

CONTENTS

Items	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
MATERIALS AND METHODS	24
RESULTS	31
DISCUSSION	47
CONCLUSION	59
SUMMARY	61
REFERENCES	64
ARABIC SUMMRY	

SUMMARY

Twenty five random samples each of raw milk, cream, ice cream and kareish cheese were collected from different localities for enumeration, isolation and identification of psychrotrophic bacteria. The counts was determined according to the technique recommended by **APHA (1992)** using standard plate count agar and incubated at 7°C/10 days, as well as using modified rapid method (32°C/2 days).

The mean values of psychrotrophic bacterial counts using standard method were 1.9×10^7 , 3.1×10^8 , 3.3×10^6 and 2.6×10^7 /ml of raw milk samples, cream samples, ice cream samples and Kareish cheese samples respectively. While their counts by modified rapid method were 2.3×10^7 , 2.5×10^8 , 2.8×10^6 and 1.7×10^8 /ml respectively.

These high counts indicate the inadequate hygienic measures adopted in production and storage and will result in their deterioration and unacceptability.

Out of 169 isolated psychrotrophes (7°C/10 days) from raw milk. 38(22.5%) were *Pseudomonas* spp.; 23 (13.6%) were *Aeromonas* spp.; 15 (8.9%) were *Staphylococcus* spp.; 14 (8.3%) were *Bacillus* spp., 15 (8.9%) were *Micrococcus* spp., 17 (10.1%) were *Enterobacteriaceae*, 10 (5.9%) were *Chromobacterium* spp., 13(7.7%) were *Acinetobacter* spp., 12(7.1%) were *Flavobacterium* spp., 10(5.9%) were *Streptococcus* spp. and 2 (1.1%) were *Alcaligenes* spp., while out of 180 isolates at 32°C/2 days were, 60 (33.3%) were *Pseudomonas* spp., 19(10.6%) were *Aeromonas* spp., 17 (9.5%) were *Staphylococcus* spp., 16 (8.9%) were *Bacillus* spp., 14 (7.8%) were *Micrococcus* spp., 8 (4.4%) were *Enterobacteriaceae*, 9 (5%) were *Chromobacterium* spp., 17(9.4%) were *Acinetobacter* spp., 9 (5%) were *Flavobacterium* spp., 7 (3.9%) were *Streptococcus* spp and 4 (2.2%) were *Alcaligenes* spp.

Differentiation of 182 isolates from cream at 7°C/10 days revealed that, 27 (14.8%) were *Pseudomonas* spp., 18 (9.9%) were *Aeromonas* spp., 15 (8.2%) were *Alcaligenes* spp., 20 (11%) were *Acinetobacter* spp., 16 (8.8%) were *Chromobacterium* spp., 11 (6.1%) were *Flavobacterium* spp., 28 (15.4%) were Enterobacteriaceae, 22 (12.1%) were *Bacillus* spp., 15 (8.2%) were *Micrococcus* spp. and 10 (5.5%) were *Staphylococcus* spp. while at 32°C/2 days, out of 198 isolates were 38 (19.2%) were *Pseudomonas* spp., 25 (12.6%) were *Aeromonas* spp., 20 (10.1%) were *Alcaligenes* spp., 25 (12.6%) were *Acinetobacter* spp., 11 (5.6%) were *Chromobacterium* spp., 14 (7.1%) were *Flavobacterium* spp., 32 (16.1%) were Enterobacteriaceae, 18 (9.1%) were *Bacillus* spp., 10 (5.1%) were *Micrococcus* spp. and 5 (2.5%) were *Staphylococcus* spp.

Differentiation of 73 isolates from ice cream samples at 7°C/10 days revealed that, 15 (20.6%) were *Pseudomonas* spp., 10 (13.7%) were *Alcaligenes* spp., 7 (9.6%) were *Acinetobacter* spp., 4 (5.4%) were *Flavobacterium* spp., 15 (20.6%) were Enterobacteriaceae, 15 (20.9%) were *Staphylococcus* spp., 5 (6.8%) were *Aeromonas* spp. and 2 (2.7%) were *Bacillus* spp. while out of 76 isolates at 32°C/2 days, were 10 (13.2%) were *Pseudomonas* spp., 11 (14.5%) were *Alcaligenes* spp., 9 (11.8%) were *Acinetobacter* spp., 2 (2.6%) were *Flavobacterium* spp., 16 (21.1%) were Enterobacteriaceae, 14 (18.4%) were *Staphylococcus* spp., 5 (6.6%) were *Micrococcus* spp., 4 (5.2%) were *Aeromonas* spp., and 5 (6.6%) were *Bacillus* spp.

Differentiation of 150 isolates from kareish cheese samples, at 7°C/10 days, revealed that, 23 (15.3%) were *Pseudomonas* spp., 18 (12%) were *Alcaligenes* spp., 8 (5.3%) were *Acinetobacter* spp., 6 (4%) were *Flavobacterium* spp., 15 (10.7%) were *Staphylococcus* spp., 26 (17.3%) were Enterobacteriaceae, 12 (8%) were *Streptococcus* spp., 10 (6.7%) were *Micrococcus* spp., 15 (10%) were *Aeromonas* spp. and 16

(10.7%) were *Bacillus* spp. while out of 156 isolates at 32°C/2 days, were 28 (17.9%) were *Pseudomonas* spp., 14(9%) were *Alcaligenes* spp., 10 (6.4%) were *Acinetobacter* spp., 8 (5.1%) were *Flavobacterium* spp., 19 (12.2%) were *Staphylococcus* spp., 20 (12.8%) were *Enterobacteriaceae*, 10 (6.4%) were *Streptococcus* spp., 9 (5.8%) were *Micrococcus* spp., 19 (12.2%) were *Bacillus* spp. and 19 (12.2%) were *Aeromonas* spp.

Comparing the psychrotrophic types recovered from dairy products by applying standard and modified rapid method, it is recommended according to our results that modified rapid method could be applied for detection of psychrotrophic species.

The economic and sanitary importance of psychrotrophs isolated from examined samples are discussed. Moreover, suggestion control measures to improve the quality of raw milk as well as other dairy products were discussed.
