



Menoufia University
Faculty of Agriculture
Department of Soil Science



EMPLOYING MODERN TECHNIQUES IN STUDYING SOIL AND WATER RESOURCES IN SELECTED AREA OF NORTH WESTERN COASTAL REGIONS, EGYPT

By

MOHAMED SAMIR MOHAMED ABOU-ALFOTOH

B.Sc. Agricultural Sciences (Soil Science) Menoufia University., 2008

M.Sc. Agricultural Sciences (Soil Science) Menoufia University, 2014

A Thesis

*Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.)*

IN

**Agricultural Science
(Soil Science)**

Supervision Committee:

Prof. Dr.

El-Husieny Abd El-Ghaffar Abou Hussien
Professor of Soil Chemistry, Dept. of Soil
Sci., Faculty of Agric., Menoufia University

Prof. Dr.

Mohamed Ismail Sayed Ahmed
Professor, Head of RS and GIS unit,
Soils, Water and Envir. Res. Institute.,
Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

Dr. Wail. M. Omran

Associate Professor of Soil Physics, Dept. of Soil Sci.,
Faculty of Agric., Menoufia University.

2020

CONTENTS	
SUBJECT	PAGE NO.
I. LIST OF TABLES	IV - V
II. List of Figures	VI - VII
III. List of Abbreviations and acronyms	VIII - IX
1. INTRODUCTION.	1
2-REVIEW OF LITERATURES.	6-35
2.1. Location of the study area	6
2.2. Metrological data of the study area	6
2.3. Geology of the study area	10
2.3.1. Tertiary	10
2.3.1.1. Miocene sediments	10
2.3.1.2. Pliocene sediments	11
2.3.2. Quaternary deposits	12
2.4. Geomorphologic Settings	13
2.4.1. The Beaches	13
2.4.2. Oolitic Limestone Ridges	13
2.4.3. Structural karstified platforms	14
2.4.4. Lakes, Lagoons and Sabkhas	14
2.4.5. Coastal Depressions	14
2.4.6. Secondary/Minor (Escarpments)	15
2.4.7. Conical outliers (Hills)	16
2.4.8. Drainage Lines	16
2.5. Water Resources	16
2.5.1. Surface water storage:	17
2.5.2. Ground water	18
2.6. Natural Vegetation	19
2.7. Soil Properties	20
2.7.1. Physical properties	21
2.7.2. Chemical properties	26
2.8. Soil Classification	31
2.9. Land Evaluation	32
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	36- 53
3.1. Satellite image	36
3.2. Topographic maps	36

CONTENTS .(continued)	
SUBJECT	PAGE NO.
3.3. Softwares	36
3.4. Equipments	37
3.5. Office work	38
3.5.1. Image and map projection	38
3.5.2. Remote Sensing App (RSA)	38
3.5.2.1. Layer stacking	38
3.5.2.2. Preprocessing	38
3.5.2.3. Image enhancement	40
3.5.2.4. Image classification	40
3.5.3. GIS Application	41
3.5.3.1. Scanning the maps	41
3.5.3.2. Georeferencing maps	41
3.5.3.3. Digitizing	41
3.5.3.4. Generating DEM	42
3.5.3.5. The geomorphic and soil map	42
3.6. Field Work	45
3.7. Laboratory Analyses	45
3.7.1. Physical analyses	46
3.7.2. Chemical analyses	47
3.8. Soil Taxonomy	48
3.9. Land Evaluation	48
3.10. Hydrological Characteristics	51
3.10.1. Hydro Processing in Arc GIS	51
3.10.2. Morphometric parameters	52
3.10.3. Rainfall – Runoff model of El - Harak basin	52
3.10.4. The HEC-1 Module parameters were setup as follows	53
4 .RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	54-113
4. 1. DEM of the Study Area	54
4.2. Visual Interpretation	54
4.3. Geomorphic Map	56
4.3.1. Coastal plain unit	57
4.3.1.1. Sand Beach	57

CONTENTS (continued)	
SUBJECT	PAGE NO.
4.3.1.2. Bar	58
4.3.1.3. Lagoon	60
4.3.1.4. Dunes	62
4.3.1.5. Out Wash plain	62
4.3.2. Northern Plateau	64
4.3.2.1. Plateau	64
4.3.2.2. Coarse Valley	68
4.3.2.3. Rocky Area	70
4.3.3. Southern Plateau	71
4.3.3.1. Plateau	71
4.3.3.2. Rocky Area	76
4.3.4. Lybian Plateau	76
4.3.4.1. Plateau	77
4.3.4.2. Rocky Area	79
4.4. Land Evaluation	80
4.4.1. Land suitability for annual rainfed	80
4.4.2. Land suitability for drip irrigation vegetables	87
4.4.3. Land suitability for drip irrigation trees	89
4.5. Water resources	91
4.5.1. Hydrology of the study area	91
4.5.2. El Hraka basin (Case Study)	94
4.5.2.1. Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	94
4.5.2.2. Hydrological parameters by hydrology tools in Arc GIS software	94
4.5.2.3. Hydrological Modeling and Flood Response Analysis by HEC-GeoHMS tool in Arc GIS Software:	96
4.5.3. Watershed modeling of El-Hraka basin	97
4.5.4. Water harvesting techniques	107
5.SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	110
6.Reference	114-132
7. APPENDICES	133
-Arabic Summary	1

I. LIST OF TABLES		
		PAGE NO.
Table (2 - 1)	The meteorological data (2001-2015) in the Matrouh region.	8
Table (3 - 1)	Summary of the geoform classification system, (Zinck et al., 2016).	43
Table (3 - 2)	Land use Requirements for Land Utilization types using modified criteria according to stakeholders consultation.	50
Table (3 - 3)	Erosion suitability criteria (erosion type and severity class) for different land utilization types.	51
Table (4 - 1)	Main geomorphic units of the study area.	57
Table (4 - 2)	Legend of detailed geomorphic units for the study area.	59
Table (4 - 3)	Weight average of physical and chemical properties of the study soil profiles.	81-85
Table (4 - 4)	Land suitability classes for field crops (annual rainfed).	86
Table (4 - 5)	Land suitability classes for drip irrigation vegetables.	88
Table (4 - 6)	Land suitability classes for drip irrigation trees.	90
Table (4 - 7)	drainage density classes of the study area.	97
Table (4 - 8)	Some morphometric parameters of the study basins calculated by WMS software.	100
Table (4 - 9)	Hydrologic soil groups, Infiltration Rate classes and Soil Texture.	102
Table (4 -10)	Calculate average of CN value for El Hraka Basin.	103
Table (4 -11)	Calculated annual runoff water and infiltration volumes in the study basin.	105
Table (4 -12)	Rainfall intensity values for different return periods	106
Table (4 -13)	Rainfall-Runoff data of El Hraka basin.	108

LIST OF TABLES		
		PAGE NO.
Table (7 – 1)	Weight average of Particle size distribution (%), soil texture as well as calcium carbonate and gypsum content in the study soil profiles representing the soil landforms	
Table (7 – 2)	Weight average of Bulk density, particles density, porosity and hydraulic conductivity of the soil profiles representing the soil landforms.	
Table (7 - 3)	Weight average of Moisture content (%) by volume of the study soil profiles representing the soil landforms.	
Table (7 – 4)	Weight average of Chemical properties for the study soil profiles representing the soil landforms.	

II. List of Figures		
		PAGE NO.
Fig (2 - 1)	Location of the study area.	6
Fig (2 - 2)	Mean, maximum, and minimum annual temperature (°C) of Matrouh station (2001-2015).	8
Fig (2 - 3)	The mean Annual precipitation (mm).	9
Fig (2 - 4)	Geological map of the study area (CONOCO, 1986).	11
Fig (2 - 5)	Geomorphology map of the study area (EGSMA; NARSS; UNDP and UNESCO, 2005).	15
Fig (2 - 6)	Vegetation cover in the study area, November (2018).	20
Fig (3 – 1)	Topographic maps of the study area (scale 1:25000).	37
Fig (3 – 3)	Contour lines of the study area.	42
Fig (3 – 4)	Spot heights of the study area.	42
Fig (3 – 5)	Flow chart showing various methods used to produce geomorphic and soil map.	44
Fig (3 – 6)	Location of soil profiles in the study area.	45
Fig (4 - 1)	DEM of the study area.	54
Fig (4 - 2)	False colour composite (Bands 4, 3, 2) of the study area.	55
Fig (4 - 3)	Main geomorphic units of the study area.	56
Fig (4 - 4)	Detailed geomorphic units map of the study area.	58
Fig (4- 5)	Land suitability classes for field crops (annual rainfed).	86
Fig (4 - 6)	Land suitability classes for drip irrigation vegetables.	88
Fig (4 - 7)	Land suitability classes for drip irrigation trees	90
Fig (4 - 8a)	Fill sinks for the study area.	93
Fig (4 - 8b)	Flow direction for the study area.	93
Fig (4 - 8c)	Flow accumulation for the study area.	93
Fig (4 - 8d)	Stream order for the study area.	93
Fig (4 - 8e)	Drainage lines for the study area.	93
Fig (4 - 8f)	Catchment areas for the study area.	93
Fig (4 - 8g)	Watershed areas for the study area.	93
Fig (4 - 9)	DEM of El Hraka basin	94
Fig (4 - 10a)	Slope classes.	95
Fig (4 - 10b)	Flow direction.	95
Fig (4 - 10c)	Flow accumulation.	95

List of Figures		
		PAGE NO.
Fig (4 –10d)	Catchment area.	95
Fig (4 – 10e)	Stream order.	95
Fig (4 – 10f)	Sub basin and optimal cisterns.	95
Fig (4 –10g)	Watershed area.	95
Fig (4-11)	Drainage density of the study basin.	97
Fig (4 - 12)	Schematic diagram of HEC-HMS Model Structure at El Hraka basin.	98
Fig (4- 13a)	The drainage lines and basin boundaries of the study area produced from Arc GIS (a).	100
Fig (4- 13b)	The drainage lines and basin boundaries of the study area produced from WMS model (b).	100
Fig (4 - 14)	Land use/ Land cover map.	103
Fig (4 - 15)	Hydrologic soil group map of El Hraka basin.	103
Fig (4 - 16)	Probability of occurrence of annual rainfall data.	106
Fig (4 - 17)	The predicted rainfall-runoff values of different return periods for El Hraka.	108

5-SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The objective of this work is to study the land and water resources for selected area in the North Western Coastal Zone of Egypt for sustainable agricultural development.

This study included following:

- 1- Identification of the geomorphic units in the study area by using the digital elevation model, planet image and ground truth data.
- 2- Studying the pedological characteristics (morphology, physical, chemical and classification) of the study area.
- 3- Using a qualitative approach to land evaluation developed in this study following principles and guidelines of **FAO (1983)**.
- 4- Generating basins and sub basins area by the integration of RS/GIS technologies through geostatistical analysis and hydrological model.
- 5- The study aimed also to predict annual peak flow and runoff water volume, as well as for the 20, 10, 5, 3, and 2year return periods.

The study area is extending from Minqar Alousran at the east to Beer Qaaem at the west, Marsa Matrouh at the north to Jable Khaled at the south. The total studied area is about 345563.52 Fadden.

Ninety eight (98) soil profiles were selected representing the geomorphic units. One hundred and ninety-one (191) soil samples, were collected, morphologically descried and subjected to soil physical and chemical analyses.

The GIS approach was used to assessment land evaluation according their suitability for different agricultural use.

Hydrological characteristics (e.g. flow direction, flow accumulation, watersheds and stream orders) for the study area and El-Harak Basin (as case study) were extracted from DEM by using the Arc

hydro and hydrology tools in ArcGIS. The morphometric characteristics (e.g. area, basin slope, basin length, maximum stream length, perimeter, shape factor and sinuosity factor) were obtained by using WMS software. The HEC-1 model in WMS software was used to simulate the rainfall-runoff process as it occurs in an actual river basin.

Four main geomorphic units were identified namely: Coastal Plain (10.98%), Northern Plateau (29.38%), Southern Plateau (43.32%) and Lybian Plateau (10.80%).

The results could be summarized as follows:

- The soils ranged from very shallow to very deep (15 to 135 cm depth). The dominant soil texture is between sandy clay loam to sand and loamy sand. These soils are moderately to extremely calcareous have CaCO_3 5.5 up to 42.41 %. The gypsum content is generally low. These soils have low to high bulk density (1.21 to 1.68 g/cm^3). The total porosity ranged from 36.66 to 54.34%. Hydraulic conductivity values of these soils are moderately high to high (0.79 to 14.03 cm/hour). Field capacity, wilting point and available water values in the soil were varying between 9.82 and 38.8 %, between 3.30 and 18.35 % and between 4.94 and 20.84 %, respectively.
- These soils are slightly to strongly alkaline with pH 7.56 to 8.82 and varied from non to strongly saline soils with EC 0.63 to 72.19 dS/m. CEC varies between 3.54 and 29.53 cmol/kg soil. These soils have slightly sodicity. The OM is very low.
- The studied soils in the geomorphic units are classified according to **soil survey staff (2014)** as follow: Lithic Haplocalcids, Typic Haplocalcids, Typic Torriorthents, Gypsic Aquisalids, Typic Calcigypsid, Lithic Torriorthents and Oxyaquic Torripsammments.

- Land evaluation for the studied soils was carried out according their suitability: for annual rainfed (field crops), for drip irrigation vegetables and for drip irrigation trees.
- Land suitability for annual rainfed (field crops) indicated that, the most of studied soil 62.47 % were (NS) for this agricultural use. The marginally suitable (S3) soils covered 25.33 % followed by the moderately suitable area (S2) that has only 2.30 %.
- Land suitability for drip irrigation vegetables indicated that, the most of studied soil 51.26 % were (S3) for this agricultural use. The not suitable (NS) soils covered 34.08 % followed by the moderately suitable area (S2) that has only 4.67 %.
- Land suitability for drip irrigation tree showed that, the most of studied soil 83.75 % were (NS) for this agricultural use. The marginally suitable (S3) soils covered 4.10 % followed by the moderately suitable area (S2) that has only 2.25 %.

Water resources and harvesting:

- The study area contains 17 basins, those basins areas are ranged between 23.95 km² and 422.4 km².The main basins in the study area are El-Qassaba (164 km²), El-Hraka (178.31 km²), El-Zaraka (422.43 km²), El-Qalaleeb (66.9 km²), El-Naghamish (93.64 km²), El-Khair (101.28 km²) and El-Nodia (145.52 km²).
- The Curve Number (CN) of the study basin depending on the land use / land cover and the hydrologic soil groups. Its average values are 73.67 for El-Hraka basin. The annual runoff water volumes are 10583.29 m³. However, the annual infiltration volumes are 8195.01m³.
- The peak flow of the 20 year return period flash flood is 29.24m³/s for the study basin, while the value for the 10 year return period flash flood is 17.98 m³/s. The peak flow for the 5 year return period reaches 9.50

m^3/s for the 3 year return period peak flow is $3.78 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, while the 2 year return period peak flow is $0.75 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$.

- The runoff volume of the 20 year return-period is 2777883.3 m^3 , while for the 10 year return period is 1713298.9 m^3 . The Runoff volume for the 5 year return-period reaches 906578.6m^3 ; and for the 3 year return period runoff volume is 359883m^3 , while the 2 year return period is 69989.4 m^3 for the study basin.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

1. Using modern irrigation systems and the reduction of irrigation periods to avoid the soil crust formation caused by the calcareous soil.
2. According to results, drip irrigation system for vegetables showed to be more suitable than drip irrigation system for trees and Rainfed annual (field crops) in the studied area. As a result, the drip irrigation in arid and semi-arid regions appeared to be the most appropriate irrigation and ensures the sustainable use of the land for irrigation agriculture.
3. The results of study evidence the successful employment of a new methodology based on WMS, HEC-1, and DEM which lead to a good estimation of surface runoff.
4. Using advanced water harvesting techniques for growing crops or for rehabilitation and development of rangelands, where rainfall is inadequate for rainfed agriculture and irrigation water is lacking. The stony dams construct across the drainage basins, and man- made underground storage cisterns or galleries for collected the surface runoff, whereas the surface runoff remainder is going into the sea.
5. The integrated methodology of this study could be considered as a ready module for applying at different locations and represents a significant participatory management tool for rainfed agriculture in Egypt.