

**GENETIC DIVERSITY AMONG SOME EGYPTIAN  
BREAD WHEAT LANDRACES IN DROUGHT  
TOLERANCE**

**By**

**ALI HASSAN ALI ANANY**

**B.Sc. Agric. Sci. (Plant Breeding and Propagation Technology), Fac.  
Agric., Cairo Univ., 2010**

**THESIS**

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**

**In**

**Agricultural Sciences  
(Agronomy)**

**Department of Agronomy  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Cairo University  
EGYPT**

**2020**

Format Reviewer

Vice Dean of Graduate Studies

# CONTENTS

	Page
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b> .....	6
1. Wheat landraces .....	6
2. Wide genetic diversity in wheat landraces.....	8
3. Drought Tolerance in Wheat.....	11
4. Wheat genotypic differences in drought tolerance.....	12
5. Trait interrelationships .....	17
6. Molecular characterization via SSR analysis .....	21
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b> .....	30
<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b> .....	46
1. Field experiments .....	46
a. Analysis of variance.....	46
b. Effect of water stress.....	51
c. Effect of genotype.....	57
d. Effect of genotype × irrigation regime.....	61
e. Drought tolerance index.....	72
f. Grouping landraces.....	74
1. Based on water efficiency and responsiveness.....	74
2. Based on drought tolerance and grain yield.....	77
g. Advantages and disadvantages of selected landrace.....	80
h. Trait interrelationships.....	81
i. Coefficients of variation.....	87
j. Heritability and expected genetic advance from selection	88
k. Phenotypic identification and variation.....	91
1. Principal component analysis.....	91
2. Dissimilarity Euclidean coefficients based on phenotypic trait.....	94
3. Cluster analysis based on phenotypic data .....	95
2. SSR markers experiment.....	99
a. Polymorphism.....	99
b. Genetic similarity coefficients based on SSR.....	102
c. Genotype identification by unique SSR markers.....	105
d. Cluster analysis based on SSR data.....	106
e. Correlation analysis.....	107

<b>CONTENTS (continued)</b>	
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b> .....	<b>108</b>
<b>SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>111</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>119</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

## LIST OF TABLES

No	Title	Page
1.	Wheat landraces and check varieties used in this study.....	30
2.	Physical and chemical analyses of soil at Gemmeiza station.....	32
3.	Meteorological data during seasons of wheat growing at Gemmeiza.....	33
4.	Analysis of variance and expected mean squares (EMS) across seasons.....	37
5.	SSR marker name, sequence and annealing temperature (Ta) of the microsatellite markers used.....	40
6.	Combined analysis of variance of split plot design for agronomic and yield traits of 22 wheat landraces and varieties evaluated under two irrigation regimes across two seasons.....	47
7.	Analysis of variance of split plot design for grain quality traits of 22 wheat landraces and varieties evaluated under two irrigation regimes in 2016/2017 season .....	49
8.	Combined analysis of variance of RCBD design for agronomic and yield traits of 22 wheat landraces and varieties evaluated under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) across two seasons.....	50
9.	Analysis of variance of RCBD design for grain quality traits of 22 wheat landraces and varieties evaluated under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) in 2016/2017 season.....	51
10.	Means of studied agronomic and yield traits under WW and WS and reduction % from WW to WS across all genotypes and two seasons.....	52
11.	Means of studied grain quality traits under WW and WS and reduction % from WW to WS across all genotypes in 2016/2017 season.....	55
12.	Means across irrigation regimes and seasons for agronomic and yield traits and drought tolerance index (DTI) of each genotype.....	58

## LIST OF TABLES (continued)

13. Means for grain quality traits of each genotype across two irrigation regimes in 2016/2017 season.....	60
14. Means of each genotype under each environment across two seasons for days to anthesis (DTA), days to maturity (DTM), grain filling period (GFP) and plant height (PH) traits.....	62
15. Means of each genotype under each environment across two seasons for spikes/plant (SPP), grains/spike (GPS), spikelets/spike (SPS) and thousand grain weight (TGW) traits...	64
16. Means of each genotype under each environment across two seasons for grain yield/plant (GYPP), grain yield/fed (GYPF), reduction (Red) and drought tolerance index (DTI) .....	67
17. Means of each genotype under each environment for grain protein content (GPC), grain starch content (GSC), grain ash content (GAC) and grain moisture content (GMC) traits in 2016/2017 season .....	70
18. Phenotypic correlation coefficients (Spearman) among studied traits of 22 wheat landraces and check cultivars across two irrigation regimes and two season.....	82
19. Phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variation for studied traits under well watering and water stress conditions across two seasons .....	88
20. Heritability in broad sense ( $h^2_b$ ) and genetic advance from selection (GA%) for studied traits under well watering (WW)....	89
21. Principal component analysis (PCA) for morphological data....	92
22. Genetic similarity coefficients based on SSR analysis (below diagonal) and dissimilarity Euclidean coefficients based on phenotypic traits analysis (above diagonal) among 22 bread wheat genotypes .....	97
23. Simple sequence repeats (SSR) primer combinations, total number of bands, number and percentage of polymorphic bands, average polymorphic information content (PIC) per primer combination for 22 wheat genotypes.....	100

## LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Page
1.	Mean number of days to anthesis of genotypes from No.1 to No.22 under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) across two seasons.....	63
2.	Mean number of days to maturity of genotypes from No.1 to No.22 under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) across two seasons.....	63
3.	Mean plant height of genotypes from No.1 to No.22 under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) across two seasons.....	63
4.	Mean number of spikes/plant of genotypes from No.1 to No.22 under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) across two seasons.....	64
5.	Mean number of grains/spike of genotypes from No.1 to No.22 under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) across two seasons.....	65
6.	Mean weight of 1000 grains of genotypes from No.1 to No.22 under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) across two seasons.....	65
7.	Mean grain yield/plant of genotypes from No.1 to No.22 under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) across two seasons.....	68
8.	Mean grain protein content of genotypes from No.1 to No.22 under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) across two seasons.....	71
9.	Mean grain starch content of genotypes from No.1 to No.22 under well watering (WW) and water stress (WS) across two seasons.....	71

## LIST OF FIGUERS (continued)

10. Drought tolerance index (DTI) of genotypes from No.1 to No.22 under water stress (WS) across two seasons..... 74
11. Relationships between grain yields of 20 wheat landraces and two check cultivars under well-watering (WW) and water stress (WS) combined across seasons..... 76
12. Relationships between drought tolerance index (DTI) and means of grain yield/plant (GYPP) of genotypes (from No. 1 to No. 22) under water stress (WS) across seasons..... 78
13. Relationships between drought tolerance index (DTI) and means of grain yield/plant (GYPP) of genotypes (from No. 1 to No. 22) under well watering (WW) across seasons..... 79
14. Genotype by trait biplot illustrating the relationship between PC1 and PC2 for 22 genotypes and 13 traits of bread wheat..... 94
15. Dendrogram of 22 bread wheat landraces and cultivars based on 13 morphological characters measured at four environments using the average method of clustering..... 98
16. Banding patterns of 22 wheat genotypes amplified with the SSR primers BARC-003, BARC-004, BARC-012, BARC-048, BARC-052, BARC-066, BARC-072, BARC-074, BARC-078 and BARC-079, M: 100bp DNA ladder, Lanes from 1 to 20 : Landraces from No.1 to No. 20, Lane 21: Yacora , Lane 22: Sakha 94..... 103
17. Dendrogram of 22 bread wheat landraces and cultivars using SSR markers as per the average method of clustering..... 106

**Name of Candidate:** Ali Hassan Ali Anany      **Degree:** M.Sc.  
**Title of Thesis:** Genetic Diversity Among Some Egyptian Bread Wheat  
Landraces in Drought Tolerance.  
**Supervisors:** Dr. Ahmed Medhat Mohamed Al-Naggar  
Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Maboud Abd El-Shafi  
Dr. Mohamed Helmy El-Shal  
**Department:** Agronomy    **Branch:** Crop Breeding    **Approval:** 4/2/2020

#### ABSTRACT

Genetic diversity analysis is fundamental for successful crop improvement. The present study aimed at evaluating genetic diversity of 20 Egyptian bread wheat landraces and two cultivars, identifying landrace(s) showing tolerance to drought and/or carrying one or more desirable traits using morphological and SSR markers. Wheat accessions were planted in the field under normal and water stress conditions and their morphological traits (13) were recorded. The studied phenotypic traits illustrated significant differences among the wheat accessions. Water stress caused a reduction in all studied traits, except grain protein content (GPC), which was increased. The landrace G17 had the highest GPC (20.87%). The highest yielding genotypes were G22, Sakha 94, G2 and G3. The highest drought tolerant genotypes in this study were Sakha 94 and the landraces G2, G3, G4, G7, G12 and G15 and therefore could be recommended to future wheat breeding programs for use in developing drought tolerant and high yielding genotypes. Estimates of heritability ( $h^2_b$ ) and genetic advance (GA) from selection for the majority of studied traits, especially for grain yield and its components were higher under non-stress than those under stress, but for the rest of studied traits our results showed that  $h^2_b$  and GA are higher under stress than non-stress conditions. Based on phenotypic trait dissimilarities among genotypes, the landrace G2 was the most dissimilar genotype with each of G11, G6 and G17. The ten SSR primers amplified a total of 27 bands in the set of 22 wheat accessions, of which 23 bands (85.2%) were polymorphic. The majority of the primers showed high polymorphism information content (PIC) values (0.67-0.94), indicating diverse nature of the wheat accessions and/or highly informative SSR markers used in this study. Based on SSR analysis, the two pairs of genotypes (G11 and G21) and (G22 and G3) could be recommended to Hybridization Breeding Program for improving grain yield and drought tolerance. The analyzed wheat accessions showed a good level of genetic variability for both assessed morphological and molecular characters. However, the cluster analysis based on microsatellite data showed a weak correlation with the groupings based on morphological data. Molecular variation in combination with morphological traits of wheat landraces can be useful in conventional and molecular breeding programs.

**Key words:** Bread wheat, Simple sequence repeats (SSR), morphological markers, Landraces, Principle components analysis (PCA), Clustering, Drought tolerance index.