EFFECT OF SOME FOOD ADDITIVES ON HONEYBEE COLONIES

(Apis mellifera, L.)

BY MAHROUS RAMADAN ABD-ELHAMEED MAHROUS

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment

of

the requirement for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Agricultural Sciences

(Economic Entomology)

Plant Protection Department
Faculty of Agriculture
Zagazig University

2020

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
I-Laboratory studies	3
1. Lifespan (longevity) of caged workers	3
2. Body weight of caged workers	7
3. The development of the hypopharyngeal glands	10
Π-Field studies	16
1-Flight activity	16
2-Brood rearing activity	19
3-Drawing out wax foundation	25
4-Hoarding behavior	29
5- Clover honey yield	31
MATERIALS AND METHODS	33
I - Laboratory experiments:	33
Materials:	33
a-Experimental honeybee workers	33
b-Cages used	33
c-The test food additive formulations	34
Methods:	35
Feeding caged workers	35
Measurements	35

1- Lifespan (length of life) of caged workers:	35
2- The development of caged workers body weight	35
3-Measuring the development of the hypopharyngeal	
glands of caged workers	36
II- Field experiments:	37
I. Materials:	37
1. The test honeybee colonies	37
II. Methods:	37
• Feeding test colonies	37
• Measurements	38
1. Measuring flight activity	
2. Measuring brood rearing activity	38
3. Drawing out wax foundation	38
4. Evaluating hoarding behavior	38
5. Measuring clover honey yield	39
	39
Statistical Analysis	39
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	40
I- Laboratory experiments	40
1- Lifespan (longevity) of caged workers	40
2-Development of caged workers body weight	45
3-The development of the hypopharyngeal glands (HPG) of caged workers	50
•	
II- Field studies:	61
1- Effect of food additive formulations on the flight	
activity of honeybee colonies:	61

2- Effect of the test food additives on brood rearing	
activity of honeybee colonies:	65
3-Drawing out wax foundation	73
4-Hoarding behavior	77
5-Clover honey yield	81
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	86
REFERENCES	90
ARABIC SUMMARY	_

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1	Longevity of caged honeybee workers as affected by feeding on sucrose syrup (1:1) fortified with the food additive formulations AD3E, VIGO I.Sel, VIGO mino vit and VIGO-FLU during summer season of 2018.	41
2	The development of caged worker body weight(mg) as affected by feeding on sucrose syrup (1:1) fortified with the food additive formulations AD3E, VIGO I.Sel, VIGO mino vit and VIGO-FLU during summer season of 2018.	46
3	The development of the hypopharyngeal gland acinal length (L) width (W) and calculated acinal surface area (S) of honeybee workers fed on the food additive formulations under laboratory conditions during summer season of 2018	52
4	Daily flight activity (no. of incoming workers) of honeybee colonies offered the test food additive formulations during June- July 2018	62
5	Sealed brood area (inch2/colony) reared by the test colonies offered the test food additive formulations during Egyptian clover flow period of 2017 season.	66
6	Sealed brood area (inch2/colony) reared by the test colonies offered the test food additive formulations during Egyptian clover flow period of 2018 season.	69

7	Total sealed brood area (inch2/colony) reared by the	
	test colonies offered the test food additive	
	formulations during Egyptian clover flow period of	
	2017 and 2018 seasons	71
8	Drawn out area (inch2/colony) of wax foundation by honeybee colonies offered AD3E, VIGO I.Sel, VIGO mino vit and VIGO-FLU additive formulations during Egyptian clover flow period of	
	2017 and 2018 sesons	74
9	The hoarded volume of sucrose syrup enriched with the test food additive formulations from the out	
	door feeders by honey bee colonies	78
10	Clover honey yield (kg/colony) produced by honeybee colonies offered AD3E, VIGO I.Sel., VIGO mino vit and VIGO-FLU during 2017 and	
	2018 seasons	82

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Title	Pag
1	Longevity of caged honeybee workers as affected by feeding on sucrose syrup (1:1) fortified with the food additive formulations AD3E, VIGO I.Sel, VIGO mino vit and VIGO-FLU during summer season of 2018.	42
2	The development of caged workers body weight (mg) as affected by feeding onsucrose syrup (1:1) fortified with the food additive formulations AD3E,VIGO I.Sel, VIGO mino vit and VIGO-FLU during summer season of 2018	47
3	The development of the hypopharyngeal gland acinal length (L) of honeybee workers fed on the food additive formulations under laboratory conditions during summer season of 2018	53
4	The development of the hypopharyngeal gland acinal width (W) of honeybee workers fed on the food additive formulations under laboratory conditions during summer season of 2018	54
5	The development of the hypopharyngeal gland acinal surface areas (S) of honeybee workers fed on the food additive formulations under laboratory conditions during summer season of 2018	56
6	Daily flight activity (no. of outgoing workers) of honeybee colonies offered the test food additive	
	formulations during June- July 2018	63

7	Sealed brood area (inch2/colony) reared by the test	
	colonies offered the test food additive formulations during Egyptian clover flow period of 2017 and	
	2018 season	67
8	Total sealed brood area (inch2/colony) reared by	
	the test colonies offered the test food additive	
	formulations during Egyptian clover flow period	
	of 2017 and 2018 seasons	72
9	Drawn out area (inch2/colony) of wax foundation	
	by honeybee colonies offered AD3E, VIGO I.Sel,	
	VIGO mino vit and VIGO-FLU additive	
	formulations during Egyptian clover flow period	
	of 2017 and 2018 seasons	75
10	The hoarded volume of sucrose syrup enriched	
	with the test food additive formulations from out	
	door feeders by honey bee colonies	79
11	Clover honey yield (kg/colony) produced by	
	honeybee colonies offered AD3E, VIGO I.Sel,	
	VIGO mino vit and VIGO-FLU during 2017 and	
	2018 seasons.	83

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted to evaluate the impact of four food veterinarian formulations as food additives to honeybee colonies on their performance under laboratory and apiary conditions during 2017 and 2018 seasons. The results clear that the additive formulations AD3E and VIGO mino vit prolonged the lifespan, increased body weight and induced the highest development of the hypopharyngeal glands of caged workers under laboratory conditions. Apiary results indicated that the formulations AD3E, VIGO mino vit and VIGO-FLU induced the performance of honeybee colonies, resulting in % increased that ranged 59.95 – 61.8% in flight activity, 18.39, 15.65 and 12.24% in brood rearing activity, 37.5, 26.2 and 62.35% in drawing out wax foundations, 26.9, 27.8 and 100.2% in hoarding behaviour and 51.72, 8.62 and 49.13% in clover honey yield for the three additive, respectively. On the contrary, the food additive formulations VIGO I Sel. caused sever reduction in workers and colony performance.