Suez Canal University Faculty of Agriculture Agricultural Engineering Department



Some Engineering Factors Affecting Solar Drying of Date

By

SALWA OSMAN SOLIMAN

B.Sc., Agric Engineering, Suez Canal University, 2011

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of

Master of Science

In

Agricultural Science (Agricultural Engineering)

Agricultural Engineering Department Faculty of Agriculture Suez Canal University

2016

SOME ENGINEERING FACTORS AFFECTING SOLAR DRYING OF DATE

By

SALWA OSMAN SOLIMAN MOUSA

B.Sc. Agric. Engineering, Suez Canal University, 2011

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE In Agricultural Sciences (Agricultural Engineering)

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Prof. Dr. Sherif M. Abd EL-Hak Radwan Professor of Agricultural Engineering Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. Yasen El-Kholy Professor of Agricultural Engineering Agricultural Engineering Research Institute

Prof. Dr. Islam H. Hassan El-Sheikh Professor of Agricultural Engineering Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University

> Agricultural Engineering Department Faculty of Agriculture Suez Canal University 2016

APPROVAL SHEET

Name :SALWA OSMAN SOLIMAN MOUSATitle of
thesis :SOME ENGINEERING FACTORS
AFFECTING SOLAR DRYING OF DATE

Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE In Agricultural Sciences (Agricultural Engineering)

Approved by:

Prof. Dr. Tarek Zaki Hasan Ali Fouda	
Professor of Agricultural Engineering and vice dean,	
Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University.	
Prof. Dr. Youssef Farag Sharobeem	
Professor of Agricultural Engineering and deputy direct	or
Agricultural Engineering Research Institute	
Prof. Dr. Sherif M. Abd EL-Hak Radwan	
Professor of Agricultural Engineering and dean,	
Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University	
Prof.Dr. Mohamed Moustafa El-Kholy	
Professor of Agricultural Engineering and deputy direct	or

Professor of Agricultural Engineering and deputy director Agricultural Engineering Research Institute

> Committee in charge Date: 3/ 11/ 2016

Name	Salwa Osman Soliman Mousa
Title of thesis	Some Engineering Factors Affecting Solar Drying of Date
University	Suez Canal University
Faculty	Faculty of Agriculture
Department	Agricultural Engineering Department
Degree	M.Sc
Date	2016
Language	English
Companyiaion	Prof. Dr. Sherif M. Abd El-Hak Radwan
Committee	Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. El- Kholy
	Dr. Islam H. El-Sheikh

Abstract

The present study was carried out through the period of September 2015 at the Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University to evaluate the effect of three different geometric shapes of solar dryers on drying behavior of date palm (Hayani) at three different levels of air velocities (0.5, 1, 1.5 m/s) and two different date conditions (peeled and unpeeled date). The studied different dryers shapes are, Quonset shape (SD1), gable-evenspan shape (SD2) and pyramidal shape (SD3). The dryers thermal performance was also analyzed. The tested dryers were operated under two different conditions; the first one was without load (1) the second was loaded with freshly harvested date (2). The obtained results revealed that for the dryers operated without load, the overall thermal efficiency were (55.6, 52.2 and 51.1%), (62.04, 58.6 and 57.1%) and (50.6, 47.6 and 46.2% for the three studied dryer (SD1, 2 and 3) at air velocity of 0.5, 1, 1.5 m/s, respectively. However, the daily average thermal efficiency for the three loaded solar dryers (SD1, SD2 and SD3) were (29.67, 28.11 and 24.63%), (31.85, 30.54 and 29.95%) and (19.29, 18.45 and 18.14%) in case of drying peeled date at air velocity of (0.5, 1, 1.5 m/s), respectively. While the corresponded values for the un-peeled date were (24.73, 22.96 and 20.18%), (26.18, 26.01 and 24.56%) and (18.06, 17.45 and 17.04%) respectively. Meanwhile, for the loaded dryers, the recorded drying times ranged from (13 to 18 h), (15 to 20 h) and (16 to 21 h) for the peeled date dried at the (SD1, 2 and 3) dryers respectively. The corresponding drying times for the unpeeled date ranged from (16 to 23 h), (18 to 25 h) and (19 to 27 h) respectively compared with 43 and 51h for peeled and un-peeled date dried by the traditional sun drying method. In general, the Quonset shape solar dryer (SD1) operated at air velocity of 1 m/s showed the best results in terms of highest thermal efficiency, shortest drying time and best final quality of the dried dates.

Keywords: Greenhouse type solar dryer - Thermal performance analysis -Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
2.1. Nutritional value and Benefits of the Date	5
2.2. Importance and Fundamentals of drying process	6
2.3. Classification of Drying Methods	9
2.3.1. Natural drying (sun drying)	9
2.3.2. Mechanical drying	11
2.3.3. Solar drying	14
3.3.3.1. classification of solar dryers	16
2.4. Utilization of Greenhouse type Solar Dryers in Drying	Agricultural
crops	19
2.5. Solar Drying of Date Palms	25
2.6. Effect of Parameters on Drying Process	27
2.6.1 Air temperature	27
2.6.2. Air velocity (air flow rate)	30
2.6.3 Relative humidity of Air	32
2.6.4 Moisture content of the drying product	34
2.7. Quality Changes of Date During the Drying Process	35
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1. Solar Drying Methods	38
3.1.1.The Greenhouse type solar dryers with different geometric	.C
shapes	
3.1.1.1. the Quonset shape solar dryer	
3.1.1.2. the gable-even-span shape solar dryer	

3.1.1.3. the pyramidal shape solar dryer
3.1.1.4. Frame structure and drying beds of the studied dryers40
3.1.1.5. working principle of the studied dryers
3.1.2. Traditional sun drying method45
3.2. Experimental Treatments and Test Procedure
3.2.1. Experimental treatments
3.2.2. Experimental procedure47
3.2.2.1. preparation of the samples47
3.2.2.2. the solar drying method
3.2.2.3. the traditional sun drying method49
3.3. Instruments and data acquisition
3.3.1. Meteorological data50
3.3.2. Air temperature, relative humidity and date temperature 51
3.3.3. Air velocity
3.3.4. Date moisture content
3.3.5. Weight of fruits46
3.4. Quality Evaluation Tests of Dried Date
3.4.1. Determination of antioxidant compounds
3.4.2. Determination of total phenolic compounds
3.4.3. Determination of total sugar content
3.5. Mathematical Modeling55
3.5.1.Thermal performance analysis without load
3.5.2. Heat energy balance for the studied solar dryers with load61
4. RESULTS ANDN DISCUSSIONS
4.1. Thermal Performance Analysis of the Greenhouse type Solar Dryers
without Load 65
4.1.1. Solar energy available65

4.1.2. Air temperature70
4.1.3. Air relative humidity72
4.1.4. Useful heat gain for the studied dryers74
4.1.5. Total heat losses from the studied dryers75
4.1.6. Thermal efficiency of the studied solar dryers75
4.2. Solar Drying of Dates81
4.2.1. Air temperature and relative humidity
4.2.2. Date temperature
4.2.3. Changes in date moisture content during the drying process86
4.3. Heat Energy Balance during the Drying Process
4.4. Quality Evaluation of Dates 104
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
6. REFERENCES
7. APPENDIX
8. ARABIC SUMMARY

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure (3.1): Schematic diagram of the greenhouse type solar dryer (Quonset
shape)41
Figure (3.2): General view the Quonset shape greenhouse type solar
dryer41
Figure (3.3): Schematic diagram of the greenhouse type solar dryer (Gable-
even-span shape)42
Figure (3.4): General view of the gable-even-span shape greenhouse type
solar dryer42
Figure (3.5): Schematic diagram of the greenhouse type solar dryer
(Pyramidal shape)43
Figure (3.6): General view the Pyramidal shape greenhouse type solar
dryer43
Figure (3.7): Drying air movement through the greenhouse type solar dryers
during the experimental work44
Figure (3.8): The wooden frame used for traditional sun drying of dates45
Figure (3.9): The experimental treatments used for the experimental work46
Figure (3.10): Measuring points of air temperature and relative humidity
inside the three studied solar dryer48
Figure (3.11): The three green-house type solar dryers with traditional sun
drying method49
Figure (3.12): Meteorological station used to measure meteorological
data50
Figure (3.13): Data logger system (lab-Jack)
Figure (3.14): Relationship between the output data of the thermocouple in
milli-volt and the electronic thermometer in °C

Figure (3.15): The van type anemometer (model YK-80AM)53
Figure (3.16): The electrical oven used to measure date moisture content53
Figure (3.17): Simplified flowchart for MATLAB program60
Figure (3.18): Simplified flowchart for developed MATLAB program64
Figure (4.1): Hourly average solar radiation flux incident outside (SR _{out}) and
inside (SR _{in}) the solar dryer (SD1) at air velocity of 0.5 , 1 and
1.5 m/s67
Figure (4.2): Hourly average solar radiation flux incident outside (SR_{out}) and
inside (SR _{in}) the solar dryer (SD2) at air velocity of 0.5, 1 and
1.5 m/s
Figure (4.3): Hourly average solar radiation flux incident outside (SR_{out}) and
inside (SR_{in}) the solar dryer $(SD3)$ at air velocity of 0.5, 1 and
1.5 m/s
 1.5 m/s

Figure (4.10) : Air temperature and relative humidity as related to drying
time inside the three solar dryers at air velocity of 1 m/s 83
Figure (4.11) : Air temperature and relative humidity as related to drying
time inside the three solar dryers at air velocity of 1.5 m/s84
Figure (4.12): Date temperature as related to drying time for the three solar
dryers at the minimum air velocity of 0.5 m/sec
Figure (4.13): Date temperature as related to drying time for the three solar
dryers at the air velocity of 1m/sec
Figure (4.14): Date temperature as related to drying time for the three solar
dryers at the maximum air velocity of 1.5 m/sec
Figure (4.15): Change in date moisture content versus drying time for the
three studied solar dryers at air velocity of 0.5 m/s91
Figure (4.16): Change in date moisture content versus drying time for the
three studied solar dryers at air velocity of 1 m/s92
Figure (4.17): Change in date moisture content versus drying time for the
three studied solar dryers at air velocity of 1.5 m/s93
Figure (4.18): Drying time of date for the three solar dryers compared with
the traditional sun drying method95
Figure (4.19): Change in date moisture content versus drying time for the
open sun drying method96
Figure (4.20): Measured and predicted solar energy available inside different
solar dryers shapes at air velocity of 0.5 m/s101
Figure (4.21): Measured and predicted solar energy available inside different
solar dryers shapes at air velocity of 1 m/s102
Figure (4.22): Measured and predicted solar energy available inside different
solar dryers shapes at air velocity of 1.5 m/s103

LIST OF TABLES

Table (3.1): Parameters required as input and variables output by MATLAB
program59
Table (3.2): Parameters and variables required as input and variables output
by MATLAB program63
Table (4.1): Daily average solar energy available outside and inside the three
studied solar dryers
Table (4.2): The daily average total heat losses and the overall thermal
efficiency of the three studied solar dryers76
Table (4.3): Average drying rate of dates using different shapes of solar
dryers and different air velocity compared with the open sun
drying method90
Table (4.4): Thermal efficiency of peeled date (T1) and un peeled date (T2)
using the solar dryer Quonset shape (SD1)98
Table (4.5): Thermal efficiency of peeled date (T1) and un peeled date (T2)
using the solar dryer gable even span shape (SD2)
Table (4.6): Thermal efficiency of peeled date (T1) and un peeled date (T2)
using the solar dryer paramedical shape (SD3)
Table (4.7): Total sugar, antioxidant and phenolic compounds of the dried
date105