

**Al-Azhar University (Girls Branch)**  
**Faculty of Science**  
**Zoology and Entomology Department**



# **"Studies on some entomopathogenic fungi isolates as bio-control agent against certain piercing sucking pests"**

**A THESIS**

**Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement  
for the Award of Doctor of Philosophy in Entomology**

**By**

**Entesar Nahed Sayed Mohammed Haron**

**B.Sc. (Entomology), Faculty of Science,  
Ain Shams University**

**M.Sc. (Entomology), Faculty of Science,  
Al-Azhar University(Girls Branch).**

**Under supervision of**

**Prof. Dr. Afaf Abd El-Wahab**

**Professor of Entomology, Faculty of Science  
Al-Azhar University (Girls Branch)**

**Prof. Dr. Magdy Abd El-Azeim Ahmed**

**Professor, Piercing & Sucking Insects Dept.,  
Plant Protection Research Institute Dept.,  
Plant Protection**

**Dr. Manal Elsayed Abdelaziz Elshaier**

**Assistant Professor of Entomology,  
Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University  
(Girls Branch).**

**Dr. Sahar Sayed Ali**

**Assistant Professor of Economic  
Entomology, (Biological Control), Plant  
Protection Research Institute.**

**To**

**Department of Zoology and Entomology  
Faculty of Science , Al-Azhar University(Girls)**

**2020**

# CONTENTS

Subject	NO.
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1. Economic importance of aphid and mite pests</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1. Economic importance of aphid pests	<b>3</b>
1.2. Economic importance of mite	<b>4</b>
<b>2. Isolation of entomopathogenic fungi</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3. Entomopathogenic fungi as biocontrol agent</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1. Entomopathogenic fungi recorded against aphid	<b>9</b>
3.2. Entomopathogenic fungi recorded against spider mite	<b>13</b>
<b>4. Insecticidal activity of secondary metabolites of entomopathogenic fungi</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>5. Scanning electron microscope</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>6. Applied of entomopathogenic fungi in the field</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>1. Isolation and identification of fungi</b>	<b>31</b>
1.1. From soil	<b>31</b>
1.1.1 Collection of soil samples	<b>31</b>
1.1.2. Rearing <i>Galleria mellonella</i> L, Greater wax	<b>32</b>

Subject	NO.
moth	
1.1.3. The isolation of the entomopathogenic fungus by using <i>Galleria</i> bait method	33
1.2. Isolation of fungi from insect	35
1.3. purification of entomopathogenic fungi	35
1.4. Identification of isolates	36
<b>2. Rearing of tested pests</b>	<b>37</b>
2.1. <i>Schizaphis graminum</i> (Rondani)	37
2.2. <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> (Koch)	38
<b>3. Laboratory evaluation virulence of the entomopathogenic fungi</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1. Inoculum production of entomopathogenic fungal isolates	39
3.2. Bioassay	40
3.2.1 Bioassay against Aphid	40
3.2.2. Bioassay against <i>T. urticae</i>	42
<b>4. Insecticidal activity of metabolites</b>	<b>43</b>
4.1. Extraction of fungal metabolites	43
4.2. Evaluation Insecticidal activity of fungal metabolites	44
<b>5. Scanning electron microscopy examination:</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>6. Field experiment</b>	<b>45</b>
6.1. Treatments	46

<b>Subject</b>	<b>NO.</b>
6.2. Production of effective spores	47
6.3. Experimental Design	48
<b>7. Data analysis</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>RESULTS</b>	
<b>1. Isolation and identification of fungi</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>2. Virulence of entomopathogenic isolates</b>	<b>51</b>
2.1. Virulence of entomopathogenic isolates against <i>S. graminum</i>	55
2.2. Virulence of entomopathogenic isolates against <i>T. Urticae</i> :	64
<b>3. Insecticidal activity of fungal metabolites:</b>	<b>73</b>
3.1. Insecticidal activity of fungal metabolites against <i>S. graminum</i>	73
3.2. Insecticidal activity of fungal metabolites Against <i>T. urticae</i>	89
<b>4. Scanning electron microscopy of entomopathogenic</b>	<b>106</b>
4.1. <i>B. bassiana</i>	106
4.2. <i>M. anisopliae</i>	108
<b>5. Field experiment</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	
<b>1. Isolation and identification of fungi</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>2. Virulence of entomopathogenic isolates</b>	<b>117</b>

<b>Subject</b>	<b>NO.</b>
2.1 Virulence of entomopathogenic isolates against <i>S. graminum</i>	<b>117</b>
2.2. Virulence of entomopathogenic isolates against <i>T. urticae</i>	<b>120</b>
<b>3. Insecticidal activity of crude extracts against <i>S. graminum</i> and <i>T. urticae</i></b>	<b>121</b>
<b>4. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) observations on <i>S. graminum</i></b>	<b>124</b>
<b>5. Field experiment on wheat crop</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>128</b>
<b>REFFRENCES</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	

**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Table No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
(1)	Location of soils samples.	<b>32</b>
(2)	Fungi isolates.	<b>52</b>
(3)	Entomopathogenic isolates.	<b>53</b>
(4)	Mortality% of <i>S. graminum</i> treated with series concentrations of (B1, B2, M1 and M2) isolates after seven days of treatment.	<b>56</b>
(5)	LC <sub>50</sub> and mortality means between (B1, B2, M1 and M2) isolates on <i>S. graminum</i> .	<b>60</b>
(6)	LT <sub>50</sub> of (B1, B2, M1 and M2) isolates against <i>S. graminum</i> .	<b>62</b>
(7)	Mortality % of <i>T. urticae</i> treated with series concentrations of (B1, B2, M1 and M2) after seven days of treatment.	<b>65</b>
(8)	LC <sub>50</sub> and mortality means between (B1, B2, M1 and M2) isolates on <i>T. urticae</i> adult.	<b>69</b>
(9)	LT <sub>50</sub> among (B1, B2, M1 and M2) isolates on <i>T. urticae</i> .	<b>71</b>
(10)	Mortality means of B1 crude at different concentrations on <i>S. graminum</i> during interval time.	<b>74</b>
(11)	Mortality means of B2 crude at different concentrations on <i>S. graminum</i> during interval time.	<b>77</b>

---



---

## LIST OF TABLES

---

<b>Table No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
(12)	Mortality means of M1 crude at different concentrations on <i>S. graminum</i> during interval time.	<b>80</b>
(13)	Mortality means of M2 crude at different concentrations on <i>S. graminum</i> during interval time.	<b>83</b>
(14)	LC <sub>50</sub> and mortality means between (B1, B2, M1 and M2) crudes on <i>S. graminum</i> .	<b>86</b>
(15)	Comparison between filtrate toxicity of B1, B2, M1 and M2 fungal isolates verses different interval of times (days) treated <i>S. graminum</i> at 100% crude/ml.	<b>88</b>
(16)	Table ( 16) Mortality means of B1 crude at different concentrations on <i>T. urticae</i> within interval time.	<b>91</b>
(17)	Mortality means of (B2) crude at different concentrations on <i>T. urticae</i> within interval time .	<b>94</b>
(18)	Mortality means of (M1) crude at different concentrations on <i>T. urticae</i> within interval time.	<b>97</b>
(19)	Mortality means of (M2) crude at different concentrations against <i>T. urticae</i> within interval time .	<b>100</b>
(20)	LC <sub>50</sub> and mortality means between ( B1, B2, M1 and M2 ) crudes on <i>T. urticae</i> .	<b>102</b>

---

## LIST OF TABLES

---

(21)	Comparison between filtrate toxicity of (B1, B2, M1 and M2) fungal isolates verses different interval of times (days) treated <i>T. urticae</i> at 100% crude/ml.	<b>104</b>
(22)	Reduction % of aphid population after spraying treatments at different intervals days.	<b>111</b>
(23)	Effect of treatments on population mean counts of the cereal aphid infesting wheat plants under field conditions at different intervals.	<b>112</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Subject	Page No.
1	Rearing <i>Galleria mellonella</i> L	33
2	Trapping fungus by <i>Galleria</i> bait method	34
3	Looking fungal colonies developed.	36
4	Infestation of wheat plants by <i>Schizaphis graminum</i>	38
5	Rearing of <i>T. urticae</i> on mulberry leaves.	39
6	Punctured Eppendorf Technique (PETT)	41
7	Bioassay method of <i>T. urticae</i> .	43
8	Mass production of entomopathogenic fungi.	48
9	Aphids/ tiller	50
10	LC <sub>50</sub> regression lines of (B1, B2, M1 and M2) isolates on <i>S. graminum</i>	61
11	LT <sub>50</sub> regression lines of (B1, B2, M1 and M2) isolates at concentration $1 \times 10^8$ spores/ml on <i>S. graminum</i> .	63
12	LC <sub>50</sub> regression lines of entomopathogenic isolates (B1, B2, M1 and M2) against adult <i>T. urticae</i> .	70
13	LT <sub>50</sub> regression lines of (B1, B2, M1 and M2) isolates at concentration $1 \times 10^8$ spores/ml on <i>T. urticae</i>	72
14	LC <sub>50</sub> regression lines of (B1, B2, M1 and M2) crudes on <i>S. graminum</i> .	86
15	LC <sub>50</sub> regression lines of (B1, B2, M1 and M2) crudes against <i>T. urticae</i> .	103

## **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

*Schizaphis graminum* (Rondani) and *Tetranychus urticae* Koch are considered as dangerous serious pests all over the world; because they have a wide host range, and they transmit plant pathogenic viruses. As a result of wide spread application of chemical control and their harmful impact of natural enemies and environment, there was a necessitate for using a modern types of insecticides which have different modes of action that are effective, much safer to human and ecosystem and could be useful as alternatives for the integrated management approach. Entomopathogenic fungi are considered most important elements of microbial control agents.

The present study aimed to studying the following points:-

1. Introduce some natural microorganism, like entomopathogenic fungi as alternative trends of pesticides.
2. Identify the isolated entomopathogenic fungi at least at the generic level.
3. Determine the pathogenicity of a native isolated entomopathogenic against adult stage of *S. graminum* and *T. urticae*.
4. Evaluate insecticidal activity of isolates metabolites against target pests.
5. Study mode of action of entomopathogenic fungi.

6. Compared virulence of isolated entomopathogenic fungi and commercial bio-insecticide to conventional insecticides control under field condition.

### **1. Isolation and identification of fungi:**

Studies were carried out at some locations and crops to search occurrence of entomopathogenic fungi in Egyptian soils by using *Galleria* bite method. The obtained results revealed the presence of four entomopathogenic fungi were *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* isolates (B1, B2, M1 and M2) and non entomopathogenic isolates.

### **2. Virulence of fungi isolates:**

All isolates (B1, B2, M1 and M2) have pathogenicity against adult stages of *S. graminum* and *T. urticae*. Mortality% reached its maximum after 7 days.

The  $LC_{50}$  value of B1 was  $3.11 \times 10^6$  spores/ml while M1, M2 and B2 revealed greater  $LC_{50}$  values ( $6.09 \times 10^6$ ,  $2.32 \times 10^7$  and  $1.15 \times 10^8$ ) spores/ml, respectively against aphid. The data showed that isolate B1 caused high mortality in shortest time,  $LT_{50}$  value was 3.04 days. While for the other isolates M2, B2 and M1 the  $LT_{50}$  values were 3.37, 3.70 and 3.95 days, respectively. No significant between isolates against *S. graminum*.

While against *T. urticae*. B2 was most effective, LC<sub>50</sub> for B1, B2, M1 and M2 were ( $4.9 \times 10^8$ ,  $2.86 \times 10^7$ ,  $2.75 \times 10^9$  and  $4.58 \times 10^8$ ) spores/ml, respectively. The obtained results showed that isolate B2 caused high mortality in shortest time, LT<sub>50</sub> value was 3.57 days. While, for the other isolates M2, B1 and M1 the LT<sub>50</sub> values were 9.24, 10.16 and 23.17 days. Significant levels were between isolates.

### **3. Evaluation insecticidal activity of metabolites**

The results shown that four tested metabolic crudes were toxic to *S. graminum* and mortality percentage increases by the increasing concentration of crude extract and time. The highest effective toxin was of (M1) followed by (B1), (M2) and then (B2). The mortality at the sixth day of concentration 100% crude/ml were 92.04, 73, 63.3 and 64.83 % for M1, B1, B2 and M2, respectively. LC<sub>50</sub> value of M1 was 23.48 crude/ml while B1, M2 and B2 revealed greater LC<sub>50</sub> (80.45, 105.39 and 133.5) crude/ml, respectively.

Also, four tested metabolic crudes were toxic to *T. urticae* and mortality percentage increases by the increasing concentration and time of crude extract. Also, the highest effective toxin was of M1, followed by B2, M2 then B1. The mortality at seventh day and for concentration 100% crude/ml were (85.16, 76.12, 73.81 and 61.79) % for M1, B2, M2 and B1,

respectively. The  $LC_{50}$  value of M1 was 45.67crude/ml while M2, B2 and B1 revealed greater  $LC_{50}$  value, 50.92, 62.47 and 101.65 crude/ml, respectively.

Generally, the highest values of mortality were in the M1 treatment. Indicated that were significant levels of effect between different fungal crude M1, M2, B1and B2 and between concentration within days and treatments on two pests.

#### **4. Scanning electron microscope**

Scanning electron microscopy are convenient tools allowed us to observe adhesion and penetration structures *S. graminum* infected with *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae*. The results of SEM described the development cycle of fungus on *S. graminum*. Conidia were adhered and germination started on surface forming special structural appressoria and germ tube within 24hours post-infection. The phase of host colonization occurred between 72 and 120 hours, and most of the aphid died between 72 and 120 hours after inoculation. Both *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* are able to colonize and infect the *S. graminum*, finally death of infected aphid.

#### **5. Experiment of Field**

The present study tested direct spray effect of treatments (Malathion, *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, Biossiana and Bio-Meta) against cereal aphidas compared with untreated control on wheat

crop. The higher effect was obtained by the treatment Malathion 57%. The two tested fungi *B. bassiana* (B1) and *M. anisopliae* (M1) were effective in controlling cereal aphid at concentration of  $1 \times 10^8$  spores/ml reduced aphid population from third day until 10 days of treatment. Commercial bio-insecticide; Biossiana and Bio-Meta also, reduced aphid population. It is clear that all tested materials were highly significantly effective as compared with control.

Therefore, it could be concluded that using such entomopathogenic fungi (*B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae*) and its botanical extracts (secondary metabolites) fungi had strong insecticidal activities against cereal aphid and two spotted spider mite. The insecticidal activities were time and dose-dependent and may be useful in a manner for reducing the hazards and harmful effect of that pests Also, the study attempts to applied Entomopathogenic fungi in open field condition. Therefore, the study attempts to elucidate if it is possible to rationalize the use of such tested materials via integrated pest management (IPM) programs to control cereal and mite.