

Cairo University Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Department of Medicine and Infectious Disease



# Some Epidemiological Studies on Sheep and Goats Brucellosis in Egypt

A thesis submitted by

## Hend Ibrahim Mohamed Ahmed El-Sharkawy

(BVSc ,Cairo University,1994;MVSc, Beni Suif University ,2004) For The Degree of Ph.D. Veterinary Medicine Sciences (Infectious Diseases)

## Under supervision of

### Prof. Dr. Samia A. Ahmed

Professor of Infectious Diseases Dept .of Medicine &Infectious Diseases Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Cairo University

## Dr. Mohamed Abdel Monem Salem

Assistant professor, Infectious Diseases Dept. of Medicine & Infectious Diseases Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Cairo University

### Dr. Soliman Mohammed Soliman

Lecturer of Infectious Diseases Dept. of Medicine & Infectious Diseases Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Cairo University

### **Dr. Hazem Sayed Soliman**

Senior Researcher Brucella Department Animal Health Research Institute



Cairo University Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Department of Medicine and Infectious Disease



Name: Hend Ibrahim Mohamed Ahmed EL-Sharkawy

**Specialization**: Infectious Disease

Title of thesis: Some Epidemiological studies on sheep and goats brucellosis in Egypt

#### **Supervisors:**

#### Prof. Dr. Samia Abd-EL Hamid Ahmed

Professor of Infectious Disease, Dept. of Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

#### Dr. Mohamed Abdel Monem Salem

Assistant professor, Infectious Diseases, Dept. of Medicine & Infectious Disease, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

#### Dr. Soliman Mohammed Soliman

Lecturer of Infectious diseases, Dept. of Medicine & Infectious Disease, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

#### Dr. Hazem Sayed Soliman

Senior Researcher, Brucella Department, Animal Health Research Institute

## ABSTRACT

This study was carried out for evaluation of the diagnostic performance of different serological tests; tube agglutination test (TAT), buffered acidified plate antigen test (BAPAT), rose bengal plate test (RBPT), immunochromatographic assay (ICA), rivanol test (RivT), indirect ELISA (iELISA) using two types of coating antigens(smooth lipopolysaccharide; S-LPS) and (N-lauroylsarcosine-extracted antigens; SE) and complement

fixation test (CFT). Sensitivity and Specificity of various techniques were estimated. The traditional serological tests failed to distinguish the vaccinated from naturally infected animals or from animals infected with *yersinia*. Using iELISA with extracted antigens (SE) as a coating antigen was a more accurate test to differentiate the naturally infected animals from vaccinated animals and may differentiate between infected animals and false positive reactors. Application of restriction fragment length polymorphism polymerase chain reaction (RFLP-PCR) on sera samples from seropositive animals, Rev-1 vaccinated sheep and *Brucella* field strain infected sheep and goats revealed that there were samples were identified as *B. melitensis* biovar 3 field strain and other samples were identified as *B. melitensis* neveral strain. The obtained results established that restriction fragment length polymorphism polymerase chain reaction fragment length polymorphism from seropositive animals vaccinated with the Rev-1 vaccine isolation of *brucella* field strains from animals vaccinated with the Rev-1 vaccine isolation of *brucella* organism from seropositive animals revealed that the strain strain of *brucella* field strain from seropositive animals vaccinated with the Rev-1 vaccine isolation of *brucella* organism from seropositive animals revealed that the isolated strain was *Br. melitensis* biovar 3.

Key words: *B. melitensis* Rev-1 vaccine, iELISA, RFLP-PCR, sarcosine, serological tests.

## LIST OF CONTENTS

ITEM	
CHAPTER (1): INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER (2): REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
1. Epidemiolgical picture of brucellosis in Egypt	4
2. Serological diagnosis of Brucellosis	9
3. Molecular diagnosis of Brucellosis	16
<ul> <li>4. Characterization of <i>Brucella</i> whole cell proteins, outer membrane proteins and LPS</li> <li>5. Prevention and control of Brucellosis using standard</li> </ul>	20
Br.melitensis strain Rev -1 vaccine	22
CHAPTER (3): MATERIAL AND METHODS	29
3.1. Materials	29
3.2. Methods	53
CHAPTER (4): EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS	86
CHAPTER (5): DISCUSSION	122
CHAPTER (6): CONCLUSION	135
CHAPTER (7): SUMMARY	136
CHAPTER (8): REFFERENCES	141
CHAPTER (9): ARABIC ABSTRACT	١

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
	The	No.
	The clinical manifestation of reproductive problems in	
Table (1)	the animals (sheep and goats) suspected to infection with	30
	brucellosis	
T-11- ( <b>2</b> )	Allocation of animals (sheep & goats) from different	21
Table (2)	Egyptian governorates	31
Table (3)	Primer sequence used in microsatellite amplification	49
Table (4)	Agglutination control standards for reading the TAT	55
Table (5)	Standards For TAT.	55
Table (6)	Conversion of brucella TAT titre to IU.	56
Table (7)	Haemolysis standards for macrotitration of CFT reagents	62
Table (8)	Titration of complement.	63
Table (9)	Titration of haemolysin for CFT.	64
Table(10)	Titration of Antigen for CFT.	65
Table (11)	Conversion of CFT titters into ICFTU/ ml (Alton et al.,	68
Table (11)	1988).	
<b>Table (12)</b>	Results of TAT for brucella infection in examined sheep	97
	and goats.	87
<b>Table (13)</b>	Results of BAPAT for brucella infection in examined	89
Table (13)	sheep and goats.	
Table (14)	Results of RBT for brucella infection in examined sheep	90
Table (14)	and goats.	30
Table (15)	Results of ICA for brucella infection in examined sheep	91
Table (15)	and goats.	71

Table (16)	Results of Rivanol test for brucella infection in examined sheep and goats.	92
<b>Table (17)</b>	Results of CFT for brucella infection in examined sheep	94
	and goats.	
<b>Table (18)</b>	Results of standard serological tests for brucella infection	95
	in examined sheep and goats.	95
	Results of TAT for detection of brucella antibodies in	0.0
<b>Table (19)</b>	Rev1 vaccinated sheep	99
Table (20)	Results of BAPA test for detection of brucella antibodies	101
Table (20)	in Rev1 vaccinated sheep.	101
T-11. (21)	Results of RBT for detection of brucella antibodies in	102
Table (21)	Rev1 vaccinated sheep.	103
Table (22)	Results of ICA for detection of brucella antibodies in	
	Rev1 vaccinated sheep.	104
	Results of Rivanol T. for detection of brucella antibodies	105
Table (23)	in Rev1 vaccinated sheep.	105
Table (24)	Results of CFT for detection of brucella antibodies in	107
Table (24)	Rev1 vaccinated sheep.	107
<b>T-11</b> (25)	Results of tests of vaccinated sheep and Yersinia infected	100
Table (25)	sheep.	109
Table (26)	Results of isolation and identification of brucella	112
Table (26)	organism from L. ns and Organs of slaughtered sheep.	112
<b>Table (27</b> )	Results of isolation and identification of brucella	113
Table (27)	organism from L.ns and Organs of slaughtered goats.	115
Table (28)	Detailed identification of isolates as Brucella melitensis	114
	biovar 3	114
<b>T-11</b> (20)	Antibody reactivity of sera from examined sheep and	114
<b>Table (29)</b>	goats using S-LPS as coating antigen in iELISA.	116

Table (30)	Antibody reactivity of sera from examined sheep and goats using SE extract as coating antigen in iELISA.	117
Table (31)	Results of iELISA using LPS & SE as coating antigens	118
Table (32)	Sensitivity and Specificity of different serological tests compared With CFT for diagnosis of brucella in sheep and goat	119
Table (33)	Detection and identification of brucella from sera of vaccinated and infected animals by using PCR.	121

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

	Title	Page
Figure No.		No.
Figure (1)	Result of Tube agglutination test for detection of	00
	Brucella infection in examined sheep and goats.	88
	Result of Buffered acidified plate antigen test for	
Figure (2)	detection of Brucella infection in examined sheep	89
	and goats	
Eigung (2)	Result of Rose Bengal plate test for detection of	90
Figure (3)	Brucella infection in examined sheep and goat.	90
Elemen (4)	Result of ICA for detection of Brucella infection	91
Figure (4)	in examined sheep and goat.	91
Eigung (5)	Result of Rivanol test for detection of Brucella	93
Figure (5)	infection in examined sheep and goat.	95
Figure (6)	Result of CFT for detection of Brucella infection	95
	in examined sheep and goats.	95
	Results of standard serological tests for detection	
Figure (7)	of Brucella infection in examined sheep and	96
	goats.	
Figure (8)	Results of TAT for detection of Brucella	100
	antibodies in Rev-1 vaccinated sheep	100
Figure (0)	Result of BAPAT for detection of Brucella	102
Figure (9)	antibodies in Rev -1 vaccinated sheep	102
Figure (10)	Result of RBPT for detection of Brucella	103
	antibodies in Rev-1 vaccinated sheep	105
Figure (11)	Result of ICA for detection of <i>Brucella</i> antibodies	104
	in Rev-1 vaccinated sheep	104

Figure (12)	Result of Rivanol test for detection of <i>Brucella</i> antibodies in Rev- 1 vaccinated sheep	106
Figure (13)	Result of CFT for detection of <i>Brucella</i> antibodies in Rev -1 vaccinated sheep	108
Figure (14)	Results of tests of vaccinated sheep and Yersinia infected sheep	110
Figures (15- 1&2)	Results of iElISA using LPS and Sarcosine extract.	115
Figure (16)	Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR-amplified omp2 gene fragments specific for Brucella spp.	120
Figure (17)	Agarose gel electrophoresis of PstI digests of amplifiedomp2 gene fragments from Brucella spp	121