



Kafrelsheikh University
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Department of Pharmacology

The role of L. methionine, L. carnitine, choline and/or silymarin in hepatoprotection against intoxication and oxidative stress in broilers

A Thesis presented

By

Hend Mohamed Fikry Ahmed Salah

(B.V.Sc., 2011, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University)

(MVSc of pharmacology, 2015)

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Moustafa A. Mohammed

Emeritus. Professor of Pharmacology

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine - Kafrelsheikh University

Prof. Dr. Abo Elnasr. Zahra

Professor of Pharmacology-Head of department of Pharmacology

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine - Kafrelsheikh University

Dr.Zaghloul A. Kheder

Senior researcher of Biochemistry Department -Animal Health Research

Institute, Mansoura Lab- Assistance dupty minster of Agriculture

Presented to

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine - Kafrelsheikh University

For the degree of PHD of Veterinary Medical Sciences

(Pharmacology)

(2020)

Acknowledgments

Above all and first of all my deep thanks to " *ALLAH*" who gave me the ability and patience to finish this work

All thanks, deepest gratitude and utmost respect to *Prof. Dr. moustafa A. Mohammed*, Emeritus. Professor of Pharmacology Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine , Kafrelsheikh University, for his kind supervision, continuous help, cooperation, encouragement during the course of this study and in all stages of this work and revising the details that enable me to finish this work.

With full appreciation and respect to *Prof. Dr. Abo Elnasr. Zahra*, Professor of Pharmacology and head of the Department of pharmacology Faculty of Veterinary Medicine - Kafrelsheikh University, for his advice and polite guidance that did not spare them throughout the study period and for all the facilities he gave me

My great respect and thanks to *Dr. Zaghloul A. Kheder*, Senior researcher of Biochemistry, Department of biochemistry in Animal Health Research Institute Mansoura Provincial Lab, for his kind supervision, unfailing help and valuable notices and also, his useful suggestions in all stages of finishing.

To my little prince, my soul (*Yassin*) I need you more than you need me. God pless you.

No words can describe my great gratitude to my family for their continous encouragement specially *my mother*, you are my source of strength.

Contents

No.	Item	Page
	List of Tables	I
	List of Figures	III
	List of Abbreviations	V
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Aim of The Work	4
3.	Review of Literature	5
4.	Materials and Methods	24
5.	Results	47
6.	Discussion	69
7.	Summary	81
8.	Conclusion	86
9.	Recommendations	89
10.	References	90
11.	Arabic Summary	1

List of Tables

No.	Table Name	age
(1)	chemical analysis of the starter ration	30
(2)	chemical analysis of the grower ration	31
(3)	chemical analysis of the finisher ration	31
(4)	Reagents for determination of biochemical values in serum	32
(5)	Comparison of final body weight mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	48
(6)	Comparison of body weight gain mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	50
(7)	Comparison of feed intake mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups	52
(8)	Comparison of feed conversion ratio mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups	54

(9)	Comparison of feed efficiency mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups	56
(10)	Comparison of glutathione reductase mean value on serum samples of each (L.methionine , L.choline , L.carnitin ,silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	58
(11)	Comparison of malondialdehyde mean value on serum samples of each (L. methionine, L.choline, L. carnitine, silymarin, cmbined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups	60
(12)	Comparison of SOD mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine, silymarin, combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	62
(13)	Comparison of ALT mean value on serum samples of each (L.methionine , L.choline , L.carnitine ,silymarin ,cmbined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	64
(14)	Comparison of AST mean value on serum samples of each (L. methionine , L.choline, L. carnitine, silymarin , combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	66
(15)	Comparison of triglycerides and cholestrol mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin , combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	68

List of Figures

No.	Figure Name	Page
(1)	Comparison of final body weight mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups	48
(2)	Comparison of body weight gain mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups	50
(3)	Comparison of feed intake mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups	52
(4)	Comparison of feed conversion mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups	54
(5)	Comparison of feed efficiency mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups	56
(6)	Comparison of glutathione reductase mean value on serum samples of each (L.methionine , L.choline , L.carnitin ,silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	58

(7)	Comparison of malondialdehyde mean value on serum samples of each (L. methionine, L.choline, L. carnitine, silymarin, combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups	60
(8)	Comparison of SOD mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine, silymarin, combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	62
(9)	Comparison of ALT mean value on serum samples of each (L.methionine , L.choline , L.carnitine ,silymarin ,combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	64
(10)	Comparison of AST mean value on serum samples of each (L. methionine , choline, L. carnitine, silymarin , mixture and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	66
(11)	Comparison of triglycerides and cholestrol mean values of each (L. methionine , L.choline , L. carnitine , silymarin , combined and mixture with paracetamol) groups with control and paracetamol groups.	68

Summary

The aim of the present work was to study the role of L.methionine , L.carnitine, L.choline and/ or silymarine in hepatoprotection against intoxication and oxidative stress in broilers .

Through estimating growth performance of broilers (final body weights, weekly body gain, feed intake, feed conversion ratio and feed efficiency).

Also, evaluation of liver healthiness and broilers resistance to oxidative stress by quantitative analysis of serum samples for glutathione reductase, superoxide dismutase, malondialdehyde , liver enzymes(Alanine aminotransferase and Aspartate aminotransferase) , cholesterol and triglycerides levels.

Our study was performed on Eighty chicks grouped randomly in eight groups, each of 10 chicks and kept separately using wooden partitions. During the first two weeks , chicks were fed on a starter ration , followed by growing feed stuff till the end of the experimental period. The water was provided ad-Libitum.

All groups were kept under the same conditions and received same management. Groups were illustrated as follows:

Group1: not supplemented (control)

Group2:supplemented with L.methionine in a dose of NRC system (0.50%) at first three days from each week till the end of experiment (orally mixed with ration)

Group3: supplemented with L.choline in a dose of NRC system (1300 mg/kg) at first three days from each week till the end of experiment (orally mixed with ration)

Group4: : supplemented with L.carnitine (500mg/kg /diet) at first three days from each week till the end of experiment (orally mixed with ration)

Group5: supplemented with silymarin in a dose of (1000mg/ kg) at first three days from each week till the end of experiment (orally mixed with water)

Group6: supplemented with mixture of previous four supplementers together (L.Methionine, Choline, L.Carnitine and Silymarine) by previous doses at first three days from each week till the end of experiment

Group7: got their hepatic intoxication by paracetamol (650mg/kg for7 days) at fifth week of age (orally mixed with ration).

Group8: supplemented with paracetamol with mixture of four supplementers.

Weight of birds and amount of feed they consumed were recorded weekly during the experimental period of 33 days

Blood and serum samples were collected in the end of experimental period for quantitative tests

It was recorded that paracetamol supplemented group showed a significant decrease in feed intake, final body weight , body weight gain, feed conversion ratio, feed efficiency, glutathione reductase and superoxide dismutase . Meanwhile, a significant increase in malondialdehyde, liver enzymes(AST and ALT) , cholesterol and triglycerides was recorded as compared to the control group .

It was found that L.methionine supplemented group showed significant increase in feed intake , weekly body gain , final body weight and glutathione reductase . Meanwhile, a significant decrease in feed conversion ratio compared to the control group.

While , L.methionine supplementation recorded a significant decrease in malondialdehyde, liver enzymes(ALT and AST), cholesterol and triglycerides levels compared to paracetamol treated group. There was no significance change effect on superoxide dismutase.

Our study recorded that L.choline supplemented group showed significant increase in final body weight , glutathione

reductase and superoxide dismutase compared to the control group.

While , L.choline supplementation recorded a significant decrease in AST, cholesterol and triglycerides levels compared to paracetamol treated group.

It was found that L.carnitine supplemented group showed significant increase in feed intake , final body weight and glutathione reductase as compared to the control group.

While , L.carnitine supplementation recorded a significant decrease in cholesterol and triglycerides levels compared to paracetamol treated group.

Silymarin supplemented group showed significant increase in weekly body weight gain , glutathione reductase and superoxide dismutase as compared to the control group.

While , silymarin recorded a significant decrease in liver enzymes (ALT and AST) levels compared to paracetamol treated group.

The combined group supplemented with (L.methionine, L.carnitine, L.choline and silymarine) showed a significant increase in feed intake, final body weight , body weight gain, feed conversion ratio, feed efficiency, glutathione reductase and

superoxide dismutase compared to the control group and showed a significant decrease in malondialdehyde, liver enzymes (AST and ALT) , cholesterol and triglycerides as compared to paracetamol treated group.

The mixed group treated by paracetamol and supplemented with (L.methionine, L.carnitine, L.choline and silymarine) showed no significance change in feed intake, final body weight , body weight gain, feed conversion ratio, feed efficiency, glutathione reductase , superoxide dismutase ,malondialdehyde, liver enzymes(AST and ALT) , cholesterol and triglycerides compared to the control group and showed a significant decrease in malondialdehyde, liver enzymes (AST and ALT) , cholesterol and triglycerides compared to paracetamol treated group. Also, showed a significant increase in feed intake, final body weight , body weight gain, feed conversion ratio, feed efficiency, glutathione reductase , superoxide dismutase compared to paracetamol treated group.