakha105 × Sakha106 for 100-grain weight.

Furthermore, under drought condition the best genotypes were Sakha105, Sakha104 × Sakha105 and Sakha105 × Sakha106 for plant height; Giza178 and Sakha104 × A22 for tillers plant⁻¹; Giza178 and A22 × WAB56-125 for straw weight plant⁻¹ and potassium content; Giza178

Summary

and Giza178 × WAB56-125 for panicle length, filled grains panicles⁻¹, sterility percentage and grain yield plant⁻¹; Sakha106 and Sakha102 × Sakha106 for days to heading; WAB56-125 and Giza178 × A22 for total chlorophyll content; WAB56-125 and A22 × WAB56-125 for proline content; Giza178 and Sakha102 × Giza178 for Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and panicles plant⁻¹; Giza178 and Sakha104 × Giza178 for sodium content; Sakha105 and Sakha105 × IRAT170 for 100-grain weight; IRAT170 and Sakha104 × IRAT170 for panicle weight and Giza178 and Giza178 × IRAT170 for harvest index.

4) **For salinity and drought tolerance index:** Salinity and drought indices decreased for all the studied traits except for days to heading, proline content, sodium content, Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and spikelets sterility percentage. The most desirable parents were Giza178, WAB56-125 and A22 the best genotypes for salinity and drought indices followed by Sakha104 for salinity index and IRAT170 for drought index. While, the most desirable hybrids were Sakha102 × WAB56-125, IRAT170 × WAB56-125, Sakha104 × WAB56-125, Sakha104 × A22 and Sakha104 × Giza178 for **salinity index** in most traits.

Furthermore, the most desirable hybrids for **drought index** were IRAT170 × WAB56-125 and Giza178 × IRAT170 for tillers plant⁻¹, straw weight plant⁻¹, panicle length, panicles plant⁻¹, spikelets sterility, 100-grain weight, filled grains panicle⁻¹, harvest index and grain yield plant⁻¹; Giza178 × A22 for chlorophyll content and days to heading; Sakha106 × A22 and Sakha106 × Giza178 for sodium content and Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and Sakha104 × WAB56-125 for proline content.

5) **For general combining ability (GCA):** The best combiners under three studied conditions were Sakha105 and Sakha106 for plant height;

Summary

Giza178 and A22 for tillers plant⁻¹ and straw weight plant⁻¹; Giza178, WAB56-125 and A22 for panicle length; Sakha102 and Sakha106 for days to heading; Giza178, WAB56-125 and A22 for total chlorophyll content, proline content, sodium content, potassium content, Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and panicles plant⁻¹; IRAT170 and Sakha105 for 100-grain weight; IRAT170 under normal and drought, Giza178, A22 and WAB56-125 for panicle weight; Giza178, WAB56-125 and A22 for filled grains panicle⁻¹; Giza178 for spikelets sterility and Giza178, WAB56-125 and A22 for harvest index and grain yield plant⁻¹.

6) **For specific combining ability (SCA) effects:** The most desirable hybrids **under normal condition** were A22 × WAB56-125 for plant height; Sakha102 × Giza178 for tillers plant⁻¹, total chlorophyll content, potassium content and panicles plant⁻¹; Sakha105 × A22 for straw weight plant⁻¹; Sakha102 × A22 for panicle length and spikelets sterility percentage; Sakha102 × Sakha104 for days to heading; Sakha105 × Giza178 for proline content; Sakha104 × WAB56-125 for Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and sodium content; A22 × IRAT170 for 100-grain weight and panicle weight; Giza178 × A22 for filled grains panicle⁻¹ and Sakha105 × WAB56-125 for harvest index and grain yield plant⁻¹.

Whereas, under salinity condition the highest estimates were obtained by A22 × WAB56-125 for plant height; Sakha102 × WAB56-125 for tillers plant⁻¹, Na⁺/K⁺ ratio, sodium content and panicle weight; Sakha102 × A22 for straw weight plant⁻¹ and panicle length; Giza178 × A22 for days to heading; Sakha102 × Giza178 for total chlorophyll content; Sakha106 × Giza178 for proline content; Giza178 × WAB56-125 for potassium content and filled grains panicle⁻¹; Sakha104 × A22 for panicles plant⁻¹; IRAT170 × WAB56-125 for 100-grain weight; Sakha106

Summary

× WAB56-125 for spikelets sterility percentage and Sakha104 × Giza178 for harvest index and grain yield plant⁻¹.

Furthermore, under drought condition the highest estimates were obtained by Giza178 × A22 for plant height; Sakha102 × Giza178 for tillers plant⁻¹; Sakha102 × A22 for straw weight plant⁻¹; Sakha104 × IRAT170 for panicle length; Giza178 × IRAT170 for days to heading; Sakha106 × A22 for total chlorophyll content; Sakha106 × Giza178 for proline content; Sakha102 × WAB56-125 for Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and sodium content; Sakha102 × IRAT170 for potassium content; Sakha105 × A22 for panicles plant⁻¹, harvest index and grain yield plant⁻¹; A22 × IRAT170 for 100-grain weight; Sakha102 × Sakha104 for panicle weight; Giza178 × WAB56-125 for filled grains panicle⁻¹ and Sakha106 × WAB56-125 for spikelets sterility percentage.

7) **For heterosis over the mid-parent (H.M.P.%):** The most desirable hybrids **under normal condition** were, Sakha105 × Sakha106 for plant height; Sakha102 × A22 for tillers plant⁻¹, straw weight plant⁻¹, panicle length, panicles plant⁻¹ and spikelets sterility percentage; Giza178 × IRAT170 for days to heading; Sakha102 × Giza178 for total chlorophyll content and potassium content; Sakha105 × Giza178 for proline content; Sakha104 × WAB56-125 for Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and sodium content; A22 × IRAT170 for 100-grain weight and panicle weight; Giza178 × A22 for filled grains panicle⁻¹; Sakha105 × WAB56-125 for harvest index and Sakha105 × A22 for grain yield plant⁻¹.

Whereas, under salinity condition the highest heterosis over mid-parent estimates were obtained by Sakha106 × IRAT170 for plant height; Sakha106 × A22 for tillers plant⁻¹, panicle length and potassium content; Sakha102 × A22 for straw weight plant⁻¹; Giza178 × A22 for days to heading; Sakha102 × Giza178 for total chlorophyll content; Sakha106 ×

Summary

Giza178 for proline content; Sakha102 × WAB56-125 for Na^+/K^+ ratio, panicle weight, harvest index and grain yield plant^{-1} ; Sakha104 × Giza178 for sodium content; Sakha105 × A22 for panicles plant^{-1} ; IRAT170 × WAB56-125 for 100-grain weight; Giza178 × WAB56-125 for filled grains panicle⁻¹ and Sakha106 × WAB56-125 for spikelets sterility percentage.

Furthermore, under drought condition the highest heterosis over mid-parents estimates were obtained by Sakha105 × Sakha106 for plant height; Sakha102 × A22 for tillers plant^{-1} and straw weight plant^{-1} ; Sakha105 × A22 for panicle length, potassium content, panicles plant^{-1} , harvest index and grain yield plant^{-1} ; Giza178 × IRAT170 for days to heading; Sakha106 × A22 for total chlorophyll content and proline content; Sakha102 × Giza178 for Na^+/K^+ ratio and sodium content; A22 × IRAT170 for 100-grain weight; Sakha102 × Sakha104 for panicle weight; A22 × WAB56-125 for filled grains panicle⁻¹ and Sakha106 × WAB56-125 for spikelets sterility percentage.

8) For heterosis over the better parent (HB.P.%): The most desirable hybrids **under normal condition** were Sakha106 × IRAT170 for plant height; Sakha102 × A22 for tillers plant^{-1} , panicle length and panicles plant^{-1} ; Sakha104 × A22 for straw weight plant^{-1} ; Sakha102 × Sakha104 for days to heading; Sakha102 × Giza178 for total chlorophyll content and Na^+/K^+ ratio; Sakha102 × Sakha106 for proline content and 100-grain weight; Sakha104 × WAB56-125 for sodium content; A22 × WAB56-125 for potassium content and spikelets sterility percentage; Sakha105 × A22 for panicles plant^{-1} ; Sakha106 × A22 for panicle weight; Giza178 × A22 for filled grains panicle⁻¹ and Sakha105 × WAB56-125 for harvest index and grain yield plant^{-1} .

Whereas, under salinity condition the highest heterosis over better parents estimates were obtained by Sakha106 × IRAT170 for plant height; Sakha105 × IRAT170 for tillers plant⁻¹ and panicles plant⁻¹; A22 × WAB56-125 for straw weight plant⁻¹ and proline content; Sakha102 × A22 for panicle length; Sakha102 × Sakha104 for days to heading; Sakha105 × WAB56-125 for total chlorophyll content; Sakha105 × A22 for Na⁺/K⁺ ratio and sodium content; Giza178 × WAB56-125 for potassium content, filled grains panicle⁻¹ and spikelets sterility percentage; Sakha105 × Sakha106 for 100-grain weight; Sakha104 × IRAT170 for panicle weight and harvest index and Sakha104 × WAB56-125 for grain yield plant⁻¹.

Furthermore, under drought condition the highest heterosis over better parents estimates were obtained by Sakha104 × Sakha105 for plant height; Sakha104 × A22 for tillers plant⁻¹; A22 × IRAT170 for straw weight plant⁻¹; Sakha102 × A22 for panicle length and panicles plant⁻¹; Giza178 × IRAT170 for days to heading; Sakha106 × A22 for total chlorophyll content and proline content; Sakha102 × Giza178 Na⁺/K⁺ ratio, sodium content and spikelets sterility percentage; A22 × WAB56-125 for potassium content and filled grains panicle⁻¹; Sakha105 × A22 for panicles plant⁻¹ and grain yield plant⁻¹; Sakha102 × Sakha104 for 100-grain weight and panicle weight and Sakha102 × IRAT170 for harvest index. These hybrid combinations could be utilizing to enhancing the above traits in breeding program.

9) **For potence ratio:** Over-dominance was detected in some crosses for all traits. In these crosses, the values of potence ratio exceeded the unity, indicating the existence of over-dominance in the inheritance of these traits. The value of potence ratio was less than unity in some crosses for all traits, indicating the presence of partial dominance for these traits.

However, the values of potence ratio ranged from less to more than unity in most crosses for most studied traits, indicating partial to over-dominance for these traits.

10) The phenotypic correlation for grain yield plant⁻¹: It was highly significant positive correlated with each of plant height, tillers plant⁻¹, straw weight plant⁻¹, panicle length, proline content, potassium content, panicles plant⁻¹, panicle weight, filled grains panicle⁻¹, days to heading, total chlorophyll and harvest index under normal, salinity and drought conditions. On the other hand, highly significant negative correlation was obtained for Na⁺/K⁺ ratio, Na⁺ content and 100-grain weight under the three conditions, while the grain yield was highly significant negative correlation for spikelets sterility percentage under salinity and drought conditions.

11) The results of Hayman approach:

- Significant or highly significant positive values of additive (**D**) under the three conditions for all traits studied except plant height under the three conditions, grain yield plant⁻¹, harvest index, chlorophyll content, Na⁺ content and spikelets sterility percentage under normal condition and panicle length under drought condition.
- The dominance component **H₁ and H₂** were highly significant for all traits. These results had that dominance effects were relatively more important than additive in the inheritance of these traits. The magnitude of H₁ was more than H₂ in all traits, indicating that at most loci the positive and negative alleles were in equal proportion.
- Heritability in broad sense (**h²_b**) estimates was highly for all studied traits, indicating that most of phenotypic variability in all traits was due to genetic variation.

12) Molecular evaluation:

- The eight SSR markers were polymorphic and produced 29 alleles. The number of alleles per locus generated by each marker varied from 2 to 5 alleles with an average of 3.63 alleles per locus.
- The genetic similarity among the eight rice varieties was ranging from 0 to 79%. The highest similarity 79% and shortest genetic distance were scored between Sakha102 with each of Sakha104 and Sakha106; these three parental varieties are Japonica rice. The lowest genetic similarity (0%) and longest genetic distance were found between WAB56-125 and Sakha105. These results were substantiated by the fact that these two genotypes have different origin and fixed their difference in the ability of drought and salt tolerance.
- The results indicated the ability of SSR markers to detect and to identify the allelic diversity and genetic variation among the studied rice genotypes. In addition, RM223 marker elucidated the possibility to use it in MAS for salinity and drought tolerance in the studied rice genotypes according to different alleles.

13) The results of F₂ had the following:

- The highest desirable **mean values** were obtained from the crosses **under normal condition** were Sakha102 × Sakha105 for plant height; Sakha105 × Giza178 for panicle length; A22 × WAB56-125 for panicles plant⁻¹, filled grains panicle⁻¹, spikelets sterility percentage and grain yield plant⁻¹; Sakha102 × Sakha104 for 100-grain weight; Sakha105 × A22 panicle weight. Meanwhile, **under salinity condition** the best crosses were Sakha106 × IRAT170 for plant height; Sakha104 × WAB56-125 for panicle length; Sakha104 × A22 for panicles plant⁻¹; Sakha105 × Sakha106 for 100-grain weight; Sakha105 × A22 panicle weight; Giza178 × A22 for filled grains

panicle⁻¹ and spikelets sterility percentage; Sakha104 × Giza178 for grain yield plant⁻¹. Furthermore, **under drought condition** the best crosses were Sakha105 × Sakha106 for plant height; Sakha105 × A22 for panicle length; Giza178 × WAB56-125 panicles plant⁻¹, filled grains panicle⁻¹ and spikelets sterility percentage;; Sakha102 × Sakha105 for 100-grain weight; Sakha104 × IRAT170 for panicle weight and Giza178 × IRAT170 for grain yield plant⁻¹.

- The most desirable **inbreeding depression (I.d.)** in F₂: **under normal condition** were found for the crosses, Sakha102 × IRAT170 for plant height; Sakha106 × WAB56-125 for panicle length and panicles plant⁻¹; Sakha104 × Giza178 for 100-grain weight; Giza178 × IRAT170 for panicle weight; A22 × WAB56-125 for filled grains panicle⁻¹; A22 × IRAT170 for spikelets sterility percentage and Giza178 × IRAT170 for grain yield plant⁻¹.
- **Moreover**, the most desirable inbreeding depression (I.d.) **under salinity condition** were Sakha105 × Sakha106 for plant height; Sakha104 × WAB56-125 for panicle length; Sakha102 × A22 for panicles plant⁻¹; Sakha102 × Giza178 for 100-grain weight and spikelets sterility percentage; A22 × WAB56-125 for panicle weight; Giza178 × A22 for filled grains panicle⁻¹ and Giza178 × IRAT170 for grain yield plant⁻¹.
- Furthermore, the most desirable inbreeding depression (I.d.) **under drought condition** were Sakha106 × A22 for plant height; Sakha104 × WAB56-125 for panicle length and filled grains panicle⁻¹; Giza178 × A22 for panicles plant⁻¹; Sakha104 × IRAT170 for 100-grain weight and spikelets sterility percentage; Sakha104 × Giza178 for panicle weight and Sakha102 × A22 for grain yield plant⁻¹.