



**Kafrelsheikh University
Faculty of Agriculture
Agronomy Department**

**EVALUATION OF SOME SELECTION PROCEDURES
UNDER DROUGHT STRESSES FOR IMPROVEMENT OF
YIELD AND EARLINESS IN EGYPTIAN COTTON**

BY

Ahmed Ismail Ali Saadallah El-Shamy

B.Sc. Fac. Agric., Agronomy, Azhar Univ., 2001

M.Sc. Agronomy, Fac. Agric., Kafrelsheikh Univ., 2009

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the

Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

Agricultural Sciences

(Agronomy- Crop Breeding)

Department of Agronomy

Faculty of Agriculture

Kafr El-Shiekh University

2017

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	5
2.1. Heritability, phenotypic and genotypic variances.....	5
2.2. Phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation.....	12
2.3. Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients.....	16
2.4. Basis for selection indices.....	22
2.4.1. Estimates of variance and covariance components	23
2.4.2. Estimates of coefficients of phenotypic weights (b's).....	23
2.5. Genetic advances from different selection procedures	24
2.5.1. Selection index and phenotypic trait selection	24
2.5.2. Phenotypic trait selection (Pedigree selection).....	29
2.6. Water deficit.....	32
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	38
3.1. Selection procedures	38
3.1.1. Selection procedures experiments.....	38
Genetic materials	38
3.1.2 Breeding procedures and management of populations.....	42
3.1.3. Statistical and genetic analyses;.....	43
3.1.3.1. Estimates of phenotypic and genotypic variances and covariances for F_3 and F_4 generations:	44
3.1.3.2. Derivation of the optimum weighting coefficients (b's):.....	46
3.1.3.3. The relative importance or economic values (a_i)	56
3.1.3.4. Calculation of selection indices	56
3.1.3.5. Calculation of genetic advances	57

	Page
3.1.3.6. The phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were estimated, using the formula	59
3.1.3.7. Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients	59
3.2. Water deficit and drought susceptibility index(DSI)	61
3.2.1. The studied characters	61
3.2.2. Statistical analysis.....	63
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	64
4.1. Selection procedure technique	64
4.1.1. Means, variance components, heritability, phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variability	64
4.1.2. The phenotypic weights (b's)	74
4.1.3. Phenotypic and genotypic correlations coefficient	77
4.1.4. Predicted and actual genetic advance in selected characters	82
4.1.5. Estimates of improvement in number of bolls/plant, seeds/boll and lint /seed in F ₃ and F ₄ generations	88
4.1.6. Improvement in unselected characters	94
4.2. Study of the effect of water deficit in some genotypes of Egyptian cotton:	101
4.2.1. The means performances	101
4.2.2. Analysis of variance and estimation of parameters	108
4.2.3. Phenotypic correlation	118
4.2.4. Application of three drought sensitive indices to measurement of tolerant genotypes to water stress deficit:	122
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	129
6. REFERENCES.....	132

ARABIC SUMMARY

TABLES

	Page
Table (1): The origin and the main characters of the parents.	40
Table (2): Fifteen Selection procedures	41
Table (3): Analysis of variance for populations I and II in F ₃ generation.	45
Table (4): Analysis of variance for populations I and II in F ₄ generation.	45
Table (5): Ranks of the superior fifteen selected plants for fifteen selection procedures in F ₂ generation from population I (G.75 x Sea Island * G.89 x Pima S ₆).....	48-50
Table (6): Ranks of the superior three selected families for fifteen selection procedures in F ₃ generation from population I (G.75 x Sea Island * G.89 x Pima S ₆).....	51
Table (7): Ranks of the superior twelve selected plants for fifteen selection procedures in F ₂ generation from population II (C.B.58 X Uzbekistan).	52-54
Table (8): Ranks of the superior three selected families for fifteen selection procedures in F ₃ generation from population II (C.B.58 X Uzbekistan).	55
Table (9): Combined analysis of variance of the three irrigation intervals and the expectation of mean squares.	63
Table (10): Means, range, phenotypic(VP) and genotypic(VG) variances, heritability values in broad-sense phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variation, for all generations in population I for all studied traits.....	66
Table (11): Means, range, phenotypic(VP) and genotypic(VG) variances, heritability values in broad-sense phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variation, for all generations in population II.for all studied traits.....	70-71
Table (12): Phenotypic weights (b's) for various selection indices constructed for F ₂ , F ₃ and F ₄ data of the two populations.....	75

	Page
Table (13): The phenotypic (rp) and genotypic (rg) correlations coefficients among all studied characters in F ₂ generation for population I (above) and F ₂ generation for population II (below), respectively.....	78
Table (14): The phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients among studied characters in F ₃ (above) and F ₄ (below) generations population I.....	79
Table (15): The phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients among studied characters in F ₃ (above) and F ₄ (below) generations population II.	81
Table (16): Predicted and actual genetic advances of lint yield (X _w) /plant and selection advances (S.A. %) from F ₂ , F ₃ and F ₄ generations for different selection procedures in population I (G.75 x Sea Island * G.89 x Pima S6)	83
Table (17): Predicted and actual genetic advances of lint yield (X _w) /plant and selection advances (S.A. %) from F ₂ , F ₃ and F ₄ generations for different selection procedures in population II (C.B-58 x Uzbekistan)....	84
Table (18): Predicted genetic advances for lint yield (X _w) /plant and selection advances (S.A. %) from F ₄ generations for different selection procedures in two populations I(G.75 x Sea Island * G.89 x Pima S6) and II (C.B-58 x Uzbekistan).....	87
Table (19): Actual genetic advances of number of bolls/plant, number of seeds/boll and lint/seed from F ₃ and F ₄ generations for different selection procedures in population (G.75 x Sea Island * G.89 x Pima S6)	89
Table (20): Actual genetic advances of number of bolls/plant, number of seeds/boll and lint/seed from F ₃ and F ₄ generations for different selection procedures in population II (C.B-58 x Uzbekistan).....	90
Table (21): Estimation actual advance in four unselected characters in F ₃ and F ₄ generations of population I.....	92
Table (22): Estimation actual advance in four unselected characters in F ₃ and F ₄ generations of population II. ...	93

	Page
Table (23): Predicted and actual advances in unselected characters in population I.	96
Table (24): Predicted and actual advances in unselected characters in population II.	97
Table (25): The best genotypes in F ₄ generation in most studied characters from population (G.75 x Sea Island * G.89 x Pima S6)	99
Table (26): The best genotypes in F ₄ generation in most studied characters from population II(C.B-58 *Uzpkistan).....	100
Table (27): The mean performance for 21 genotypes (nineteen families and two parents) and commercial varieties from population I (G.75* Sea Island x G.89*) Pima S6 under three intervals of irrigation and their combined for all the studied characters.....	102
Table (28): The mean performance for 24 genotypes from population II (Uzbekistan * CB 58) under three intervals of irrigation and their combined for all the studied characters.	105
Table (29): The mean squares for genotypic, error and replicates, phenotypic, genotypic variances, heritability estimates in broad sense, genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation, ranges and less significant differences at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability in three intervals of water of population I.	109
Table (30): The mean squares for genotypic, error and replicates, phenotypic, genotypic variances, heritability estimates, in broadsense, genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation, ranges and less significant differences at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability in three intervals of water of population II.....	113
Table (31): Phenotypic correlation among all studied characters under three irrigation intervals in population I for F ₄ generation.	120
Table (32): Phenotypic correlation among all studied characters under three irrigation intervals in population II for F ₄ generation	121

	Page
Table (33): The drought stress intensity (DI), geometric means (G.M.) and susceptibility stress index in population I...	123
Table (34): The drought stress intensity (D.I.), geometric means (G.M.) and susceptibility stress index (D.S.I.) in population II.	125
Table (35): Water applied by levels, seed cotton yield kg/f., water applied by m ³ /f. and efficiency water used	126

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure (1) Normal natural curve for distribution for the four selected traits; lint cotton yield/plant, number of bolls/plant, number of seeds/boll and lint/seed in F ₂ generation for population I before applied selection.	68
Figure (2) Normal natural curve for distribution for the four selected traits; lint cotton yield/plant, number of bolls/plant, number of seeds/boll and lint/seed in F ₄ generation for population I after applied selection.	69
Figure (3) Normal natural curve for distribution for the four selected traits; lint cotton yield/plant, number of bolls/plant, number of seeds/boll and lint/seed in F ₂ generation for population II before applied selection.	72
Figure (4) Normal natural curve for distribution for the four selected traits; lint cotton number of yield/plant, number of bolls/plant, seeds/boll and lint/seed in F ₄ generation for population II after applied selection.	73
Figure (5) The relationship between seed cotton yield productivity by Kg/f. and water used by m ³ /f.in three irrigation intervals (W1), (W2) and (W3) in population I.	127
Figure (6) The relationship between seed cotton yield productivity by Kg/f. and water used by m ³ /f.in three irrigation intervals (W1), (W2) and (W3) in population II.	128

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The present study was concluded in the Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh University, Egypt. The investigation was carried out at Sakha Experimental Farm, Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt, during 2014, 2015 and 2016 growing seasons. Gains from selection are very important in cotton breeding program, thus, the main objectives of the study were 1. improvement in economic characters, as seed cotton yield/plant, lint cotton yield/plant, number of bolls/plant, number of seeds/boll, seed index, lint percentage and fiber properties through application of selection criteria. 2. Screening all genotypes under water stress deficit to test for tolerance and chose the best genotypes to be used in breeding programs and discarded the low economic traits (sensitive genotypes). 3. Studies on some earliness characters with understanding association between earliness and yield productivity to get up a promising genotype and descriptive on wide scale for it later.

The materials used in study were population I (G.75 X Sea Island * G.89 X Pima S6) and population II (Uzbekistan * CB-58). The data showed an increase in mean performances for all characters with advanced generations from F₂ to F₄, indicating an accumulation of favorable alleles. The advanced generations, in F₃ and F₄, showed reductions in PCV and GCV, as compared with F₂ generation. Most characters showed high heritability values over 60 (%). Genotypic correlations, in most cases, were

higher than phenotypic ones in both F_3 and F_4 generations. The undesirable negative correlation, which existed between fiber length and strength with other yield contributed characters were broken up and converted to non-significant in F_4 generation.

The maximum predicted genetic advance for lint yield/plant, from F_3 and F_4 generations was achieved when selecting for three components, i.e. number of bolls/plant with number of seeds/boll and lint/seed, as well as for lint yield/plant, alone. Selection for lint yield/plant, alone, gave the maximum actual value in F_4 generation, followed by index involved lint yield/plant with number of bolls/plant. High discrepancy was observed between predicted and actual gains from selection for most procedures. Advance would decrease in F_4 generation, as compared with F_3 for all characters. Breeder could select some families, which are characterized by high yielding capacity with acceptable fiber properties and utilize such selected families in breeding program aiming to improvement of yield and quality in cotton.

Application of three managements; namely, W1 irrigation every sixteen days, as a control, W2 irrigation every twenty days and W3 irrigation every 32 days. The analysis of variance from randomized complete block design and a combined analysis, had shown the high significant genotypes for all studied traits in the two populations. Water stress (W) gave significant differences and genotypes x W interaction and were highly significant in the two populations. All genotypes were affected by water stress deficit and most traits were decreased, except the root length and

earliness index. The genotypes under study were tested to sensitivity by three indices; i.e., drought stress intensity (DI), geometric mean (G.M.) and susceptibility stress index (SSI). Irrigation water stress played the major role in most genotypes where, seed cotton yield/plant was decreased, except for some superior genotypes from both populations. Genotype behavior, under moisture stress conditions, came out as drought tolerant and revealed stability tolerance across environments and could be exploited in breeding program, aiming to improve water stress tolerance.