



 Institute of Graduate Studies and Research
Department of Environmental Studies

Assessment of mycotoxins in some stored grains from different localities in Egypt

**A thesis submitted in a partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Ph.D.**

In

Environmental Studies

Submitted by

Mahmoud Abd El-Azim El-Sadany

*B.Sc. of Agricultural Science, 2004
Alexandria University*

**Master degree in Environmental Studies, 2014
Institute of Graduate Studies and Research - Alexandria University**

2018

Protected by PDF Anti-Copy Free
LIST OF CONTENTS
(Upgrade to Pro Version to Remove the Watermark)

| | |
|---|-------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT | i |
| LIST OF CONTENTS | ii |
| LIST OF TABLES | vi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | viii |
| MANUSCRIPT FROM THESIS | v |
| 1. INTRODCUTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Study Background | 1 |
| 1.2. Review of literatures..... | 3 |
| 1.2.1. Mycotoxins | 3 |
| 1.2.2. Mycotoxins and their occurrence in grains | 4 |
| 1.2.2.1. Aflatoxin | 8 |
| 1.2.2.2. Fumonisin | 9 |
| 1.2.2.3. Deoxynivalenol/vomitoxin | 9 |
| 1.2.2.4. Ochratoxins | 10 |
| 1.2.2.5. Zearalenone | 11 |
| 1.2.3. Some common grains susceptible to mycotoxin | 11 |
| 1.2.3.1. Maize (<i>Zea mays L.</i>) | 12 |
| 1.2.3.2. Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum L.</i>) | 13 |
| 1.2.3.3. Rice (<i>Oryza sativa L.</i>)..... | 13 |
| 1.2.3.4. Barley (<i>Hordeum vulgare L.</i>) | 14 |
| 1.2.4. Factors affecting the occurrence of mycotoxin in grains..... | 15 |
| 1.2.5. Postharvest mitigation of mycotoxins | 17 |
| 1.2.5.1. Harvesting | 17 |
| 1.2.5.2. Drying | 17 |
| 1.2.5.3. Storage | 18 |
| 1.2.5.4. Modified atmosphere storage..... | 19 |
| 1.2.5.5. Processing | 20 |
| 1.2.5.6. Irradiation..... | 22 |
| 1.2.5.7. Chemical control | 23 |
| 1.2.5.8. Biological control..... | 23 |
| 1.2.5.9. Nanotechnology control..... | 24 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1.2.6. Bacterial contamination..... | 26 |
| 1.3. Aim of the Study | 28 |
| 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS..... | 29 |
| 2.1. Samples..... | 29 |
| 2.2. Instruments & equipments | 29 |
| 2.3. Chemicals..... | 30 |
| 2.4. Standards..... | 30 |
| 2.5. Preparation of calibration curve..... | 30 |
| 2.6. Extraction and purification of sample..... | 30 |
| 2.7. Determination of Aflatoxin M1 by HPLC system..... | 32 |
| 2.8. Calculations | 33 |
| 2.9. Statistical analysis | 33 |
| 3.10. The occurrence of some microbe in some grains from different localities in Egypt during 2016-2017..... | 33 |
| 3.10.1. The occurrence of some mycotoxins in some grains from different localities in Egypt during 2016-2017 | 33 |
| 3.10.2. The occurrence of some bacteria in some grains from different localities in Egypt during 2016-2017..... | 33 |
| 3.11. Effect of coated wheat seeds with zinc coordinated zein (Zinc@Zein) on amount of both fungal and bacterial contents..... | 33 |
| 3.11.1. Materials | 33 |
| 3.11.2 Coating | 33 |
| 3.11.3. Surface characterisation | 34 |
| 3.11.4. Fragmentation of protein..... | 34 |
| 3.11.5 Circular dichorism | 34 |
| 3.11.6. Viscosity | 34 |
| 3.11.7. Zinc estimation..... | 34 |
| 3.11.8. Fourier transforms infrared spectroscopy | 34 |
| 3.11.9. Moisture dynamics..... | 34 |
| 3.10. Water resistance..... | 35 |
| 3.11.11. Bioavailability..... | 35 |
| 3.11.12. Antimicrobial activity..... | 35 |



Protected by PDF Anti-Copy Free

| | |
|--|------------|
| 3. RESULTS | 36 |
| 3.1. Effect of storages of some grains on mycotoxins content at ambient environmental condition in Upper, Middle and Lower of Egypt during 2016-2017..... | 36 |
| 3.1.1. Effect of storages of some grains on Aflatoxins content in Upper, Middle and Lower of Egypt during storage period..... | 36 |
| 3.1.2. Effect of storages of some grains on Dontoxin content in Upper, Middle and Lower of Egypt during storage period..... | 41 |
| 3.1.3. Effect of storages of some grains on Ochratoxin content in Upper, Middle and Lower of Egypt during storage period..... | 42 |
| 3.1.4. Effect of storages of some grains on Zearalenone content in Upper, Middle and Lower of Egypt during storage period..... | 43 |
| 3.1.5. Effect of storages of some grains on Fumonisin content in Upper, Middle and Lower of Egypt during storage period..... | 44 |
| 3.2. The occurrence of some microbe in some grains from different localities in Egypt during 2016-2017..... | 45 |
| 3.2.1. Occurrence of some mycotoxins in some grains from different localities in Egypt..... | 45 |
| 3.2.1.2. Levels of Afla, DON, OTA, ZEN and Fum ($\mu\text{g}/\text{Kg}$) contamination in wheat, maize, rice and barley samples compared to limits established by the Exceeding Egyptian, EC regulations and US regulations..... | 48 |
| 3.2.2. Occurrence of Some bacteria in some grains from different localities in Egypt..... | 50 |
| 3.2.3. The occurrence of total fungal and bacteria count in some grains from different localities in Egypt during 2016-2017..... | 53 |
| 3.3. Effect of coated wheat seeds with zinc coordinated zein (Zinc@Zein) on amount of both fungal and bacteria contents..... | 55 |
| 3.4. Anti-pathogenic effect..... | 79 |
| 4. DISCUSSION | 84 |
| 5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 99 |
| 6. REFERENCES | 101 |
| 7. SUMMARY | 119 |
| ARABIC SUMMARY | |

Protected by PDF Anti-Copy Free
LIST OF TABLES
(Upgrade to Pro Version to Remove the Watermark)

| | | Page |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Table 1.1. | The most commonly as mycotoxins with cereal grains following pre- and postharvest con..... | 6 |
| Table 1.2. | Regulation of some mycotoxins | 7 |
| Table 1.3. | Mycotoxin (Fungal source | 7 |
| Table 1.4. | Classes of major mycotoxins and their physiological effects..... | 7 |
| Table 1.5. | Optimum temperatures and water activity for mycotoxin production..... | 16 |
| Table 3.1. | Effect of storages of some grains on mycotoxins content in Upper Egypt.. | 37 |
| Table 3.2. | Effect of storages of some grains on mycotoxins content in Middle Egypt..... | 38 |
| Table 3.4. | Effect of storages of some grains on mycotoxins content in Lower Egypt . | 47 |
| Table 3.5. | Levels of Afla; DON; OTA; ZEN and Fum in wheat, maize, rice and barley samples (µg/Kg)..... | 49 |
| Table 3.6. | Occurrence of some bacteria in some grains from different localities in Egypt during 2016-2017. | 51 |
| Table 3.7. | Occurrence of total fungal and bacteria count in some grains from different localities in Egypt during 2016-2017 | 54 |
| Table 3.8. | Effect of coated wheat seeds with zinc coordinated zein (TaS@Z-Z) on amount of aflatoxin infection content with different culture concentration (µg/kg)..... | 80 |
| Table 3.9. | Effect of coated wheat seeds with zinc coordinated zein (TaS@Z-Z) on amount of Pseudomonas infection content with different culture concentration (CFU/ML) | 82 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Afla | : Aflatoxins B ₁ |
| FUM | : Fumonisin B ₁ |
| Cit | : Citrinin |
| DON | : Deoxynivalenol |
| Ergot | : Ergotamine |
| HT-2 | : HT-2 toxin |
| T-2 | : T-2 toxin |
| NIV | : Nivalenol |
| OTA | : Ochratoxin A |
| Sterig | : Sterigmatocystin |
| ZEN | : Zearalenone |
| SEM | : Scanning electron microscopy |
| FTIR | : Fourier Transform Infrared |
| ICP-MS | : Inductively Coupled plasma |
| ZEN | : Zeta Potential Cell |
| CD | : Circular dichroism spectrometer |
| Contact Angle | : Multidimensional Contact Angle Measurement |
| MCR | : The MCR rheometer |
| W_m | : Amount of each toxin in the test sample |
| W_a | : Amount of each toxin corresponding |
| V_f | : The final volume of re dissolved elute |
| W_m | : Amount of each toxin in the test sample |
| W_a | : Amount of each toxin corresponding |
| V_f | : The final volume of re dissolved elute |
| V_i | : Volume of injected eluate |
| V_s | : Volume of test portion passing through the column |
| W_a | : Amount of each toxin corresponding |
| V_f | : The final volume of re dissolved elute |
| WHO | : World Health Organization |
| Afla^{Test} | : The immunoaffinity columns of aflatoxins B ₁ |

Protected by PDF Anti-Copy Free
(Upgrade to Pro Version to Remove the Watermark)

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| DON^{Test} | : The immunoaffinity columns of deoxynivalenol |
| OTA^{Test} | : The immunoaffinity columns of Ochratoxin A |
| FUM^{Test} | : The immunoaffinity columns of fumonisins B ₁ |
| ZEN^{Test} | : The immunoaffinity columns of Zearalenone |
| AP | : Ammonium phosphate |
| H1NMR | : nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy |
| TaS@Z | : Zein coating of Triticum aestivum |
| Zinc@Zein | : The zinc coordinated zein composite |
| TaS@Z-Z | : Zinc@Zein was coated on wheat grain |
| EDAX | : Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy |
| SDS-PAGE | : SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis |

Protected by PDF Summa Copy Free

(Upgrade to Pro Version to Remove the Watermark)

Egypt has one of the highest rates of human and animal consumption of a commercially grains such as (wheat, maize, rice and barley) in the world. A large problem is present in maintaining safe storages of grains for animal and human consumption. So, present study conducted to assess the effect of storage of grains such as (wheat, maize, rice and barley) on mycotoxins content such as Afla; DON; OTA; ZEN and Fum at ambient environmental condition for (3, 6, 9 and 12 months) in Upper, Middle and Lower of Egypt. The mean of the relative humidity and temperature through the previously mentioned period was [(55%, 4-22°C), (37%, 10-35°C), (30%, 19-37°C) and (40%, 10-32°C)], [(63%, 9-18°C), (45%, 12-32°C) (59%, 22-34°C) and (50%, 13-30°C)] and [(55%, 4-22°C), (37%, 10-35°C), (30%, 19-37°C) and (40%, 10-32°C)], respectively.

Our results revealed that the mean concentration values of Aflatoxins in wheat, maize, rice and barley in Upper, Middle and Lower of Egypt were (14.2 - 20.8 - 9.3 and 3.5 µg/Kg), (14.2 - 22.6 - 8.4 and 0 µg/Kg) and (13-17.6 -7.4 and 2.8 µg/Kg), respectively, while DONTOXIN were (72.2 - 50 - 0 and 39.6 µg/Kg), (55.2 - 44 - 0 and 32.4 µg/Kg) and (42 - 0 - 33.8 and 24.4 µg/Kg), respectively. Ochratoxin were (3.58 - 5.8 - 0 and 0 µg/Kg), (4.7 - 0 - 0 and 3.3 µg/Kg) and (0 - 0 - 4.5 and 3.1 µg/Kg), respectively. Zearalenone were (0 - 0 - 3.3 and 5.4 µg/Kg), (0 - 0 - 3.8 and 4.9 µg/Kg) and (0 - 1.5 - 2.92 and 4.7 µg/Kg), respectively. While Fumonisin were (0 - 0 - 3.3 and 5.4 µg/Kg), (0 - 6.9 - 0 and 0 µg/Kg) and (0 - 5.3 - 0 and 0 µg/Kg), respectively. The concentration of Afla; DON; OTA; ZEN and Fum was increase during storage period at natural or ambient environmental condition, highest level during the storage periods detected in Lower of Egypt, while the lowest level detected in Upper of Egypt and moderate level values of mycotoxin detected in middle of Egypt.

The occurrence of Afla; DON; OTA; ZEN and Fum in wheat, maize, rice and barley was investigated. The concentration values of Afla; DON; OTA; ZEN and Fum in wheat, maize, rice and barley, the maximum Afla detected was 21 µg/Kg in maize and the minimum was 1 µg/Kg in wheat, with means of 11 µg/Kg and 7.5 µg/Kg. highest contaminated found in maize and lowest in rice. The maximum of DON detected was 220 µg/Kg in wheat and the minimum was 95 µg/Kg in maize, with means of 206.6 µg/Kg and 98.3 µg/Kg, respectively. The highest contaminated found in wheat and the lowest in rice, while OTA detected was 7 µg/Kg in rice with minimum was 1 µg/Kg in maize and barley, with means of 4, 3 and 2.3 µg/Kg, respectively. The highest contamination found in rice and the lowest in maize and barley and without contamination detected in wheat, in another side the ZEN detected was 75 µg/Kg in rice and the minimum was 50 µg/Kg in maize, with means of 74 µg/Kg and 63.6 µg/Kg, respectively. The highest contamination found in maize and the lowest in rice, and not detected contamination in barley, but Fum detected was 325 µg/Kg in maize and the minimum one was 315 µg/Kg in rice, with means of 320 µg/Kg and 320.5 µg/Kg, respectively. The highest contamination found in maize and the lowest one was in rice, and not detected contamination in wheat and barley.

Also, it was found maximum value of *Pseudomonadaceae* detected was (7×10^6) cfu/g in wheat and the minimum one was (5×10^3) cfu/g in rice, with means of (5.3×10^6) cfu/g and (6.3×10^5) cfu/g, respectively. The highest contamination wheat and the lowest one in maize, with value of *faecal coliform* detected being (8×10^3) cfu/g in wheat and the minimum (28×10^2) cfu/g

in rice, with means of (5.2×10^3) cfu/g and (31.3×10^2) cfu/g. The highest found in barley and the lowest in wheat and without contamination detected in maize. While *Salmonella* detected was (5×10^4) cfu/g in barley and the minimum (1×10^3) cfu/g in maize and wheat, with means (4×10^4) cfu/g, (3.3×10^2) cfu/g and (3.3×10^2) cfu/g, respectively. The highest level was found to be in rice and the lowest in maize and wheat. On the other side, the *Bacillus cereus* detected was (4×10^4) cfu/g in barley and the minimum (15×10^2) cfu/g in maize, with means of (2.5×10^4) cfu/g and (17×10^2) cfu/g, respectively. The highest level was found to be in wheat and the lowest in barley, and no detected contamination in rice. The *Staphylococcus aureus* was detected (4×10^3) cfu/g in rice and the minimum (7×10^2) cfu/g in maize, with means of (3×10^3) cfu/g and (6.3×10^2) cfu/g, respectively. The highest found to be in wheat and the lowest in rice.

The current study showed that, total bacterial count contamination in wheat, maize, rice and barley samples in a range of $3 - 20 (\times 10^3)$, $5 - 20 (\times 10^4)$, $5 - 14 (\times 10^3)$ and $5 - 12 (\times 10^6)$ cfu/g, with an average value of $11.5 (\times 10^3)$, $12.3 (\times 10^4)$, $6.8 (\times 10^3)$ and $6.9 (\times 10^6)$ cfu/g, respectively. Total bacterial count contamination in wheat, maize, rice and barley samples was in a range of $13 - 32 (\times 10^3)$, $17 - 24 (\times 10^4)$, $16 - 20 (\times 10^5)$ and $58 - 72 (\times 10^5)$ cfu/g, with an average value of $20.6 (\times 10^3)$, $12.8 (\times 10^4)$, $17.7 (\times 10^5)$ and $64.4 (\times 10^5)$ cfu/g, respectively.

Finally, it is especially clear in Egypt that the weather is very suitable for the growth of fungi which are able to produce the toxin. Furthermore; it is an area which is very suitable for mycotoxins production. Aflatoxin production was found to be highly wide spread in grain rather than others mycotoxin such as DON; OTA; ZEN and Fum. It can be concluded that the production of mycotoxin in grain is independent of the level of fungal contamination of grain before production of toxins that can be observed with total fungal count.

The present study designed a trial or attempt for elimination, prevention or fortification of grains during storage for risk reduction due to contamination with mycotoxins and bacterial count growth. The effect of coated wheat seeds with zinc coordinated zein (Zinc@Zein) on the amount of both fungal and bacterial contents. Grain moisture is the key for microbial contamination to occur; hence we envisage coating the grain with edible hydrophobic moisture barrier "zein". On the other hand Zinc is also an anti-microbial agent both as ions and in oxide forms (at Nano scale) that can complement grain protection. The zein film is also proved to support the activity of the loaded active ingredients, hence 0.2% was fixed as the optimum coating condition for further experiments. Further the thickness of the coating is measured to be around 700 to 900 nm with the cross-section. Although this is not even around the grain, especially at the furrows in grain surface the thickness is slightly more, the EDAX mapping the spot EDAX also show significant increase in the zinc content in TaS@Z-Z, compared to the control.

The surface of the coated grain is smooth compared to the grain without coating. Hence the profilometer shows marginal reduction in the roughness in the profile of the zein coated grain (45) compared to the control grain (54). However with Zinc@Zein coating, TaS@Z-Z shows marginal increase in roughness to 49.5. The characteristic band at 19 and 22 kDa, there was slight increase in the molecular weight of the Zinc@Zein at both 19 and 22 kDa region, which may be attributed to confirm that the interaction of zinc on zein in Zinc@Zein didn't fragment the protein. Spectra shown the typical negative maxima of α -helix structure at 207 and 222 nm, the α -helix of zein diminished with the addition of the zinc ion. Hence complement the coating life. By

comparison between them shows, in Zinc@Zein there is significant control in viscosity than zein alone. In grain coating, it was mean the higher viscosity to increases and improve the degree of coating and affects the quantity and quality of the batter that adheres to the substrate.

Interestingly the contact angle of TaS@Zein is found more than zein alone, in contrast to earlier non-sticky plasticizer that reduce contact angle. This increase in the contact angle is attributed to the unwrapping of the hydrophobic domain.

The amount of zein and zinc required for coating 1 Kg of grain was estimated. It was found that approximately ~2-3 g of zein would be sufficient for coating 1 kg of grain, since in 0.2% zein coating procedure standardized, it is estimated that a maximum of 70 mg of zinc could be fixed on the grain of 1 kg. This is ~5 times the zinc that is present in the native grain; this zinc amount fortified within the WHO limit. The treatment with TaS@Z 0.2% -Z showed 4.5 times increase in the availability compared to the control. Hence it is assumed that this coating may assist in mineral release with the stimuli from the gastric enzyme. The coated samples TaS@Z and TaS@Z-Z showed less moisture compared to control, which may be due to the hydrophobic zein moisture barrier. The result for effect of TaS@Z-Z on amount of aflatoxin infection content with different culture concentration values of aflatoxin in wheat seeds sample show proximally 65% reduction in the percentage compared to the control and TaS@Z. Anti-microbial effect of the coating with the seed borne bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae*, showed 80% reduction in the pathogen colony compared to the control and TaS@Z.

The above coating technique can be a viable strategy for the long term grain storage as the zein can avoid moisture flux and the zinc ions can provide anti-microbial property. Here the zinc is spread on the solid grain surface with Nano scale film, which increases the zinc surface density, hence more control for pathogens at less zinc concentration. Hence this technology could help maintain phyto-sanitary quality in seed industry. The cost of grain preservation by this method may be nil, as there is a bonus of nutrient fortification.