BENHA UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF SCIENCE CHEMISTRY DEPARTMENT



SYNTHESIS, CHARACTERIZATION OF DEVELOPED SILICA NANOPARTICLES AND NANO STRUCTURED ALUMINA AND STUDIES ON RESIDUES OF CERTAIN PESTICIDES IN AGRICULTURE PRODUCTS

El- Sayed Saber Helmy Abd El-aziz

B.Sc. Chemistry, Chemistry Department,
Faculty of Science, Zagazig University (2001).
M.Sc. Analytical Chemistry, Chemistry Department,
Faculty of Science, Benha University (2014)

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Analytical Chemistry

Supervised By

Prof. Dr. Alaa El- Sayed Ahmed Amin

Prof. of Analytical Chemistry
and Head of the Chemistry Department,
Faculty of Science,
Benha University

Prof. Dr. Shokr Abd El- Salam Ali Shokr

Chief Researcher,
Pesticide Residues and Environmental
Pollution Department,
& Director of the Central Agricultural Pesticides
Laboratory,
Agriculture Research Centre

Prof. Dr. Talaat Younis Mohamed(God bless his soul)

Prof. of Analytical Chemistry
Chemistry Department
Faculty of Science
Benha University

Dr. Farag Mahmoud Malhat

Senior Research Officer,
Pesticide Residues and Environmental
Pollution Department,
Central Agricultural Pesticides
Laboratory,
Agriculture Research Centre

TABLE OF CONTENTS

KEYWORDS	I
SUMMARY	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF TABLES	XI
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XIII
STATEMENT OF ORIGINAL AUTHORSHIP	XV
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	XVI
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 CONTEXT AND PROBLEM STATEMENT	3
1.3 AIM OF THE WORK	ERROR!
BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED. 1.4 SIGNIFICANCE, SCOPE AND DEFINITIONS	
1.5 THESIS OUTLINE	
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 BACKGROUND	
2.2 PESTICIDES FATE AFTER APPLICATION TO FRU	ITS AND VEGETABLES
2.3 MAXIMUM RESIDUE LEVEL	Ç
2.4 FOOD SAFETY ISSUES	10
2.5 RISK ASSESSMENT	11
2.5.1. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION	11
2.5.2. HAZARD CHARACTERISATION	12
2.5.3. EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT	
2.5.4. CONSUMPTION DATA	13
2.5.5. RISK CHARACTERISATION	13
2.6 PESTICIDE REGULATION IN EGYPT	14

	IMARY OF RESIDUES, DISSIPATION AND SAFTY EVALUATION OF THE TEST IDS IN AGRICULTURE CROPS	
2.7.1. AZO	DXYSTROBIN	14
2.7.1.1.	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF AZOXYSTROBIN	15
2.7.1.2.	RESIDUES, DISSIPATION AND SAFETY EVALUATION OF AZOXYSTR	OBIN15
2.7.2. PICC	OXYSTROBIN	20
2.7.2.1.	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF PICOXYSTROBIN	21
2.7.2.2.	RESIDUE, DISSIPATION AND SAFETY EVALUATION OF PICOXYSTRO	OBIN21
2.7.3. PYR	ACLOSTROBIN	23
2.7.3.1.	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF PYRACLOSTROBIN	23
2.7.3.2. RE	ESIDUE, DISSIPATION AND SAFETY EVALUATION OFPYRACLOSTROE	BIN. 23
2.7.4. PYR	IDABEN	27
2.7.4.1.	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF PYRIDABEN	28
2.7.4.2.	RESIDUE, DISSIPATION AND SAFETY EVALUATION OF PYRIDABEN	28
2.7.5. SPIR	ROXAMINE	32
2.7.5.1.	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SPIROXAMINE	32
2.7.5.2.	RESIDUE, DISSIPATION AND SAFETY EVALUATION OF SPIROXAMI	NE . 32
2.7.6. TEB	UCONAZOLE	34
2.7.6.1.	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF TEBUCONAZOLE	34
2.7.6.2. RI	ESIDUE, DISSIPATION AND SAFETY EVALUATION OF TEBUCONAZO	LE 34
2.7.7. TRIF	FLOXYSTROBIN	37
	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF TRIFLOXYSTROBIN RESIDUE. DISSIPATION AND SAFETY EVALUATION OF TRIFLOXYSTR	
	38	OBIN
	RATION AND CHARACTERIZATION NANO SILICA AND NANO ALUM	INA42
2.8.1. PRE	PARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF NANOSILICA	42
2.8.2. PREI	PARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF NANOALUMINA	47
2.9 REM	IOVAL OF SELECTED PESTICIDES BY ADSORPTION	50
CHAPTER 3	3: MATERIALS AND METHODS	53
3.1 CHEN	MICAL AND REAGENTS	53
3.2 GLAS	SSWARE AND PIPITS	55
3.3 INST	RUMENTS AND APPARATUS	55
3.4 EXPE	ERIMENTAL	56
3.4.1. PEST	TICIDE RESIDUES, DISSIPATION AND SAFETY EVALUATION	56
A FIFIF	D TRIALS	ГC

1.	STRA	WBERRY	56
2.	cucı	JMBER	57
В.	SAM	PLE PREPARATION	58
C.	SAM	PLE EXTRACTION	58
D.	LC-M	S/MS ANALYSIS	59
E.	METI	HOD VALIDATION	59
1.	LINE	ARITY	59
2.	RECC	VERY ASSAY	60
3.	MAT	RIX EFFECT	60
4.	METI	HOD PRECISION AND LOQ	60
F.	DISSI	PATION DYNAMICS	61
G.	RISK	ASSESSMENT	61
		THESIS, CHARACTERIZATION AND UTILIZATION OF DEVELOPED NANO STRUCTURED NANO ALUMINA.	
A.		HESIS OF SILICA NANOPARTICLES	
В.		HESIS OF ALUMINA NANOPARTICLES	
Б. С.		RACTERIZATION	
D.		ZATION OF NANO PARTICLES IN REMOVAL OF AZOXYSTROBIN FROM	03
		ZATION OF NAMO FARTICES IN REMOVAE OF AZOXISTROBIN FROM	63
E.	HPLC	ANALYSIS OF AZOXYSTROBIN	65
CHAI	PTER 4	: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	67
4.1.	PEST	ICIDE RESIDUES, DISSIPATION AND SAFETY EVALUATION	67
4.1.1	. MET	HOD OPTIMIZATIONERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED67	
4.1.2	. MET	HOD VALIDATION	67
4.1.2	.1.	LINEARITY	67
4.1.2	.2.	SELECTIVITY	67
4.1.2	.3.	RECOVERY	68
4.1.2	.4.	PRECISION	69
4.1.2	.5.	LOQ	71
4.1.2	.6.	MATRIX EFFECT	71
4.1.2	.7.	ION RATIOERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED71	
4.1.2	.8.	RETENTION TIME	71
4.1.3	. PEST	TICIDE RESIDUE DISSIPATION	72
	_	Δ7ΟΧYSTRORIN	72

4.1.3.2.	PICOXYSTROBIN	73
4.1.3.3.	PYRACLOSTROBIN	74
4.1.3.4.	PYRIDABEN	76
4.1.3.5.	SPIROXAMINE	77
4.1.3.6.	TEBUCONAZOLE	78
4.1.3.7.	TRIFLOXYSTROBIN	79
4.1.4. PEST	TICIDE TERMINAL RESIDUE	84
4.1.4.1.	CUCUMBER	84
4.1.4.2.	STRAWBERRY	85
4.1.5. DIET	ARY INTAKE AND RISK QUOTIENT(RQ)	88
4.1.5.1.	AZOXYSTROBIN	88
4.1.5.2.	PICOXYSTROBIN	89
4.1.5.3.	PYRACLOSTROBIN	90
4.1.5.4.	PYRIDABEN	91
4.1.5.5.	SPIROXAMINE	93
4.1.5.6.	TEBUCONAZOLE	94
4.1.5.7.	TRIFLOXYSTROBIN	95
4.2. CHAI	RACTERIZATION OF THE PREPARED NANO SILICA AND NANO	ALUMINA 96
4.2.1. NAN	O SILICA	96
4.2.1.1.	PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSER FOR SILICA NANO PARTICLES	96
4.2.1.2.	STUDY OF MORPHOLOGY	97
4.2.1.3.	INVESTIGATION OF XRD OF SIO2 NANOPARTICLES	98
4.2.2. NAN	O ALUMINA	99
4.2.2.1.	INVESTIGATION OF XRD OF AL2O3 NANOPARTICLES	99
4.2.2.2.	PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSER FOR ALUMINA NANO PARTICLES	99
	ZATION OF NANO SILICA AND NANO ALUMINA PARTICLES IN	_
_	CT OF INITIAL CONCENTRATION OF AZOXYSTROBIN ON THE	_
	CT OF ADSORBENT DOSAGE ON ADSORPTION PROCESS AND	
4.3.3.EFFE	CT OF CONTACT TIME:	102
4.3.4. EFFE	CT OF TEMPERATURE:	103
4.3.5. DES	ORPTION STUDY:	104
	: CONCLUSION	

ARABIC SUMMARY	118
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1: Fate of pesticides in plant surfaces chemical8	
Figure 2: Procedure for setting JMPR MRLs10	
Figure 3: Routs of indirect exposure to pesticides	11
Figure 4: Dissipation pattern of azoxystrobin in/on cucumber & strawberry at the recommended dosage.	73
Figure 5: Dissipation pattern of picoxystrobin in/on cucumber & strawberry at the recommended dosage.	74
Figure 6: Dissipation pattern of pyraclostrobin in/on cucumber & strawberry at the recommended dosage.	75
Figure 7: Dissipation pattern of pyridaben in/on cucumber & strawberry at the recommended dosage.	76
Figure 8: Dissipation pattern of spiroxamine in/on cucumber & strawberry at the recommended dosage.	78
Figure 9: Dissipation pattern of tebuconazole in/on cucumber & strawberry at the recommended dosage.	79
Figure 10: Dissipation pattern of trifloxystrobin in/on cucumber & strawberry at the recommended dosage.	80
Figure 11: Dynamic light scattering distribution of SiO2	97
Figure 12: SEM images of SiO2 nanoparticles	97
Figure 13: XRD analysis of SiO2 nanoparticles.	98
Figure 14: XRD Spectra of Al2O3 nanoparticle	99
Figure 15: Mean diameter and relative particle size indices for dispersed Al2O3-NPs powder	100
Figure 16: Effect of initial concentration of azoxystrobin on removal efficiency % of Nano silica particle	101
Figure 17: Effect of initial concentration of azoxystrobin on removal efficiency % of alumina nanoparticle.	101
Figure 18: Effect of adsorbent dosage on removal efficiency % of Nano silica	102
Figure 19: Effect of adsorbent dosage on removal efficiency % of Nano alumina.	102
Figure 20: Effect of contact time on removal efficiency % of alumina and silica nanoparticles.	103
Figure 21: Effect of temperature on the removal efficiency %	104

BIBLIOGRAPHY.......107

Figure 22: Variation of the removal efficiency% with the number of runs	
applications of Nano-alumina and Nan-silica	104

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Application doses of the tested pesticides in strawberry experiments	56
Table 2:	Application doses of the tested pesticides in cucumber experiments	58
Table 3:	LC-MS/MS optimization parameters for the tested compounds	68
Table 4:	Recovery % and relative standard deviation (RSD %) of tested pesticide on strawberry and cucumber (n=6)	69
Table 5:	Recoveries RSD_r and RSD_R values obtained from analysis of samples fortified with tested pesticides at 100 $\mu g/kg$ (n=6)	70
Table 6:	Residue distribution and dissipation pattern of azoxystrobin in cucumber and strawberry	72
Table 7:	Regression equation, correlation coefficient and half-life time of azoxystrobin on cucumber and strawberry	73
Table 8:	Residue distribution and dissipation pattern of picoxystrobin on cucumber and strawberry	74
Table 9:	Regression equation, correlation coefficient and half-life time of picoxystrobin on cucumber and strawberry	74
Table 10	2: Residue distribution and dissipation pattern of pyraclostrobin in cucumber and strawberry	75
Table 11	: Regression equation, correlation coefficient and half-life time of pyraclostrobin in cucumber and strawberry	76
Table 12	2: Residue distribution and dissipation pattern of pyridaben on cucumber and strawberry	76
Table 13	3: Regression equation, correlation coefficient and calculated half-life time of pyridaben in cucumber and strawberry	77
Table 14	1: Residue distribution and dissipation pattern of spiroxamine in cucumber and strawberry	77
Table 15	5: Regression equation, correlation coefficient and half-life time of spiroxamine on cucumber and strawberry	78
Table 16	6: Residue distribution and dissipation pattern of tebuconazole on cucumber and strawberry	78
Table 17	7: Regression equation, correlation coefficient and half-life time of tebuconazole in cucumber and strawberry	79
Table 18	3: Residue distribution and dissipation pattern of trifloxystrobin on cucumber and strawberry	80

Table 19: Regression equation, correlation coefficient and half-life time of trifloxystrobin on cucumber and strawberry	80
Table 20: Comparison of the residue profile of the tested pesticide in cucumber	81
Table 21: Comparison of the residue profile of the tested pesticide in strawberry	81
Table 22: Terminal residues (mg/kg ±SD) of the tested pesticides in cucumber	87
Table 23: Terminal residues (mg/kg \pm SD) of the tested pesticides in strawberry	87
Table 24: Dietary intake risk of cucumber treated with azoxystrobin	88
Table 25: Dietary intake risk of strawberry sprayed with azoxystrobin	89
Table 26: Dietary intake risk of cucumber treated with picoxystrobin	89
Table 27: Dietary intake risk of strawberry treated with picoxystrobin	90
Table 28: Dietary intake risk of cucumber treated with pyraclostrobin	91
Table 29: Dietary intake risk of strawberry sprayed with pyraclostrobin	91
Table 30: Dietary intake risk of cucumber treated with pyridaben	92
Table 31: Dietary intake risk of strawberry treated with pyridaben	92
Table 32: Dietary intake risk of cucumber treated with spiroxamine	93
Table 33: Dietary intake risk of strawberry treated with spiroxamine	94
Table 34: Dietary intake risk of cucumber treated with tebuconazole	94
Table 35: Dietary intake risk of strawberry treated with tebuconazole	95
Table 36: Dietary intake risk of cucumber treated with trifloxystrobin	96
Table 37: Dietary intake risk of strawberry treated with trifloxystrobin	96

SUMMARY

Understanding the degradation of pesticides which affected by many different parameters and evaluation of pesticide residues are of crucial importance not only for a sound estimation of food risks, but also to improve pesticides application techniques, and to develop pesticides monitoring programs. Estimating the dissipation of pesticides and their corresponding half-life or decline time is important for estimating the risk to human health and to optimize pesticide application. Therefore, the present studies were undertaken to establish dissipation dynamics of selected pesticide, viz., azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin at recommended dose under Egyptian open field cucumber and strawberry. The studies also intended to assess the differences in rate of dissipation and to recommend Pre-harvest intervals (PHIs) based on maximum residue limits (MRLs).

A QuEChERS-based method for simultaneous determination of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin in cucumber commodity fruits and strawberry commodity fruits was established and confirmed using high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). Based on this method, the dissipation behaviors, residue distributions and dietary risk probability of these pesticides in cucumber and strawberry, were further investigated for food safety.

The initial deposits of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin residues on cucumber were 1.22, 1.33, 0.435, 0.299, 0.668, 0.329 and 0.715 mg kg⁻¹ and that in strawberry were 1.04, 1.08, 0.953, 1.02, 0.370, 0.535 and 0.620 mg kg⁻¹ as a results of spraying the tested pesticides with its recommended single doses. Calculated initial residue level of picoxystrobin was slightly higher than that of the other tested pesticides in both crops (cucumber and strawberry).

The half- life time $t_{1/2}$ of azoxystrobin in cucumber was 2.39 days and in strawberry was 8.45 days, and of picoxystrobin in cucumber was 1.89 days and in strawberry was 5.37 days, and of pyraclostrobin in cucumber was 2.25 days and in strawberry was 4.98 days, and of pyridaben in cucumber was 2.52 days and in

strawberry was 2.50 days, and of spiroxamine in cucumber was 1.81days and in strawberry was 5.45 days, and of tebuconazole in cucumber was 2.40 days and in strawberry was 6.30 days and of trifloxystrobin in cucumber was 2.38 days and in strawberry was 6.18 days.

The prescribed EU-MRL for azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin residues on cucumber are 1.0, 0.01, 0.5, 0.15, 0.01, 0.6 and 0.3 mg kg⁻¹. The PHIs for azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin were 1, 14, 1, 3, 12, 1 and 3 days, respectively, for cucumber. The prescribed EU-MRL for azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin residues on strawberry are 60, 0.05, 0.1, 0.05, 0.05, 15 and 0.05 mg kg⁻¹. The PHIs thus calculated for the residues of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin to reduce below MRL were 1, 24, 17, 11, 16, 1 and 23 days, respectively, for strawberry.

The estimation of the terminal residues for tested the pesticides, can be achieved upon treatment both of strawberry and cucumber plants the tested compounds marketed formulation with single specified doses and again with twice the recommended doses. Both of the two single and double levels were applied two and three times, at a separated period seven days interval in cucumber and fourteen days interval in strawberry between each treatments.

In cucumber samples harvested 3 days after the second and third foliar application of the recommended rates, residues of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin were ranged at 0.173~0.256, 0.301~0.103, 0.089~0.357, 0.119~0.346, 0.229~0.106, 0.125~0.062 and 0.402~0.708 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. In cucumber samples harvested 7 days after the second and third foliar applications of the recommended rates, residues of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin were ranged at 0.046~0.035, 0.021~0.059, 0.046~0.106, 0.025~0.135, 0.012~0.014, 0.012~0.011 and 0.036~0.373 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. When the tested pesticides formulations were sprayed at the overstated rate (two times recommended dose) twice and three times the final residue levels of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole

and trifloxystrobin in/on cucumber were ranged at 0.543~0.236, 0.225~0.424, 0.162~0.295, 0.249~0.620, 0.434~0.418, 0.215~0.221 and 0.417~0.712 mg kg⁻¹, 3 days after the last treatment, respectively. When the tested pesticides were sprayed at double recommended dosage two and three times , the final residue levels of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin in/on cucumber were ranged at 0.179~0.203, 0.011~0.143, 0.076~0.038, 0.065~0.131, 0.010~0.044, 0.022~0.043 and 0.261~0.395 mg kg⁻¹, 7-days after the last treatment respectively.

After the foliar applications of the recommended rate two and three times, residues of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin in/on strawberry were ranged at 0.491~0.538, 0.751~0.955, 0.477~0.717, 0.363~0.592, 0.088~0.207, 0.197~0.283and 0.368~0.600 mg kg⁻¹, 3-days after the last treatment respectively. In strawberry samples harvested 14 days after the two and three foliar applications at the recommended rates, residues of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin were ranged at 0.189~0.177, 0.128~0.170, 0.127~0.177, $0.141 \sim 0.102$, $0.041 \sim 0.035$, $0.079 \sim 0.047$ and $0.127 \sim 0.111$ mg kg⁻¹, respectively. In strawberry collected samples at the third day after the second and the third foliar treatment at the double rate i.e. two-folds authorised doses the calculated deposits of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin were ranged at 0.762~0.808, 1.130~1.226, 0.727~1.263, $0.950 \sim 1.060$, $0.287 \sim 0.582$, $0.538 \sim 0.795$ and $0.635 \sim 0.772$ mg kg⁻¹, respectively. In strawberry samples harvested fourteen days after the second and the third foliar treatment at the double rate i.e. two-folds specified doses, the calculated deposits of azoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, pyraclostrobin, pyridaben, spiroxamine, tebuconazole and trifloxystrobin were ranged at 0.339~0.341, 0.333~0.259, 0.197~0.223, $0.432 \sim 0.300$, $0.108 \sim 0.112$, $0.185 \sim 0.156$ and $0.236 \sim 0.081$ mg kg⁻¹, respectively.

Health risk assessment studies were performed. Risk quotient (RQ) is among the most frequently used factors for pesticides residues risk assessment. Because of the higher residues level, RQ was determined as part of safety assessment. The results showed no significant potential risk to human health from the tested pesticide residue on cucumber and strawberry. Application of the pesticide following national GAP through conducting the supervised residue trials would provide the residue

amounts required for setting the MRL and supporting the risk assessment process. These results would be considered as important references for monitoring and assessing the quality safety of agricultural products and protecting consumer health.

Currently, nanoparticles have drawn great interest in water treatment, removing substantial part in water contaminants. In analogy for these newly emerging practices the present work has also meant to study the feasibility of using alumina and silica nanoparticles for removal of azoxystrobin from aqueous solution. The effects of experimental parameters, such as temperature of solution, adsorbent dosage, contact time and initial azoxystrobin concentration on the removal efficiency of azoxystrobin were studied. The morphology and special chemical characteristics of novel prepared nanoparticles was examined by the help of SEM, DLS, and XRD studies. The results revealed that, the percentage removal of azoxystrobin was directly proportional with the temperature and the removal percentage efficiency of both adsorbent is increased as the temperature was raised. The best temperature for the removal of azoxystrobin was 40 °C. The optimum dose of both adsorbents to remove azoxystrobin was 50 mg. The results showed that, both adsorbent could be used for five-cycles of adsorption-desorption of azoxystrobin, which suggested that the synthesised nanoparticles shows good stability and performance. Finally, from our study we can concluded that the manufacturing nanoparticles considered as good and promise tools for adsorption removal of azoxystrobin from polluted water solution.