

Cairo University
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Department of Microbiology



Advanced studies on *Enterococcus* species recovered from poultry

A Thesis Presented
By

Aalaa Samir Ahmed Saad

(B.V.Sc., Cairo University, 2009)
(M.v.sc., Cairo University, 2014)

For the Degree of
Doctor of philosophy in Veterinary Medical Science
Bacteriology

Under The Supervision Of

Prof. Dr. Kamelia Mahmoud Osman Ahmed

Professor of Microbiology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Jihan Mostafa Badr

Chief Researcher of Microbiology
Department of Poultry Diseases
Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki

2018

Cairo University
Faculty of Veterinary medicine
Department of Microbiology

A letter of introduction from **Aalaa Samir Ahmed Saad**

Specialization: **Microbiology**

Title: **Advanced studies on Enterococcus species recovered from poultry**

Under supervision of:

1-Professor Dr. kamelia Mahmoud Osman Ahmed

Professor of microbiology, Department of Microbiology
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

2- Professor Dr. Jihan Mostafa Badr

Chief Researcher of microbiology, Department Poultry Diseases,
Animal Health Research Institute.

Abstract

Out of 150 samples 38 chicken samples (7 brains, 23 livers and 8 hearts), 41 pigeon samples (6 livers and 35 swabs), 41 duck samples (15 brains, 20 livers and 6 hearts) and 30 human samples (30 Urine samples). The prevalence of *Enterococcus* isolates were 79 %, 100%, 85.4% and 66.7% in the chickens, pigeons, ducks and human samples respectively. *Enterococcus* spp. showed gelatin hydrolysis activity positive in 50% of *Enterococcus* spp. recovered from chicken, While was positive in a percentage of 34.1% , 25% and 11.4% for *Enterococcus* spp. recovered from pigeons, human and ducks respectively. *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from pigeon had the highest casein hydrolysis activity 61% followed by *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from chicken 53.3% then *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from ducks 42.9% and the lowest casein hydrolysis activity was *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from human source 10%. The *Enterococcus* spp. results for affinity percentage from Chicken were 70% and 30%, Pigeon 61% and 39%, Ducks 68.6% and 31.4% and Human 95% and 5% as moderate and strong biofilm forming capability respectively. All *Enterococcus* isolates supernatant fluid showed cytopathic effect on the Vero cell line (100%).The prevalence of the total γ -hemolysis activity of *Enterococcus* spp. reached 66.7% as well as, the β -hemolysis activity and α - hemolysis reached 29.4% and 4% respectively. The percentage of 63.5% for *Enterococcus* isolates were positive for Haemagglutination (HA) of sheep blood while the percentage of 28.6 % was positive for HA of chicken blood. The results showed that *Enterococcus* spp. of chickens origin high resistant to Clindamycin, Oxytetracycline, Doxycycline, Gentamycin(LLA), Ciprofloxacin and Vancomycin. *Enterococcus* spp. of pigeons origin high resistant to Clindamycin, Oxytetracycline, Doxycycline, Gentamycin(LLA) and Vancomycin . *Enterococcus* spp. of ducks origin high resistant to Clindamycin, Erythromycin, Gentamycin(LLA) and Vancomycin. While *Enterococcus* spp. of human origin high resistant to Ampicillin, Clindamycin, Oxytetracycline, Gentamycin(LLA) and Vancomycin. The results revealed that a positive amplification of the *vanA*, *vanB* and *vanC* genes in the 126 isolates of *Enterococcus* spp. were detected in a percentage of 19.84%, 16.67 and 8.73% respectively. While the a positive amplification of the *catA*, *catB*, *fexA*, *fexB* and *cfr* genes in the 126 isolates of *Enterococcus* spp. were 27%, 18.25 %, 11.90% and zero% respectively.

Key words: *Enterococcus* species, antimicrobial resistance, virulence, poultry.

List of Contents

Number		Page
1	Introduction	1
2	Review of Literature	11
3	Materials & Methods	55
4	Results	73
5	Discussion	148
6	Summary	168
7	Conclusion	177
8	References	179
	Arabic Summary	233

List of Figures

Number		Page
1	<i>E. faecalis</i> blood	1
2	Timeline of the first reports of antibacterial agents or inhibitors with novel structures or activities. Brackets and dashed lines indicate unapproved investigational agents or classes	5
3	Epidemiology of Antimicrobial Resistance in the environment	6
4	Cumulative <i>Enterococcus</i> species identified. Red bars show the number of species associated with human disease.	14
5	Dendrogram of the genus <i>Enterococcus</i>	17
6	Distribution in nature of species of the genus <i>Enterococcus</i>	26
7	Barriers for gut colonization by the <i>Enterococci</i>	28
8	The GI tract of poultry	31
9	Antimicrobial Resistance Mechanism	34
10	The cellular targets of antibiotics, and bacterial resistance mechanisms to main antimicrobial groups	35
11	Mechanisms of vertical and horizontal transfer of bacterial-resistant genes	36
12	Horizontal gene transfer	37
13	Diagram showing the relationship of MDR, XDR and PDR to each other.	39
14	Main mechanisms of <i>Enterococcal</i> antibiotic resistance.	40
15	The stages of biofilm formation.	49
16	Antibiotic resistance associate to biofilms	50
17	Result of Gelatin hydrolysis	80
18	Result of Casein hydrolysis activity	82
19	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by Congo red agar	84
20	Result of Cytopathic effect on the Vero cell line	92
21	Result of hemolysis test	93
22	Agarose gel electrophoresis using PCR with amplification of 732 bp, 647 bp, 827bp and 486 bp	140

	fragment for <i>vanA</i> , <i>vanB</i> , <i>vanC</i> and <i>cat A</i> gene performed with their specific primer of chicken isolates.	
23	Agarose gel electrophoresis using PCR with amplification of 732 bp, 647 bp, 827bp and 486 bp fragment for <i>van A</i> , <i>van B</i> , <i>van C</i> and <i>cat A</i> gene performed with their specific primer of pigeon isolates.	140
24	Agarose gel electrophoresis using PCR with amplification of 732 bp, 647 bp, 827bp and 486 bp fragment for <i>van A</i> , <i>van B</i> , <i>van C</i> and <i>cat A</i> gene performed with their specific primer of duck isolates.	141
25	Agarose gel electrophoresis using PCR with amplification of 734 bp, 647 bp, 827bp and 486 bp fragment for <i>van A</i> , <i>van B</i> , <i>van C</i> and <i>cat A</i> gene performed with their specific primer of human isolates.	141
26	Agarose gel electrophoresis using PCR with amplification of 462 bp, 795 bp, 974 and 746 bp fragment for <i>catB</i> , <i>fexA</i> , <i>fexB</i> and <i>cfr</i> gene performed with their specific primer of chicken isolates.	146
27	Agarose gel electrophoresis using PCR with amplification of 462 bp, 795 bp, 974 and 746 bp fragment for <i>catB</i> , <i>fexA</i> , <i>fexB</i> and <i>cfr</i> gene performed with their specific primer of pigeon isolates.	146
28	Agarose gel electrophoresis using PCR with amplification of 462 bp, 795 bp, 974 and 746 bp fragment for <i>catB</i> , <i>fexA</i> , <i>fexB</i> and <i>cfr</i> gene performed with their specific primer of duck isolates.	147
29	Agarose gel electrophoresis using PCR with amplification of 462 bp, 795 bp, 974 and 746 bp fragment for <i>cat B</i> , <i>fex A</i> , <i>fex B</i> and <i>cfr</i> gene performed with their specific primer of human isolates	147

List of Tables

Number		Page
1	Species part of the <i>Enterococcus</i> genus	15
2	Phenotypic characteristics of recently identified <i>Enterococcus</i> species	24
3	Sources of antimicrobial resistance (bacteria and bacterial genes) in animal production settings	38
4	Number, source and type of the examined samples	55
5	Antimicrobial sensitivity discs	60
6	Antimicrobial resistance genes and primers including nucleotide sequences and references	61
7	Key tests for identification of <i>Enterococcus</i> groups	64
8	Identification of group I <i>Enterococcus</i> species.	65
9	Identification of group II <i>Enterococcus</i> species	65
10	Identification of group III <i>Enterococcus</i> species	65
11	Biochemical identification of suspected <i>Salmonella</i> , <i>E. coli</i> , <i>Enterobacter</i> spp. and <i>pseudomonas aurogenosa</i> isolates	67
12	Zone diameter interpretation standards for <i>Enterococci</i>	70
13	The results of biochemical identification of the suspected 126 <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from chickens, pigeons, ducks and human urine samples	73
14	The results of biochemical differentiation of 126 <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from chickens, pigeons, ducks and human urine samples	74
15	Results of the biochemical characterization of 208 non- <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates	75
16	The prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. recovered from 150 different types of samples	76
17	Prevalence of non- <i>Enterococcus</i> species recovered from different type of samples	77
18	The Prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken	78
19	The Prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from pigeons	78
20	The Prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from Ducks	79
21	The Prevalence of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from Human	79
22	Results of Gelatin hydrolysis activity of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken and pigeon ducks and human urine samples	81
23	Results of Casein hydrolysis activity of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken, pigeon ducks and human urine samples	83
24	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by Congo red agar method of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken and pigeon ducks and human urine samples	85

25	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by TCP of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken samples	86
26	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by TCP of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from pigeon samples	87
27	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by TCP of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from duck samples	88
28	Result of Biofilm Formation Assay by Tissue culture plate method (TCP) of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from human samples	89
29	Collective table about the types of biofilm formed by isolates recovered from different types of samples	90
30	The cytopathic Effect on the Vero cell line of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	91
31	Results of the hemolytic activity of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken, pigeon ducks and human urine samples	94
32	Haemagglutination pattern (number and percentage) of against erythrocytes of different species (Sheep, Chicken)	96
33	Number and Percentage of sensitive <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different types of samples	100
34	Number and Percentage of intermediate resistance <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolates to antibiotics	104
35	Number and Percentage of Resistant <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different types of samples	107
36	Prevalence of XDR, MDR and PDR among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	108
37	Antimicrobial resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from chicken exhibiting resistance to various numbers of antibiotics	110
38	Antimicrobial resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from Pigeon exhibiting resistance to various numbers of antibiotics	112
39	Antimicrobial resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from duck exhibiting resistance to various numbers of antibiotics	114
40	Antimicrobial resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolated from human exhibiting resistance to various numbers of antimicrobial agents	115
41	Antimicrobial resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates collected from different sources exhibiting resistance to various numbers of antibiotics	117
42	Multiple antibiotic resistance index (MAR _{index}) of <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates collected from different sources exhibiting resistance to	120

	various numbers of antibiotics	
43	Prevalence of Vancomycin resistance <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different types of samples using antibiotic disc diffusion test	123
44	Antimicrobial resistance profile of Vancomycin Resistant <i>Enterococcus</i> (VRE) recovered from different types of samples	126
45	Antimicrobial resistance profile of Vancomycin Sensitive <i>Enterococcus</i> (VSE) recovered from different types of samples	128
46	Association of VRE with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	130
47	Association of VSE with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. isolates recovered from different origin	132
48	Association of CRE (Chloramphenicol resistant <i>Enterococcus</i>) with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	133
49	Association of CSE (Chloramphenicol sensitive <i>Enterococcus</i>) with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	134
50	Association of FRE (Florfenicol resistant <i>Enterococcus</i>) with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	135
51	Association of FSE (Florfenicol sensitive <i>Enterococcus</i>) with Biofilm formation among <i>Enterococcus</i> isolates recovered from different origin	136
52	Results of PCR for amplification of <i>vanA</i>, <i>vanB</i> and <i>vanC</i> genes performed with its specific primer	139
53	Results of PCR for amplification of <i>catA</i>, <i>catB</i>, <i>fexA</i>, <i>fexB</i> and <i>cfr</i> genes performed with its specific primer	145
54	Summary of risk assessment of some veterinary antimicrobial drugs on human public health associated with antimicrobial resistance and their molecular basis	149