Canal Suez University
Faculty of Science
Chemistry Department



# Study on the role of water quality on the accumulation of some heavy metals in fish farms

**Thesis Submitted By** 

#### **Ibrahim Mohamed El-Sayed Mohamed Hassan Mousa**

(M.Sc. Physical Chemistry 2013)

For

The Degree of Doctor of Philosophy of Science (Analytical and inorganic Chemistry)

(Faculty of science)

**Canal Suez University** 

<u>Supervisors</u>	<u>Signature</u>
Prof. Dr. Sabry A. El-Korashy	•••••
Prof. of inorganic Chemistry	
Faculty of Science Canal Suez University	
Dr. Niema. A. Abdel -Fattah	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Assistant Prof. of Environmental Science	
Central Laboratory for Aquaculture Research	
Dr. Diaa A. El-Kinawy	•••••
Assistant Prof. of Environmental Science	
World fish- Abbasa	

## CONTENTS

	Table of Contents	I
	List of Tables	V
	List of Figures	VII
	Chapter One - Introduction -	
1.1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Literature Survey	5
1.1.1.	Water temperature:	5
1.1.2.	Dissolved oxygen:	6
1,1.3.	Secchi disk visibility:	7
1.1.4.	pH degree:	8
1.1.5.	Total Alkalinity:	9
1.1.6.	Total hardness:	10
1.1.7.	Nitrogenous compounds:	11
1.1.7. 1.	Ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> ) and Ammonium ion (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>+</sup> .	11
1.1.7.2.	Nitrite (NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>-</sup> and Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>-</sup>	12
1.1.8.	Phosphorus:	12
1.1.9.	Heavy Metals:	13
1.1.9.1.	Copper:	14
1.1.9.2.	Zinc:	14
1.1.9.3.	Cadmium:	15
1.1.9.4.	Lead:	15
1.2.	Biological Characteristics:	17
1.2.1.	Phytoplankton:	17
	The aim of the study	20
	Chapter Two - Materials and Methods -	
2	Materials and Methods	23
2.1.	Study area	21
2.2.	Specimens collecting and equipment	21
2.2.1.	Water	21
2.2.2.	Fish	22
2.2.3.	Phytoplankton:	22

2.2.4.	Statistical analysis	22
2.2.5.	Water analysis.	23
2.2.6.	Fish analysis	24
2.2.7.	Heavy metals	24
2.2.8.	Growth parameters	25
2.2.8.1.	Condition factor (K)	25
2.2.8.2.	Hepato-somatic index (HSI)	25
	Chapter Three - Results and Discussion -	
3	RESULTS	26
3.1.1.	Physical Parameters	26
3.1.1.1.	Water temperature	27
3.1.1.2.	Water Transparency	27
3.1.2	Chemical Parameters:	31
3.1.2.1	Hydrogen ion concentration (pH)	31
3.1.2.2	Electric Conductivity (EC; µS/cm):	32
3.1.2.3	Dissolved oxygen (DO)	32
3.1.2.4.	Total alkalinity (T.alk)	33
3.1.2.5.	Total Hardness (T.H)	34
3.1.2.6.	Calcium Hardness (Ca.H):	35
3.1.2.7.	unionized Ammonia:	36
3.1.2.8.	Nitrite Concentration (mg/L):	36
3.1.2.9.	Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>-</sup>	37
3.1.2.10.	Orthophosphate (O.P):	38
3.1.2.11.	Total dissolved Solids (TDS):	39
3.1.2.12.	Heavy metals in water	40
3.1.2.12.2.	Copper (Cu)	41
3.1.2.12.3.	Iron (Fe)	42
3.1.2.12.4.	Manganese (Mn):	43
3.1.2.12.5.	Lead (Pb)	44
3.1.2.12.6.	Zinc (Zn)	45
3.1.3.	Correlation between water parameters	46
3.2.	Fish analysis	51

3.2.1.	Heavy metals in fish	51
3.2.1.1.	Cadmium (Cd)	51
3.2.1.2.	Copper (Cu)	53
3.2.1.3.	Iron (Fe)	54
3.2.1.4.	Manganese (Mn)	57
3.2.1.5.	Lead (Pb)	59
3.2.1.6.	Zinc (Zn)	61
3.2.2.	Correlation between water and fish parameter:	
3.2.3	Correlation between the concentrations of heavy metals in fish with each other's:	73
3.2.4.	Growth parameters	75
3.2.4.1.	Condition factor (K)	75
3.2.4.2.	Hepato- somatic index (HSI).	75
3.3.	Biological studies	78
3.3.1.	Phytoplankton	78
3.3.2.	Distribution and monthly variations of total phytoplankton	
3.3.3.	Distribution and variations of common groups	
3.3.3.1.	Chlorophyceae (green algae)	80
3.3.3.2.	Cyanophyceae (blue green algae)	81
3.3.3.3.	Bacillariophyceae(diatoms)	81
3.3.3.4.	Euglenophyceae (Euglena)	82
	Chapter Four - DISCUSSION	
	4- DISCUSSION	84
4.1.	Physical and chemical parameters	84
4.1.1.	Hydrogen ion concentration (pH)	84
4.1.2.	Water temperature	85
4.1.3.	Transparency	86
4.1.4.	Dissolved oxygen (DO)	86
4.1.5.	Total alkalinity.	87
4.1.6.	Total Hardness and Calcium Hardness	88
4.1.7.	Nitrite (NO <sub>2</sub> )	89
4.1.8.	Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> )	90
4.1.9.	Ammonia	91
4.1.10.	Orthophosphate	92

4.1.11.	Electric conductivity (µS/cm)	93
4.1.12.	Total Dissolved Solids	94
4.2.	Heavy metals	95
4.2.1.	Cadmium	95
4.2.2.	Copper	97
4.2.3	Iron	98
4.2.4.	Manganese (Mn)	100
4.2.5.	Lead	101
4.2.6.	Zinc	102
4.3.	The correlation coefficient matrix	106
4.3.1.	The correlation coefficient matrix	106
4.4.	Growth parameters	107
4.4.1.	Condition factor (K)	107
4.4.2.	Hepato- somatic index (HSI)	108
4.5.	Biological studies	109
4.5.1.	Phytoplankton	109
5	Summary and Conclusion	113
6	REFERENCES	117

### LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (1)	Monthly and farms variation (means+ standard deviations) of some physical and chemical parameters of water samples collected from the three studied fish farms	29
Table (2)	Monthly variations (means ± standard deviations) of heavy metals concentrations (ppm) in water of the three studied fish farms	46
Table (3)	Pearson correlation coefficient (r = value) between water parameters in the three studied fish farms.	47
Table (4)	Farms variations (means $\pm$ standard deviations) of heavy metals concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ dry $wt$ ) in Musculature of Oreochromis Niloticus in the different three studied fish farms.	63
Table (5)	Farms variations (means ± standard deviations) of heavy metals concentrations (µg/g dry wt) in gills of Oreochromis Niloticus in the different three studied fish farms	64
Table (6)	Farms variations (means $\pm$ standard deviations) of heavy metals concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ dry $wt$ ) in liver of Oreochromis Niloticus in the different three studied fish farms	65
Table (7)	Farms variations mean of heavy metals concentrations (ppm) in muscles, gills and liver of Oreochromis Niloticus in the different three studied fish farms	66
Table (8)	Pearson correlation coefficient $(r = value)$ between water parameters and heavy metal concentration in musculature in fish in the different three studied fish farms.	69
Table (9)	Pearson correlation coefficient $(r = value)$ between water parameters and heavy metal concentration in gills in fish in the different three studied fish farms.	71
Table (10)	Pearson correlation coefficient $(r = value)$ between water parameters and heavy metal concentration in liver in fish the different three studied fish farms.	73
Table (11)	Pearson correlation coefficient $(r = value)$ between the concentration of heavy metal in fish musculature with each other's in the different three studied fish farms.	75

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (12)	Pearson correlation coefficient $(r = value)$ between the concentration of heavy metal in fish gills with each other's in the different three studied fish farms.	75
Table (13)	Pearson correlation coefficient $(r = value)$ between the concentration of heavy metal in fish liver with each other's in the different three studied fish farms.	76
Table (14)	Monthly variations (means + standard deviations) of condition factor (K) and hepato - somatic index (HIS) of Oreochromis Niloticus collected from the three studied fish farms.	77
Table (15);	Farms variations (means ± standard deviations) of condition factor (K) and Heapto-Somatic Index (HSI) of Oreochromis Niloticus collected from the three studied fish farms.	77
Table (16)	Monthly total number of phytoblankton standing groups (org/l x103) in water samples collected from fish farm (1), from fish farm (2) and from fish farm (3).	81
Table (17)	Monthly average of phyto groups (org/L x103) in water samples collected from fish farm (1).	83
<i>Table (18)</i>	Monthly average of phyto groups (org/L x103) in water samples collected from fish farm (2).	84
Table (19)	Monthly average of phyto groups (org/L x103) in water samples collected from fish farm (3).	84
Table (20)	Community composition of total phytoplankton in the three studied fish farms.	84

### LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Title	Page
Fig (1)	Monthly and farms variations in water in the three studied fish farms.	27
Fig (2)	Monthly and farms variations in water Transparency in the three studied fish farms.	28
Fig (3)	Monthly and farms variations in water pH in the three studied fish farms.	31
Fig (4)	Monthly and farms variations in the electrical conductivity water in the three studied fish farms.	32
Fig (5)	Monthly and farms variations in dissolved oxygen in water in the three studied fish farms.	33
Fig (6)	Monthly and farms variations of total alkalinity of water in the three studied fish farms.	34
Fig (7)	Monthly and farms variations of total Hardness of water in the three studied fish farms.	35
Fig (8)	Monthly and farms variations of Ca-Hardness in water in the three studied fish farms.	35
Fig (9)	Monthly and farms variations of total unionized ammonia in water in the three studied fish farms.	36
Fig (10)	Monthly and farms variations of nitrite in water in the three studied fish farms.	37
Fig (11)	Monthly and farms variations of nitrate in water in the three studied fish farms.	38
Fig (12)	Monthly and farms variations of ortho phosphate in water in the three studied fish farms.	39
Fig (13)	Monthly and farms variations of total alkalinity of water in the three studied fish farms.	40
Fig (14)	Monthly and farms variations of Cadmium concentration (ppm) in water of the three studied fish farms.	41
Fig (15)	Monthly and farms variations of Cupper concentration (ppm) in water of the three studied fish farms.	42
Fig (16)	Monthly and farms variations of Iron concentration (ppm) in water of the three studied fish farms.	43
Fig (17)	Monthly and farms variations of Manganese concentration (ppm) in water of the three studied fish farms.	44

Fig. No.	Title	Page
Fig (18)	Monthly and farms variations of Lead concentration (ppm) in water of the three studied fish farms.	44
Fig (19)	Monthly and farms variations of Zinc concentration (ppm) in water of in the three studied fish farms.	45
Fig (20)	Monthly and farms variations of cadmium concentration ( $\mu g/g$ dry wt) in musculature (a), gills (b) and liver (c) of Oreochromis niloticus in the three studied fish farms.	52
Fig (21)	Monthly and farms variations of Cupper concentration ( $\mu g/g$ dry $wt$ ) in musculature (a), gills (b) and liver (c) of Oreochromis niloticus in the three studied fish farms.	54
Fig (22)	Monthly and farms variations of Iron concentration ( $\mu g/g$ dry $wt$ ) in musculature (a), gills (b) and liver (c) of Oreochromis niloticus in the three studied fish farms.	56
Fig (23)	Monthly and farms variations of Mangnese concentration (ppm) in musculature (a), gills (b) and liver (c) of Oreochromis niloticus in the three studied fish farms.	58
Fig (24)	Monthly and farms variations of Lead concentration (µg/g dry wt) in musculature (a), gills (b) and liver (c) of Oreochromis niloticus in the three studied fish farms.	60
Fig (25)	Monthly and farms variations of Zinc concentration ( $\mu g/g$ dry $wt$ ) in musculature (a), gills (b) and liver (c) of Oreochromis niloticus in the three studied fish farms.	62
Fig (26)	Monthly variations in condition factor (K) of Oreochromis niloticus in the three studied fish farms.	77
Fig (27)	Monthly variations in hepato- somatic index (HSI) of Oreochromis Niloticus in the three studied fish farms.	77
Fig (28)	Community composition of total phytoplankton in fish farm (1).	79
Fig (29)	Community composition of total phytoplankton in fish farm (2)	79
Fig (30)	Community composition of total phytoplankton in fish farm (3)	79

#### **ABSTRACT**

Monitoring of water quality is very important, where it is the main factor impacts the cultured fish. So, the present study investigates factors affecting fish culture in some fish farms that use different sources of water (fresh water, agriculture drainage and sewage wastewater). In three different farms at Abbassa and Hessania, Sheikh governorate, Egypt. Water and fish samples were taken monthly during 2016 fish farming season, to evaluate the physical and chemical and biological characteristics and heavy metals distribution in water and fish organs (muscles ,gills and liver).

Results obtained from this study indicated significant difference between the water of the three farms, particularly in their physical and chemical characteristic (water temperature, pH, electrical conductivity, TDS, total and calcium hardness, total Alkalinity, phosphate,NH<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, DO and transparency). Heavy metals varied depending upon the organ, location and the month of sampling. Also, the order of occurrence of heavy metals in water ranked at the following order,

 condition factor (K) and hepato-somatic index (HSI) of the three studied fish farms indicated that fish of farm (1) was the best condition. In the present study phytoplankton was represented by four groups namely Chlorophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Bacillariophyceae and Euglenophyceae, where Chlorophyceac dominated over other groups of phytoplankton in farm (2) while Cyanophycea dominates in farm 1 and Bacillophycea in farm 3. Potential adverse health effects in such applications could be avoided if the wastewater is sufficiently treated before use.