

Agronomy Department



EFFECT OF PLANT DISTRIBUTION PATTERNS AND FOLIAR SPRAYING WITH SOME MATERIALS UNDER LATE PLANTING CONDITIONS ON COTTON PRODUCTIVITY

BY

BASEM WAGDY MOHAMED EL-SHAZLY

B. Sc. Agric. Sci., Fac. of Agric., Tanta Univ., 2012 M. Sc. Agric. (Agronomy), Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., 2017

A THESIS Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

In

Agricultural Sciences (Agronomy)

SUPERVISORS

Dr. Usama A. Abd El- Razek

Prof. Dr. Moustafa A. Emara

Assistant Prof. of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University Head of Cotton Agronomy Section, Cotton Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center

Dr. Hanaa M. Ashry

Lecturer of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University

2020 A.D.

CONTENTS

| Subject | page |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 7 |
| I. Effect of foliar feeding with nano Lithoit boron (CO ₂ fertilizer in the form of Lithovit (a nano-CaCO ₃) in addition to boron in the organic form) | 7 |
| I.1.Effects of CO ₂ | 7 |
| I.2.Effects of boron | 12 |
| II. Effect of foliar spraying with Pix (mepiquat chloride) | 16 |
| III. Effect of plant distribution patterns | 25 |
| MATERIAL AND METHODES | 32 |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 51 |
| A. Leaves chemical composition | 51 |
| A.1.Leaves minerals content | 51 |
| a.1.1. Leaves macronutrients composition | 51 |
| a.1.2. Leaves micronutrients composition | 58 |
| A.2.Leaves concentration of photosynthetic pigments | 63 |
| A.3.Leaves concentration of total carbohydrates, total soluble | <u> </u> |
| sugars and non-soluble sugars | 69 |
| A.4.Leaves concentration of proline | 83 |
| B-Leaves water relations | 87 |
| B.1, 2 and 3. Leaves water fractions (free water, bound water and total water) content | 87 |
| B.4. Leaf water deficit (LWD) | 90 |
| B.5. Relative water content (RWC) | 91 |
| B.6. Osmotic pressure (O.P) | 94 |
| B.7. Plasma membrane permeability | 95 |
| C. Growth analysis | 100 |
| C.1.Leaves dry weight (g/plant): | 101 |
| C.2 and 3. Leaf area (dm ² /plant) and leaf area index | 106 |
| C.4.Total dry weight per plant | 110 |
| C.5 and 6. Specific leaf weight (SLW) and specific leaf area (SLA) | 119 |
| C.7 and 8. Leaf area ratio (LAR) and leaf weight ratio (LWR) | 128 |
| C.9. Crop growth rate | 130 |
| C.10. Net assimilation rate | 132 |

| C.11.Relative growth rate | 135 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| D. Growth parameters | 137 |
| D.1, 2 and 3. Plant height at harvest (cm), number of | 127 |
| internodes/plant and internode length(cm) | 137 |
| D.4. Number of monopodial branches/plants | 140 |
| D. 5. Number of fruiting (sympodial) branches /plant | 142 |
| E. Earliness traits | 144 |
| E.1. First fruiting branch node | 144 |
| E.2. Number of days from planting up to the first flower | 145 |
| E.3. Boll maturation period (days) | 149 |
| E.4. Number of days from planting up to the first open boll | 150 |
| E.5. Averages number of total flowers per plant | 151 |
| E.6. Number of total bolls set per plant | 152 |
| E.7 and 8. Boll setting and shedding percentages | 156 |
| E.9. 1st picking percentage | 159 |
| F.Seed quality | 162 |
| F.1. Seed index | 162 |
| F.2. Number of seeds per boll | 166 |
| G. Seed cotton yield and its components | 167 |
| G.1. No. of open bolls / plant | 167 |
| G.2.Boll weight | 172 |
| G.3. Seed cotton yield / plant | 174 |
| G.4.Ginning out turn (lint %) | 176 |
| G.5.Seed cotton yield per feddan | 178 |
| G.6. An indicative comparison between early and late sowings | 183 |
| H. Fiber quality | 185 |
| SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION | 192 |
| LITERATURE CITED | 206 |
| الملخص العربي | |

LIST OF TABLES

| N0. | Title | Page |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1 | Plant distribution patterns. | 33 |
| 2 | Main characteristics of nano LITHOVIT [®] + 5% Boron (organic) used in the study | 34 |
| 3 | Properties of the water of irrigation in the two locations in the two seasons | 35 |
| 4 | Properties of the experimental soil sites in the two seasons | 36 |
| 5 | Minimum, maximum and mean values of air temperature and the relative humidity as means of seven-day intervals through 2018 and 2019 seasons | 41 |
| 6 | Leaves chemical composition determination. | 42 |
| 7 | Leaves water relations determination. | 43 |
| 8 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on leaves macronutrients percentages in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 54 |
| 9 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on leaves macronutrients percentages in 2018 and 2019 seasons | 57 |
| 10 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on leaves micronutrients concentration in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 60 |
| 11 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on leaves micronutrients concentration (ppm) in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 62 |
| 12 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on leaves photosynthetic pigments content in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 66 |
| 13 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on leaves photosynthetic pigments content in 2018 and 2019 seasons | 68 |
| 14 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on leaves total carbohydrate, total soluble sugars, total non-soluble sugars and proline concentrations in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 73 |
| 15 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on leaves total carbohydrate, total soluble sugars, total non-soluble sugars and proline concentrations in 2018 and 2019 seasons | 83 |

| 16 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on leaves total water, free water, bound water, relative water contents and leaf water deficiency in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 89 |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 17 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on leaves total water, free water and bound water in 2018 and 2019 seasons | 92 |
| 18 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on leaf water deficit and relative water contents in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 93 |
| 19 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on leaves osmotic pressure (bar) and plasma membrane permeability (%) in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 98 |
| 20 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on leaves osmotic pressure (bar) and plasma membrane permeability (%) in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 99 |
| 21 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on leaves dry weight/plant, total plant dry weight, leaf area/plant and leaf area index after 15 days from the first foliar spraying in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 103 |
| 22 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on leaves dry weight/plant, total plant dry weight, leaf area/plant and leaf area index after 15 days from the second foliar spraying in 2018 and 2019 seasons | 104 |
| 23 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on leaves dry weight/plant, total plant dry weight, leaf area/plant and leaf area index after 15 days from the third foliar spraying in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 105 |
| 24 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on leaves dry weight/plant, total plant dry weight, leaf area/plant and leaf area index at the three growth stages. | 120 |
| 25 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on Specific leaf weight, specific leaf area, leaf area ratio and leaf weight ratio after 15 days from the first foliar spraying in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 124 |

| 26 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on specific leaf weight, specific leaf area, leaf area ratio and leaf weight ratio after 15 days from the second foliar spraying in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 125 |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 27 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on specific leaf weight, specific leaf area, leaf area ratio and leaf weight ratio after 15 days from the third foliar spraying in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 126 |
| 28 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on specific leaf weight, specific leaf area, leaf area ratio and leaf weight ratio. | 127 |
| 29 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on crop growth rate and relative growth rate at the first and second growth periods in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 131 |
| 30 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on net assimilation rate at the first and second growth periods in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 134 |
| 31 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on crop growth rate and relative growth rate and net assimilation rate at the tow growth periods. | 136 |
| 32 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on plant height, number of internodes/plants, internode length(cm) and number of vegetative branches/plant at harvest in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 139 |
| 33 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on plant height, number of internodes/plants, internode length(cm) and number of vegetative branches/plants at harvest in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 142 |
| 34 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on number of fruiting branches/plant, first fruiting node, number of days to first flower, boll maturation period (days) and days to first open boll in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 146 |
| 35 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on number of fruiting | 147 |

| | branches/plant, boll maturation period (days) and days to first open boll in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 36 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on numbers of total bolls and flowers/plants, boll setting and shedding percentages and earliness index (%) in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 154 |
| 37 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on numbers of total bolls and flowers/plants, boll setting and shedding percentages and earliness index (%) in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 155 |
| 38 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on lint percentage, seed index (g) and number of seeds / bolls in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 165 |
| 39 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on seed index (g) in 2019 season. | 166 |
| 40 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on boll weight (g), number of open bolls/plant, seed cotton yield (gram/plant) and seed cotton yield (kentar/fed) in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 170 |
| 41 | Effect of the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments on boll weight (g), number of open bolls/plant, seed cotton yield (gram/plant) and seed cotton yield (kentar/fed) in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 172 |
| 42 | An indicative comparison between early and late sowings with regard to seed cotton yield/fed in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 185 |
| 43 | Effect of plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments as well as their interaction on fiber quality traits in 2018 and 2019 seasons. | 187 |
| 44 | Averages of fiber length 2.5% span length (mm) as affected by the interaction between plant distribution patterns and foliar spraying treatments in 2019season. | 190 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| N0. | Title | Page |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1 | Layout of the experimental field in 2018 season | 38 |
| 2 | Layout of the experimental field in 2019 season | 39 |

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted during 2018 and 2019 seasons at Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El Sheikh Governorate, Egypt to put the agricultural recommendations for the genotype [(Giza 89 x Karashinky) x Giza 86] x Giza 94 before releasing in agriculture as a new cultivar through find out the proper plant distributions of the recommended density(46,334 plant/fed) and the effect of nano Lithovit boron and mepiquat choloride (Pix) in reducing the negative effect of delaying sowing date through study the effect of seven plant distribution patterns pattern (1): sowing cotton in ridges 120 cm apart on both sides in hills 30 cm apart, 2 plants/hill after thinning, pattern (2): sowing cotton in ridges 120 cm apart on both sides in hills 15 cm apart, one plant/hill after thinning, pattern (3): sowing cotton in ridges 90 cm apart on both sides in hills 40 cm apart, 2 plants/hill after thinning, pattern (4): sowing cotton in ridges 90 cm apart on both sides in hills 20 cm apart, one plant/hill after thinning, pattern (5): sowing cotton in ridges 70 cm apart on one side in hills 26 cm apart, 2 plants/hill after thinning, pattern (6): sowing cotton in ridges 60 cm apart on one side in hills 30 cm apart, 2 plants/hill after thinning and pattern (7): sowing cotton in ridges 60 cm apart on one side in hills 15 cm apart, one plant/hill after thinning and three foliar spraying treatments (without, nano Lithovit boron and Pix) as well as their interaction on the Egyptian new genotype [(Giza 89 x Karashinky) x Giza 86] x Giza 94.

The experiment was laid out in a strip plot design with five replicates in the first season and four replicates in the second season

Patterns 1 and 2 significantly increased leaves chemical composition, growth, seed cotton yield/fed and its components, boll setting percentage, and earliness index in both seasons.

Foliar CO_2 as a nano-fertilizer (in the form of Lithovit) in addition to boron in the organic form at a rate of 2 g nano lithovit boron/l three times significantly increased leaves chemical composition, growth, seed cotton yield/fed and its components, boll setting percentage, and earliness index in both seasons.

Plants under plant distribution of pattern 1 and received nano Lithovit boron significantly increased leaves chemical composition, growth, seed cotton yield/fed and its components, boll setting percentage, and earliness index in both seasons.

It is a divisible to apply Pattern 1 and foliar feeding with CO_2 as a nanofertilizer (in the form of Lithovit) in addition to boron in the organic form at a rate of 2 g nano Lithovit boron/l three times for high yield and lint quality of the new genotype [(Giza 89 x Karashinky) x Giza 86] x Giza 94 under Sakha region conditions.

Key Words

Foliar, CO₂, nano, fertilizer, Lithovit, nanotechnology, genotype, cotton, Pix.