

Cairo University Faculty of veterinary medicine



# Pathological and Immunological Studies on Bacterial Diseases in Oreochromis Fish Species with Emphasis on Vaccination Trials

A Thesis submitted by

### Islam Elgohary Ahmed Elgohary

(BVSc, Cairo University, 2011; MVSc, Cairo University, 2016)

For the degree of the Ph.D

Pathology (General, Special and Post mortem)

Under Supervision of

### Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Aly Mahmoud Mohamed

Professor of Pathology, Faculty of veterinary medicine, Cairo university

Chief Researcher of Pathology, Animal Health Research institute, Dokki, Giza

Dr. Nasser Gamal Eldin Mohamed Fadel

### Prof. Dr. Alaa Eldin Abdel Mouty Eissa

Professor of Aquatic Animal medicine and managment, Faculty of veterinary medicine, Cairo university

### Dr. Jehan Ibrahim Abd Elatif Ibrahim

Senior Researcher of Fish Diseases, Animal Health Research institute, Dokki, Giza



**Cairo University** 

Faculty of veterinary medicine



#### **Department of pathology**

Name: Islam Elgohary Ahmed Elgohary

Date and place of birth: 21-3-1989, Cairo

Nationality: Egyptian

#### Title of thesis:" Pathological and Immunological Studies on Bacterial Diseases in Oreochromis Fish Species with Emphasis on Vaccination Trials"

Scientific degree: Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D)

Supervisiors:

#### Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Aly Mahmoud Mohamed

Professor of Pathology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Egypt.

Prof. Dr. Alaa Eldin Abdel Mouty Mohamed Eissa

Professor of Aquatic Animal Medicine and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Egypt.

#### Dr. Nasser Gamal Eldin Mohamed Fadel

Chief Researcher of Pathology, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

#### Dr. Jehan Ibrahim Abd Elatif Ibrahim

Senior Researcher of Fish diseases, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

#### Abstract

Our study is divided into two main parts; the first part was a survey for one year and determination the prevalence rate of bacterial microorganisms affecting some fish farms in El fayoum and El sharkia governorates. These farms showed signs of septicemia with a history of 20 -25% recorded mortalities during the period from autumn 2018 to summer 2019. In postmortem examination, the affected fish showed loosed scales, ulceration in the dorsal part of the head, petechial hemorrhages on the body surface, unilateral exophthalmia, and corneal opacity together with congested blood vessels of nearly all fleshy portions of the body fins. Internal examination of the viscera showed congestion of the blood vessels in the kidneys and brain together with dark enlarged spleen while the liver was marbled in appearance. Ninety fish samples (representing 10% of the fishes showing clinical signs) were collected from such fish farms for histopathological and bacteriological examination. *Aerococcus viridans* was isolated from El Fayoum and El Sharkia governorates in rates of 7.7% and 15.9% respectively throughout the year while *Enterococcus faecalis* was isolated with a rate of 34.6 and 39.02 respectively. The prevalence rate of *Aeromonas hydrophila* was

42.3% in El fayoum governorate and 13.4 % in El sharkia governorate while Vibrio vulnificus was recorded 0 % in El fayoum governorate and 7.3 % in El sharkia governorate, Proteus vulgaris was 3.8 in El fayoum governorate and 12.2% in El sharkia governorate. Pseudomonas aeruginosa was 11.5% in El fayoum governorate and 12.2% in El sharkia governorate. The seasonal prevalence rates were analyzed in both locations throughout the year. In antibiotic sensitivity testing, the A.viridans and *E.faecalis* showed resistance to ampicillin, oxolinic acid, nalidixic acid, amikacin, and gentamicin but they were sensitive to amoxicillin and nitrofurantoin. E. faecalis exhibited resistance to erythromycin and oxytetracycline while A. viridans was sensitive to them. Experimental infection and re-isolation of both bacterial isolates in O. niloticus was performed to confirm the field isolation and for studying the pathogenicity of such microorganisms in this fish species. The Gram negative bacteria were showing resistance against erythromycin except Vibrio vulnificus that showed sensitivity. Aeromonas hydrophila and Proteus vulgaris showed resistance to colistin sulphate and susceptibility to sulpha-trimethoprime. Vibrio vulnificus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa showed resistance against sulpha-trimethoprime and sensitive against colistin sulphate. In last decade, because of the bacterial diseases in egypatian farms were recorded ahighly increase in Gram positive bacteria and a new isolates were admitted to aquaculture as result of using agriculture drainage water, so part 2 of our study was directed to experimental design to control newly admitted bacterial diseases by using of formalin killed vaccine against the isolated Gram positive strain in our field study (A.viridans and *E.faecalis*). This design were performed by using 84 fish divided in 7 groups (control negative, vaccinated A.viridans, vaccinated E.faecalis, infected A.viridans, infected *E.faecalis*, challenged *A.viridans* and challenged *E.faecalis*); 12 fish / each group. The efficacy of vaccine was evaluated by making WBCs count and lysosome activity which showed marked increase in vaccinated, challenged than in the infected group. The statistical analysis for all parameters used for vaccine evaluation was performed. The parameters included pathological changes, biochemical and hematological aspects as well as immunological aspects to compare between naturally, experimentally infected and vaccinated groups.

Keywords: Bacterial diseases- O .niloticus - vaccine - WBCs -lysosome activity

## List of content

Introduction	1
Review of Literature	4
1. Most common bacterial diseases affect O.niloticus in Egypt	4
1.1. Gram positive bacteria	4
1.1.1. Streptococcus iniae	4
1.1.2. Streptococcus agalactiae	6
1.1.3. Enterococcus faecalis	8
1.1.4. Aerococcus viridans	10
1.2. Gram Negative bacteria	11
1.2.1. Aeromonas hydrophila	11
1.2.2. Vibrio vulnificus	13
1.2.3. Porteus vulgaris	15
1.2.4. pseudomonas aeruginosa	17
2. Fish vaccines	19
3. Fish immune system	24
Paper I	30
Paper II	53
Paper III	84
Discussion	120
Conclusion	129
Summary	130
References	132
الملخص العربي	1

## List of table

# Paper I

Table 1: Phenotypic and Biochemical characterizations of	
A.hydrophila and V.vulnificus using API*20NE	37
<b>Table2:</b> Phenotypic and Biochemical characterizations of P.	
aeruginosa and Proteus vulgaris using API*20NE	38
Table 3: The prevalence rate of A. hydrophila and V. vulnificus	
isolated from four organs of naturally infected O. niloticus during	40
the four seasons	40
<b>Table 4:</b> The prevalence rate of <i>Proteus vulgaris</i> and	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from four organs of naturally	41
infected O. niloticus during the four seasons.	41
<b>Table 5:</b> antibiotic sensitivity test for of A. hidrophila, V.	
vulnificus P. vulgaris, and P. aeruginosa isolated from four organs	40
of naturally infected O. niloticus	42
Paper II	
Table 1: The phenotypic and biochemical characters of both	

	60
isolates were demonstrated	
<b>Table 2:</b> Antibiotic sensitivity of the isolated A. viridans and E.	
fecalis	64

# Paper III

<b>Table 1:</b> The experimental design	101
<b>Table 2:</b> Sampling times during the experiment	101
<b>Table 3:</b> Leukocyte count, protein profile and lysosome activity of	
experimentally infected, vaccinated, challenged and control	107
negative groups of o.niloticus in case of A.viridans	102

## List of table

<b>Table 4</b> : Leukocyte count, protein profile and lysosome activity of	
experimentally infected, vaccinated, challenged and control	
negative groups of o.niloticus in case of E.faecalis	102
<b>Table 1s:</b> Water quality measures during experiment time	103
Table 2s: Mortality and survival rates in O. niloticus fish	
experimentally vaccinated and challenged with <i>A.viridans</i> and <i>E.faecalis</i> in <i>O.niloticus</i>	103
<b>Table 3s:</b> Lesion scoring in spleen and brain in case of <i>A.viridans</i> infection, vaccination and challenge	104
<b>Table 4s:</b> Lesion scoring in liver and kidneys in case of <i>A.viridans</i> infection, vaccination and challenge	105
<b>Table 5s:</b> Lesion scoring in spleen and brain in case of <i>E.faecalis</i> infection, vaccination and challenge	106
<b>Table 6s:</b> Lesion scoring in liver and kidneys in case of <i>E.faecalis</i> infection, vaccination and challenge	107

### **List of Figures**

#### Paper I

35

45

**Fig.1** A) congestion in pectoral and tail fins (black arrows), loss of scales and presence of petechial hemorrhage on body surface (yellow arrows). B) congested kidney (white arrow) and marbled friable liver (red arrows)

Fig.2: Histopathological sections of Oreochromis niloticus naturally infected with Gram negative bacteria collected from El Fayoum governorate showing; A) Congestion of hepatoportal blood vessels (c) surrounded by eosinophilic granular cells (e) and some hepatocytes showing vacuolar degeneration (v). B) hepatocytes showing vacuolar degeneration (v), congestion of hepatoportal blood vessels (c) with melanin pigment deposition between hepatocytes (me). C) Deposition of hemosiderin pigment (h) in the tissue of liver which stained positive, appear blue color, by Prussian blue. D) Necrosis in hepatopancreatic cells (n), congestion of hepatopancreatic blood vessels (c) surround by eosinophilic granular cells (e), in 44 addition to vacuolar degeneration in hepatocytes (v). E) Thickening in wall of blood vessels of spleen (t) in addition to depletion of white pulp (d). F) melanin pigment deposition (me) around blood vessels of spleen. G) Hemorrhage (he) between renal tubules with hemosiderin deposition (h). H) Hemorrhage (he) and mononuclear cells infiltration (i) between renal tubules together with necrotic changes (n) in the epithelium, in addition to vacuolar degeneration in epithelium of renal tubules (v) and deposition of melanin pigment (me) between the renal tubules; the glomeruli showed hypercellularity (hy)

**Fig. 3:** Histopathological sections of *Oreochromis niloticus* naturally infected with Gram negative bacteria collected from El Sharkia governorate showing; A) congestion of hepatoportal blood vessels (c). B) Congestion of hepatoportal blood vessels (c) and some hepatocytes showing necrotic changes (n). C) Some hepatocytes showing vacuolar degeneration (v). D) Some hepatocytes showing apoptosis with formation of apoptotic bodies (a), in addition to hemorrhage (he) between hepatocytes. E) Apoptotic hepatocytes (a) positively stained by caspase 3 immunohistochemistry. F) Hepatocytes showing necrotic changes (n) with mononuclear inflammatory cells aggregation (i), in addition to presence of bacteria (b) between hepatocytes. G) Deplation (d) in white pulp with melanin pigment (me) deposition, in addition to necrosis (n) in capsule of spleen. H) renal glomeruli showing

# List of Figures

hypercellularity (hy) and some nuclei of renal tubule epithelium showing pyknosis (p) with	
necrobiotic changes in the epithelium	
Paper II	
<b>Fig. 1:</b> (A) Micrograph showing Gram positive cocci of <i>A. viridans</i> arranged in long chain. B)	
Deep purple colonies (co) of <i>E. fecalis</i> on KF media.	58
<b>Fig. 2:</b> phylogenetic tree of Egyptian bacterial strains affecting Nile tilapia (blue under line)	
(A.viridans and E.faecalis) and other related streptococci.	61
Fig.3. (A) Seasonal prevalence rate of <i>A.viridans</i> from autumn 2018 to summer 2019 in the	
two governorates. (B) Isolation of A.viridans from internal organs of tilapia in El-fayoum	
governorate along the year. (C) Isolation of A.viridans from internal organs of tilapia in El-	
Sharkia governorate along the year. (D) Seasonal prevalence rate of <i>E.faecalis</i> during the	67
period starting from autumn 2018 to summer 2019 in the two governorates. (E) Isolation of	02
<i>E.faecalis</i> from internal organs of tilapia in El-fayoum governorate along the year. (F) Isolation	
of <i>E.faecalis</i> from internal organs of tilapia in El Sharkia governorate along the year.	
Fig. 4: naturally infected fish showing (A) Loss of scales with petechial hemorrhages in lateral	
surface (black arrow), congested pectoral, pelvic anal fins (red arrows). (B) Ulcer in dorsal	
surface of head (white arrow). (C) Congested kidney (blue arrow), marbling appearance of the	65
liver (red arrow). (D) Congested brain (white arrow).	
Fig.5: experimentally infected fishes showing (A) Petechial hemorrhages on dorsal part of the	
body (black arrow), congested pectoral, anal and tail fins (blue arrows) and corneal opacity	
(red arrow). (B) Loss of scales, petechial hemorrhages in lateral part of the body, ulcer in lateral	
surface (blue arrow), congested dorsal, pectoral and tail fins (black arrows). (C) Marbling	65
appearance liver (white arrow), congested kidney (red arrow) and inflamed congested intestine	
(black arrow). (D) Congested brain (white arrow).	
Fig. 6: Histopathological sections of Oreochromis niloticus naturally infected with Gram	
positive bacteria collected from El Fayoum governorate showing; (A) hemorrhages	
accompanied with hemolysis(he) surrounding the area of the melanomacrophage centers (me)	
in the spleen, together with depletion (d) of lymphocytes in white pulp. (B)	
melanomacrophages aggregation (me) surrounding the blood vessels in the spleen. (C)	
Deposition of hemosiderin pigment (hs) in the tissue of spleen which stained positive, appear	67

blue color, by Prussian blue. (D) Vacuolar degeneration (v) in renal tubular epithelium,	
hemorrhage (hg) and mononuclear cells infiltration (mn) between the renal tubules together	
with pyknosis (p) of some nuclei of the renal tubular epithelium showing. (E) Hemorrhage (he)	
and mononuclear cells infiltration (mn) between renal tubules together with necrotic changes	
(n) in the epithelium and deposition of melanin pigment (me) between the renal tubules; the	
glomeruli showed hypercellularity (hy). (F) Liver showing vacuolar degeneration (v) in	
hepatocytes, congestion (c) of hepatoportal blood vessels with aggregation of some	
eosinophilic granular cells (es). (A, B, D, E, F: H&E stain; C Prussian blue stain).	
Fig.S1. (A) Hepatocytes showing vacuolar degeneration (v); congestion (c) in hepatoportal	
blood vessels and hepatic sinusoids and presence of eosinophilic granular cells (es) around the	
congested blood vessel; (H&E stain). (B) hemosiderin pigments deposition (hs) between the	68
hepatocytes; the pigments stained blue by Prussian blue stain.	
Fig. 7: Histopathological sections of Oreochromis niloticus naturally infected with Gram	
positive bacteria collected from El Sharkia governorate showing; (A) congestion of splenic	
blood vessels (c) with depletion (d) of lymphocytes in white pulp together with hemosiderin	
pigments deposition (hs). (B) Hemosiderin pigments (hs) stained positive blue color by	
Prussian blue stain. C) Renal tissue showing; atrophy (a) of some glomeruli and herpercellarity	69
(hy) of others together with deposition of hemosiderin pigments (hs) between renal tubules.	
(D) Hemosiderin pigment (hs) stained blue by Prussian blue stain. (E) Liver showing melanin	
pigments deposition (me) in the area of hepatopancreas. (F) Hemorrhage (hg) in the brain (A,	
C, E, F; H&E stain; B & D., Prussian blue stain).	
Fig.S2. More advanced lesion showing (A) severe congestion (c) of hepato portal blood	
vessels. (B) Vacuolar degeneration (v) of some hepatocytes (H&E stain).	70
Fig. 8: Histopathological sections of <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> experimentally infected with Gram	
positive bacteria showing A) necrosis (n) in the subcapsular tissue of spleen and lymphocytic	
depletion (d) of white pulp together with deposition of melanin pigments. (B) Renal tissue	
showing necrotic changes (n) in the epithelium of renal tubules, hemorrhages (he) and	71
mononuclear cells (mn) infiltration between the renal tubules together with hypercelluarity (hy)	
in some glomeruli. (C) Congestion (c) in hepato portal blood vessels and hepatic sinusoids. (D)	

Brain showing focal aggregation of mononuclear cells (mn). (E) Brain showing intracellular	
edema (v). (F) Congestion (c) of the minute blood capillaries of the brain. (H&E stain).	
<b>Fig.</b> S3. (A) Congestion (c) in splenic blood vessels; (H&E stain). (B) Gram Positive cocci	
(co) were arranged in diplococci found in the spleen. (Gram's stain).	72
Paper III	
Fig.1: A) experimentally infected fish with <i>A.viridans</i> was showing ulcer formation on lateral	
side (u) with congestion of tail and pectoral fins (c). B) experimentally infected fish with	
<i>E.faecalis</i> was showing petechial hemorrhage in lateral side and at the base of anal fin (he)	
with ulcer formation in lateral side (u). C) experimentally infected fish with A.viridans was	108
showing congested kidney (c), liver (co) and congested enlarged spleen (e). D) experimentally	
infected fish with <i>E.faecalis</i> was showing congested kidney (c), congested and enlarged spleen	
(e), marbled liver (m).	
<b>Fig.2</b> : A) apparently normal vaccinated fish. B) normal kidney (n), pale liver (p) and enlarged	100
spleen (e).	108
<b>Fig.3</b> : A) depletion (d) in white pulp of spleen and hemorrhage (hg) in spleen. B) <i>A.viridan</i>	
(a) appeared brown colored in tissue of spleen by immunohistochemistry . C) presence of	
hemorrhage (hg) between renal tubules, hypercellularity (hy) in renal glomeruli and vacuolar	
degeneration (v) in renal tubular epithelium. D) A.viridan (a) appeared brown colored in tissue	109
of kidney by immunohistochemistry. E) vacuolar degeneration (v) in hepatocytes and	
hemorrhage (hg) between hepatocytes. F) congestion (c) of minute blood capillary and	
perivascular edema (e) in the brain.	
<b>Fig.4:</b> A) vacuolar degeneration (v) in renal tubular epithelium with congestion (c) of renal	
blood vessels and hypercellularity (hy) of renal glomeruli. B) A.viridans bacteria not found in	
tissue of kidney by immunohistochemistry. C) congestion of hepatic sinusoids(co) and	
hepatoportal blood vessels (c), vacuolar degeneration in hepatocytes. D) A.viridans bacteria	110
not found in tissue of liver by immunohistochemistry. E) melanin aggregation (me) in spleen	
with depletion (d) of white pulp. F) congestion (c) of minute blood vessels in brain with	
perivascular edema (e).	
<b>Fig.5:</b> A) vacuolar degeneration in renal tubular epithelium (v) and mononuclear infiltration	
between renal tubules (mo). B) presence of A.viridan (a) in renal tissue as brown color by	111

immunohistochemistry C) congestion of hepatic sinuoid (co) and heptoancreatic blood vessels	
(c), necrobiotic changes in hepatopancrease (n) and vacuolar degeneration in hepatocytes (v).	
D) presence of <i>A.viridan</i> (a) in hepatic tissue as brown color by immunohistochemistry. E)	
presence of melanin (me) aggregation and depletion (d) in white pulp of spleen. F) presence of	
vacuolar degeneration (v) in neurons and multiple area of neuronal degeneration (nd).	
Fig.6: A) congestion (c) of splenic blood vessels surrounded by melanin pigment (me) with	
depletion (d) of white pulp. B) <i>E.faecalis</i> (e) in splenic tissue appeared as brown by	
immunohistochemistry. C) vacuolar degeneration (v) in renal tubular epithelium, hemorrhage	
(hg) between renal tubules with severe mononuclear infiltration (mo). D) <i>E.faecalis</i> (e) in renal	112
tissue appeared as brown by immunohistochemistry. E) vacuolar degeneration (v) in	
hepatocytes with necrobiotic changes (n) in heptopancrease and congestion (c) in	
hepatopancreatic blood vessels. F) Severe vacuolar degeneration (v) in neurons.	
<b>Fig.7:</b> A) vacuolar degeneration (v) in renal tubular epithelium, hypercellularity (hy) in renal	
glomeruli and mononuclear infiltration between renal tubules. B) the <i>E.faecalis</i> not appeared	
in renal tissue by immunohistochemistry. C) vacuolar degeneration (v) in hepatocytes and	
congestion (c) in hepatic sinusoids. D) the <i>E.faecalis</i> not appeared in hepatic tissue by	113
immunohistochemistry. E) depletion (d) of whit pulp with melanin aggregates(me). F)	
congestion (c) of minute capillary with perivascular edema (e).	
<b>Fig.8:</b> A) hypercellularity in renal glomeruli (hy) with mononuclear infiltration between renal	
tubules (mo) and vacuolar degeneration (v) in renal. B) <i>E.faecalis</i> (en) was detected in renal	
tissue by immunohistochemistry. C) congestion (c) in hepatic sinusoids, hepatoportal and	
hepatopancreatic blood vessels. D) E.faecalis (en) was detected in hepatic tissue by	114
immunohistochemistry. E) aggregation of melanin pigment (me) around splenic blood vessels	
with depletion (d) of white pulp. F) congestion (c) of minute capillary with perivascular edema	
(e) in brain.	
Fig. 9: A) lesion score in spleen after 3 days of infection by A.viridans and formalin killed	
bacterin. B) lesion score in brain after 3 days of infection by A.viridans and formalin killed	
bacterin. C) lesion score in Liver after 3 days of infection by A.viridans and formalin killed	115
bacterin. D)lesion score in kidney after 3 days of infection by A.viridans and formalin killed	113
bacterin. E) lesion score in spleen after 7 days of infection by A.viridans and formalin killed	

# List of Figures

bacterin and challenged groups. F) lesion score in brain after 7 days of infection by A.viridans	
and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups	
Fig. 10: A) lesion score in Liver after 7 days of infection by A.viridans and formalin killed	
bacterin and challenged groups. B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by A.viridans	
and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of	
challenged and control groups. D) lesion score in brain after 21 days of challenged and control	116
groups. E) lesion score in Liver after 21 days of challenged and control groups. F) lesion score	
in Kidney after 21 days of challenged and control groups.	
Fig. 11: A) lesion score in spleen after 3 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed	
vaccine. B) lesion score in brain after 3 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed	
vaccine. C) lesion score in Liver after 3 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed	
vaccine. D)lesion score in kidney after 3 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed	117
vaccine. E) lesion score in spleen after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed	
vaccine and challenged groups. F) lesion score in brain after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i>	
and formalin killed vaccine and challenged groups.	
Fig. 12: A) lesion score in Liver after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed	
bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i>	
bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of	118
bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. D) lesion score in brain after 21 days of infected,	118
bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. D) lesion score in brain after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. E) lesion score in Liver after 21 days of infected, challenged	118
bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. D) lesion score in brain after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. E) lesion score in Liver after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. F) lesion score in Kidney after 21 days of infected, challenged and control	118
bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. D) lesion score in brain after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. E) lesion score in Liver after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. F) lesion score in Kidney after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. F) lesion score in Kidney after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. F) lesion score in Kidney after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups.	118
bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. D) lesion score in brain after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. E) lesion score in Liver after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. F) lesion score in Kidney after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups <b>Fig.1s:</b> A) WBCs represented by mean± SE (n=10). B) total protein, Albumin and globulin	118
bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. D) lesion score in brain after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. E) lesion score in Liver after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. F) lesion score in Kidney after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups <b>Fig.1s:</b> A) WBCs represented by mean± SE (n=10). B) total protein, Albumin and globulin represented by mean± SE (n=10).	118
<ul> <li>bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. D) lesion score in brain after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. E) lesion score in Liver after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. F) lesion score in Kidney after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups</li> <li>Fig.1s: A) WBCs represented by mean± SE (n=10). B) total protein, Albumin and globulin represented by mean± SE (n=10). B) Total protein, Albumin and globulin</li> </ul>	118 119
<ul> <li>bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. D) lesion score in brain after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. E) lesion score in Liver after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. F) lesion score in Kidney after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups</li> <li>Fig.1s: A) WBCs represented by mean± SE (n=10). B) total protein, Albumin and globulin represented by mean± SE (n=10). B) Total protein, Albumin and globulin represented by mean± SE (n=10).</li> </ul>	118 119 119
<ul> <li>bacterin and challenged groups.B) lesion score in kidney after 7 days of infection by <i>E.faecalis</i> and formalin killed bacterin and challenged groups. C) lesion score in spleen after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. D) lesion score in brain after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. E) lesion score in Liver after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. F) lesion score in Kidney after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups. F) lesion score in Kidney after 21 days of infected, challenged and control groups.</li> <li>Fig.1s: A) WBCs represented by mean± SE (n=10). B) total protein, Albumin and globulin represented by mean± SE (n=10). B) Total protein, Albumin and globulin represented by mean± SE (n=10). B) Total protein, Albumin and globulin represented by mean± SE (n=10).</li> <li>Fig.3s: A) lysosome activity of <i>A.viridans</i> groups represented by mean± SE (n=10)</li> </ul>	118 119 119