



جامعة الإسكندرية
ALEXANDRIA
UNIVERSITY



Faculty of Veterinary Medicine

Department of Food Hygiene

**Anti-oxidants and their capacity in farm fish
retailed in Alexandria province**

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment

Of the requirements for the degree of Ph.D.

In

Veterinary Science

Specialty

(Meat Hygiene)

Presented by

Hoda Mostafa Abd El-Rahman El-Zeftawy

(M.V. Sc., Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University, 2000)

AHRI -ARC

(Animal Health Research Institute) - (Agriculture Research Center)

2020

Table of Contents

| TITLE | Page |
|--|------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 6 |
| 2.1. Chemical composition of examined farm fish. | 6 |
| 2.2. Antioxidants in fish | 9 |
| 2.2.1. Fish as source of antioxidants | 10 |
| 2.3. Factors affecting lipid peroxidation in fish | 13 |
| 2.4. Factors affecting Antioxidant enzymes in fish. | 15 |
| 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS | 21 |
| 3.1. Materials | 21 |
| 3.1.1. Collection of samples. | 21 |
| 3.1.2. preparation of samples | 21 |
| 3.2. Methods. | 21 |
| 3.2.1. Determination of chemical composition. | 21 |
| 3.2.1.1. Determination of moisture percentage. | 21 |
| 3.2.1.2. Determination of Ash percentage | 22 |
| 3.2.1.3. Determination of crude fiber | 23 |
| 3.2.1.4. Determination of fat percentage | 23 |
| 3.2.1.5. Determination of protein percentage | 24 |
| 3.2.2. Determination of different types of antioxidants and oxidative stress indicator | 24 |
| 3.2.2.1. Determination of Malondialdehyde (MDA) | 24 |
| 3.2.2.2. Determination of reduced glutathione | 26 |
| 3.2.2.3. Determination of superoxide dismutase | 27 |
| 3.2.2.4. Determination of Nitric oxide No. | 30 |
| 3.2.2.5. Determination of Catalase enzyme “CAT” | 30 |
| 3.2.2.6. Determination of total antioxidant capacity “TAC” | 32 |
| 3.3. Statistical analysis. | 34 |
| 4. RESULTS | 35 |
| 5. DISCUSSION | 63 |
| 6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 77 |
| 7. SUMMARY | 79 |
| 8. REFERENCES | 82 |
| 9. ARABIC SAUMMARY | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Title of Table | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 | Statistical analytical results of moisture contents in the examined farm fish. | 35 |
| 2 | Statistical analytical results of ash contents in the examined farm fish. | 37 |
| 3 | Statistical analytical results of fiber contents in the examined farm fish. | 39 |
| 4 | Statistical analytical results of fat contents of the examined farm fish. | 41 |
| 5 | Statistical analytical results of protein contents of the examined farm fish. | 43 |
| 6 | Statistical analytical results of MDA (mmol/gm) contents in the examined farm fish. | 45 |
| 7 | Mean values of MDA (mmol/gm) contents in the examined farmed fish during storage at -20 °C. | 46 |
| 8 | Statistical analytical results of GSH contents (mmol/gm) in the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 48 |
| 9 | Mean values of GSH contents (mmol/gm) of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 49 |
| 10 | Statistical analytical results of SOD contents (mmol/gm) of the examined farmed fish during storage at -20 °C. | 51 |
| 11 | Mean values of SOD contents (mmol/gm) of the examined farmed fish during storage at -20 °C. | 52 |
| 12 | Statistical analytical results of NO content (mmol/gm) of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 54 |
| 13 | Mean values of NO contents (mmol/gm) of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 55 |
| 14 | Statistical analytical results of Catalase contents (mmol/gm) of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 57 |
| 15 | Mean values of Catalase contents (mmol/gm) of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 58 |
| 16 | Statistical analytical results of TAC content (mmol/gm) of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 60 |
| 17 | Mean values of TAC contents (mmol/gm) of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 61 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Fig | Title of figures | Page |
|------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Mean values of moisture contents of the examined farm fish. | 36 |
| 2 | Mean values of ash contents of the examined farm fish. | 38 |
| 3 | Mean values of fiber contents of the examined farm fish. | 40 |
| 4 | Mean values of fat contents of the examined farm fish. | 42 |
| 5 | Mean values of Protein contents of the examined farm fish. | 44 |
| 6 | Pattern of MDA contents in muscle tissue of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 47 |
| 7 | Pattern of GSH contents in muscle tissue of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 50 |
| 8 | Pattern of SOD contents in muscle tissue of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 53 |
| 9 | Pattern of NO in muscle tissue of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 56 |
| 10 | Pattern of Catalase content in muscle tissue of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 59 |
| 11 | Pattern of TAC content in muscle tissue of the examined farm fish during storage at -20 °C. | 62 |

7. ENGLISH SUMMARY

The present work studied the chemical composition of examined farm fishes (Tilapia, Mugil cephalus and Common Carp) including (Fat, protein, moisture, Ash, and fiber content), 30 samples of each type. In addition, determination of lipid peroxidation through Malondialdehyde (MDA) and measuring the level of antioxidant in muscle tissues of examined fish including; reduced glutathione (GSH), Superoxide dismutase (SOD), Nitric oxide (NO), Catalase enzyme (CAT) and Total Antioxidant capacity (TAC).

The obtained results summarized in the following items.

7.1. Proximate composition of examined farm fish:

- Mean values of **moisture content** in farmed Tilapia was 76.05 ± 0.27 ; Mugil cephalus was 73.30 ± 0.55 and Common Carp was 72.33 ± 0.90 . There is significant different ($p < 0.05$) between moisture content of all three examined farm fishes.
- Mean values of **ash content** in examined farm fish Tilapia, Mugil cephalus and Common Carp were 1.33 ± 0.03 , 1.39 ± 0.03 and 1.13 ± 0.03 , respectively. There is no significant difference between ash content of different farm fish samples.
- Mean values of **fiber content** in examined farm Tilapia, Mugil cephalus and Common Carp were 1.11 ± 0.04 , 1.29 ± 0.08 and 2.88 ± 0.07 , respectively. There was no significant difference at ($p < 0.05$) between fiber content of examined farm Tilapia and Mugil cephalus while there was significant difference between fiber content of Tilapia and Common Carp or Mugil cephalus and Common Carp.
- Mean values of **fat content** were 1.80 ± 0.17 , 3.17 ± 0.03 and 4.62 ± 0.25 in the examined farm Tilapia, Mugil cephalus and Common Carp, respectively. There

English Summary

is a significant difference between mean values of fat content for different three breed.

- Mean values of **protein content** were 19.67 ± 0.15 , 20.87 ± 0.33 and 18.64 ± 0.20 in the examined farm Tilapia, Mugil cephalus and Common Carp, respectively. There is a significant difference between mean values of protein content for different three breed.

7.2. Determination of different antioxidants in muscle tissue:

- Mean values of **MDA** non-significantly increased in examined Common Carp, Mugil cephalus and Tilapia from different localities stored at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 48 hours from (7.93 ± 0.41 to 9.89 ± 1.27), (8.97 ± 0.11 to 10.53 ± 0.66) and 11.66 ± 0.12 to 12.78 ± 0.67 , respectively.
- Mean values of **GSH** in muscle tissue of fish stored at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 48 hours non-significantly decrease in examined muscle of Common Carp from 60.23 ± 2.65 to 56.93 ± 2.34 mmol/gm; Mugil cephalus from 57.53 ± 2.38 to 55.97 ± 2.08 and Tilapia from 27.63 ± 1.19 to 25.87 ± 1.33 mmol/gm, respectively.
- Mean values of **SOD** in muscle tissue non-significantly decreased in examined Common Carp from different localities stored at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 48 hours from (5.22 ± 0.17 to 3.92 ± 0.35); in Mugil cephalus from 4.07 ± 0.11 to 3.42 ± 0.26 and in Tilapia from 3.21 ± 0.64 to 3.04 ± 0.14 mmol/gm.
- Mean values of **No** in muscle tissue of fish stored at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 48 hours significantly increased in examined Common Carp from 0.97 ± 0.21 to 1.31 ± 0.29 mmol/gm and in Tilapia from 2.18 ± 0.45 to 2.82 ± 0.37 mmol/gm, while no significantly increased in Mugil cephalus from 2.38 ± 0.08 to 2.63 ± 0.25 mmol/gm, respectively.
- Mean values of **catalase content** in muscle tissue of farm fish stored at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 48 hours non significantly decreased in examined Common Carp from

English Summary

6.32±0.29 to 5.93±0.30; Mugil cephalus from 6.06±0.08 to 5.95±0.11. Finally, in Tilapia significantly decreased from 5.15±0.10 to 4.91±0.16 mmol/gm, respectively.

- Mean values of **TAC** in muscle tissue of fish stored at -20 °C for 48 hours non-significantly decreased in examined Common Carp from 1094.50±27.44 to 1024.67±57.71; Mugil cephalus from 809.30±22.93 to 775.83±48.51 and Tilapia from 702.67±15.51 to 651.83±41.86, respectively.